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## THE HUMAN SPECIES.

## BOOK I.

## UNITY OF THE HUAAN SPECIES.

## CIIAPTER I.

FMPIRES AND KINGDOMS OF NATLRE-THE HUMAN KINGDOM. - ANTHROPOLOGICAL METHOD.
I. 'THe naturalist who meets with an object for the first time, instinctively asks the question :-What is this object? This question leads to another:-With what other objects shall I class it ? 'To what group, and, in the first place, to what kingdom does it belong? Is it a mineral, a plant, or an animal ?

The answer is not always easy. We know that, in what may be called the basis of each kinglom, there are ambiguous forms, whose nature has long been, and still is, the subject of contention among naturalists. We know that polyps were long regarded as plants, and that nullipores, at first taken for polyps, are now divided between the vegetable and mineral kingdoms; and, finally, we know that even now, botanists and zoologists dispute over certain diatoms and transfer them from one kingdom to the other.

Similarly the question has been asked:-What is man? and it has been answered from several points of view. To the naturalist it has but one meaningr, and signifies, in which kinglom must man be placed? or better : is man an animal?

In spite of all the differences which a comparison of man with the mammalia presents, should he be classed with them? This question is similar to that which Peysonnel is said to have asked himself, when, struck by the special phenomena presented by the coral, he asked limself whether the olject before him was a vegetable.

It is evident that, in order to solve the first problem which arises from a study of the natural history of man, we must have a clear idea what are these great groups of beings, which are called kingdoms; we must give an account of the characters which distinguish and separate them from each other, and then of their true scientific meaning. It will be sufficient for the purpose to explain the well-known laws of Linneus, supplementing the theory of the immortal Swede by some ideas borrowed from Pallas and de Candolle, and by one of the fundamental conceptions which Adamson and A. L. de Jussieu have almost equally contributed to introduce into science.
II. It is impossible for anyone, whether learned or otherwise, not to recognise at once the difference between two kinds of objects very distinct from each other: inanimate bodies and urganised beings. These are the two groups into which Pallas has divided kingdoms under the name of empires. Their distinction is gencrally easy, and I shall confine myself to recalling some of the most essential differences.

Inanimate bodies, when placed under favourable circumstances, last for an indetinite time, neither taking nor giving anything to the surrounding world ; organised beings, under whatever conditions they are placed, only last for a fixed period of time, and, during this existence, undergo every moment losses of sulstance which they repair by means of materials taken from without. Inanimate bodies, even when they assume the fixed and definite form of crystals, are: formed independently of all other bodies resembling them; they have from their commencement fixed forms, and increase simply by superposition of new layers. Every organised being is connected either direetly or indirectly
with a similar being, in the interior of which it first appeared in the form of a germ, then grew and aequired its definite form by intussusception.

In other words, filiation, mutrition, birth and death, are so many characteristics of the organised being, of which no trace is found in inanimate bodies. I agree with Pallas in making inanimate bodies compose the Inorganic Empire, and organised beings the Organic Empire.

I must here make an observation, the importance of which will be easily understood.

The existence of the two groups which have been recognised by the good sense of the general public as well as by the seience of Pallas, is a fact absolutely independent of all hypothesis. Whatever explanation we may propose to account for the differential phenomena which distinguish them, these phenomena will not the less exist ; the inanimate body will never be an organised being.
To attempt, under any pretext whatever, to reconcile or confound these two kinds of objects with each other, is to go in direct opposition to all the progress made for more than a century, and especially during the last few years, in physies, chemistry and physiology. It is inexplicable to me that some men, whose merits I otherwise acknowledge, should have recently again compared crystals to the simplest living forms, to the sarcolic orgranisms, as they were called by Dujardin, who diseovered them, and was the first to give a comprehensive theory of them from minute observations. A change of name is useless; the things remain the same, and protoplasm has the same properties as sarcode. The amimals, whose entire substance they seem to form, have not altered their nature ; whether monera or amoboc, these forms are the antipoles of the crystal from ewery point of view.

A crystal, as M. Nimulin lias well remarked, closely resembles one of those regular piles of shot which may be seen in every arsenal. It only increases from the exteriur, as the pile is increased when the soldier adds a fresh lager of shot;
its molecules are just as immovable as the balls of iron. It is exactly the contrary with the organised being, and the simpler its composition the greater the contrast. The small size of the moneron and the amoba prevents, it is true, certain observations. I appeal, howerer, to all those naturalists who have studied certain marine sponges in a living state. They must like myself have remarked the strange activity of the ritul whirlpool in the semi-sarcodic substance which surrounds their siliceous or horny skeleton; they will have seen the sea water in which they are placed move with a rapidity which it never exhibits when in contact with any other animal.

The reason is that, in the organised being, the repose of the crystal is replaced by an incessant movement; that, instead of remaining immovable and unalterable, the molecules are unceasingly undergoing transformation, changing their composition, producing fresh substances, retaining some and rejecting others. Fiar from resembling a pile of shot, the organised being may much rather be compared to the combination of a number of physico-chemical apparatus, constantly in action to burn or reduce materials borrowed from without, and ever making use of their own substance for its incessant renewal.

In other words, in the crystal once formed the forces remain in a state of stuble equilibrium, which is only intrmpted by the influence of exterior causes. Hence the pussibility of its imdefinite contmance without any change either of its forms or of its properties. In the organised being the equilibrimm is umsluble, or rather, there is no equilihrimm properly so called. Every moment the organised being expends as much foree as mutter, and owes its contimance solely to the bulance of the gain ambloss. Hence the prosibility of a morlifieation of its properties and form without its crasing to exist.

Such are the hare facts which rest upon no hypothesis whatever; and how ean we, in the presence of these facts, compare the erystal which grows in a salue solution to the girm which
becomes in suceession embryo, foetus, and funally a comple te animal? How can we confuse the inamimute body with the orgumised being.

The two groups are easily separated by the phenoment they exhibit. It is the same with the causes of the phenomenon.

Naturalists and physiologists are here divided. Some would have it that the canse, or the causes, are ilentical, and that conditions, which are almost accidental, alone determine the difference in the results by changing their morle of action. In their opinion the furmation of a crystal or of a moneron is only a question of resultant.

Others consider living beings as the resuit of a cause entirely different from those which act in inanimate bodies, and refer to this canse alone everything which takes place in these beings.

These two methods appear to me, from the exclusive element in each, to be equally ill-founded. It cannot be denied that phenomena identical with those characteristic of inanimate bodies are found in organised beings, and we have, therefore, no scientific reason to attribute them to different causes.

But organised beings have also their special phenomena radically distinct from, or even opposed to, the former. Is it possible to refer all of them to one, or to several, identical canses? I think not. For this reason, I admit with a great number of eminent men of every age and country, and, I lelieve, with the majority of those that respect modern science, that organised beings owe their distinctive characteristics to a Special Cuuse, to a Speciul. Foree, to Life, which in them is associated with the inorganic forces. For this reason I consiler it legitimate to call them Liciny be ings.

I shall often, however, return to this ehass of consilerattions, in order to make it quite clear in what sense I take these worls, Force, and Lije.
III. The two Empires of Pallas are themsclues sub-
divided into Kingdoms, which are characterised by special facts and phenomena, becoming more and more complicated as we ascend the scale of nature.

And, in the first place, I distinctly admit with de Candulle the existence of a Sidereal Kinglom. To any one who considers, as far as we are able, the little that we know of the universe, the celestial bodies, suns, planets, and comets or satellites only appear as molecules of the great All which fills indefinite Space. One general phenomenon which is unchangeable, however varied in its forms, is, as it were, the attribute of these bodies. All, whether gascons or solid, olscure or luminous, hot or coll, move within curves of the same nature, obeying the laws discovered by Kepler. It is now well known that fixed star's do not exist.

In order to explain this phenomenon philosophers have admitted the existence of a foree which they have called Giruvitution, the effect of which is to precipitate the stars towards one another, as if they mutually attracted each other, whilst obeying the laws of Newton. Now it is well known that the great Englishman himself gave no opinion upon the mode of action of this force, and that he hesitated between the hypothesis of Attruetion and that of Imputsion. The first should prevail as being more in accorlance with the immediate results of observation; but the second also has had serious partisans, among whom I will only mention M. de Tessan.

Thus Newton, in spite of all his genius, cannot tell us what was the cause of the movement of the stars; he was not even able to determine the immediate mode of action of this callse; and yet there is not a scientific term more universally received than that of Gruritution, there is mot a cass in which the expression force is more generally acrepten. The reason of this is, that in the presence of mencral facts and groups of phenomena, it is necessaty to make use of tems as simple as possille. We must, howerer, aroil the delusion of thinking that meming is erguivalent to erplaining.

In cases analogous to that of which we have leen treating, the word Force merely indicates the presence of an $U^{\prime} h-$ known C'ense, which gives rise to a group of fixed phenomence. In assigning names to each of the Forces or Unknown Causes to which we consider ourselves able to refer certain groups of phenomena, we facilitate the demonstration and discussion of the facts. The scientific man knows very well that he camot go beyond this.

It is in this sense, and in this sense alone, that I have used above the expressions Force and Life. Astronomers consider gravitation the unknown cause of the movement of the stars; I consider Life as the unknown cause of the phenomena which are characteristic of organised beings. It may be that both gravitation and Life, as well as the other general forces are merely as $x$, of which the equation has not yet been discovered. I shall presently return to these considerations.

Be this as it may, whatever our real ignorance, whatever the Cause of which we are here treating, and though Impulsion should one day replace Attraction in our Theories, the facts would still remain the same. The stars would still be distributed through space, and subject to the laws of Newton and Kepler; they would still constitute a perfectly distinct whole, in the part assigned to the bodies which compose it, and in the nature of the relations which unite them. They would still furm the Sidereal Kinglom.

This kingdom is then characterised by a general phenomenon, the hepleriun Morement, which may be attributed to a single force, namely that of gravitation.
IV. Let us now return to the Earth, the only celestial body which we can study in detail. Modern discoveries, however, judging from the relation of the elements and their mutual action, make it almost certain that the greatest similarity exists between the stars distributed in space, between all those at least which form part of our heavens.

Let us first establish the fact that upon our glube we again meet with the Keplerian Movement in falling bodies.

Attraction is here represented by Weight. Gravitation reappears with all its laws, acting upon grains of dust as it acts upon worlds. The parts of the whole, of cosmos, as Humboldt would have said, cannot escape from the foree which governs the whole.

But upon the surface of our Earth and in its interior, as far as we have been able to penetrate either by direct observation or scientific induction, we notice the appearance of other movements which are not subject to the laws of Kepler or Newton ; phenomena appear which are entirely new and perfectly distinct from those due to gravitation. They are the physico-chemical phenomena. From their number and their difference in eharacter they were long attributed to the action of distinct forces which were called Electricity, Hcut, Magnetism, etc. Modern science, however, by transforming, so to speak, one into the other, has demonstrated their original unity. Physicists refer them all to nothing more than so many manifestations of the undulations of ether. The vibration of the latter is then the fundamental phenomenon from which all the others rise.

But this ether is absolutely hypothetical; its nature is perfectly unknown; no one knowing whence it acquires this quantity of movement, which, according to actual theory, should be subject neither to increase nor diminution. Now, in reality, we have here the Unknown cause of all physico-chemical phenomena. For this reason, and also for convenience, we shall give a name to this mknown cause, to this force, and call it Litherodymumy (Ethérolynamie).

But is not Etherodynamy only a particular form, a simple modification, or an effect of gravitation? Are not these two forces only different manifestations of a more general force? Many eminent men are mich inclined to admit one or other of these hyputheses. Still, up to the present time, the facts do not seem to me to shew much agreement with them. Etherodynamy is displayed even in space and among the stars ly variable, localised and temporary phenomena; the action of gravitation is mee, miswasal and
constant. Man has always been able to exercise a certain amount of control over the former; he can produce at will light and heat; modern science camnot act upon the second. We can neither augment nor diminish, reflect or refract, or polarise weight; we cannot arrest its action. Even in the fall of bodies the regularity in the acceleration of the motion proves that the cause of this movement is sulject to no alteration. Here then is no transmutution of force similar to that in a machine worked by electricity or heat.

But whatever be the progress of science, and though M. de 'Tessan's theory should be confirmed by experiment, the difference between the phenomena would not be diminished; the conclusions to be drawn from the facts in connection with the question we are here discussing would remain the same.

It is scarcely necessary to remark that the physicochemical phenomena produced by etherodynamy can act upon masses or be exclusively molecular. They are in all cases similar to those which depend upon gravitation, they are subject to invariable laws and are always repeated in a similar manner when produced under similar circumstances.

No antagonism, it is true, exists between gravitation and etherodynamy. It is no less true that the action of the first is always disturbed in a peculiar manner by that of the second, and that in some phenomena it seems as if the latter would nentralise the former. This fact is most strikingly shown in some of the commonest experiments in physics. The gold leaves of the electroscope separate, the pith-balls are attracted towards electrified bodies in spite of their weight, and are repelled with a rapidity greater than that which would result merely from their own weight. And yet these bodies have no more ceased to possess weight than those masses of iron raised by the powerful magnets of M. Jamin. Fitherodynamy in these two cases merely overcame gravitation and either modified or imitated its action.

Those terrestrial bodies which present no other phenomena than those which can be referred to either gravitation or etherodynamy have, since the time of Limmens, been termed Inanimate Bodies. Together they constitute the Mineral Kingdom. We see that the existence and the distinction of this group are perfectly independent of any hypothesis intended to explain the phenomena.

T'wo linds of phenomence then are characteristic of the mineral kingdom : phenomena of the Keplerian movement and physico-chemicul phenomena, which may be attributed to the action of two forces: graritation and etherodynamy.
V. The sidereal and mineral kingloms form the Inorganic Empire. Passing from it we enter the domain of organised and living beings. We have already'scen the essential phenomena by which they are distinguished. These phenomena differ essentially from all those which we have observed in inanimate bodies. It seems to me, therefore, necessary to attribute them to a special cause,-to Life.

I know that in the present day any one making use of this word is readily accused by a great number of physicists and chemists, and by an entire physiological school, of introducing into science a vague and almost mysterious expression. There is, however, nothing in it more vague or mysterious than in the word gravitation.

It is very true that we do not know achut Life is; but no more do we know what the force is that set the stars in motion and retains them in their orbits. If astronomers have been right in giving to the force, or unknown cause, which gives the worlds their mathematical movements, naturalists have a perfect right to designate by a special term that unlinowon couse which produces filiation, birth and death.

It will be apparent that my idea of Life is not the same as it was with many ancicnt vitalists, that it is no more the arche of van Helmont than the vital principle of Barthez. Its function appeass to me very different to that attributed
to it by most of our prelecessors, and which is still attributed to it by some physiologists.

Far from merely animating the organs, it is clusely associated with the furces of which we have already spoken. Living beings are heavy, and therefore subject to gravitation; they are the seat of numerous and various physico-chemical phenomena which are indispensable to their existence and which must be referred to the action of etherodynamy. But these phenomena are here manifested under the influence of enother force. It is for this reason that the results of these phenomena are often quite different to those in inanimate bodies, and that living beings have their special products. Life is not antagonistic to the inanimate forees, but it governs and rules their action by its laws. Therefore it makes them produce tissues, organs and individuals instead of crystals; it organises germs, and maintains through space and time, in spite of the most complex metamorphoses, that unity of definite living forms which we call Species.
If the anti-vitalists would only seriously reflect upon the matter, they would acknowledge that, considered from this point of view, there is nothing more mysterious in living beings than in some of the commonest phenomena presented by inanimate bodics. The intervention of Life as a modifying agent of actions purely etherodynamic may be as easily admitted as that of etherodyuamy itself modifying and overcoming the action of weight. It is just as strange to see a piece of iron attracted and supported by a magnet, as to sec carbon, oxygen, hydrogen and nitrogen combine and dispose themselves so as to form an animal or verectable cell instead of any imaginable inorganic composition.

I have repeatedly, and for many years, maintained the doctrine which 1 have summed up here. It seems to me confirmed in the highest degree by the researches undertaken for the clucidation of the problem of which we are treating. The experiences of M. Bernard in particular, relative to the action exercised by anxesthetics upon plants as well as upon animals, makes it impossible for us to doubt fur a moment
the intervention of an agent distinct from physico-chemical forces in organic beings. In employing the word Life to designate this agent, I only make use of an established expression, without pretending to go beyond the information gained from experiment and scientific observation.

Beings, in which life alone is added to gravitation and etherodynamy constitute the Vegetuble hinglom. Now there is one general fact displayed by this group, the significance of which has not, it seems to me, been sufficiently understool. With the exception of certain phenomena of unconscious ivritubility which have long been known in some plants of a superior order, and of facts, probably of the same class, which have been established chiefly with reference to some reproductive organs of plants of an inferior order, every movement which takes place in plants appears to be produced solely by inanimate forces. The transfer of matter in particular, which is necessary for the development and sustenance of every vegetable, belongs to actions of this kind. Can we believe that these forces, as they are known to us from innumerable experiments, could, if left to themselves, have formed an oak, or even raised a mushroom? Can we believe that they could have organised the acorn or the spore, and hidden in those minute bodies the power of reproducing the parent? And yet without them the vegetable camnot exist. But, in my opinion, nothing makes their real subordination more apparent than the importance of their part in the process of execution. They may be compared to workmen raising an edifice under the eye of the architect who has made the plan.

Are we then to conclude that life is an intelligent furce, conscious of the part it plays, and enjoying the dominion it exercises over the subordinate inamimate foress? Not at all. Like these forces, it is ruled by general and fixed laws. Nevertheless, we do not find in the application of these laws, and in the results to which they leand, the mathematical precision of the laws and phenomena of gravitation and etherodynamy. Their monde of action merely seems to oscil-
late between limits which remain impassable. This kind of liberty, and the bounds imposed upon it, are conspicuous in the constant diversity of the products of life, a diversity which contrasts in so striking a manner with the uniformity of the products of etherodynamy. Crystals, when similar in composition, and when formed under similar circumstances, resemble each other perfectly; but we never find two leaves exactly alike upon the same tree.

The vegretable kinglom is, therefore, characterised by theree kiuls of phenomena: the Keplerian movement, physicochemicul phenomenu, and vitul phenomena, which may be ascribed to the action of three forces: Grueitation, Etherodynamy, and Lije.
VI. We find repeated among animals all the phenomena which we have noticed amongst plants, and, especially in the highest orders, those movements due to unconscious irritability, of which examples are presented by plants. Some eminent men, Lamarck among the number, have even wished to refer all acts performed by inferior animals to this order of phenomena. But here the author of La Philosophie Zoologique has fallen into an anatomical error, which has been long since recognised ; and whoever has lived, even for a short time, by the sea-sile, or has fullowed closely the habits and actions of worms and zoophytes will certainly protest against this manner of regarding them.

Passing from the plant to the animal, the latter executes movements belonging either to the part or to the whole which are perfectly independent of the laws of gravitation and etherodynamy. The regulating and determining cause of these movements is evidently within the animal itself. It is the Will. But the Will itself is intimately connected with sensibility and consciousness. To ereryone who judges animals by what he finds takes place within himself, personal experiment and observation prove that the animal feels, jullyes, and rills, that is to say rectsons, and consequently is intelligent.

This proposition will, I know, be contested by men whose
learning I profoundly respect, and objections will be made on all sides. On the one hand the Automatism of Descartes will be revived in some schools, and will now be supported by physiology and the experiments of vivisection. I am far from denying the great interest which is attached to the latter, and to the phenomena of reflex actions. But the conclusions which are drawn from them appear to me singularly exaggerated; Carpenter has rightly opposed them with personal experiment. I will add that the study of animals placed far below, and certainly inferior to, the frog, would doubtless lead to very different interpretations. Moreover, Huxley himself admits that animals are probably sensible and conscious automata. But if they were merely machines we should be obliged to allow that they performed their functions us if they felt, judged, and willed.

On the other hand, in the name of philosophy and pischology, I shall be accused of confomenting certain intellectual attributes of the human reason with the exelusively sensitive faculties of animals. I shall presently endeavour to answer this criticism from the standpoint which should never be guitted by the naturalist, that, namely, of experiment and ubservation. I shall here confine myself to saying that, in my opinion, the animal is intelligent, and, althongh a rudimentury being, its intelligence is nevertheless of the sume: nuture as that of man. It is, moreover, very unepually distributed among the animal species; in this respect there are many intervening stages between the oyster and the dog.

In addition to the phenomena which spring from the intelligronce and reasoning, we find in animals other impulses which arise from Instinct, a blind impulse, or at least apparently sn, which often is the characteristie of anmal species, and with which each individual is endowed. These two orders of facts are very often confommed, but the confusion can be explained as follows. In the first place, instinet has as its object the attainment of a determined and fixed result, but in the multitude of ways and means necessary to attain this result
a portion which is often very large is due to the intelligence. The distinction is not always easy. It will, moreover, be apparent that I camot here enter into the details required by the examination of this question, so entirely foreign to that which is before us.

Besides the acts of intelligence and instinct, phenomena have been established among animals which are closely comected with what we call charucter; sentiment, or passion. The familiarity of the terms is in itself a proof that upon this point ordinary observation has outstripped scientific examination.

All these phenomena are perfectly new and have no analogy with those which we have noticed in the preceding kingdoms. They evidently justify thie formation of an equally important group. The animal linuddom is thus universally admitted, independently of every theory which attempts to explain its characters.

Facts radically different cannot be attributed to the same cause. We must admit, then, that the characteristic phenomena of the animal depend upon something different to those met with in the vegetable or mineral kingrloms. They are, moreover, united by such intimate relations, that it would be impossible not to attribute them to a single cause. From motives already mentioned we will give a name to this U'nlinown Ceuse, and, making use of an expression already established, though open, I can see, to more than one criticism, we will call it the Animul Mind (l'îme animale).

Does the animal mind liberate the beings it animates from the inferior forces? By no means, for we find them repeated with all their characteristics. In order to raise the least of its organs, the animal must contend with weight; it cannot perform the smallest morement withont the intervention of pliysico-chemical phenomena ; it camot breathe, and, therefure, caunot live, without constantly consuming some of its constituents. In the animal, moreover, just as much as in the plant, the inanimate forees, etherodynamy especially,
appear in their double character of constancy and of ubiquity in the accomplishment of phenomena, and of subordination to life, which governs their action in the animal as in the plant.

Moreover, a large part is resarved for purely vegetative life in animals of the highest order. The entire organism is formed without any intervention of the animal mind. Again, a certain number of organs always escape more or less from the influence of the latter, and seem to be subject to life alone. Now these organs are precisely those upon which nutrition, and consequently the constitution and duration of the whole, depend. Thus life, which reigned supreme in the vegetable kinglom, now in its turn, appears in a subordinate character. We might say that it was essentially entrusted with the organisation and maintenance of the instruments of the animal mind.

As to the latter, even where its intervention is most questioned, it is only revealed to observation by roluntury movements. Now personal experiment and the faculty of reasoning, are necessary to enable us to comprehend the nature, and appreciate the signification of these movements. It is only by regarding himself as normal, that man can judge of the animal, a subject to which I shall presently return.

Phenomena of fure kinds are then characteristic of the Animal Kinglum : phenomena of the heplerian movement; pheysico-chemical phenomena; vitul phenomena; and phenomena of roluntary movement; attributable to the action of four forces: gravitution, ctherodymamy, life, and the unimal mina.
VII. Athough the preceding statements are so much abridged, I have thought it well to give the condensed results in the following table:


From this table, and the expansions which it sums up, rise the following conclusions.

1. Each kinglom is characterised by a certain number of phenomena, whose existence is independent of all hypothesis and theory.
2. The phenomena increase in number from the sidereal to the animal kingdom.
3. In passing from one kingdom to another, and proceeding from the simple to the composite, a number of phenomena appear, which are entirely unknown in the inferior kingdoms.
4. The superior kingdom presents, independently of its special phenomena, the characteristic phenomena of the inferior kingdoms.
5. Each group of phenomena indieated in the table is connected with a small number of fundamental phenomena, which can, in some cases with certainty, in others with more or less probability, be referred to a single cause.
6. All these causes are equally unknown to 11 s as regards their nature and mode of action. We know them merely ly phenomena. We can, therefure, make no conjecture as
to the relations, more or less close, which may exist between them.
7. We nevertheless give names to these causes for the sake of convenience, and of facilitating the discussion of the facts.
VIII. We can now return to the problem which gave rise to these expansions, and ask the question: Whether Man should take his place in the animal kingdom? a question which evidently leads to another: Is man distinguished from animals by important and characteristic phenomena, absolutely unknown in the latter? For more than forty years I have answered this question in the affirmative, and my convictions, tested by many controversies, are now stronger than ever.

But it is neither in the material disposition, nor in the action of his physical organism, that we must look for these phenomena. From this point of view, man is neither more nor less than an animal. From an anatomical point of view, there is less difference between man and the superior order of apes, than between the latter and the inferior orders. The microscope reveals equally striking resemblances between the elements of the human organism and those of the animal organism ; and chemical analysis leads to the same result. It was easy to foresee that the action of elements and organs would be exacily the same in man and beast, and such was found to be the case.

Passions, sentiments, and characters, establish between animals and ourselves equally close relations. The animal loves and hates; we recognise in it irritability and jealousy ; unwearying patience, and immutable confidence. In our domestic species, these differences are more apparent, or perhaps we only notice them more closely. Who has not known dogs which have been playful or snappish, affectionate or savage, cowardly or courageous, friendly with everybody, or exclusive in their affections.

Again, man has true instincts, were it only that of socialility. Faculties, however, of this order, which are so fully
developed in certain animals, in man are evidently very much reduced in comparison with the intelligence.

The relative development of the latter certainly establishes an enormous difference between man and animal. It is not, however, the intensity of a phenomenon which gives value to it from our present point of view, but simply its nature. The question is whether human intelligence and animal intelligence can be considered as of the same order.

As a rule philosophers, psychologists, and theologians, have replied in the negative, and naturalists in the affirmative. This opposition cau be easily understood. The former make the human mind, considered as an indivisible whole, their principal study, and attribute to it all our faculties. Unable to deny the similarity, external at least, between certain animal and human acts, and yet being anxious to clearly distinguish man from the brute, they have given to the acts different interpretations as they have been performed by one or the other. Naturalists have regarded the phenomeua more closely without thinking of anything else, and when they have seen the animal behave in the same manner as they themselves would have done under the given circumstances, they have concluded that the motives of the action must be fundamentally the same. I must ask permission to remain a naturalist, and to recall some facts, and regard them from this point of view.

The theologians themselves allow that the animal possesses sensation, formation and association of images, imagination, and passion (R. P. de Bonniot). They allow that the animal feels the relation of fitness or of unfitness between sensible objects and his own senses ; that it experiences sensible attractions and repulsions, and acts perfectly in consequence, and that in this sense the animal rectsons and judyes (l'Abbé A. Lecomte). Therefore, they add, we cannot doubt but that the animal possesses a principle superior to that of mere matter, and we may even give it the name of mind (R. P. Bonniot). But in spite of all,
theologians and philosophers maintain that the animal cannot be intelligent, because it has neither innate sense, consciousness nor reason.

Let us leave for a moment the last term, with which the idea of phenomena which we shall presently discuss, is connected in the mind of our opponents. Is it true that animals are wanting in innate sense, and are not conscious of their actions? Upon what facts of observation does this opinion rest? We each one of us feel that we possess this sense, that we enjoy this faculty. By means of speech we can convey to another the results of our personal experience. But this source of information is wanting when we come to deal with animals. Neither in them nor in ourselves are innate sense and consciousness revealed to the outer world by any special characteristic movement. It is, therefore, only by interpreting these movements, and by judging from ourselves, that we can form an idea of the motives from which the auimal acts.

Proceeding in this manner, it seems to me impossible to refuse to allow animals a certain amount of consciousness of their actions. Doubtless, they do not form such an exact estimate of them, as even an illiterate man can do. But we may be very certain that when a cat is trying to catch sparrows on level ground, and creeps along the hollows, availing herself of every tuft of grass however small, she knows what she is about, just as well as the hunter who glides in a crouching attitude from one bush to another. We may be equally sure that kittens and puppies when they fight, growl and bite without hurting each other, know very well that they are playing and not in earnest.

I must here beg permission to relate the remembrance of my struggles with a mastiff of pure breed, and which had attained its full size, remaining, however, very young in character. We were very good friends, and often played together. As soon as ever I assumed an attitude of defence before him, he would leap upon me with every appearance of fury, seizing in his mouth the arm which I had used
as a shield. He might have marked my arm deeply at the first onset, but he never pressed it in a manner that could inflict the slightest pain. I often seized him by his lower jaw with my hand, but he never used his teeth so as to bite me. And yet the next moment the same teeth would indent a piece of wood, I tried to tear away from them.

This animal evidently knew what it was doing when it feigned the passion precisely opposite to that which it really felt; when, even in the excitement of play, it, retained sufficient mastery over its movements to avoid hurting me. In reality it played a part in a comedy, and we cannot act without being conscious of it.

It is useless for me to insist upon many other facts which I could bring forward, and I refer my reader to the works of those naturalists who have studied the question, especially those of F. Cuvier. But the more I reflect upon it, the more is my conviction confirmed that man and animals think and reason in virtue of a faculty which is common to both, and which is only far more developed in the latter than in the former.

What I have just said of the intelligence I do not hesitate to say also of language, the highest manifestation of the intelligence. It is true that man alone possesses speech, that is to say the articulute voice. But two classes of animals possess voice. With us it is, again, only a high degree of perfection, nothing radically new. In both cases the sounds, produced by the air which is thrown into vibration by the voluntary movements accorded to the larynx, convey impressions and personal thoughts which are understood by individuals of the same species. The mechanism of the production, the object and the result are fundamentally the same.

It is true that the language of animals is most rudimentary and, in this respect, in harmony with the inferiority of their intelligence. We might say that it was almost entirely composed of interjections. Such as it is, however, this
language is sufficient for the wants of the mammalia and birds who understand it perfectly, while man himself can learn it without very much trouble. The bunter can distinguish the accents of anger, love, pleasure, sorrow, the call and the signal of alarm and makes use of these indications as an unfailing guide, and often imitates these accents and cries in such a manner as to deceive the animal. Of course I exclude from the language of brutes, the song, properly so called, of birds, that of the nightingale for example. It appears to me void of all meaning, as are the notes of a singer, and I do not believe in the interpretation of Dupont de Nemours.

It is not, therefore, in the phenomena connected with the intelligence that we shall find the basis of a fundamental distinction between man and animals.

But in man the existence has been provel of fundamental phenomena of which nothing either in living beings or inanimate bodies has hitherto been able to give us any conception. 1st. Man has the perception of morcal good and evil independently of all physical welfare or suffering. 2nd. Man believes in superior beings who can exercise an influence upon his destiny. 3rd. Man believes in the prolongation of his existence after this life.

The last two phenomena have always been so closely connected that it is natural to refer them to the same faculty, to that namely of Religion. The first depends on Morality.

Psychologists attribute religion and morality to the reason, and make the latter an attribute of man. But with the reason they connect the lighest phenomena of the intelligence. In my opinion, in so doing they confound and refer to a common origin, facts entirely different. Thus since they are unable to recognise either morality or religion in animals, which in reality do not possess these two faculties, they are forced to refuse them intelligence also, although the same animals, in my opinion, give decisive proof of their possession of this faculty cvery moment.

The gencrality of the phenomena which we are discussing is, I believe, indisputable, especially since the investigation to which it has been subjected by the Society of Anthropology in Paris, where the question of the human kingdom has been long and seriously discussed. I cannot here reproduce the discussion, even in an abridged form, but refer my readers either to the summary in my Rapport sur les progrès de l'anthropologie en France, or to the Bulletins of the Society. I shall, moreover, go into this subject in some detail in the chapters devoted to the moral and religious characters of the human races.
A host of manifestations of human activity are derived, as so many consequences, from the three facts which I have pointed out. Customs and institutions of every kind are connected with them; they alone explain some of the great events which change the destiny of nations and the face of the earth.

For reasons which I have several times pointed out already, we must give a name to the Unknown Cause from which are derived the phenomena of morality and religion. We will call it the Human mind (l'âme humaine).
I must here repeat the formal declaration which I have often made already. When I employ this term, which is established by custom, it is with the understanding that I strictly confine myself within the limits imposed upon anyone who intends to be exclusively faithful to science, experiment and observation. I consider the human principle as the Unlonown Cause of exclusively human phenomena. To go beyond that would be to encroach upon the domain of philosophy or theology. To them belongs the solution of the formidable problems raised by the existence of the 'something' which makes a man of an organism entirely animal, and I give everyone leave to choose from the proposed solutions the one which agrees most satisfactorily with the demands of his own feelings and reason.

But whatever this solution may be, it will in no way affect the phenomena; those which I have just described will
neither be diminished nor modified. Now they exist in man alone, and it is impossible to deny their importance. Thus they distinguish man from the animal as much as the phenomena of intelligence distinguish the animal from the plant, and as the phenomena of life distinguish the plant from the mineral. They are, therefore, the attributes of a kingdom, which we will call the Human Kingdom.

From this conclusion it will seem that I am at variance with Linnæus, whose idea I have, however, only developed and stated more precisely. In fact, the immortal author of the Systema Naturce has placed his Homo sapiens amongst the mammalia in the class of primates, and has made him congenous with the gibbon. This is because Linnæus had recourse to the System in order to establish his nomenclature. To classify man as well as other beings, he has made an arbitrary choice of a certain number of characteristics, and only taken those into consideration which were furnished by the body.

But the language of Linnæus is very different, even in his remarks relating to the genus Homo, and still more so in the kind of introduction entitled Imperium Naturce. He there almost places man in opposition with all beings, and particularly with animals, and in such terms as necessarily to suggest the idea of a human lingdom.

The reason of this is that here Linnæus no longer speaks of physical man, but of man as a whole. Now, thanks to the labours of Adanson, Jussieu and Cuvier, naturalists now know that this is the right course to pursue in judging of the relations which exist between beings. The Natural Method no longer allows the choice of such or such a group of characteristics; it demands, together with an appreciation of their relative value, a consideration of all. It is on this account that I have been led to admit the existence of this human kingdom, which has been already proposed under several appellations by some eminent men, but to which I believe myself to have given a more precise and rigorous determination.

The table given above must then be completed in the following manner :-

|  | Phenomena. | causes. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Human Kingdom | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Phenomena of the Keplerian movement } \\ \text { Physico-chemical phenomena. } \\ \text { Vital phenomena } \\ \text { Phenomena of voluntary movement } \\ \text { Phenomena of morality and religion } \end{array}\right.$ | Gravitation <br> Etherodynamy <br> Life <br> Animal mind <br> Human mind |

Thus in the human kingdom we find by the side of the phenomena which characterise it all those which we have met with in the inferior kingdoms. We are consequently forced to admit that all the forces and all the unknown causes to which we have attributed these effects are acting in man. From this point of view man deserves the name which has sometimes been given to him of microcosm.

We have seen that in the vegetable kingdom the iuanimate forces perform their functions under the control, so to speak, of Life, which afterwards, in the animal, showed incontestable signs of its subordination to the animal mind. Life now appears under similar conditions with regard to the luman mind. In the most characteristic human actions, the intelligence almost always plays the most prominent part from the executive point of view ; but it is manifestly under the direction of the human mind. All legislation affects to rest upon the one foundation of morality and of justice, which is only a form of it; the immediate cause of the Crusades, of the spread of the Arabs, and the conquests of Islam, was religious fervour. The true legislator and the great leader are indeed necessarily men of high intelligence, but is it not clear that in the cases mentioned the intelligence has been placed at the service of morality or of religion, and consequently of the Unknown Cause to which man owes these faculties?

But however preponderating the part claimed by this
cause in acts exclusively human may be, it has nothing to do with those phenomena which have their origin in the intelligence alone. The learned mathematician who seeks by the aid of the most profound abstractions the solution of some great problem, is completely without the moral or religious sphere into which, on the contrary, the ignorant, simpleminded man enters when he struggles, suffiers, or dies for justice or for his faith.
IX. It was necessary to recall all the facts and theories which I have just summed up, in order to facilitate the comprehension and the justification of the method which alone can guide us in anthropological studies.

The object of anthropology is the study of man us a species. It abandons the material individual to physiology and medicine; the intellectual and morul individual to philosophy and theology. It has, therefore, its own special field of study, and on that accomnt alone its special questions, which often could not be solved hy processes borrowed from cognate sciences.

In fact, in some questions, and in some of the most fundamental ones, the difficulty lies in the interpretation of phenomena connected with those which are characteristic of all living beings. For the very reason that they are to a certain extent obscure in man, we cannot seek for an explanation of them in man, since he becomes, so to speak, the menown Inantity of the problem. An endeavour to solve the problem liy the study of man, who is the object of it, would be equisalent to a mathematician representing the value of $x$ in temins of witself.

How does the mathematician proceed? He secks in the data of the problem for a certain number of linowen quenlities equivalent to the unknowen quantity, and by means of these quantities he detemines the value of $x$.

The anthropologist must act in the same manner: But where must he seek for the known quantites which will enable him to state the curation?

The answer to this question will be fonnd in what we havo
said abore, and in the table of kingdoms. Man, although he has his special and exclusively human phenomena, is above all an organised and living being. From this point of view he is the seat of phenomena common to animals and plants; he is suljected to the same laws. In his physical organisation he is nothing more than an animal, somewhat superior in certain respects to the most highly developed species, but inferior in others. From this point of view he presents organic and physiological plenomena identical with those of animals in general, and of mammalia in particular ; and the laws which govern these phenomena are the same in both cases.

Now plants and animals have been studied for a much longer period than man, and from an exclusively scientific point of view, without any trace of the prejudice and party feeling which ofteu interferes with the study of man. Without having penetrated very deeply into all the secrets of vegetable and animal life, science has acquired a certain number of fixed and indisputable results which constitute a foundation of positive knowledge, and a safe starting point. It is there that the anthropologist must seek the linown quantities of which he may stand in need.

Whenever there is any doubt as to the nature or signification of a phenomenon observed in man, the corresponding phenomena must be examined in animals, and cven in plants; they must be compared with what takes place in ourselves, and the results of this comparison accepted as they are exhilited. What is recognised as being true for other organised beines cannot but be true for man.

This method is incontestably scientific. It is similar to that of modern physiologists, who, since they are unable to experiment upon man, experiment upon animals, and form their conclusions upon the former from the latter. But the physiologist derotes his attention to the indicidual only, and, therefore, examines little more than those groups which in their organisation approach most nearly to the being whose history he wishes to explain. The anthropologist on the con-
trary studies the species. The questions with, which he has to deal are much more general, so he is foreed to direct his attention to plants as well as to anmals.

This method is accompanied by its criterion ; it allows the control of the various answers which are often made to one question. The means of estimation are simple and easily applied.

In anthropology, every solution to be sound, that is to say, true, should refer man in everything which is not exclusively human to the general recognised laws for other organised and living beings.

Every solution which makes or tends to make.man an exception, by representing him as free from those laws which govern other organised and living leeings, is unsound and false.

Agrain, when we reason and form our conclusions in this manner, we remain faithful to the mathematical method. To be received as true, a solution of a given problem must agree with admitted axioms, with truths previously proved. Every hypothesis which leads to results at variance with these axioms or these truths, is, on that account alone, declared false. In anthropology, the axiom or the truth which serves as a criterion is the fundamental, physieal, and physiological identity of man with other living beings, with animals, with mammalia. All hypotheses at variance with this truth should be rejected.

Such are the absolute rules which have always acted as my guide in anthropological studies. I do not pretend to have invented them. I have seareely done more than formulate what has been more or less explicitly admitted by Linnaus, Buffun, Lamarek, Blumenbach, C'uvier, the two (icoffiroy St. Hilaire, J. Miiller, Hmmboldt, ete. But, on the one hand, my illustrions predecessors have seldom treated the sulyect with sufficient precision, and have (wo often omitted to give the reasons fur their decisions. On the other hand these principles have been, and are daily forgotten hy men who, in other respects, emoy with
justice the title of great anthorities. As I shall be compelled to disagree with them, I thought it necessary to show clearly the general ideas which serve as a foundation fur my own scientific convictions. The reader will thus be able to appreciate and discern the callses of this difference of opinion.

## CHAPTER II.

## GENERAL, ANTHLOPOLOGICAL DOCTRINES ; MONOGENISM

 AND POLYGENISM.I. As soon as we have determined the place which should be assigned to man in the great order of the universe, the first question which rises is, whether there is one human species, or several.

It is well known that this question has caused a division amongst anthropologists. 'The P'olygenists regard the differences of height, features, and colour, which distinguish the inhabitants of different countries of the globe, as fundamental ; the Monoyenists consider these differences merely as the result of accidental conditions, which have modified, in various degrees, a primitive type. The former hold that there are several human species perfectly independent of each other; the latter that there is lut one species of man which is divided into several races, all of which are derived from a common stock.

However slight may be our familiarity with the langurge of zoology and botany or their applications, it is evident that the gurstion before us is a purely scientific one, and entirely within the province of the natural sciences. Unfortunately the discussion has hy no means been confined to this ground.

A dogma supported hy the authority of the Buok which is heht in almost equal respeet by Christians, Jews and Mussulmans, has long referred the origin of all men withont opposition to a single father and mother. Newertheless, the first blow aimed at this ancient belief was fommed upon the same book. In 16.55 La Peyrere, a Pootuant gentle-
man in Condés army, interpreting to the letter the two narratives of the creation contained in the Bible as well as various particulars in the history of Adam and of the Jewish nation, attempted to prove that the latter alone were descended from Adam and Eve ; that they had been preceded by other men who had been created at the same time as the animals in all parts of the habitable globe; that the descendants of these Preudumites were identical with the Gentiles, who were always so carefully distinguished from the Jews. Thus we see that polygenism generally regarded as the result of Firee Tllought was biblical and dogmatic in origin.

La Peyrire attacked the Adamic dogma in the name of the respect due to the text of a sacred Book. The philosuphers of the eighteenth century spoke in the name of Science and Reason. It is to them that the school of Pulygenists in reality owe their origin. But it is easy to see that the greater number of them were only guided in their writings by a controversial spirit, their chief aim was the destruction of a dogma. Uufortunately, the same prepossession appears in too many works published in our own day. On the other hand certain monogenists are grilty of seeking in religious doctrines arguments in favour of their theory, and anathematising their adversaries in the name of dogma.

Social and political prejudices in aldition to dogmatic and anti-dogmatic prejudices have helped to make still more obscure a question alrealy very difficult in itself. In the United States in particular the advocates of slavery and its opponents have often fought upon this ground. Further still in 1844 Mr. Calhomn, Minister of Foreign Affiurs, when replying to the representations made to him by France and England on the sulbject of slavery, did not hesitato to defend the institutions of his country by urging the radical differences, which, accorling to him, separated the Negro from the White man.

Besides those polygenists who are influenced by pre-
judices almost or entirely unscientific, there are sincere and disinterested men of science who believe in the multiplicity of human origins. Foremost among the latter are medicul men, who are accustomed to the study of the individual and who only possess a slight familiarity with the study of the species. Then again there are palzontologists, who from the nature of their work are compelled only to take into account morphological resemblances and differences, without even turning their attention to facts of reproduction or of filiation. Finally, there are entomologists, conchologists, etc., who, exclusively interested in the distinction of innumerable species by purcly external characters, are entirely ignorant of physiological phenomena, and judge living beings as they would fossils.

On the other hand, monogenism reckons among its partisans nearly all those naturalists who have turned their attention to the phenomena of life, and among them some of the most illustrious. In spite of the difference of their doctrines, Buffon and Linuatus, Cuvier and Lamarck, Blainville and the two Geoffroys, Miiller the physiologist and Humboldt agree upon this point. Apart from any influence which the name of these great men might exercise, it is clear that I share their opinion. I have on different occasions explained the purely scientific reasons for my convictions. I shall now endeavour to sum them up in as few worls as possible.
II. Let us first establish the importance of the question. It esceapes many minds and I have heard a doubt expressed upon it hy men who have enthusiastically followed anthroprolocrical studies. It is, however, easily proved.

If the hmman gromps have appeared with all their distinctive characters in the isolated condition, and in the various lecalities where gengraply teaches us to seek them; if we can trace them up to stucks originally distinet, thus constituting so mony special sprecies, then the study of them is one of the most simple, presenting no more difficulty than that of animad or veretable species. There
would be nothing singular in the diversity of the groups. It would be sufficient to examine and describe them one after the other, merely determining the degree of affinity hetween them. At most we should have to fix their limits and to discover the influence which groups geographically bronglit in contact had been able to exercise upon each other.

If, on the contrary, these groups can be traced to one common primitive stock, if there is but one single species of man, the differences, sometimes so striking, which separate the groups, constitute a problem similar to that of our animal and vegetable races. Further, man is found in all parts of the globe, and we must account for this dispersion ; we must explain how the same species has been able to accommodate itself to such opposite conditions of existence as those to which the inhabitants of the pole and the equator are sulject. And lastly, the simple affinity of maturalists is changed into consanyuinity; and the problems of jitiution are added to those of rariution, migration, and acdimatisation.

It is clear that, independently of every religious, philosophical, or social consideration, this science will differ entirely in character as we consider it from a polygenistic puint of view, or according to theories of monogenism.
III. If the former of these doctrines claims such a large number of adherents, the reason may for the most part be fomed in the causes mentioned above. But its seductive simplicity and the facility which it seems to lend to the interpretation of facts also stand for a great deal. Uufortunately these advantages are only apparent. Polygenism conceals or denies difficulties; it does not suppress them. They are suddenly revealed, like submarine rocks, to anyone who tries, however little, to go to the root of the matter.

The case is the same with this ductrine as with the Systems. of classification formerly employed in botany and zoology which rested upon a small number of arbitrary data. They were undonbtedly very convenient, hut possessed the serious
fanlt of being conducive to most erroncous opinions from a destruction of trnc relations and an imposition of false connections.

Monogenism acts in the same mamer as the Nutural Method. The zoologist and the botanist are by this methol brought face to face with each problem which is put before them under every aspect. It often displays the insufficiency of actual knowledge, but it is the only mans of destroying illusions, and of preventing a belief in false explanations.

It is the same with Monogenism. It also brings the anthropologist face to face with reality, forces him to investigate every question, shows lim the whole extent of each, and often compels him to confess his inability to solve them. But by this very means it protects him against error, provoking him to fresh investigation, and from time to time rewards him with some great progress which remains an acquisition for ever.

I shall return to these considerations, the truth of which will be better understood when the principal general questions of anthropology have been reviewed. Henceforward I shall attempt to justify as briefly as possible the preceding criticisms and culories.

## CHAP'TER III.

## species and race in the natural sciences.

I. The question of the unity or multiplicity of the human species may be stated in the following terms: are the differences which distinguish the human groups characteristic of species or of race?

It is evident that the question depends entirely upon the two words species and race. It is then absolutely necessary to determine as accurately as possible the sense of each, and yet there are anthropologists, such as Kinox, for instance, who declare that any discussion or investigation in connection with this subject is idle. There are others, like Dr. Nott, who would suppress the race and only establish various cutegorics of species. In order to support their doctrines these authors ignore the work which has been carried on for nearly two centuries by the most illustrious naturalists, and the innmerable observations and experiments made by a vast number of eminent men upon plants and animals.

In fact the theory of species and race has not been formed i m-iori, as it has been too often falsely asserted, but has been gralually aequired, and in a strictly scientific manner.
II. The word species is one which exists in all languages which possess abstract terms. It represents, therefore, a general common idea. The idea is, in the first place, that of a great outward resemblence; but even in ordinary language that is not all. The idea of jliction is comnected, even in the most uncultivated minds, with that of resemblance. No peasant would hesitate to regard the children of the same parents as belonging to the sume species whatever real or apparent differences might distinguish them.

Science has in reality done nothing more than define the idea of which the public had merely a vague conscionsuess, and it was not till very lately, and after a very curious osecillation, that she succeeded in doing so. In 16Sfi, Jean Ray, in his Historice Plenturem, considered that those plants which had a common origin and could be reproduced by seed helonged to the same species, whatever their apparent differences might be. He only took filiation into accomnt. Tourncfurt, on the contrary, who in 1700 was the first to make a clear statement of the question, termed the collection of plants a species which were distinguished by some particular claracter. He relied only on resemblance.

Ray and 'lournefort have had from time to time a few imitators, who, in their definition of species, have clung to one of the two ideas. But the immense majority of zoologists have been aware of the impossibility of scparating them. 'To convince ourselves of this. fact it is only necessary to read the definitions which they have given. Each one of them, from Buffon and Cuvier to MMI. Cherreul and C. Vogt lias, so to speak, proposed his own. Now, however they may differ in other respects, they all agree in this. The terms of the definitions vary, each endeavours to represent in the best manner possible the complex idea of species; some extend it still further, and comect with it the ideas of cycle and variation : but in all the fundamental idea is the same.

In a case of such difficulty as that of finding a groul definition for a combination of ideas, the latest comer always hopes to improve upon his predecessors. For this reason I have also given my formula: "Species is a collection of individuals more or less resembling each other, which may be regarded as having descended from a single primitive pair by an uninterrupted and natural succession of families."

In this definition, as also in that of some of my colleagues, among others of M . Cherrent, the idea of resemblance is made of less importance and subordinate to that of filiation. The fact is that there never is an identity of characters between one individnal and another. Putting aside the
rariations resulting from age and sex, it is at once evident that all representatives of the same specific type differ in sonse points. Although these differences are very slight, they constitute individual traits, sluules as Isidore Geolfroy said, which enable us to distinguish between two of the same species.

But the differences are not confued within these limits. The specific types are cueriuble, that is to say, every kind of physical character is modified in their derivatives and, under the influence of certain conditions, to such an extent as to make it often very difficult to recognise their unity of origin. This, agrain, is a fact upon which all naturalists agree. Blainville even, who, defined spocies as "the individual repeated and continued through time and space," distinctly recognised this raviability; for the individual is perpetually I:ndergoing modification, and does not retain its similarity during the various stages of life. He admitted, moreover, the existence of distinct races.

The variubility of species has also been the sulject of mimated discussion among naturalists. The memorable contention which arose upon this sulject between Cuvier and Geoffroy is not yet forgotten, a struggle considered by Givethe as more important than the gravest political events. In the present day a school to which many of the most illustrious names in Engrland, Germany and elsewhere belong, has taken up, with certain modifications, the ideas of Lamarck and (icoffroy; it gives support to them from retaining the term ratriability of species.

There is a grave confinsion of words in this formula. Lamarck, Geoffroy, Darwin and his school, consider the species not only as rurideble but as transmutuble. The specifie types are not merely morlified, they are repleced by new types. Veriation is in their estimation only a phase of the very different phenomena of tiensmutution.

I shall disenss these theories presently. I shall now confine myself to the remark that true quriability, armitted even hy the defenders of dogmatic inveriability, by Blain-
ville, for example, a variahility which I fully accept, has nothing in common with the transmutubility of Lamarek, Geoffroy and Darwin. Let us briefly determine the limits of this variability.

11I. When an individual trait is exaggerated and passes a limit always very loosely defined, it constitutes an exceptional character which elearly distinguishes the individual affected by it from all those most nearly resembling it. This individual constitutes a Variety.

The same term must be applied to all those individuals, which, like certain plants reproduced by slips, grafts, or shoots, derive their origin from the first exceptional individual, without having the power of transmitting their distinctive characters by means of normal generation. I borrow from M . Chevreul a curions example of these multiple qurieties. In 180:3 or 180.5, M. Desecmet discovered in his grarulen at Saint Denis, in the midst of a bed of acacias (Rubinia psende-ucuciu) an individual without thorns which he describes under the epithet spertabilis. It is to the multiplication of this individual hy the art of the gardener that all the thomless acacias, now distributed over every part of the glole, owe their origin. Now these individuals produce secds, but if the seeds are sown they only yield thorny ucucins. The ucucia spectubilis has remained a Variaty.

The latter may then be defined as:-"An individual or a number of individuals belonging to the same sexual gencration, which is distinguished from the other representatives of the same specees by one or several exceptional characters."

It will readily be secm how great the mumber of variotios in one species may br. There is, in fact, scarcely any cither external or internal part of an animal or plant, which camot be exarorerated, diminished or modified in a thousand ways, and each of these exascrerations, dimimutions or monlifications will charactense a fresh varicty, with the one condition of its heing sufficiently marked.
IV. When the characters peculiar to a varicty become hereditery, that is to say, when they are transmitted from generations to the descendants of the first modified individual, a ruce is formed. Lior example, if a thornhess acucia ever reproduced by seed, trees resembling itself and enjoying the same power, then the Acacia spectabilis would cease to be a simple variety, and would lave become a race.

The race, then, will consist of:-"A number of individuals resembling each other, belonging to one species, having received and transmitting, by means of sexual generation, the characters of a primitive variety.

Thus the Species is the point of departure; the verviety appears amongst the individuals of which it is composed, and, when the characters of this variety become hereditary, a rees is formed.

Such are the relations which, according to all naturalists, "from Cuvier to Lamarck himself," as Isidore Geoffroy said, exist between these three terms. We have here a fundamental idea which we should never lose sight of in the study of the questions with which we are engaged. From neglect of it men of the highest distinction have failed to understand most significant ficts.

We see that the idea of resemblance, which is much enrtailed in the species, reassumes in the ruce an importance equal to that of filiation.

We see also that the number of races which spring directly from one species may be eflual to the number of varieties of the same species, and conseyuently very considerable. But this number has a tendency to increase still further to an indefinite extent. In fict, each of these primary ruces is susecptible of fresh modifications, which may cither extend no further than one individual, or become transmissible ly memus of gencration. 'Thus stcombery and tertiery verieties. or reces come into existence. Our plants and domestic animals furnish innumerable examples of these facts.
V. By reason of races originating in this manner from one another, and from their multiplication, they may assme
differential characters which become more and more decided. But however numerous they may be, and whatever differences there may be between them, and however far they may seem to be removed from the primitive type, they nevertheless, still form part of the species from which the primitive races derived their origin.

On the other hand, every species comprises, independently of the individuals which have preserved their primitive characters, all those which compose the primary, secondary and tertiary, etc., races, derived from the fundamental type.

In other words the species is the unit and the races are the jructions of this unit. Or agrain, the species is the trunk of the tiee, of which the several series of races represent the principul and lesser liunches and the twiyg. The gencral unity and relative independence of the trunk and the branches of the tree represent in an obvious manner the connections existing between the species and its races.

## CHAPTER IV.

## NATURE OF VARIATIONS IN ANIMAL AND VEGETABLE RACES ; APPLICATION TO MAN.

I. The manning of the question stated above is now intelligible. We have to discover whether the human groups, which we know to be distinguished by characters which are often very marked, are fractions of a single unit, branches of the same tree, or so many units of different value, so many trees of various nature.

Historical documents are absolutely incapable of solving this problem. On the other hand, man being the subject of the problem, it is evilent that the solution must be soughit clsewhere.

Where then must we turn in order to obtain a definite answer to this question which concerns us so closely? Clearly to naturalists and to maturalists alone. The Species and the Race have, for more than two centmries, been the sulbeet of their studies; they have amassed observations, multiplied experiments. They have, in their studies, been srided by a scientific spirit alone, and from being placed loyoud the reach of controversy, have preserved all their freedom of judgment. The results thas acquired, deserve the greatest confidence, and supply reliable data for the application of our anthropological method.

Angone really desirons of forming an opinion upon the unity or multiplicity of the hmman species, should therefore discover what are the facts and phenomena which characterise race and species in plants and animals ; then turn to man and compare the faets and phenomena there presented with those which botanists ant \%onlorists have ohserved in
the other kingrloms. If the facts and phenomena which distinguish the human groups are those which, in other organised and living beings differentiate species, he will then legitimately infer the multiplicity of hmman species; if, however, these phenomena and facts are characteristic of race in the two inferior kinglums, he must conclude in favour of specific unity.

It is the pursuance of this course which has convinced me of monogenism, and I am certain the result will be the same with anyone who will follow it.
II. The idea of species rests, as we have seen, upon the two distinct ideas of resemblance and filiution. Let us first turn our attention to the former as being the greater stumbling-block of the two. No one would hesitate to consider two individuals resembling each other very closely as belonging to the same species; if, however, they present somewhat marked differences, and the necessary infomation is wanting, we hesitate to give our decision in the negative. The mind readily accepts the latter conclusion when man is the ohject of discussion. A continual, though unconscions. study, hats enduwed us with a pereeption which appreciates, in those aromd us, the most delicate gradations in features, the colour of the skin, and in the appearance of the hair. Now this delicaey of apprectiation has, in the present instance, a serions inconvenience. It inevitahly combuces to the exaggeration of differences existing between different groups, am? by this very means leads us to regard them as so many sprecies.

For this decision to have at real vahw, howeser, it shomld Ixe shown leforehand that the variations between one human gromp and mother, are greater than these which have been established betwern groupe of : :mimals :men plante, which ane pinitively known to be only mata of one specirs.

Nuw this is mot the case. However slight all attempt we may have made to beeome acepainted with the mature amd the extent of variations, we shall very sum se that in animal and regetahle racus they altain limits, which are never over-
stepped, and hut rarely attained, by the differences between human groups.

11I. I shall not insist at any length upon the mophological and anatomical changes of plants. It will be sufficient to call to mind how mmerous and different are those varicties of regetables, flowers, fruit-trees, and ornamental shrubs, the number of which is always on the increase. Amongst the latter, the varicty, it is true, very rarely attains to the condition of a ruce. (irafting, propagation ly layers, ete., make it possible to multiply them rapidly and with certainty, as in the cave of the themless acacia, and gardeners have always been in the habit of resorting to this method. Nevertheless, even among fruit trees, a few of these varieties liave become fixed, and can be reproduced by seed. The plum, the peach, and the vine, may be quoted as examples. As to annuals, garden veretables especially; they can only be preserved and muktiplied liy this method. Here we only find races, and it is well to know how numerous and varied they are. The cablage alone (Brassicu oleracea) numbers forty-seven principal races, each of which is sub-livided into a number of secondary and tertiary races. Now it is quite useless to insist upon the distance which separates the headed cablage, of which sancrkraut is made, from the turnip-cablage, of which the ront is eaten, and from the cauliflower or the brocoli.

It is wery evident that this camnot be due to the mere alteration of primitive forms. The elements of the organism underge molification, and are differently associated and combined according to the race. But these elements themselves often undergo most fundamental disturbance. Certain acids are diminished or disappear, and are replaced by sugar, a sweet taste and perfime, which develop and characterise certain races of vegetahles and fruits, and show that the vital fores of these plants have been suligected to very substantial modifications faithfully transmitted from generation to generation.

The ohjection will perhaps be made that there is too little resemblance between vegetable and animal organisms for the
above comparison of anatomical facts to be really useful. It is different in.physiological phenomena.

Vital activity in our cultivated plants sometimes presents very remarkable differences in different races. In onr several races of corn, the rapidity of development varies from simple to triple. In temperate climates barley requires five months to germinate, grow and ripen. In Finland and Lapland it only takes two months to accomplish the same phases of growth. And, finally, it is well known that in on kitchen and fruit gardens we find races and varieties, some of which are fast and some slow growers.

The energy of the reproductive organs oftein varies in a singular manner in different races. We have, for instance, roses which blom two or three times a year, and strawberries which remain in fruit nearly the whole year. There are oranges crammed with pips, and others in which they are alnost entirely wanting. Lastly; in some hamanas and in the currant-grape the seeds have completely disappeared. Wie see at once that these latter prolucts of human industry only exist as rurieties.
IV. In animals we meet with facts which correspome exactly with these which we have just observed in phants. Finther, we find that they experience monlifications conneeted with the manifestations of the something which we have calleal the Animal Mimul.

The disersity of races in our domestic species is ton well hnown to make it mecessary to insist upon this point. I thall only mention that Darwin reckons 150 distinct races of figomes, and declares that he is mot yet acpuatuted with all. 'Tluse moces are, moreover, sufficiently different to
 sary, if they are comsidered as so many species. Among mammals mathroms facts are moticed, in the case of the doy. At the Doy Show of 1sti3, the Society of Acelimatieation, which had beon wery strict in its rules of admission amb ouly receisel perfectly pare typus, collected no fewer than seventy races of de"g. 'The greater momber, however,

Delonged to Europe, and to France and England in particular; almost all those of Asia, Africa, and America, were ahsent from the collection, so that altogether we are justified in assuming that there are at least as many races of dogs as of pigeons. As to morphological differences we need only mention bull-dogs and greyhounds, beagles and Danish carriage dogs, mastiffs and King Charles's. It is scarcely necessary to remark that these external differences sugryest the idea of corresponding modifications in the skeleton, in the proportion and form of the muscles. Anatumical differences are indeed even greater. For example, the skull of the water-spaniel is proportionately double the size of that of the bull-dog.

There are among animals, as among plants, some races which develop slowly, and others which increase in size rapidly. As in plants, fecundity is diminished in some and increased in others. When they are too perfect, that is to aly, when they are too far removed from their natural type, auimal races, like vegetable races, only propagate with great difficulty, or even not at all.

Our ordinary races of sheep only give birth once a year to a single lamb; the "hong-ti" twice a year to two lambs each time. The wild sow only litters once a year with but six or eight young, hat when domesticated litters twice a yoar with from ten to fiftecn. Her fecundity is therefore at least tripled. In the ludian pigs, derived from the " Aperea," it is more than seven times as great.

In dugs, halits imposed by education, transmitted and strengthencd by heredity, finally assume the appearance of so many nuturul instincts ly which races are as nicely characterised as by physical peculiarities. This has been established beyoud a donbt by the experiments carried on by Knight during more than thirty years. The mention of the beagle and the pointer will be sufficient to recall the contrast which in many eases exists hetween these ucquired instinets. Considered as the relative development of the intelligence, properly so called, the difference between races
is aso very marked in many cases. From this point of view we need only compare the greyhound and the spaniel.
V. If from unimets and phents we pass to man, we shall find in him, as in the two inferior kingloms, groups distinguished ly anatomical, physiological and psychological differences. In most cases the same organs and the same functions present analogons modifications. What reason can be alleged for the idea that, if the ir nuture is considered, therse differences and modifications liave a greater signification in man, and that they characterise species and not rece? Clearly mone; it would be reasoning against the laws of analogy. An argument based upon the variations presented ly the manifestatious of morality and religion, would be a neglect of the fact that these facultios are the attributes of the human kinglom, that they are wanting in the other kinerdoms, and are not in consequence susecptible of any comparison of this kind. In that which is exclusively humam, math can only be compared with man.

In conclusion, the facts of the variations and differences existing in man between different groups, are of the same nature as those established between different races of animals and plants. The nature of these phenomena camot then be brought forward as an argument in favour of the theory that these groups are so many species.

## CHAPTER V.

## EXTENT OF VARIATIONS IN ANIMAL AND VEGETABLE RACES; APPLICATION TO MAN.

1. The: question to which this chapter is deroted is one of those which I shall treat most fully in this course. In fact, it has a special importance. Nearly all the polygenistic arguments are included in the following :-"The difference between the Negro and the White is too great for them to belong to the same species." These types are the two extremes in the homan series. Therefore, if it can be shown that between the two extremes, the limits of variation are almost always greater in plants and animals than in man, we shall have undermined the foundation of the whole polygenistic doctrine.

Now, even if we leave plants out of the question, and there can be little donbt in respect to them ; if we merely compare man and animals, organ for orginn, function for function, we shall hare no sreat diffiently in arriving at the monclusion, that this is really the case; so much so that we shall be led to ask the grestion, why the rariability is less in man than in animals. The eomplete demonstration of this general fact would require more extended treatment than I am able to give. I shall, therefore, confine myself to citing some examples.
II. The colouring of the skin is one of the most striking characteristies, and one which is most apparent to the eye. This has given rise to the expressions White, Yellow, and Black, which are most improperly used to designate the three fundamental groups of mankind. We will first prove that these names posees the grave inconvenience of giving
rise to ideas which are entirely erroneous. Amongst the Whites there are entire populations, whose skin is as black as that of the darkest Negro. I shall only quote the Bishareen and other tribes inhabiting the African coasts of the Red Sea, the black Moors of Senegral, etc. On the other hand, there are yellow Negroes, as the Bosjesmans, who are the culour of light mahogany, or of café au lait, as Livingstone tells us.

It is no less true that colour is by far the most variable characteristic in man, and when we place the coul bluck: Segro side hy side with the fuir White with his pinkish complexion, the contrast is striking. But this contrast is repeated in several races of animals, in the dog, for example, whose skin is generally blackish, but white in the white poutle. It is the same among horses, a fact which was known even to Herodotns, who pronomees white horses with a black skin as superior to all others.

The races of our domestic fowls alone present the three extreme colours olsered in man. The French fowl has a white skin; in the cochin-china it approaches to yellow ; it is Wack in bleck finels. Sometimes they present a peculiarity similar to that which I mentioned in reference to the horse : a dark skin arcompanying a white plumage as in the sill: l/r 14 of Jippau.

Thene same bluck; jouls possess several interesting pernliaritios from our present point of view. In Emrope, melanism apmas from time to time in our poultry-yards, and wonld iufallibly spread if the fowls attacked ly it were not destroyal. It is perhaps from want of this precantion that hack fowla have been derdoped in varions parts of the gholue, anmory otbers in the Ploilippines, in Java, in the Cape lind Hatuls, and mpon the plattean of Borota, all of which hase la en derised from Einepuan stocks. Melanism appears mancuber, in grompis of fowle which differ most strikingly in whor mponta, in the sill hat as well as in ome minary baces.

We see that liduct finds are in mo selise a distinct species, and that the "ple atanee of the hiack culour is merely an
accidental character, which may be produced in races very dissimilar in other respects, and afterwards propagated by heredity. Why then admit that it has been otherwise in man?

Again, melanism is more highly developed in fowls than in man. It has long been held as a recognised fact that the skull of the Negro is more darkly coloured than that of the White. The fact is true. But M. Gubler has proved that the skull of a very dark complexioned White was coloured exactly in the same mamer as that of the Negro, and that this peculiarity was sometimes individual, and sometimes hereditary in certain families. In fowls also, melanism penctrates to the interior ; but it is not only the meninges which present peculiarities similar to those presented by the blucl man. With them all the mucous, fibrous, and apoucurutic membranes, even to the muscular sheaths, possess the same colouring. The flesh also assumes a repugnant appearance, and it is for this reason that the propagation of black fowls is prevented as much as possible.

The difference in colouring is easily explained. We now know beyond a doult that the skin of the Negro is exactly the same in composition as that of the White. We find the same layers in both; the dermis, the mucous layer and the quidermis present exactly the same structure. The layers are merely thicker in the Negro. In these two great races, the mucous layer, situated between the other two, is the scat of culour. It is formed of cells which are of a pale yellow colour in the fuir White, of a more or luss brownish yellow in the durl White, and of a blackish brown in the Nigro. External causes liave, morcover, an influence upon the organ and modify the coloured secretion. Simon has shown that freckles are mothing more than spots upon the shin of the White presenting the characteristies of the skin of the Negro, and we know that an unusual exposure to the s'm in the men and women of our race, and pregnancy in the latter, is sufficient to ilctermine the formation of these spots.

Why, then, should it be thought strange that a number of
circumstances, a constant heat, a bright light, \&c., should influence the whole borly and perpetnate those modifications which in us are only circumseribed and transitory. In treating of the formation of the limman races we shall have to bring forward facts which will clearly prove that this is not merely hypothesis.

Finally, the colour of the skin depends upon a simple siscretion which is sulject to modification under a number of circumstances, as is the ease with many others. There is, therefere nothing strange in the fact that some himman groups, differing widely in other respects, should resemble each other in the matter of colour. 'This is the reason why the Hindoo (Amon), the Bisharee and the Moor (Semitic), althongh belonging to the White ruce, assume the same, and even a darker hue than the true Aegro. It also explains the fact that the colour of the Negro approximates in certain cases, the that of peoples belonging to the white stock who are more or less of a brown eolour, or assumes a hue which exactly recalls that of the yellow races.

Thns, in man, as in animals, the aphorism is rerified "hich wats formulated by Limares in regard to plants:nimium ne ciede colori.
111. I shall not dwell at any length upon the modifications of the hair and rillosities. They are much mors "pparent than real in man. Whether fair or black, fine and of a woully appearamee, ats in the Neegro, or coarse and stiff, an in the yellow and red races; whether the transverse arctim in circular as in the Yellow race, oval, as in the White, or elliptic, as in the Negro, the lutir remmins luti): The worlly fleme of curr. shecep on the contrany, is in part of Africa, teplacond by a short and smonth hair. In America the same is the e:- with the sheep of the Madeleine whenene they are left minhern; and on the other hamd, in the: high phans of the Ambes, the wild hoars acequire a kind of coaree woul.

The practice of certain matises of shaving off all hair has made some travellers believe in the existence of hman
races which are entirely hairless; the error has however been recognised. All men possess hair in the normal places. Hairless dogs and horses are, however, known to exist. In America, where the oxen have a European origin, the hair commences with becoming very fine and few in number with the pelones, and disappears entirely with the celongos; and if the latter do not increase in number, it is due to their being systematically destroyed from an idea that they are a degenerate race.

It is evident that in these several respects the variations are more extensive in animals than in man.
IV. This fact becomes more cvident when it is possible to suhstitute exact measurements for merely general ideas, and to compare figures. The variations in size present this advantage, and it is interesting to compare from this point of view the extremes of some animal races with the extremes admitted in hmman groups.

| STECIE\% | R.1. ${ }^{\text {en }}$ |  |  | differsice. | rat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dons (length | small spaniel. | min. | $\begin{gathered} \text { II. } \mathrm{in} . \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | fl . in |  |
|  | st. Jernarrl | 1:32 | 3 4.2i | $\overline{7}$ | 02 |
| lahbits (length) | Nicard | 1120 | \% |  |  |
|  | Belicr | 1) 40 | 1116 |  |  |
| 11.0 rse (height) | shellame dray Horse | $\begin{aligned} & 0.96 \\ & 1 \times 0 \end{aligned}$ | $2$ | 1104 3194 | 0.4 |
| - lo c ( (height) |  | 03:30 | $1{ }^{1} 008$ |  |  |
| Män (meaï heigit) | Bunjesman. | 1010 $1: 37$ | 3 4 4 | $\left\{\begin{array}{lll}(110) & 2 & 1.70\end{array}\right.$ |  |
| Man (meal | l'atagomia | $1 \cdot 7$ | 5 8:11 | 10.3\% 12.18 | $0 \cdot 8$ |

We see that the variation between races is in the horse twice as great as in man, nearly three times in the sheep and rabbit, and four times as much in the dog. The difference is perhaps even more striking in the goat and ox, julging from the terms of comparison used hy several travellers.

If, after having studied the various dimensions of the borly, we compare the differences in proportion presented on the
one hand by animals and on the other by human groups, we shall arrive at similar results. Without, however, entering into details it will be sufficient to mention the greyhound and the beagle.
V. One of the most singular external characters, and one which has often been insisted upon as being necessarily a character of species, is that presented by the Bosjesman women. It is generally known that at the lower extremity of the loins they develop a fatty mass which sometimes increases to a considerable protuberance, as may be seen in the Hottentot Venus, the model of which is in the Paris Museum. This steatopygia reappears however in certain tribes situated much further north than the Honzouana races, while Livingstone states that certain women of the Boors, incontestably of Dutch origin, had begun to be affected by it. From this fact alone, this exaggerated development of the adipose tissue loses the value which many wished to attach to it.

If, however, the steatopygia were to exist only among the Houzonanas we could not, on that account, regard it as a character of species, for it has been proved in animals where it is only a character of race. Pallas has proved this fact in certain sheep of Central Asia. In these animals the tail disappears and is reduced to a simple coccyx, to the right and left of which are situated two hemispherical fatty masses weighing from twenty to thirty pounds each. Here, again, the variation is proportionally greater than in the Bosjesman woman.

We camnot recrard these sheep as a different species, for when the Russians removed the same animals from the country in which they were born, the steatopygia disappeared in a few generations. It is, therefore, merely a character of race which can only be preserved in the place where it was developed, as may be seen in a mmber of other cases.
VI. It is evident that the preceding character is just as much internal as extemal; it is also evident that neither the size, nor the propertions of the trunk and limbs, can vary,
unless the skeleton and the accompanying museles experience corresponding modifications. The anatomical characters change then with the race in animals, as well as external characters. There are, however, certain facts which relate more directly to anatomy. I will quote a few cases.

A dog's fore-paw possesses normally five well-formed tocs, while the hind-paws have only four with a rudimentary fifth. This latter disappears in some races, mostly of a diminutive size. In certain large races, on the contrary, it is developed, and becomes equal to the other four. There must be then a formation of bone corresponding to the tarsus and metatarsus.

Something analogous to the appearance we have just remarked may be observed in the pig, complicated, however, by a fresh phenomenon. Here the normal foot bears two small rudimentary lateral toes, and two medial toes, each with its own hoof. Now in certain races, already known to the ancients, a third medial toe is developed, and the whole is enveloped in a single hoof. Instead of being cloven-footed, which is the normal type of the species, the race becomes solichungulute.

Nothing of this kind is ever seen in man. In every race the fect maintain their ordinary composition, in the Bosjesman as in the Patagonian. Some teratological exceptions with a tendency to heredity are nevertheless occasionally displayed, of which we shall speak in another chapter.
VII. The vertebral column is, so to speak, the fundamental prortion of the skeleton, and yet it does not vary the less on that account. I shall not insist upon the differences presented by its caudal portion, merely remarking that there are races of dogs, sheep, and goats, in which the tail is su reduced as to be nothing more than a short coccyx.

The central portions themselves are known to be liable to change. Philippi tells us that the oxen of Piacentino had thirteen rihs instead of twelve, and, consequently, an extra dorsal vertebra. In the pig Eyton has observed the dorsal vertebree vary from thirteen to fifteen, the humbar from four
to six, the sacral from four to five, and the caudal from thirteen to twenty-three, so that the total is forty-four in the African pig and fifty-four in the English pig.

In man, the presence of one extra vertebra has occasionally been observed. These have always been isolated cases, except in one Dutch family, quoted by Vrolich. But it does not approximate to a constant character in any human group, and if such a group did exist, it is evident that the variation would here again be less than in animals, for without even reckoning the tail, it is three times stronger in the latter.

Of course, I do not take into consideration what has been so often said of men asserted to have tails. We now know better how much credit to attach to this statement. But the variations which take place in the caudal region anong animals teaches us that even a considerable elongation of the cocegx in a lomman group, and the multiplication of the vertelree which compose it, must not be considered a miori as a specific character.
VIII. It might have been expeeted that the head would have escaped modifications, on account of the importance of the organs which belong to it. But such is not the case, and here again the modifications are much greater in amimals than in man. Blumenbach remarked long ago that there was more difference between the head of a domestic pig and the wilh lnar than between that of the White and the Necorro. There are no domestic species to which the same remark camot he applied. But I shall only remind the reader of the heads of the bint-dege, greylomend and spaniel.

The extent to which the morlifieations of the head can be carrical is nowhere more plainly shown than in the nicte cattle of Buenos Ayres and La Plata. This ox exhibits the modifications of the specifie characters similar to those which the bull-dog presents among dogs, All the foms are shortened and thickened, the head in particular seeming to have experienced a general movement of concentration. The inferior maxillary bone, although itself shortened, so far exceeds the superior in length that the anmal is umalle to lirowse the
trees. The cranium is as much deformed as the face; not only are the forms of the bunes modified, but also their relations, not one of which, according to Professor Owen, has been strictly preserved. This race, though perfectly established, is not therefure necessarily of less recent origin; for, as I remarked above, all the American oxen are descended from European stocks. It is already represented in the New Wurld by two sub-races, one of which, that of Buenos Ayres, has preserved the horns, while that of Mexico has lost them.

It is searcely necessary to remark that no human group, presents anything at all analugous to this.
IX. The several facts which I have here enumerated seem to me sufficient to justify the proposition which I asserted at the commencement of the chapter, namely:--that the limits of variation are almost always mure extensive between certain races of animals than between the most distant human groups.

Consequently, however great the differences existing between these human groups may be, or may appear to be, to consider them as specific cluerueters is a perfectly arbitrary estimation of their valuc. It is, to say the least, quite as rational, quite as scientific, to consider these differences only as clecructers of rece, and even on that account to refer all the human groups to a single species.

The legitimacy of this conclusion is incontestable. Now, I repeat, that this conclusion is sufficient to destroy the very foundation of the polygenistic theory. In reality this theory rests entirely upon morphological considerations. Its partisams, struck ouly by the material differences presented by the human groups, have thought it impossible to account for them, except lyy the admission of the existence of several species. By showing that facts of this nature can be equally well interpreted under the hypothesis of the Unity of the Species, monogenism and polygenism are, so to speak, placed on an equal footing.

## CHAPTER VI.

## INTERCROSSISG AND FUSION OF CHARACTERS IN ANIMAL R.tCES ; APPLICATION TO MAN.

Witmout even quitting the gromed of morphology, it will be easy to prove which theory is most probably the correct one. We know that naturalists consider that all individuals which pass from one to another by invisible shades belong to the same species, however different the extremes may be. All great museums contain examples of this fact.

The errounds for this conclusion are much stronger when there exists an intercrossing of chorcecters. This intercrossing exists when a very decided and apparently exclusive character reappears in one or seceral individuals differing widely in other respects, and molonbtally belonging to distinct groups. It is a case of intercrossing again, when the same character varies in such a mamore ats to lead, if considered apat, to the division of a natural group, atml to the separation of the fractions into very different groups.

Now there is 110 anmal species which presents these essentially morpholegical characters in a higher degree that man. When the haman groups are studicel in some detail, the difficulty dues not consist in finling resemblances, but in clearly defminer the differences. I'he mure carefully they are comsidered, the more they disappear and become obliterated. We then umderstand the aceomats given by most tonstworthy travellers, such as d'Abbadie, of countries where the Negro and the White live sile by side. In their extremes these two t!pes are cortanly very distinct. But in Abyssinia, for example, where they have long lived in contact, and interminglerl, the Nerorn is no langer chat
racterised by either colour, features, or hair, but simply by the exagrerated protruberance of the heel. This character in its turn, however, loses its value on the Eastern coast of Africa, where whole Negro tribes have the heel formed like ours.

This is an example of intercrossing, and they could easily be multiplied. I have already observed how closely the Aryan or Dravidian Hindoos, African or Melanesian Negroes and manifestly Semitic populations may resemble each other in colour. The following is a still more striking example. Desmoulins regarled the perforation of the olecranon process as one of the most decided characters of his Austro-African species of mun. Now this perforation reappears in Esyptian and Guanche mummies, in a large number of European skeletons of the neolithic period, the crania of which moreover, exhibit no other relations with those of the Bosjesmans, and even in some Europeans of the present epoch.

The intercrossing of characters between human groups becomes still more evident from the comparison of numerical dita taken from a number of different groups. I shall confine myself for the moment to giving the results arrived at ly the study of the stature when the representative numbers are placed in order. We shall presently meet with other examples.

I here reproduce the table pulblished in the Voyage of the Nozered, by Dr. Weisbach. I have added to the figures of the Austrian savant a few data relating especially to the smallest races. I have also given the maxima and minima where I have been able to procure them, so as to make the extent of the variation more appreciable than is possible from the average alone :
stature of diffehent human haces.





We bore see what strancre relations and what a singular confusion rise from a consideration of the stature. Numbers given in the same order, representing the size of the skall, the ecphalic indiens, the wejght of the brath, will give the mame striking result.

We must also olserve that there is a great majority of means in this table. Now we see that the discrepancies between these means are less than the discrepancies between the maximum and minimum of a single race, so much so that races widely distinct from each other intervene between them.

Now let us mentally compare instead of these groups, the individuals of which they are composed. Is it not clear that if they were placed according to height, we should pass from one to the other with scarcely the difference of a millimetre; lut is it not also clear that the confusion would become much greater than it appears even in the table?

I ask anyone who possesses even the smallest knowledge of zoulogy and zootechny whether it would be in a collection of species that he would expect to find the most evident affinities destroyed by the application of this method? Would it not be rather in a collection of ruecs that similar facts woukd be met with, as, for example, in canine races, where the mastiff and its young, the greyhound of Saintonge and the Italian greyhound, the large and the small carriage dog would be separated from each other by a number of other taces if stature alone were taken into accoment.

The intercrossing and fusion of characters, so marked between human groups, are inexplicalle if we consider these groups as species, unless we admit that the morphological olations between these human species are of an entirely different mature to the relations established between animal species. But this hypothesis makes an exception of man ; we have, therefore, the right to regard it as fulse.

If, on the contrary, we look upon these groups as nothing more than races of a single species, all these facts of intercrosing and fusion agree with what may be olserved in plants and animals and replace man under the dominion of general laws.

Thus, without quitting morphological considerations, which correspond to the idtel of resemblence containal in the definition of species, we are justified in concluding in
favour of monogenism. To confirm this conclusion, however, we must turn our attention to other facts which correspond to the idea of filiution, and consider the teachings of playsiulogy concerning the phenomena of yeneration.

## CHAPTER VII.

## crossing of races and species in the animal and VEGETABLE KINGDOMS. MONGRELS AND HYBRIDS.

I. Sexuad unions in plants, as in animals, can take place between individuals of the same species and the same race; further, between different races of the same species, and, finally, between different species. In the two latter cases we have what is called a cross. This crossing itself is differently named according to whether it takes place between different races or different species. In the first case it produces a mongrel, in the second a liybrict. When the cross unions are fertile the product of the union of mongrels is called a mongrel, the product of the union of hybrids a kiglurid.

If the difference of the relations existing between the ruce and the species has been properly understood, we ought to le inclined to admit that mongrels and hybrids would not present the same phenomena; experience and observation confirm this presentiment.

We have, therefore, in this crossing a means of julgring whether the human groups are only ruces of a single species, or rather elistinct species. For this purpose it will he sufficient to study the phenomena which, in other organised and living beings, accompany the production of mongrels and hybrids, and then to compare with both the phenomena which characterise the crosses effected between hmman "roups. If, in the latter case, the phenomena are those which characterise hybridism, we must conclude that the gromps are specifically distinct, and admit the muldiplicity of human species. If, however, crosses between human
groups, morphologica!ly different, are accompanied by phenomena peculiar to the production of mongrels, we shall only be justified in considering these groups as races of one species; we must take our stand upon the doctrine of the Specific Unity of all mankind.

The question before us becomes then entirely a physiological onc, and depends simply upon observation and experiment. For its solution we must again turn our attention to plants as well as to animals. It is in the phenomena of reproduction that the two kingdoms show the greatest resemblance. This is not a case of mere analogy, but almost of identity, and it is not the superior which lowers itself but the juferior which is raised. We might say that, emobled by the importance of the function, the plant, as far as its reproductive system is concerned, becomes, for the time, animal.
II. In these kingitoms the mions between races of the sume species, that is to say, the production of mongrels, may be accomplished withont any intervention on the part of man, or it may take place under his direction. It is consequently either matural or artificial.

Mongrels among plants conld only be reengnised after the discovery of the distinction of the sexes in 17tt. The honour of this great discovery belongs to Limatus. He at once comprehemed the importance of the sulject, and even exagrgerated it, as we slaill presently see. Limmarus admitted that cross-unions, which had been observed for centuries between mimals, might be repeated between plants. And he thus explained the appearance of variegated tulips in the milst of borders originally formed of uniformly coloured flowers. Observation and experiment have confirmed the views of the founder of the natural sciences again and again. Moreover, it has been obsersed that the crossiug may become apparent in all parts of the plant lyy a misture of characters similar to that exhilited liy the coloming of the tulips. M. Naudin, among others, who, during one year, watched tho development of more than 1200 gourds, saw the seeds of a single froit reprotuce all the races contained in the garden
in which his ohservations were made. Superfetution had taken place. It is a fact of great importance, as it demonstrates the equality of action enjoyed by the pollen of all these races, which, morphologically, differ so widely from each other. No better example could be given of the faculty of crossing between races.

The matural and spontancous production of mongrels among animals presents the same characters. Facilitated by lucomotion it is accomplished every day in our houses, our poultry-yards, and our farms. The difficulty does not consist in the accomplishment of the cross but in its prevention, and in the preservation of the purity of the race. The careful observations made by Isidore Geoffroy at the Paris Muscum, have shown that with sheep, dogs, pigs, and fowls, mongrels between the most different races were invariably fertile. Here again the phenomena of superfetation was often proved. Bitches produced, by males of several races successively, young which showed three or four distinct sourecs. Here the case was the same as with the grourds of M. Naudin.

We see that man has found no difficulty in breeding mongrels, and that, when he has wished to do so for any purpose whatever, he has been able to regulate it by merely choosing the animal or plant. This kind of mion has, indeed, been long in daily practice for the amelioration, modification, and diversification of the living beings upon which human industry is exercised. It is useless to insist upon facts which are known to all gardeners and breeders, and I shall confine myself to one remark, the importance of which will be understood later.

We have already seen that in the endeavour to perfect a veretable or animal race, the physiological equilibrium has sometimes been destroyed at the expense of the reproductive prower. In such cases, crossing with another race which is less modified, generally revives the extinguished fertility. For example, the English pigs imported into the midalle of France by M. de (inestuns became sterile after sereral
generations. Upon crossing them with a leaner and less perfect local race, their fertility returned.

All these facts, and their inevitable consequences, have been admitted by every naturalist who has studied the question. Even Darwin has recognised the truth of them in his valuable work upon the lariation of Animuls and l'lunts. At that time he confined himself to the conclusion that the crosses between some races of plants are less fertile than between others, a proposition which no one would think of denying. He las gone further in the latest editions of his work upon the Origin of Species. Without bringing forward clear facts, the meaning of which would go further than the wise conclusions he had previously admitted, he invokes our relative ignorance of what takes place among wild varicties, and concludes that we must admit that the crosses between varieties must always be perfectly fertile. This is one of those appeals to the unknown, one of those arguments where even our ignorance is invoked as a proof, which we too often meet with in Darwin, who is often carried awaly by his convictions. I shall have to return to this point, but I here make the statement as an established faet, on the authority even of Darwin, that all linown facts attest the perfect fertility of mongrels.

Finally, the formation of crossrs betueen ruces, or the production of mongrels, is spontancous, and may be promoted by man without the least difficulty; the results are as certain as those with the mion of individuals of the same race ; in certain cases, imbeed, fertility is increased or revived malce the influence of this crossing.

Crosses between species, or hyldrids, will exhibit facts of an contirely contrary nature.
III. The formation of hebride, as of mongrels, may be either natmal or artificial.

The former is so rare that eminent maturalists have doubed its reality. There are, however, acending to M. Deatisne, a score of well proved examples among plants. What is this number compred with the thousands of
mongrels produced every day under our eyes. And yet the material conditions of fertility are identically the same with races as with species, and our botanical gardeus, which group numbers of species side by side, facilitate crossing still more.

Among will animals living in liberty hybrids are still more rare. It is minnown, for example, among mammalia, according to Isidore (ieoffroy, whose experience has here a double value. The order of birds alone presents some facts of this kinul, nearly all of which are in the order of Gallinit. Aceordingr to Valenciemnes, they are unknown among fishes. In domestication and captivity spontancous crossing between different species is a little less rare.

The intelligent intervention of man has multiplied unions of this kind in a remarkable manner, especially among plants, but without being able to extend their limits. Limmeus thought crossing was possille between species of different fumilies. But in 1761 Koubreuter showed that he was mistaken. From these investigations, which were carried on for twenty-seven years, and from those of M. Naudin, his worthy rival, it appears that artificial crossing between species of dijererent fumilies never succeeds, and tery rarely between species of different genere; that it is always very difficult, and demands the most minute precautions to insure success ; that it often fails between species of the same genns closely allied in appearance, and finally, that there are whole families among which hybrids are impossible. Amongst the latter figures the family of the cucurhitaceer, so thoronghly studied by M. Naudin, where the mont perfect mongrels were produced spontaneously. We combld not imacine, evidently, a more complete contrast.

This contrast is carried into the minutest details. For example, any flower which has in the least possible degree madergone the action of pollen of its own species becomes abmblutely insensible to the action of pollen of a different species. How different to the equality of action displayed liy the several pollens of most distant races !

All experimenters agree further in declaring that even in the unions between species which have been most successful, the fertility is constantly diminished, and often in immense proportions. The head of the Papaver somnitera generally contains 2000 seeds or more. In a hybrid of this species Gertner only found six which had been matured; all the rest were more or less abortive. Here again, what a contrast between the crossing productive of such fertility in M . De Ginestous' English pigs.

Hybridism in animals presents exactly the same phenomena as in plants. Man has been able, by diverting and decciving animal instincts, to multiply crosses between species. But he has not been able to extend the very narow limits at which these phenomena cease. Not one fertile mion has taken place between different families; they are very rare between genera, and even between species they are far from numerons, a fact the more remarkable as animal hybridation is an ancient institution. The mule was known to the Hebrews before the time of David, and to the Greeks in the age of Homer. Titires and musmons, products of crossings between the he-goat and the sheep and the ram with the she-goat, received their distinctive names from the Romans.

The uncertainty of the result is another point of resemblance between animal and vegetable hybrids. The same experiments executed with the same care and by equally dever experimenters have sometimes succerled and sometimes failed without any apparent cause. Buffon and 1)anbenson often trial to reproluce titires and musmons. They succerded twice, white lidere (ieoffroy has invariably failed. The formation of crosses between the hare and the rabbit, which has frequently been attempted in various parts of the ghobe, appears only to have been successful four or five times at the most. The pretended cross between the camel and the dromedary, admitted by Buffion and quoted by Nott, is certainly a falle, after the details which M. De Khamikoff kindly gave me, and which I have published elsewhere. We may, therefore, draw this conclusion fiom known ficts, that
there are only two species of mammals, the ass and the horse, the crossing of which is almost universally and invariably fertile.

Finally, crossing letucen species, or hybridution, is extremely exceptional among plants and animals when left to themselves; man can only produce them with great difficulty in the two kingdoms, and then only between a very limited number of species; when he has succeeded, the fertility is almost constantly diminished, and often to a very considerable extent.

## CIIAPTER VIII.

CROSSING BETWEEN VEGETABLE AND ANIMAL RACES AND SPECIES ; MONGRELS AND HYBHIDS; REALITY OF SPECIES.
I. From the very first, in the union of two individuals belonging to different stocks, the race and the species display very distinct and characteristic phenomena. We shall now see this opposition as strongly marked in the product of these unions in mongrels and hylrids.

Several questions are raised by the mixed nature of these beings. I shall confine myself to those which refer to filiation, and which have therefore a special interest for us. They may be stated gencrally as follows:-are monyrel ruces, that is, those derived from two distinct ruces, and hybrid ruece, that is those which are derived from the crossing of two species, formed naturally, or can they be olitained artificially? In other words, do mongrels and hybrids retain, during an indefinite number of generations, the faculty of reproducing and transmitting to their descendants the mixed character they inherited from the first parents which effected the cross?

1I. In regard to mongrels there is not a shadow of doubt. Facts which frequently oceur, often withont our interventiom, and sometimes in spite of our precautions, prove again and again that the mongrels of the first generation are as fertile as the parents, and mamsmit equal fertility to their offispring. Our garleners and brecders always take advantage of this property of mongrels in order to vary, modify or ameliorate from their point of view the plants and animals in which they are interested ; the carcful experiments of Buffor, of Geoffroy St. Hilaire, father and son, and
the testimony of Darwin, on this point very significant, prove beyond a doubt that unions between different races remain fertile, whatever morphological differences there may be between them. I shatl confine myself to quoting one example from Darwin. The niata will unite indifferently in both senses with the ordinary ox, and the offspring is fertile.

If several races of a single species are in habitual contact and left to themselves, they will intermix in every degree. This results in bastard offspring, devoid of definite characters, but which, when methodically studied, would lead through insensible shades to the different primitive types. In this manner our street dogs and cats have come into existence, which remain perfectly fertile in spite of innumerable crossings of every kind.

With human intervention it is possible, when care is taken, to regulate the crossing between two races, and to obtain a mongrel race. After a few oscillations between the paterual and maternal types it becomes consolidated and settled. But whatever constancy it may have acquired as a whole, it almost always happens that some individuals reproduce, to a varying extent, the characters of one of the types originally crossed.

This phenomenon is desigmated by the name of Atarism. It sometimes ocenrs in the midst of a race considered to be perfectly pure, and is the result of a single erossing sureral grenerations back. Darwin quates the case of at hreeder, who having crossed his fowls with the Malay race, winhed afterwards to free them from the strange blood. After spending forty years in the attempt, he is still unsmecessful, the Malay blood always reappearing in some of his fowls.

In animals as in plants, miversal, free and indefinite fertility, whether between themselves or between all the races of the same species, is one of the characters of mongrels. Atavism attests the physiological bond which maites all mongrels.
III. In lybrids we shall meet with some very different phenomena.

Let us first, with M. Godron, establish the fact that in the vegetable hybrid the physiological equilibrium is destroyed in fivour of the organs conducive to the life of the individual, and at the expense of those conducive to the life of the species. The stalk and leaves are always developed in an exaggerated manner relatively to the flowers. The most common animal hybrid, the mule, is an entirely similar case, being invariably stronger, more robust, more hardy than its parents, but sterile.

This sterility is not absolute, however, anong all hybrids of the first generation. It generally affects the male organs in an entirely special manner. Koelreuter, to whom we should always refer when treating of plants, states that the authers scarcely ever enclose veritable pollen, but merely irregular gramulations. It was not quite so unusual to find ovales in good condition in the ovary. Guided by these olservations, Koclrenter artificially fertilised hybrid flowers with pollen from the male species, and thus obtained a regetuble quatroon. By continning this process he soon hrought back again to the original male type the deseendants of the first hybrid, which regained all their generative faculties, luit at the same time lost all trace of the female type. These experiments have been repeated and varied, lont always with the same result.

In a small number of hythils of the first generation the elements which characterise the two sexes remained capable of reproduction. Nevertheless the fertility is always immensely reduced. From his liybrids of the datura, M. Namdin only obtained five or six fertile seeds from each plant. All the others hawl completely failend, or were withont :an embryo. The eapsules themselves were only half the normal size.

If two of these first hylorids are mital they prodnce hythids of the second gencration. In most cases, however, the latter are either sterile, or present the phenomenon of a
spontuneous return to one or the other of the parent types, or to both. M. Naudin crossed the large-leaved primrose with the primulce officincalis, and obtained an intermediate lybrid between the two species, having seven fertile seeds. When these were sown they produced three primroses of the male species, three of the female, and a single hybrid plant which was perfectly barren.

In some still rarer cases fertility continues during several generations. Then, however, a curious phenomenon is exhibited, called by M. Nandin, who discovered it, Disordered reriation. With the Linarice communis and the Linarice purpurea he produced a hybrid, the deseendants of which he was able to follow through seven generations, in each of which several individuals reverted to the characters either of the original male or female. The others neither resembled the primitive types nor the hybrid resulting from their crossing, nor the plants of which they were the immediate offspring, nor was there any resemblance between the plants themselves.

Thus the crossing does not produce a race, even in cases where it allows a certain amount of fertility; it only produces rurieties incapable of transmitting their individual characters. In order to establish a series of generations presenting a certain amount of uniformity, the hybrid must lose some of its mixed characters, and resume the normal livery of the slecies, as M. Nandin says ; in other words, it must return to one of the parent types.
IV. The same facts which we have just noticed among plants, occur also among animals. We must observe in the first place, that the only two species, the crossing of which displays anything approaching to regular fertility, the horse and the ass, merely produce a hybrid almost entirely devoid of fertility. It is more than 2000 years since Herodotus regarded the fertility of mules as a prodigy, and almost 1800 years since Pliny expressed the same opinion.

And yet in some works we read that the fertility of the mule is displayed in the present day ; that it often propa-
gates in hot countries, especially in Algeria. The true value of these singular assertions will be recognised if we recall the effect which was produced in 1828 upon the whole Mussulman population of Algeria by the announcement that a mule had conceived near Biskra. The astonishment was general ; the Arabs gave themselves up to long fasts to conciliate the wrath of heaven, thinking the end of the world hat come. Fortunately the mule miscarried; but long afterwards the Arals still spoke with terror of this event.

If this fact were occasionally repeated in Algeria it would never have produced such an impression upon a people so curious about everything comnected with the horse. The impression itself proves that the facts are in our days similar to what they were in the time of Herodotus.

Examples of fertility in the lybbids of the ass and the horse have never been ohserved except in the femule mule. There is not a single known example in the male. We meet with something analogons to this in birls, where the sterility of certain hybrids is less absolute. Thus vertebrata are similarly affected with plants; and in their case also the inequality between the two sexes can be explained ly anatomical and microscopic examination. The male organs are generally but slightly developed, even the essential elements of the fertilising liquid undergo alteration. The femate organs and elements, thongh modified, are relatively unaffected.

There are some hybrids among animals, as among plants, which are mot sulpeet to the general law. Among birds in particular, a certain number, always however very limited, of more or luss firtile lyybids have been obtained. But, with the males the ficulty of reproduction is constantly weakenel, and habitually disappears hefore the usual age; the female lays more rarely, and the egers are fewer in number, and very often clear. This is an exact repetition of what took place in M. Nandin's datura seeds, which he observed to become abortive or devoid of embryo.

We must, moreover, exclude from the mumber of fertile
hybrids a certain number of examples quoted by some authors, and which statements are proved by facts, now either better known or better appreciated, to have an crroneons foundation. Thus Hellenius thought he had crossed the Fimish ram with the Sardinian doe, but he had confounded the then little known moufflon with the roebuck. He thus obtained a mongrel, which having been crossed for two generations. with the male parent, returned to the type of the latter. We have here evidently only a companion experiment to those of Koelreuter, which resulted in a reversion of the hybrid to the male type under a similar series of crossings.
There are, however, some examples among birds and amoug mammalia of hybrids which have propagated inter se for several generations, four or five at the most. The celehrated experiment of Buffon upen the crossing of the dog with the wolf in particular, belongs to this order of facts. It was unfortunately interrupted by the death of the great naturalist at the fourth generation. It is clear that there is nothing here which does not perfectly agree with our observations upon hybrid plants, which, although exceeding this number of generations, have never proluced hybrid races.

Fertility, and the number of succeeding generations is increased, when a superiority is given to one of the crossed species over the other. This fact has been recognised in plants, and we meet with it again in animals. By crossing and recrossing in a fixed mamer the groat and the sheep, hyhrids called clubins are obtained which possess three"irfiths of the paternal and five-eighths of the maternal hlowl. These animals produce a fleece much valued in Sonth America, and are the source of real industry. They call be maintained for several generations, but at length all the crossings to which they owe their existence must be recommenced, they having returned to the parental types, 'like plants,' as M. Gay said.

This proportion-three-eighths to five-cighths-appears to be very faromalle to the maintenance of hybrid races ;
it is the proportion which characterises the famous leporides, the result of the crossing of the hare and the rabbit. Eut can these hybrids, of which so much has been said, maintain themselves without reverting to the parental types? M. Roux evidently believed it, and it is still asserted by M. Gayot. But the testimony of those who have established and impugned their assertions leaves scarcely any room for doult. Isidore Geoffroy, who had at first believed in their fixity, and laad spoken of it as a conquest, did not hesitate afterwards to admit the reversion. The fact has been established in the Jardin d'Acclimatation, and M. Roux himself, upon the assertion of M. Faivre, appears to have abandoned his previous assertions. The observations and experiments made by the Agricultural Society of Paris clearly show that the leporides, sent or presented by the breeders themselves, had entirely reverted to the rabbit type. Lastly, M. Sanson, discussing the anatomical side of the question, has arrived at thie same conclusions. Moreover, whoever will credit the observations made by M. Naudin upon the Linarize, will easily recognise the reversion and the disordered veriation exhibited by the leporides of the Ablé Cagliari, who was the first to obtain a fertile crossing between the hare and the sabhit.

These phenomena appear in an equally well markerk manner in the result of the cross between the silkmoth (Bombyse rymelhict) and the eastor-oil silkmoth (Bomby, : "rovindiu), obtained ly M. (Enérin Méneville. The hybrids of the first generation were almost exactly intermediate hetween the two species, and resembled each other. In the second this uniformity disappeared, in the third the dissimilarity hat inereasel, some of the insects having reassmed all the eharacters of the paternal or maternal types. In the serenth guncration this curious experiment was destroyed by ichneumons. But, as M. Vatée, their intelligent hreeder, told me, nearly all the mothis had retumed to the type of the Bumbyx arrindia. The resemblance to what took place in the case of M. N:undin's Linarise is here complete.
V. The phenomenon of the reversion of the descendants of a hybrid to the paternal or maternal type, or disordered ruriution, has given rise to some interpretations which it will be well to rectify, and has also raised important questions.

The attempt has been made to assimilate the latter to the oscillutions presented by mongrels for some generations. But daily experience should suffice to refute this opinion. Breeders are crossing ruces every day fur some purpose or other, and they would never do so if the crossing were to result in the production of a disorder which would exhibit the smallest resemblance to that displayed by the Linarixe of M. Naudin, and the silkmoths of Guérin Méneville. They expect, however, a few irregularities more or less marked, in the first generations, but they know that the race will soon settle while the disorder would only increase if the crossing had taken place between species.

Again, an attempt las been made to consider the facts of utavism and reversion as identical. There is, however, a fundamental difference between them, for the mongrel which ly atavism reassumes the characters of one of its paternal ancestors, for example, still preserves its mixed nature. This is proved liy the possibility of its offspring of the first or second seneration reproducing, on the contrary, the essential traits of its own maternal ancestors. Darwin gives many examples of facts of this nature from the agricultural history of inis country. One of the best to quote is that furnistied liy the genealogy of a family of dogs observed ly Giirou de Buzareingues. These animals were crosses between the setter and spaniel. Now one male, a setter to all appearances, united with a female of pure setter breed, produced spaniels, which makes it evident that the latter blood was by no means amihilaten, and that the return to the s.tter type was only apparent.

It is different in the cases of recersion displayed by hyrids, fur one of the two bloods is irrevocably expelled. We are justified in making this assertion in the case of mammalia,
ly experience extending as far back as the Roman period, or at least as far as the seventeenth century. Titires and musmons have never since those times had offspring affected by atavism. A ram and sheep have never been known to produce a kid, nor a male and female goat to produce a lamb. It is the same with plants, according to statements with which M. Naudin has kindly furnished me.

Far from being similar, the phenomena of ctuvism and reversion are absolutely different and characteristic, the one of crossing between races, the other of crossing between species. The first proclaims the persistency of the physiological connections between all the representatives, more or less modified, of one species; the second proves the complete rupture of the same connections between the descendants of two species accidentally brought into contact ly the promoter of the hybridism.
VI. In none of the preceding cases has hylridism, mo matter in what degree, given rise to a series of individuals descended the one from the other, and preserving the same characters. An exception is, however, known to this general fact. It is unique, and is produced in the vegetable kinglom from the crossing of wheat with Aiyilops orata.

The hybrid of the first generation from these two species is sometimes protuced maturally, and was regarded by Reguicu as a species. Fabre, who frepuently met with it in the fichls, considered it to be the commencement of the tramsmutation of the Egilops into wheat. Afterwards a fuadroon lightrid, accidentally obtained and cultivated during several years, gave him descembants resembling the beerellesis mbent of the South. It was the result of recersion. Fabre, however, who did not recognise the hyhrill, hought it was a thansmitation, and flattered himself that he hadd discovered wild wheat in the Negilops.
M. Gedron, on the contrary, muderstood the nature of the phenomenon, and demonstrated it expermentally. He crussed the A.gilops and the wheat, and obtained the first plant of Requich, the Abyitops liticoudes of Fabre. He
again crossed this hybrid with the true wheat, and reproduced the pretended artificial wheat of the Montpellier botanist. He gave to it the name of Liyilops speltceformis.

It is this latter form, having as we see three-fourths of the true wheat, and a fourth of the Egilops, that M. Godron has cultivated at Nancy since 1857. The elever naturalist who has produced it, believes that he has not had one case of reversion like those at Montpellier and those of Fabre. But at the same time he informs us that the most minute and special precautions alone can preserve this artificial plant. The ground must be prepared with the greatest care, and each seed placed by hand in the desired position. If put into the ground carelessly, or scattered over the bed, the seeds never germinate. M. Godron considered that the Fsgiiops speltaxformis would entirely disappear, perhaps in a single year, if left to itself.
VII. Finally, the characters of hybrids are: infertility, as a general rule, and, in the exceptions, a very limited fertility; series suddenly cut short either by infertility, by disordered variation, or by reversion without atavism.

The Fgilops triticoïles alone seems to stand in opposition to all other known facts. This exception is undoubtedly remarkable, but does not in any way impair our general conchusions. A product of human industry, this hybrid plaut only exists by virtue of the same industry, and camot, from any point of view, be compared to the succession of mongrel individuals which are unceasingly propagated without our aid, and in spite of our preautions, in the midst of our animal and vegetable races.
"But," say those writers who deny the reality of a distinction between species and race, "what man has done nature must be able to do also, for slie governs space and time, and is therefore more powerful tham man." This form of argument rests upon a confusion of ideas and a strange neglect of the most orlinary facts.

Most true, nature is more powerful than man in certain cases and for certain ends, but man also has his dom:in, in
which he is much superior to nature. Natural forces act in virtue of blind and necessary laws, the result of which is constant. Now man has acquired the knowledge of these laws, he has made use of them to constrain and master the natural forces one after another, he now knows how to exaggerate some and to weaken others. In this mamner he changes their resultants, and obtains products which nature herself could not realise. Give to the latter all the time and space that you will, she would never be able either to produce or prescrve potassium or sodium in a metallic form ; in spite of the physico-chemical forces, or rather by directing them, man has obtained and preserved these two metals, as he has obtained and preserved the Wgillops triticoïdes, which is destroyed ly the inflexibility of natural forces as soon as it is exposed to their action.
VIII. 'The infertility, or, if you will, the restricted and rapidly limited fertility between species, and the impossihility of natural forces, when left to themselves, producing series of intermediary beings between two given specific types, is one of those general facts which we call a lave. This fact has an importance in the organic work equal to that rightly attributed to attraction in the sidereal world. It is ly wirtue of the latter that the eclestial bodies preserve their respective distances, and complete their orlits in the admirable order revealed lyy astronomy. The lew of the sterility of species produces the same result, and maintains between species and between different groups in animals and plants all those relations, whech, in the palatontological ages, as well as in our own, form the marvellous whole of the Organic Eimpire.

Imagine the suppression of the laws which govern attracfion in the heavens, and what chaos would immediately be the result. Suppress upon earth the law of crossing, and the confusion would be immense. It is searcely possible to saty where it would stop. After a few generations the gronps which we call genera, families, orters, and classes wonld mose certainly have disappeared, and the hauches also would rapidly have become affected. It is clear that only a few
centuries would elapse before the animal and vegetable king doms fell into the most complete disorder. Now order has existed in both kingdoms since the epoch when organised beings first peopled the solitudes of our globe, and it could only have been established and preserved by virtue of the impossibility of a fusion of species with each other through indifferently and indefinitely fertile crossings.

1N. There are some writers, very often entirely unacInainted with the natural sciences, who, labouring under the most varied prejudices, especially that of exaggerating the transmutation doetrines which I shall presently discuss, have denied the reality of species; they affirm that there are no serious barriers between the groups designated by this term, and have compared it in a more or less formal manner to the groups always somewhat arbitrarily called genera, tribes, families, orders, etc. Though only a brief recapitulation, the preceding facts would be sufficient to answer them. It is, however, necessary to mention the principal oljections which are brought forward against such ideas, and to shew how they may be refuted.

1st. It is useless to take any notice of the groud humoured or malicious banter, of the raillery and sareasm too often made use of by some writers argainst those who admit the reality of species. It is evident that those who employ such weapons do not address themselves to men of science, but appeal directly to the passions. We cannot sufficiently express our regret at seeing men of undoubted merit resorting to such means.

2ud. At the present time, perhaps more than ever, those who believe in species are reproached with being orthodox: I could never myself muderstand why there should be this mixture of scientific discussions and dogmatic and antidorgmatic polemics.

Brd. I shall, moreover, refuse to dispute with those who, rejecting on their own authority a whole century of work accomplished by the greatest naturalists, and by a number of men distinguished in botany and zoology, declare that it
is useless to try and discover what species and race are, and laugh at those who take the troulle to do so. I say the same to those who regard species and race as more or less arbitrary groups which may be compared to the genus, family and order. It will be enough to remark that they themselves incessantly employ the word species and race, and we must not be surprised if they take one thing for the other.

4th. After what we have said, discussion is useless with those naturalists who only base the distinction of species upon external characters. They forget all the experiments made from Buffon to the two Gcoffroys, from Kolreuter to M. Nandin ; they forget the innumerable observations made in our orchards, gardens and stables. To refuse to abandun morphological considerations, and to neglect the data of physiology and the lessons of filiation, is clearly going further back than Ray and Tuurnefort, and all discussion becomes impossible.
sth. Some of our opponents allow that things are now what we think them to be. "But," say they, "it is possible that at some other time it was different." What answer can be given to those who base their arguments upon possibilities? Is modern science composed of possibilities?

Gth. Naturalists have often been reproachel with multiplying the definitions of species. From the variety of terms employed ly them in expressing ideas, it has been inferred that they were not agreed as to the ideas themselves. We may easily convince ourselves of their mistake, if we give these definitions a careful reconsideration. We shall see that their several anthors have only endeavoured to express with greater dearness and precision, the double idea resulting from the facts of resemblance and filiation. In reality, divergencies only begin where experiment and olservation cease. It is this which cansed Isidore Geoffroy, however interested he might be in disenssions of this nature, to remark-"Such are Species and Races, not only for one of the schools into which naturalists are divided, but for all."

7h. It has been asserted that the distinction of species and race rests upon a syllogistic circle; that naturalists decided i miori upon calling all those groups incapable of intercrossing, species, and all those amongst which crossing was possible, ruces. 'Io appeal to the difference of the phenomena presented by the hybrids and mongrels is therefore only solving the question by the question. -This is an historical error. Naturalists came into contact with species, races and varietics, before they gave names to them. It was by experiment and observation that they learnt to distinguish them. Knowledge of fucts preceded terminology.

Sth. Again, it has been said, that the discussions which are always arising between naturalists as to whether a species should be preserved or regarded as a race, as to the genus, family, order, and sometimes the class in which it should be placed, betray a want of precision in general ideas.-Those who talk in this manner forget the immense number of species and races accepted and classified without discussion. They shut their eyes to all cases except those in which divergences of opinion occur. If, however, facts of this nature prove anything against a science and its fundamental data, then even mathematical theorems must be considered as wanting in precision, for there are disputes among mathematicians.

9th. I have alrealy replicd to the argruments drawn from the fertility of certain hybrids by showing to what it is reduced. Writers who insist upon this point invariably forget the lesson tanght us by disordered variation and reversion withont atavism. I regret being obliged to place among them Darwin, who, in his later writings, has shewn much less reserve than in his carlier publications. In the last edition of his book, he quotes what I have said of the cross between the Bombyx cynthia and the Bombyx arrindia; he speaks of the number of generations obtained, but he forgets to mention that disordered variation appeared in the second generation, and that reversion to one of the parental
types was almost complete at the termination of the experiment.
X. Species is then a reality.

Let us take a group of individuals more or less similar, but always capable of contracting fertile mions, and let us, with M. Chevreul, trace it in imagination to its origin. We shall see it divided into fumilies, each of which will have risen either mediately or immediately from one pair of parents. We shall see that the number of these families decrease at each generation, and rising still higher we slall at lengith find the initial term of a single primitive pair:

Has this really been the case? Has each species indeed arisen from one single pair, or have several pairs, resembling each other perfectly both morphologically and physiologically, appeared simultaneously or successively? These are questions of fuct which science neither can nor onght to approach, for neither experiment nor observation is able to furnish us with the smallest data requisite for the solution.

But what science may affirm is that from all appearencers (ach species lias hand, as point of departure, a single primitive pair.

## CIIAPTER IX.

## CROSSING BETWEEN IIUMAN GROUPS.-UNITY OF THE IIUMAN SPECIES.

I. We now know what are species and race; the phenomena exhibited by mongrels and hybrids furnish us with an experimental means of distinguishing them. We can, therefore, now reply to the question which has necessitated this discussion: Are there one or many human species? Are the human groups races or species?

Unless we pretend that man alone of all organised beings is free from the laws which, in every other case, govern and regulate the laws of reproduction, aud consequently, unless we make him a solitary exception precisely in that order of facts which most closely unites all other beings, we shall be fureed to admit that he also obeys the laws of crossing.

Thus, if the hmman groups represent a more or less considerable number of species, we ought to prove in the crossings of their species the existence of the characteristic phenomena of hybriulism. If these groups are only ruces of a simgle sprcies, we ought, in crossings between them, to meet with the phenomena exhibited by mongrels.
II. It is scareely necessary to recall what nearly four centuries of experience and observation have taught us. It may be recapitulated in a very few words.

Since Colombus commenced the era of great geographical discoveries, the White, the lighest division of mankind, has penetrated to almost every part of the glole. He has everywhere met human groups which differed considerably from himself in every hind of character; he has everywhere
mixed with them, and mixed races have everywhere sprung up in his track.

Further still, thanks to an institution, detestable indeed, but the results of which lave been favourable to anthropology, the experiment is complete. The White has enslaved the Negro and taken him away with him to all parts of the globe, and where the local races have consented to intermix with the enslaved race, in every case they have produced mixed races of this inferior division. In America the Zambo is born side by side with the Mulutto and the Mamaluico.

This crossing commenced less than four centuries ago, and some time has elapsed since M. d'Omalius estimated that mixed races constituted at least $\frac{1}{n v}$ of the entire population of the globe, and he emplatically declared that he had only taken the half-breeds of extreme races into consideration.

In South America, where Whites, Blacks and matives have long been in contact and have intermingled more freely, there are whole States in which half-breeds are in the majority, and in which it is extremely difficult to find a native of pure blood.

Have subterfuges or precantions been necessary to form these unions and to insure the fertility of the offipring? Quite the contrary. The tyranny of the Whites, the crimes of slavery, afford quite sufficient proof that in this case fertility was not dependent upon circumstances, but simply upon the physical councetions existing between all men from the lowest of the Negroes to the first of the Whites.

Has such facility, such certainty as this been experienced in the production of chabins and leporides?

If another proof were necessary of the facility with which luman groups intereross, it might be found in one of those testimonies the value of which is undisputed because they give the result of a daily experience. In 1861, the Californian legislature declared that any white person convieted of having cohabited with or marrien a Negro, Mulatto, Chinese or Indian, hand furfited all his riohts, and became
sulject to all the constitutional incapacities imposed upon men of colour. The local press announced very plainly that the ohject of this measure was the prevention of the fusion and amalgamation of the races.

The Catifornian legislature acted on this occasion like the proprictor of a flock of pure breed which he is anxious to keep free from all mixture. It was even more severe, ejecting from legal society, not only the offspring of the cross, but also the transgressing parents of the white race.

Do not our breeders take similar precautions in the case of races only, and not in the case of species?

Far from being sterile, unions between human gronps apparently the most distinct are sometimes more fertile than those between individuals taken from the same group. "Huttentot women," says Le Vaillant, "with linsbands of their own race lave three or fuur children. With Negroes this number is tripled, and it is still further increased with Whites." M. Hombron, during four years which he spent in Brazil, Chili and Peru, studied this phenomenon in a large number of families. "I am able to state," he says, "that mions of Whites with American women have given the highest average of hirth.s. Next eme the Negro and Negress. And thirdly the Negro and the American woman." Unions between Americans themsilves gave the lowest average.

Thus, the maximum of fertility is here presented in a ease which would constitute a hybridisim in the opinion of polygenists; the minimum is exhibited between individuals of the same group, and it is with the woman belonging to the latter, that, owing to the cross, the maximum is obtained.

These facts are significant. In no ease of crossing between species has fertility been observed to increase; on the contrary it is almost always dminished, and often, as we have seen above, in an immense proportion. Crossings letween races have alone presented fiets analogons to those mentioned by Hombron and Le Vaillant.
III. 'Thus, in esery case crossings between hmman gromps
exhibit the phenomena characteristic of mongrels and never those of hybrids.

Therefore, these human groups, however different they may be, or appear to be, are only races of one and the sume species and not distinct species.

Therefore, there is but one luman species, taking this term species in the acceptation employed when speaking of animals and plants.
IV. Anyone who refuses to accept these conclusions must either deny all the facts of which it is the necessary consequence, or reject the method employed in the examination and appreciation of these facts.

But these facts are borrowed entirely either from scientific experiments, made without any discussion or controversy by men of the highest authority, or drawn from the immumerable experiments which are daily practised by agriculturalists, horticulturalists, and breeders. It is therefore very difficult to deny them.

As to the methorl, it is evident that it rests entirely upon the identity of the general laws governing all organised and living beings. Few true men of science will, I am sure, refuse to admit such a starting point as this.

Now I wish that candid men, who are free from partyspirit or prejudices, would fullow me in this view, and study for themselves all these facte, a few of which I have only touched upon, and I am perfectly convinced that they will, with the great men of whom I am only the disciple,-with Limnatus, luffon, Lamarek, Cuvier, (ieoffroy, Humboldt and Miiller, arrive at the conclusiou that all men belong to the same specics, avd that there is but one species of man.

## BOOK II.

## origin of the iluman species.

## CHAPTER X.

ORIGIN OF SPECIES.-HYPOTHESES OF TRINSMETATION.DARWINISM.
I. T'ine unity of the human race raises some general questions, and entails consequences which we must now examine.

The first question which is suggested to the mind is evidently that of origin. Without abandoning the strictly scientific aspect of the subject, that is to say, confining ourselves to the results of experiment and observation, can we explain the appearance on our globe of a being which furms a kinglum by itself? I do not hesitate to reply in the negative.

Let us admit at starting that we camut consider separately the question of the human orisin. Whatever may be the eause or causes which preside over the bith or the development of the organic kingdom, it is to them that the origin of all organised and living bodies must be traced. The similarity between all the essential phenomena which they exhilit, the identity of the general laws which govern them, render it impossible to suppose that it can be otherwise. The problem then of the origin of mankind becomes identical with that of all amimal and vegetable species.
iI. This problem has been approached very frequently and biy many methods. But here we can only take into accomit
the attempts which have been made in the name of science. Nor can these possess any interest for us nutil the time when it was at least possible to make a clear statement of the question, which was impossible as long as no clear definition had been given of organic species. In an historic account of the attempts which have been made to solve the Ifuestion, it is useless, therufore, to go further back than Ray and 'Tournefort. The pulblication of Maillet in 1748 is the first attempt which deserves passing attention.

I do not intend to repeat here the account which I have given elsewhere of the different theories proposed by that talented author, by Buffon, Lamarck, Et. Geoffroy St.-Hilaire, Bory de St.-Vincent, and by Naudin, Gaudry, Wallace, Owen, Gubler, Kölliker, Haeckel, Filippi, Vogt, Huxley, aud Mme. lioyer. They all have this point in common; they connect the origin of the more highly developed species with transmutations undergone by inferior species. But there the resemblance ceases, and their theories frequently differ entirely on all other points. In short, their ideas may be arranged in two principal groups according as their authors favour a relpil or a gradual trensmutution. The former admit the sudden appearance of a new type produced ly a being entirely different: according to them the first bird came from the eger of a reptile. The latter maintain that the modifications are always gradual, that between one species and another a momber of links have intervened which unite the two extremes. 'ihcy consider that types are only multipticd slowly, and by a progressive differentiation.

In reality the first of these two themies has never heen stated in such a manner as to form a real doctrine; it has never formed a school. The philosophers who promoted it confined themselves most frempently to printing out, in a general manner, the possibility of the phenomenon, while thry attributed it to some accident. At most they invoke in aid of this prossibility, some analogies borrowed from the history of ordinary individual develophent, from that of
alternate generation, or of hyper-metamorphosis; they produce no definite fact in justification of their assertions.

With the exception perlaps of the hypothesis of M. Nandin, which we shall presently discuss, all these theories which favour a rapid transmutation deserve a still graver reproach, that, namely, of neglecting the great general facts exhibited by the organic kingdom. An explanation of the multiplication and the succession of principal or secondary types by some hypothesis is not sufficient. Special account must be taken of the relations which connect these types, of the order which rules the whole and which has been maintained frum remote geological periods through all the revolutions of the globe, and in spite of changes in fauna and Hora.

Accident, without rule or law, when invoked as the immediate cause of special transmutations, is olviously incapable of explaining this important fact; it gives no explanation whatever of the generality of fundamental types, and of the direct or lateral affinities which exist between their derivatives.

It is different with the theories which favour gradual transmutation. They deal with all these important questions, and give a more or less plausible solution of them. They start from a certain number of principles whose consequences more or less explain the whole question and many of its details. In a word, they constitute genuine doctrines and it is lont natural that they should have gained a certain number of adherents.

Uufortunately these theories all have the same radical fanlt. They agree with a certain number of important facts, comnected essentially with the morphology of beings; bat they are in direct contradiction with the fundamental phenomena of gencral physiology, which are no less general or fixed than the former. This contradiction is not evident at first sight. This is the reason why these ductrines have iullumeed not only the public at large, but even men of the highest intellect, whase sole error consists in their having allowed themselves to consider one side of the unestion only.

All these theories have been consolidated into the doctrine which rightly bears the name of Darwin. At the hands of this illustrious naturalist, the hypothesis of gradual transmutation has assumed a force and appearance of truth which it never possessed before. Doubtless, long before Darwin, Lamarck had formulated his luzo of heredity and his luru of development of organs, to which the Eygrish naturalist has added nothing ; M. Naudin had compared natural selection to artificial selection; Etiemne Geoffroy St.-Hilaire had promulgated the principle of the balence of oryans; Serres and Agassiz had recognized in embryogenic phenomena the representation of the genesis of beings. But by taking as a starting point the struggle for existence; by explaining in this manner selection; ly fixing the results of hererlity; by replacing the pre-established lienes of Lamarck by the laws of divergence, continuity, permanent characters and of finite heredity; by giving by these means an explanation of the aduptation of beings to all the conditions of existence, the expansive porer of some, the localisation of others, the successive modifications of all, under the dominion of the lures of compensution, cconomy and of corvelution of increase; by applying these facts to the past, present and future of animate creation, Darwin has formed a complete and systematic theory, the whole, and often the details, of which it is impussible not to admire.

I maderstand the fascination exereised by this profond and ingenious conception, which is supported ly immonse knowledge, and emobled by his loyal honesty. I should doubtess have yiclded as so many others have done, if I had not long inderstond that all questions of this kind depend especially "pon physiology. Now, my attention once aroused, I fomend ne difficulty in recogrosing the point at which the eminent author quits the gromed of reality and enters upon that of inadmissible hypothesis.

I have thought it right to pmblish my criticisms upon the theory of tramsmutation, and upon Darwinism in particular. I was anthrrised to do so by the numerous attacks which
have often been made, in no measured terms, against what I consider to be the truth, and against every opponent of the new theory. But while refuting theorios I have always respected the authors and done justice to their work. I have quoted the good as well as the bad, and have always held aloof from the ardent and lamentable polemies raised by transmutation.

I have had great pleasure, when occasion has offered, in defending the splendid rescarches made by Darwin in the natural sciences. For this very reason, and at the risk of being considered narrow mindel, enslaved to prejudices and unable to leave an old groove, etc., etc., I consider mysclf entitled to attack Darwinism, if I employ none but the weapons of science.
III. There are some points in Darwinism which are perfectly unassailable. We may consider as the most important the struggle for existence, and selection which is the result of it. It is not the first time, certainly, that the former has been established, and the important part it has to play in the general harmony of the world has at least been partly comprehended. I will here only recall to the mind of my readers the fables of La Fontaine. But no one had insisted, as Darwin has done, upon the enormous disproportion which exists between the number of births and the number of living individuals; no one had investigated, as he has, the general causes of death or of survival which produce the final result. By pointing out the fact that each species tends to increase in number in genmetrical progression, which is proved by the number of offspring to which a single mother can give birth during the whole course of her life, the English naturalist makes it easy to comprehend the intensity of the struggles, direct or indirect, which are mudergone by animals and plants against one another and the surrounding world. It is, most certainly, entirely owing to this struggle for existence, that the whole world, in a few years, is not overrun hy some species, or the rivers and occan filled in the same mamner.

It is no less evident to me that the survivors cannot always owe their preservation to a combination of happy chances. Among the immense majority the victory can only be due to certain special adrantages, which are not enjoyed by those who succumb. The result of this struggle for existence is, then, the destruction of all the inferior individuals, and the preservation of those individuals only which possess some kind of superiority. This is what Darwin calls Nalural Selection.

I can searcely understand how these two phenomena can be doubted or even denied. They do not constitute a theory, but are facts. Far from being repugnant to the mind, they seem inevitable, the consequences follow with a sort of necessity and fatality resembling the laws of the inorganic world.

The term selection gives rise to criticism, and the language of Darwin, at times too figurative, renders plausible the objection of those who have reproached him with attributing to muture the part of an intelligent being. The word elmination would have been more exact. But much of this should have been prevented by the explanations given ly the author. Besides, it is evident that the struggle for existence entails the elimination of individuals who are less able to sustain it, and that the result exactly resembles that produced by unconscious humun selection. Then heredity intervenes amoug beings which are free as well as among those which we bring up in captivity. It preserves and accumulates the progress made by each generation in any direction, and the final ressult is the production in the organism of certain appreciable anatomical and physiological monlifications.

The words superior and inferion should here ouly be taken as redative to the comblitions of existence in which animals and vegetables are placed. In other words the individual which is best adipteal to these combitions, will be sinferior and will compuer in the struggle for existence. For instance, the black rat and the monse have both to strugsle ngainst the brown rat whel entered France during the last
century from the banks of the Volga. The black rat was almost as large and as strong as his adversary, but less ferocious and less prolific. It has been exterminated in spite of refuges which are inaccessible to its enemy. The monse, which is much weaker, but at the same time much smaller, can retire into holes which are too small for the brown rat; it has therefore survived the black rat.

Is it possible to admit that selection and heredity act equally upon that indefinable sometling which is connected with the rudimentary intelligence and instincts of animals? With. Darwin I mhesitatingly reply in the affirmative. With animals, as with man, all the individuals of the same species have not an equal amount of intelligence and to not invariably possess the same aptitudes; certain instinets, like certain forms, are capable of modification. Our domestic animals furnish a number of examples of these facts. The wild ancestors of our dogs were certainly not accustomed to point at game. When left to themselves and placed under new conditions of existence, animals sometimes change their manner of life entirely. Beavers, from being disturbed ly hunters, have dispersed; they have now abandoned the construction of their lodges and dig out long burrows in the hanks of rivers. The struggle for existence must have been faronrable to the first discoverers of this new method of escaping from their persecutors, and natural selection, while preserving them and their descendants, has conserted a suciable and constructive animal into a solitary and burrowing one.

Up to this point it is evident that I agree in all that Darwin has said on the struggle for existence and natural solection. I disagree with him when he attributes to them the power of modifying organised beings indefinitely in a given direction, so that the direct descendants of one species form enother speecies distinct from the first.
IV. The fundamental cause of the disagreement arises evidently from the fact that Darwin had formed no clear coneeption of the sense which he attributed to the word
species. I have been unable to find in any of his works a single precise statement on this point. The accusation is more severe from being brought with justice against an anthor who claims to have discovered the origin of species.

More frequently Darwin seems to adhere to a purely morphological idea, which is also somewhat vague. He often opposes species and race, which he also calls raricty, lut without ever stating clearly what he understands by one or the other. He endeavours, moreover, to bring them togrether as closely as possible, though occasionally recognising some of the points which separate them. "The species," he says in drawing one of his conclusions, " must be treated as an artificial combination which is necessary for convenience." His disciples have followed him faithfully in this direction, and those who use the most explicit langnage on this subject, join their master in declaring that a species is ouly a kind of conventional group similar to those which are used in classification. As for races, they are only species undergoing transmutation. Now from what he has alrearly learnt, short though the study has been, the reader knows, I hope, to which view he should adhere, and understands to what confusions such a vague kind of theory must leal.

In spite of the inevitable uselessness of a discussion of this kind, let us follow our adversaries into this mistable gromed, and sce whether monphologiced facts furnish their theory with the least probahility.

Darwin himself, on several oceasions, states that the result of selection is essentially to adapt ammals and plants to the conditions of existence in which they have to live. Upon this point I agree with him entirely. If, however, harmony is once established between organised heings and the comditions of life, the strusergle for existence and selection could only result in consolidating it amb consequently their action is preservative.

If the conditions of life change they will agrain como intu play in order to establish a new equilibrium, and modifications more or lees matked will be the result of their action.

But will these modifications be sufficiently great to give rise to a new species? The fullowing fact will serve as a reply.

At the present time there is a stag in Corsica, which from its form has been compared to the badger-hound: its antlers diffier from those of European stags. Those who confine themselves to morphological characters, will assuredly consider this as a distinct species, and it has often been considered to be so. Now Buffon preserved a fawn of this pretended species, and placed it in his park ; in four years it hecame both larger and finer than the French stags which were older and considered finer grown. Moreover, the formal evidence of Herodotus, Aristotle, Polybius and Pliny attest that in their time there were no stags either in Corsica or Africa. Is it not evident that the stag in question had been transported from the continent to the island; that minder the new conditions the species had undergone temporary morphological modification, though it had lost none of its power of resuming its primitive characters, when placed in its primitive conditions of life?

Are we, then, to conclude that in time nature could have completed the action, and entirely separated the Corsican starg from its original stock? We may answer in the negative, if any weight is to be attached to experience and observation.

Species partially subject to the rule of man furnish a mumber of facts which enable us to compare the power of matural furees, when abandoned to their own action, with that of man in molifying a specific type. In all artificial races varictics are infinitely more numerous, more varied and more marked than widd races and varicties. Now the result of these transmutations of organisms has only consisted in the formation of reces, never in the furmation of a nem onmios. Darwin himself aceepts this conclusion implicitly in his magnificent work on pigcons; for when speaking of the ruces of pigeons he only says that the difference of form is such that if they had heon found in a wild state, we should have bech compelled to make at least there or four genera of Hem. The wild rock pigeons, the original steck of all our
domestic pigeons, only differ, on the contrary, in shades of colour.

The result is always the same, whenever we can compare the work of nature with our own. When he has anything to do with any vegetable or animal species, man always changes its character, sometimes, after a lapse of some years, the change being much greater than that produced by nature since the species first came into existence. The effect of the conditions of life (milicu), of which we will speak presently, the strugyle for existence and natural selection understoorl as I have just described it, the power which man possesses of directing natural forces and changing their resultant, casily explain this superiority of action.

Consequently, without leaving the domain of facts, and only judging from what we know, we can say that morpholugy itself justifies the conelusion that one species has never prodneed another by means of derivation. To admit the contrary is to call in the unlenowon, and to substitute a possilitity for the results of experience.

Physiology justifies a still stronger assertion. Upon this: ground also man is shown to be as powerful as nature, and for the same reasins. With our cultivated plants and domestic amimals, it is not only the primitive form which has undergone chame, hat certain functions also. If we had only cularged and deformed the wild carrot and the wild radish, it would mot have lecome more catable. 'To render it :areceable to our taste, the production of certain snbstamers had to be reluced, and that of others conlargen, that is to sily, matrition and secertion had to be monlified. If the functions in witd amimal stochs had remaned permanent, we should hase had none of these races which are distinguished by a ditticmene in the colome of the hair, in the production of mith, in aptitule for wonk, or in the prodnction of meat. . If instinet itsolf had mot wheyed the :ution of man, we shombd mot hase had in the same hemmel, peinters, grey-homes, trafle doge and tenices.

Nature produces mothingo like this. 'To andmit that simitar
results will one day fullow from the action of natural forces is to appeal to the umbown, to possibility, and runs counter to all laws of analogy, and all the results furnished by experience and observation.

Man's superiority over nature is quite as clearly shown in the group of phenomena, which relate to the question with which we are now dealing.

We have seen how rare are the cases of natural hybrids among plants themselves; we have also seen that no cases are known among mammalia. Now since man has begnu to make experiments in this direction, he has increased the number of hylrids aunong plants, and among animals also. Moreover, he has succeeded in preserving for more than twenty generations, a liybrid which he has been able to protect from reversion and disordered variation. But we know the care that was necessary to insure the continuance of cyilops spelterformis. If this plant had been left to itself, it would soon have disappeared.

The single exception which is known confirms therefore the lue of sterility anong species left to themselves. Now this law is in direct opposition to all the theories, which like 1)arwinisin, tend to confuse species and race. This has been clearly understood by Huxley and has caused him to say, "I adopt the theory of Darwin under the reserve that proof should be given that physiolugieal species can be produced by selective crossing."

This proof has mot yet been given, for it is a strange abuse of worls to call by the name of species, the series of hybrids whose history I have given above, viz: the leporides and the chabins. But even if the proof demanded by Huxley were firmished, it does not fullow that the greatest objection to the 1)arwinian theories would be removed.

In fact, in this theory, as in all those which rest upon grahtuel transmutation the new species derives its origin from a ruridy, prosessing a claracter which is at first rudimentary, but which is developed ecry grodenelly, making some progress in each generation. The result of this is that
between snccessive indivituals the only difference is that of race. Now, as we have seen, the fertility among races of the same species remains constant, and consequently, in the hypothesis of Darwin, as in that of Lamarck, etc., the fertile crossings would in every sense of the word constantly confuse the original ant the derived species which was in process of formation. The same cause having proluced the same effects since the commencement of the world, the organic world would present the greatest confusion instead of its well-known order.

Darwin, then, himself and his most enthusiastic adherents must admit that at some given moment these reces became suldenly incapable of crossing with their predecessors. Whence then arises the steritity which separates species? When, and at what moment will the physiologieal bond be broken, which unites the original species with its modified descembants, even when this molification is carried as far as the orlimary ox and the niata? What will be the determining cause of this great fact which obtains through the whole economy of the organic kinglom?

In his work upon the V'uriution of Animals und Plants, Darwin replies: "Since species do not owe their mutual sterility to the accumulative action of natural selection, and a great mmber of considerations show us that they do not owe it to a creative act, we ought to admit that it has been produced incidentally during their gradual formation, and is connected with some muknown molification of their uramisation."

W'e have seen that, in the last editions of the Origin of surcies, he refuns to admit that fertility among mongrels is gencral, taking his stand upen one ifmorence on the sulpiet of (mossings betwoen wild revereties (races).

Thus, in oriler to andmit the plys:iological tamsmutation of mace into speceies, a fact which is contrary to all positive facts, Darwin :and lis followers regiect the semalar results of experience and whervation, and sulbstute in their place a prossible accident, ant the unlinumen.

The Darwinian theory relies entirely upon the possibility of this transmutation. We see upon what data the hypothesis of this possibility rests. Now, in a truly liberal spirit, I ask every unprejulicerd man, however little he may be conversant with science, the question, is it upon such foumdations that a general theory in physics or chemistry would be founded?
V. Moreover, the argument, of which we have just seen an eximple, may be fund in every page of Darwinian writings. Whether a fundamental question, such as we have just been examining, or a minor problem, as the trausmutation of the tomtit into the muthatel, is under discussion, possibility, clumce, and personul conviction are invariably adduced as convincing reasons. Is modern science estal)lished upon such foundations?

Darwin and his disciples wish that even our ignorance on the subject of eertain phenomena should be considered as in their favour. The question has often been argued on the ground of palieoutology, and they have been asked to point out a single instance of those series which ought, according (t) them, to unite the parent species with its derivatives. They admit their inability; but they reply that the extinet froma and flora have left very fuw remains; that we only know a small part of these ancient archives; that the facts which favour their doctrine are doubtless buried mater the waves with submerged continents, ete. "This manner of treating the question," Darwin concludes, "diminishes the difficulties considerably, if it dues not cause them to dis"ppear entirely."

But, I again ask the question, in what brameh of luman humwledge, exerpt these ubsure subjects, should we regand problems as solved, for the very reason that we possess mone of the repuisite knowledge for their solution?

I do not intend to reproduce here the entire examination which I have made elsewhere of the tramsmutation theorics in general, or of Darwinism in particular. The above observations will suftice, I hope, to show why I cunld not accept
even the most seductive of these theories. In certain points they agree with certain general facts and give an explanation of a certain number of phenomena. But all without exception attain this result only by the aid of hypotheses which are in flagrant contradiction with other general facts, quite as fundamental as those which they explain. In particular, all these ductrines are based upon a gradual and progressive derivation, upon the confusion of race and species. Consequently they ignore an unquestionable physiological fact; they are entirely in opposition with another fact, which follows from the first, and is conspicuous from every point of view, the isolation, namely, of specific groups from the earliest ages of the world, and the maintenance of organic order through all the revolutions of the globe.

Such are my reasons for refusing my adherence to Darwin's thenries.
VII. The theory of the English maturalist is certainly the most vigorons effort which has been made to trace back the oricin of the organic world by processes analogous to those which we have discovered in the genesis of the inorganic work, that is to say, in only having recourse to secondary canses. He has failed, ats we see, like Lamarck. 'These aminent mon will be succeeded by others who will attempt the solution of the same probtem. Will they be more fortmate?

No one is less inclined than I an to place any limit upon the extension of haman knowledge. Yet the extension of one seientific knowledge, in the widest sense of the term, is always subordinate to cortain comblions. The most attentive examination, even of a hmman work, will never teach us angthing of the proccesess which have permitted its realisation. The eleserest watchmaker, if he has not followed studies [umfectly formign th his rocation, will know nothing of the origin of iron, of its transfurmation into steel, of the molling and tompering of a main spring. The mimutest stmdy of that metallic riblum which he kmews so well, will tell him mothing of its origin, mothing of the prosess of its fatrication.

To know more he must leave his shop and visit the furnaces, the forges, and the rolling mills.

In the works of nature it is the same. With nature as well as with ourselves, the phenomena which produce are very different from those which moserve, and from those displayed in the object produced.

The most complete anatomical and physiological study of an animal or of a full-grown plant will certainly teach us nothing about the metamorphoses of the microscopic cell from which sprang the dog, the elephant, and man himself.

Now hitherto we have only directed our attention to species alrealy formed. We can therefore learn nothing more relative to their mode of production.

But we know that the unknown cause which has given birth to extinct and living species has been manifested at different times and intermittingly upon the surface of the glube. Nothing authorises us to suppose that it is exhausted. Although it appears to have generally acted at times which correspond to great geological movements, it is not impossible that it may be at work on some point of the earth even at this epoch of relatively profound rest. If this is the case, perhaps some happy chance will throw a little light upon the great mystery of organic origins. But until experience and observation have tanght us something, all who wish to remain faithful to true science, will accept the existence and succession of species as a primordial fact. He will apply to all What Darwin applies to his single mototype; and, in order to explain what is still inexplicable, he will not sacrifice to hypotheses, however ingenious they may be, the exact and pesitive knowledge which has been won by nearly two centuries of work.

## CHAPTER XI.

OHIGIN OF THE IIUMAN SPECIES. DIFFERENT IIYPOTIESES.
I. Trie preceding chapter might enable me to dispense with a discussion of the applications which have been made of Darwinism to the history of man. Nevertheless, apart from the curious points in the subject itself, some discussion of it will be necessary, for it will not be devoid of instruction.

Lanarck endearoured to show how, loy means of his theory of lubit, it was possible to conceive the direet transmutation of the chimpanzee into man. The Darwinists also agree in comecting man with the apes. Nevertheless none of them point out any of the species at present existing as our immediate ancestor; on this point they differ from their illustrious predecessor. It might be supposed that Vougt had determined this point if we take literally some passages of his Legons sur lhomme. But the Genevese savant has clearly expressed his theory in his Mémoire sur les Microcépluctes. He carries back the point of departure common to the two types to an anterior uncestor. Darwin, Wallace, Filippi, Lublook, Hacekel, etc., comnect man still more closely with the apes. The latter states his conclusions in the following terms:-
"Tle human race is a branch of the catarrhine group; he was developed in the old workd, and sprang from aples of his gromp, which have long beon extinct."
II. Vogt disagrees with his scientific colleagnes in an important point. He admits that different simian stocks my have given rise in different hmman groups. The propulations of the old and the new world would thus the desseculants of the diffierent forms which are peculiar to the two continents. On this hypothesis, Anstralia and Polynesia,
where there never have been apes, must necessarily liave been peopled by means of migration.

The eminent professor of Geneva, moreover, always confines himself to a somewhat vague statement of his ideas relative to the genealogies which he thinks fit to attribute to the different groups of mankind.

1II. Darwin and Haeckel have been bolder. The former has published an important work upon the Descent of Mate, and the latter in his History of the Creation of Uirgunised Beings has treated the same sulject in detail, and given the genealogical table of our supposed ancestors, starting from the most simple known animals. The master and the disciple agree almost invariably, and it is to Hateckel himself that Darwin refers the realer who is curious to know the human genealogy in detail. Let us glance rapidly at the origin assigned to us by the German naturalist.

Hacekel considers as the first ancestor of all living beings the monera, which are nothing more than the amabee as understood by Dujardin. From this initial form man has reached the state in which we now find him, by passings through twenty-one typical transitory forms. In the present state of things our nearest neighbours are the anthropomorphous or tailless cuturvine apes, such as the orang, the gorilla, the chimpanzee, etc. All are sprung from the same stuck, from the type of the tailed catarmine apes, the latter are descended from the prosimice, a type which is now represented by the macaucos, the loris, ete. Next come the marsupials, which form the 17th stage of our evolution; further examination is useless.

Although the distance between anthropmorphous apes and man appears to be but small to Haeckel, he has neverHicless thought it necessary to admit the existence of an intermediate stage between ourselves and the most highly developed ape. This purely hyputhetical being, of which not the slightest restige has been found, is supposed to be detached from the tailless catarrhine apes, and to constitute the 21 st stage of the modification which has led to the
human form, Hacekel calls it the apo-man, or the pithe-coid-men. He denics'him the gift of articulate speech as well as the development of the intelligence and self-conscionsness.

Darwin also aulmits the existence of this link hetween man and apes. He says nothing as to his intellectual faculties. On the other hand he traces out his physical portrait, basing his remarks upon a certain number of exceptional peculiarities olserved in the human species, which he regards as so many phenomena of purticl uturism. "The earliest ancestors of man," he says, "were without doubt once covered with hair; both sexes having beards; their ears were pointed and capable of movement; and their bodies were provided with a tail having the proper muscles. Their limbs and bodies were acted on by many muscles, which now only occasionally reappear in man, but which are still normally present in the quadrumana. The great artery and nerve of the humerns ran through a supracondyloid foramen. At this, or some earlier period, the intestine gave forth a much larger diverticulum or cocum than that now existing. The fout, judging from the condition of the great toe in the fotus, was then prehensile, and our progenitors, no doubt, were arboreal in their habits, frequenting some warm forest-clad land ; the males were provided with eanine teeth which served as formidable weapons."
IV. In attributing a tail to our first direct ancestors larwin connects him with the type of tailed catarrhines, and conserfuently removes him a stage back ward in the seate of ceolutions. The Einglish naturalist is not satisfied to take his stand upw the ground of his nwn doctrines, and, like Hacekel, on this point places himself in direct sariance with one of the fumbamental laws which constitute the pincipal chams of Darwinism, whose force 1 am far from denying.

In fact, in the thenry of Darwin, transmutations do mot take place, either by chance or in every direction. They are ruled by certain laws which are due to the orranisation
itself. If an organism is once modified in a given direction, it can undergo secoudary or tertiary transmutations, but will still preserve the impress of the original. It is the law of permument characterisation which alone permits Darwin to explain the filiation of groups, their characteristics and their numerous relations. It is by virtue of this law that all the descendants of the first molluse have been molluses ; rell the deseemlants of the first vertebrate have been vertebrates. It is clear that this constitutes one of the foundations of the doctrine.

It fullows that two beings belonging to two distinct types can be referred to a common encestor, whose characters were not clearly developed, but the one cannot be the descendant of the other.

Now man and apes present a very striking contrast in respect to type. Their organs, as I have already remarked, correspond almost exactly term for term ; but these organs are arranged after a very different plan. In man they are so arranged that he is essentially a weallier, while in apes they necessitate his being a climber just as strongly.

There is here an anatomical and mechanical distinction which had already been proved, as regards the inferior apes, lyy the works of V'ieq d'Azyr, Lawrence, Serres, etc. The investigations of Dusernoy on the gorilla, of Gratiolet and M. d'Alix upon the chimpanzee, have established the fact that the anthropomorphous apes possess the same fundamental character in every point. Moreover, a glance at the prage where Huxley has figured side by side a human skeleton and the skeletons of the most highly developed apes, is a sufficiontly convincing proof of the fact.

The consequence of these facts, from the point of view of the logical application of the law of permanent characterisertion, is that man cannot be descended from an ancestor who is already characterised as an ape, any more than a catarrhine tailless ape ean be descended from a tailed catarrhine. A acelliing animal cummot be descended from a climbing one. This was clearly understood by Vogt. In placing man among tho
mimutes he declares, without hesitation, that the lowest class of apes liave passed the laudmark (the common ancestor) from which the different types of this family have originated and diverged.

We must then place the origin of man beyond the last ape if we wish to allhere to one of the laws most emphatically necessary to the Darwinian theory. We then come to the prosimice of Haeckel, the loris, indris, etc. But these animals also are climbers; we must go further, therefore, in search of our first direct ancestor. But the genealogy traced by Hacekel brings us from the latter to the marsupials.

From man to the kangaroo the distance is certainly great. Now neither living nor extinct fauna show the intermediate types which ought to serve as landmarks. This difficulty causes but slight embarrassment to Darwin. We know that he considers the want of information upon similar questions as a proof in his favour. Hacekel doubtless is just as little embarrassed. He admits the existence of an absolutely theoretical pithecoid man, and it is not the only instance in which he proceeds in a similar manner in order to complete his genealogical table. Take as an instance his words upon the sozoura ( 1 thl stage), an amphibions animal which is equally unknown to science. "The proof of its existence arises from the necessity of an intermediate type between the $\mathbf{1 3 t h}$ and the $1+$ th stage."

Thhes, since it has been proved that, according to Darwinism itself, the origin of man must be placed beyond the 1sith stage, and since it becomes, in consequence necessary to fill up the gap betwen marsupials and man, will Haeckel admit the existence of four unlinown intermedicte gromps, instead of one? Will he complete his gencalogy in this manner? It is mot for the to answer.
V. Darwin and Haeckel will most certainly think it very ntraure that a representative of the old school, a man who beliceses in the reality of spucies, should have the pretension to be better acquainted with the application of the laws of Darwinisn than themefves, and to puint out serious lapses
in the applieations they have made. Let us take our stand then on the ground of facts. There we shall at once find proof that this genealogy is wrong throughout, and is founded on a material anatomical error.

Both Darwin and Hacekel connect the simian series with a type which would now be represented by the lemurille, which the latter designates hy the term prosimice. 'The only gromuls which Darwin assigns for this opinion are certain characters taken especially from dentition. Haeckel goes back to embryogenesis.

We know that with the exception of the marsupials (kangaroos, sarrigue), and the monotremata (ornithorhynchus, echidna), all mammals have a plucente, an organ essentially composed of a network of blood-vessels, which unites the mother to the foetus, and serves for the nutrition of the latter. With the ruminants, the edentata, and the cetacea, the placenta is simple and difficse, that is to say, the tufts of the blood-vessels are developed upon the entire surface of the fuetal envelope, and are in direct communication with the inner surface of the uterus. In the rest of the mammals the placenta is double; half being derived from the mother, and half from the foetus, or rather its external envelope. A special membrane called the Decillua covers the interior of the uterus, and unites the placentil. Hacekel, correctly attaching great importance to these anatomical differences, divides mammals into two great groups: the indeciducele, which have no decidua, and the deciluata, which possess it.

Among the latter the placenta can surround the mammalian ovum like a girdle ( $=$ moplacentuliu), or form a kind of circular dise more or less developed (discoplucentaliu). Man, apes, bats, insectivora, and rodents, present the latter arrangement, and thos form a natural gronp to which no zonopluentiul, and, of course, no indeciducute mammals can be admitted.

Hacekel, without the least hesitation, adds his prosimice to the groups which I have just enumerated, that is to say, he attributes to them a decidua and a discoidal placenta.

Now the anatomical investigations of MM. Alphonse Milne Edwards and Grandidier upon the animals brought by the latter from Madagascar place it beyond all doubt that the prosimise of Haeckel liave no decidua and a diffuse placenta. They are indeciduata. Far from any possibility of their being the ancestors of the apes, according to the principle laid down by Hacekel himself, they camot even be regarded as the ancestors of the zonoplacential mammals, the carnivora for instance, and ought to be connected with the pachydermata, the edentata and the cetacea.

Darwin and Haeckel will, perhaps reply that when they made their genealogies, the embryogenesis of the prosimia was not known. But why then represent them as one of the intermediate links to which they attach so much importance? Their process is always the same, considering the undinown as a proof in favour of their theory.
VI. 'The necessity, which I think has been clearly proved, of secking elsewhere than among the prosimia for the link which is required between the marsupials and the apes, would not invalidate the relationship between the latter and man. 'Ihere are, however, other facts which are irreconcilable with the theory.
M. Pruner Bey, resuming the descriptive and matomical works which have been carried on till within the jast fuw years, has shown that the comparison of man with the anthropomorphous apes brings to light a fact which is subjeet to very few exceptions, the existence, namely, of an imerse order in the development of the principal organs. The researches of Welker upon the sphenoidal angle of Virchow lead to the same conclusion, for in man the angle dimiuishes from the time of bitth, whilst in tho ape it is alway's increasing, so much so that sometimes it is effaced. It is upon the base of the cranimn that the German anatomist has remarked this inverse order, the importance of which camnot escape notice.

A similar contrast has been remarkel by Gratiolet upon the batin itsolf. The following are his observations upon
this subject. In the ape the temporal sphenoildal convolutions, which form the middle lobe, make their appearance and are completed before the anterior convolutions which form the froutal lobe. In man, on the contrary, the frontal convolutions are the first to appear, and those of the middle lube are formed later.

It is evident, especially after the most fundamental principles of Darwinism, that an organised being cannot be a descendant of another whose development is in an inverse order to its own. Consequently, in accordance with these principles, man cannot be considered as the descendant of any simian type whatever.
VII. I have said above that palwontology has never shown anything which recalls in the slightest degree the hypothetical pilhecoid man of Haeckel. A hope was felt that what could not be found among extinet forms might be found among living ones. Vogt has compared the brain of microcephali to that of the anthropomorphons apes, and Haeckel has represented in his genealogical table of idiots, crétins and microcephali as actual representatives of his specelless mun. These beings, with their small brain and incomplete faculties, are, according to these two naturalists, eases of cturism, and recal the normal state of our most remote direct ancestors.

Here we have another instance of the curions method of reasoning familiar to Darwinists. Microcephalism, idiotcy, and crétinism constitute so many teratological or pathological states. They belong, consequently, to the very numerous groups of facts which have long been studied. If some of these facts can be regarded as phenomenu of uturism, why should it be otherwise with the rest? Why attribute to atavism a single character only in crétins and microcephati, and refer the other to teratology and pathology? This is evidently an entirely cibitwory kind of treatment, and as much opposed as possible to the true seientific method.

After the works of teratologists, after the experiments of Geoffiny, so ably resumed and completed by M. Dareste, the part played by pathonenic causes, even by external causes, in
arrested development cannot be denied. Now microcephalism is nothing else than arrested development acting on the cranium and its contents. But this is not an isolated case. Other organs and functions in microcephali suffer in the same manner. They have been proved to be always sterile, and certainly sterility is not a phenomenon which can be referred to atavism.

Thus among microcephali a teratogenic cause is clearly proved to have acted on part of the organism, viz., the generative organs. What reason can be alleged for attributing alterations of the cranium and brain to an entirely different cause? By virtue of what principle can two facts be separated, which observation has shown to be so intimately connected with each other? Why should the first be appealed to as an argument and nothing said about the second? Is it not evident that this is an entirely arbitrary kind of procedure, and actuated solely by the requirements of theory?

The general plan of the brain is fundamentally the same in all the manmalia and in man. Upon this point, as upon every other, the resemblance is greatest when the latter is compared with the anthropomorphous apes. When, for some reason or other, his brain is altered and reduced, as in the microcephali, is it at all sumprising that fresh resemblanees. should arise. The contrary would be mintelligible.

This is a fact upon which Vogt has especially insisted, and he has described from this point of view several interesting details which remeder less general some of the results obtaned ly M. Gratiolet. Bint it is a remarkable fact that these new relations are not established with the most highly developed upes, but with the tailed apes of the new world, with the plutyrrtini, which are excluded ly Hacekel and Darwin from the human ancestral series. Thus, the Darwinian theory itself protests against the comparison between the microcephali and our pretended pithecoid ancestors

The relations which we are discnssing do not, morcover, reach a similarity which would authorise the conclusions of
the Generese sarant. The brains of microcephali, though often less voluminous and less convoluted than those of the anthropoid apes, aecording to Gratiolet, do not become at all similar to them. This proposition is confirmed by the work of Voyt.
The case is the same with the skeleton as with the brain. I will here appeal to an authority, which none of my adversaries can reject, that, namely, of Huxley. After having protested against the statements of those who declare "that the struetural differences between man and apes are small and insignificant," the eminent anatomist adds that "every bone of the gorilla bears a mark by which it can be distinguished from the corresponding human bone, and that, in the present state of creation at least, no intermediary being fills the gap which separates man from the troglodyte." In the general conclusion of his book, Huxley moreover recognises the fact that the fossil human remains hitherto disrovered do not indieate any approach towards the pithecoid form.
VIII. After the formal declarations of a maturalist, whose Darwinian convictions place him beyond all suspicion of partiality, how is it that we continually find the expression simiun churacter employed à propos of the most insignificant modifications of some human type of which no one gives a frecise description? It is, to say the least, an abuse of words, against which I have often protested. We have just seen that this expression assumes an anatomical fact which does not exist, and which, consequently, constitutes an error. It has, moreover, the inconvenience of being understood literally by the ignorant, and sometimes of deceiving even edneated men, and of giving rise to a belief in imaginary decradations and comparisons.

In fact, man and the rest of the vertebrata are constructed upon the same fundamental plan. Between him and the other members of this group numerous relations exist. Organised beings are not crystals whose forms are mathematically detined; with the former the whole of the botly
and each part of this whole oscillate between limits whose extent has not yet been fixcd, but which is at times considerable. By these very oscillations the customary relations are continually modified, not only between man and the apes, but between man and the rest of the vertebrata. If we compare man to any animal type whatever, if we apply the same method to this comparison, and the same terminology, we shall arrive at singular conchusions. I will cunte a single example.

The most important fact in comection with the brain is certainly not its absolute development. It is the relation of this development to that of the rest of the body. The agreement upon this point, when animals are the sulyect of discussion, is general. It should be the same when the discussion is on man. Undoubtedly upon this ground of relative superiority or inferiority, upon which certain anthropologists so readily take their stand it propos of races or individuals, the relations of which I speak constitute one of the most striking and essential characters.

1 suljoin some of these relations taken from a talble of Dusernoy, and in which the weight of the hran is taken as mity.


The man in yurstion is the European White. Now from this table we see that from infancy to old age the relation of the lorain to the rest of the body kecps diminishing. Are we to conelade then from this that the youth is degreeled relatively to the infont, and that the athelt or the old man has :sisumed as simion characler?

We see, moresver, that there onght to be some understanding :is to the word rimion itrilf. If the giblon, which
helongs to the type of our supposed ancestors, has a brain relatively smaller than ours, it is otherwise with the three members of the genus cebus given in the table. The latter are superior to the anthropoid; the two first show exactly the same relation as the infant and youth; the third surpasses the adult man. But all three are surpassed by the two tits and the canary.

Consequently, if we are right in regarding the human race, or the human individual whose brain is below the mean by several grammes, as tending towards the anthropuid ape, we ought to consider the race, or the individual whose brain is above the mean as approximating to the cebus, or even the passerines or conirostres. If the first comparison is admissible, the secomd is equally so.

We can then say with the learned anatomist whose authority I have so often appealed to: "The microcephali, however reduced their hrain may be, are nut brutes; they are merely undeveloped men." Or again, we may say with M. Best, whose testimony camot be suspected in such a matter, that in their development apes do not resemble man, and, conversely, that the hman type when degrated dues not resemble the ape.
1.. From the pithecoil man of Darwin and Haeckel, from the speechless man who used his teeth as weapons, to the man of our ade, the distance is still very great. How has it heew filled up? How has this intelligence been developed which is able in many cases to hold nature herself in suljection? It is Wiallace who has especially answered this question in the name of the theory of which he is one of the fonnders. We shall see at the same time that he admits the imperfection of this thenry, when he disensses the peruliar attributes of the human species.

It is well known that this naturalist shares with Darwin and M. Naudin, the honour of having sought in matural selection for an explanation of organic origins. But our fellow comtryman has confined himself to a sketel the fundanental character of which he has recently eutirely
modified. Darwin has embraced the problem as a whole and in its details; he has added to his first work several publications upon suljects very different in appearance, but all of which tend to the same end. He may with justice be considered as the chief of the school.

Wrllace, who almost anticipated Darwin in the publication of ideas which are common to both, recognises him as his master on all occasions. He has discussed a small number of points in special memoirs which never cover much ground. From not attempting the solution of all the questions suggested by the theory, he has neither met with so many or such serious difficulties as his eminent rival. This, perhaps, explains the fact that he generally shows himself more precise and logical. He therefore, always possessed considerable authority among the partisans of Darwinism, until he published his special views on man.

According to Wallace, immerlicte and personul utility is the only cause which sets selection in action. This is fundamentally the theory of Darwin; lint the latter has allowed himself to be influcneed by comparisons or metaphors, which have raised sharp, criticisms, which have perhaps deceived him, and which he employs more or less to evade his difficulties. We never meet with the same in Wallace, who accepts all the conserpences to which his absolute principle leads lim.

According to Wallace, utility ulone is able to accome for the manner in which inferior animal forms could have produced apess, and afterwards a being having almost all the physical characters of man as he is now. 'this ruce lived in herds scattered throughout the hot regions of the ancient continent. It was not, however, wanting in true sociability ; it possessiod the perception of sensations, but was incapable of thought; moral sense and sympathetic feclings were unknown to it. It was still only a muterial mulline of the homan being, yet superine to the tuilal man of Darwin, and to the pithecoid man of Haeckel.

Towards the carlicr part of the tertiary period, adds

Wallace, an unkinown cause began to accelerate the development of the intelligence in this anthropoid being. It soon played a preponderating part in the existence of man. The perfection of this faculty became incomparably more useffel than any other organic modification. Henceforward the powerful modifying agent of selection acted necessarily almost entirely in this direction. The physical characters already acyuired remained almost unaltered, while the organs of the intelligence and the intelligence itself were perfected more and more in each generation. Animals maffected by this unknown cuuse which separates us from them, continued to undergo morphological transmutations, so that since the Miocene epoch there has been a great change in the terrestrial fama. With man only did the form remain the same. We ought not, therefore, to be surprised to find in the Quaternary epoch skulls like those of Denise and Engis, resembling those of men of the present age.

The superiority acquired by the intelligence has, moreover. removed our race for ever from the law of the action of morphological transmutations. His intellectual and moral faculties only are from this time subject to the power of selection, which will cause the disappearance of inferior races and their replacement by a new race, the lowest iulividual of which would he, in our time, a superior man.

After having read the pages, of which I have just made a summary, we cannot but be surprised to find Wallace declare that natural selection by itself is incapable of producing from an cunthropoied unimel, a man such as we find in, the most savare nations known to us. He thus makes the human species an exception to the laws, which, according to lim, rule all other living beings. There is a double interest in fulluwing Darwin's rival in this new path.

Wallace begins by reminding us that natural selection rests entirely upon the principle of immediate utility, relative only to the conditions of the struggle maintained at the time by the imdividuals constituting the species. Darwin in all his works declares, on different occasions, this same
principle, upon which rests, in fact, all his statements upon adaptation, the possibility of retrogressive transmutations, ete.

It results necessarily from this principle, that selection cannot proluce rariations in uny way injurious to any being whatever. Darwin has often declared that a single well-attested case of this kind would destroy his whole theory.

But it is evident, adds Wallace, that selection is as ineapable of producing a useless variation; it cannot then develop an organ in proportions which would gro beyond its degree of present utility.

Now Wallace shews very clearly that in the savage there are organs whose development is out of all proportion with their present utility, and even faculties and plysical characters which are either useless or injurious, at least to the individual. "But," says he, "if it can be proved that these modifications, though dangerous or uscless at the time of their first appearance, have become much more useful, and are now indispensable to the complete development of the intellectual and moral nature of man, we onght to believe in the existence of an intelligent action, foreseeing and providing for the future, just as we should do, when we see a breeder set to work to produce a definite improvement in any direction in any cultivated plant or domestic animal."

The relative development of the body and the brain, the organ of intelligence, is one of the points upon which our anthor insists most strongly. The height of the orang, he says, is almost equal to that of man; the grorilla is much taller and higger. Nevertheless, if we represent by ten the average volume of the brain in the anthropod apes, this same volnme will be represented by twenty-six in savages, and ly thirty-two in civilised men. The English natmalist also makes the remark, that among savages, the Expuimanx, for instance, we find individuals the eapacity of whose skull almost raches the maximmon which is given for the most lighly develoged nations.

F'inally, Wallace, relying upon the experiments and
calculations of Galton, admits distinctly that though the brain of savages is to that of civilised man in the proportion of five to six, the intellectual manifestations are, at the most, that of one to one thousand. The material development is, then, out of all proportion to the function. A brain, a little more voluminous than that of the gorilla, would, in the eves of the eminent traveller, be perfectly sufficient for the inhabitants of the Andaman Islands, of Australia, 'I'asmania, and Tierra del Fuego.

Wallace explains the development of the ideas of justice and benevolence by the advantages which would result from them to the tribe and to each individual. But faculties essentially individurl, and without immedicte utility to others, are not sulject, according to him, to selection. "How," says he, "could the struggle for existence, the victory of the most fitted and natural selection give any aid to the development of mental faculties," such as ideal conceptions of space and time, of eternity and infinity, the artistic fecling, alsstract ideas of number and form, without Which arithmetic and geometry are impossilile?

For a much more cogent reason, the development of the moral sense in the savage cannot be accounted for by considerations taken from utility, whether indicidual or collective. Wallace insists upon this point at some length; he frotes examples which prove that this foeling exists, in all Which is most delicate aud most opposed to utilitarian notions, amongr the most savace tribes of Central India. We conld give many examples of this fact ; among others, that the Red-skins have the greatest respect for their word of honour, though it should entail torture and death.

Our author hases numerous arguments upon the physical examination of man. "It is perfectly certain," he says, "that natural selection could not have produced the present naked body of man from an ancestor corered with hair, for such a modification, far from being useful, would he injuious, at least in certain respects;" in civilised man a number of mosements are excented by the hand of which savages
have not the slightest idea, although no anatomical difference exists in the structure of the superior members; the larynx of our singers is constructed similarly to that of savages, and, neverthcless, what a contrast between the sounds produced!

From all these facts Wallace concludes that the brain, hand, and larynx of savages possess lutent aptitudes, which being temporarily useless cannot be attributed to natural selection. Man, moreover, has not the power of acquiring them himself. Foreign intervention therefore is necessary, for the explanation of their existence. Wallace attributes this intervention to a superior intelligence which acted on the human species, just as the latter has acted on the rock-pigeon to produce from the pouter or the carrier, and which employed analogons processes.

In short, natural selection, regulated ly the laws of nature only, is sufficient to produce wild species; artificial or luman selection can produce improved races of animals and plants; a kind of divine selection must have produced the present man, and can alone bring him to the highest pitch of intellectual and moral development.

In adsancing this latter hypothesis, Wallace deelares that it no more impairs the theory of natural selection than the latter is weakened by the fiact of artificial selection. Few, I think, will accept this proposition. The chief apolory for Darwinism in the eyes of men of science, its great charm to all its partisans, lies in the pretensions which it puts forwaral of comecting organic origins, those of man as well as those of plants, with the single action of second canses ; and to explain the present state of living beings by physical and physiolorgical laws, just as groolong and astronomy explain the present state of the material world entirely by the genemal laws of matter. In making the intervention of an intelligent will necessary for the realisation of the heman being, Wallace has sat himself in opposition to the very essence of the theory: Such is the opinion of most Darwinists, who have treatel him som what as a deserter.

I an not therefore called upon to examine this latter lyypothesis of Wallace. I am, however, at liberty to state that most of the facts, which have inducel one of the founders of Darwinism to separate from his chief upon so importint a point, retain all their value as objections. The mistake of Wallace consists in failing to see that his statements upon the sulject of man apply equally to animals, and Claparede has justly reproached him with a want of logic on this point. He has been less happy in the answers which he has made to his old ally. Doubtless, he who regards the question exelusively from a Darwinian point of view, and accepts as true everything which I have endeavoured to shew to be false, will readily find a solution for many of the difficulties raised by Wallace. But his statements upon letent aptiludes, upon the superior faculties of the human mind, and upon the moral sense, are very difficult to refute. Claperede has only alluded to the former. Darwin has attempted to go further; but his theories and hypotheses upon these important questions do not appear to me to have given much satisfaction to the most deroted of his followers. I cannot here enter into a discussion, which, to have any value, should be carried to some length, and I refer the reader to the work upon the Descent of Mun, and to my articles in the Journal des Sivants.
X. I camot close this short account of the origins, which have been attributed to man during late years, without mentioning the new theory which has lately been put furwad by an eminent botanist, to whose works I have often had to allude. M. Nandin has been one of the most important of Darwin's precursors. Six years before the: English maturalist, he compared the action exercised by natural forees in the production of species to the methods mised by man in obtaining ruces; he admitted the derivation and filtittion of species; he compared the vegetable kingdom to a tree "where roots, mysterionsly hidden in the depths of cosmogenic time, have producel a limited
number of branches successively divided and subdivided. The first branches represent the primordial types of the kingdom, the subsequent ramifications the existing species." We cannot fail to recognise in these words an idea very similar to Darwinism.
M. Naudin now proposes an evolution theory which is very different. He "entirely excludes the hypothesis of natural selection, unless the sense of the word is changed so as to make it synonymous with survicul." He rejects no less strongly the idea of gradual transmutations, which reyuire millions of years to effect the transmutation of a single plant. He insists, on the contrary, upon the suchelenness with which most of the variations observed in plants have been produced, and regards it as a representation of what must have taken place in the successive genesis of living beings. Let us remark in passing, that Darwin, in the last edition of his work recognises the reality of these suchlen leaps, which have taken place without transitions letween one generation and another, and confesses that he hats nut taken sufficient account of them in his earlier writings.
M. Nandin admits the existence of a protoplasme or primuratial blustemue, the origin of which he does not pretend to explais nor its entrance into action. Under the inthence of the organo-plastic or evolutive force thare were formed proto-orguteisms of a very simple structure, asexual, and endowed with the power of producing by buds and with a grat activity meso-n!genisms, similar to the first, though alrearly more complicated. With each gencraton forms are multipliad, and beeome more pronomed, and atature rapilly passes on to the erlelt state. The beings in guestion were not, however, species. They were not complets beinges, but morrly a kind of lowew, whose sole duty Was to sorve as transtions between the primitive blastema and the defmite forms. Dispersed in different regions of the ghobe, they have earried ceverywhe the erems of fature forms which revlution lisd to produce from them. From
the creative character which distinguished it at first, the evolutive force exhausted by its very action, acquined a preservative character. Forms are now integrated. They preserve, however, a residue of plasticity; they vary under the influence of certain conditions, and hence results the multitude of forms which the same species now presents.

The proto- and meso-organisms contained in themselves, each according to its position in the order of evolution, the rudiments of kingdoms, branches, classes, orders, families, and genera. Points where they were fixed, became so many centres of creation. Moreover, they have not engendered simultaneously all the forms which they were capable of producing. There have been considerable intervals between the production of living beings, which explains why groups of the same order have not been contemporancous.

Organic types, even those least marked, have not passed into each other. The paths followed by the evolutive foree have always been divergent. "Let us picture to ourselves," says M. Naudin, "the meso-organism which has been the source of the mammalia; ever since its appearance, all the mammatian orders, including the human order, were fermenting in it. Before their appearance, they were virtually distinet, in the sense that the evolutive forces were alreally distributed, and the method of their effecting, each in its proper time, the production of these different orders, already defined. This is a similar phenomenon to that of the evolution of organs in a growing embryo, where we see springing from a common and uniform origin, parts at first similar, but which are impelled in a determinate direction cach ly its own particular juture."
M. Naudin, as we see, in order to surport his theory, appeals to the embryogenic phenomena, to which the Darwinits also look for testimony in favour of their doctrines. The learned botanist, howeser, attaches much more importance to the metamorphoses which take place subsequently to the cgy. He recognises tive protomgranisms in the promemhyo of mosses, in the larve of insects, and of
many other inferior animals. He lays particular stress upon the phenomena of alternate generation, as representing what has already taken place, or better, as representing in part "the ancient and general process of creation."

According to M. Naudin, man was subject to the common law, and the Mosaic accomnt is at the same time very true aud full of instruction. In its first phase, mankind was concealed within a temporary organism, already distinct from all others, and incapable of contracting an alliance with any of them. This is Adam, who sprang from a primordial blastema called cley in the Bible. At this epoch, he was, properly speaking, neither male nor female; the two sexes were not yet differentiated. "It is from this larval form of mankind, that the evolutive force effected the completion of the species. For the accomplishment of this great phenomenon, Adam had to pass through a phase of immobility and unconscionsness, very analogous to the nymphal state of :mimals undergoing metamorphosis." This is the slecp mentioned in the Bible, during which the work of differentiation was accomplished, to use the words of M. Naudin, by a process of germination, similar to that of meduse amd :Lucidians. Mankind, thus constituted physiologically, would retain a sufficient evolutive force for the rappil production of the various great limman races.

Passing over the comparisons established ly M. Naudin, I will confine mysclf to a single observation upon all these ideras; properly speaking, they do not form a seientific Haery.

When we furtilise hy artificial means the egrer of a frog, we Kow that we determine an entire series of phenomena, which resilts in the formation of a germ, then in that of an cmbryo, which will tee established by a succession of metitmorphoses, in that of a talpole, which will toe equally sulyject (1) metamorphoses, and in that of a definite amimal which will assume all the characters of the species. So far as man can malie a lwing, we mulie a frog when we fertilise an egs.

If the fiow corsor, with which M. Nandin immediately
connects his primordial blastema, has made potentially in this blastema all past, present, and future beings, as well as the power of producing them at the proper time, with all their distinctive characters, It has, in reality, crectecl all these beings en musse. We do not see what kind of action is reserved for second causes; unless it is, perhaps, the power of accelerating or retarding, of obstructing or favouring the appearance of types of different value, when number and relations have been immutably determined beforehand. But M. Naudin has not even mentioned their part in this evolution of the organic world. That science? which is only occupied with second canses has, therefore, nothing to say to the theory of M. Nandin. It can neither praise nor criticise it.
XI. To explain the origin of the world in which we live, that of beings surrounding us, and our own, is evidently one of the most general aspirations of the human mind. The most civilised nations, as well as the most savage tribes, have satisfied this want in one way or another. Even Australians, whatever may have been sail to the contrary, have their rudimentary cosmorony, which those who have taken some interest in the matter, have made them relate.

In all cases, man has at first connected his cosmogonic conceptions with his religious belief. Then among the most advanced ancient nations, independent spirits have sought for an explanation of nature in natural phenomena. But from want of precise knowledge, all their hypothetical coneeptions have no fundamental value.

With us also, the purely religious cosmogony has long heen accepted as an article of faith. What was callecl orience was confounded with dogma, itself relying upon imerpretations of the Bible in hamony with the knowlede of the time.

Science, properly so calied, is entircly the creation of modern times. The rapidity, the grandeur of its developments, fill one of the most magniticent pages of human history. Relying entirely upon experiment and uhervation,
it could not fail to contradict certain beliefs, which were drawn from a book written in an entirely different sense to its own, and explained by the aid of data which were incomplete or false. Between the representatives of the past and those of the new era, the struggle was inevitable. It needed to be sharp, and it was so. It is now keener than ever.

Cireumstances of every kind have destroyed in many minds the old faith of our ancestors. Carried away by the general stream, many in the matter of religious belief, have arrived at absolute denial. The need of an explanation of the universe is still felt by these uneasy minds; and since they have no belief in the Bible, they have turned their attention to science.

The latter has already given them magnificent answers in astronomy and in geology. Before irrefutable facts, the later upholders of the ancient biblical interpretations have either been obliged to withdraw, or to be silent. No one believes in the immobility of the earth, in creation having taken place in six days of twenty-fuur hours each, or in the simultaneons appearance of all animals, or all plants. Astronomy has made known to us the genesis of worlds; geology has tanght us how continents and seas, valleys and mountains are formed, thus evolving some of the grandest results due to the action of second callses in the inorganic empire.

There remans the organic empire, plants, animals, and man himself. Here curiosity is excitel, and the want of explanation lecomes more pressing, but unfortunately observation and experiment are equally at fault.

Sume men, eminent in science and in the richness of their imarginations, have thought themselves able to do withont it. Ruviving the methods of the Greek philosophers, they have thought it possible to explain living nature and the entire miverse, by connceting certain facts with conceptions, which are almost entirely intullectual. Once started in this path, they are readily clated at their own thonghts. When the positive knowlelge which has heen accumulated by the long
continued labours of their illustrions predecessors, has embarrassel their speculations, they have at once, so to speak, thrown it overboard; they have pushed to the utmost the more or less logical development of their à priori, and have nothing but irony and disdain for those who hesitate to follow them.

These men could not but excite admiration. They spoke in the name of science alone; by its means they replied to aspirations perfectly justifiable on such a topic; they produced theories which charmed by their fulness and the appareut precision of their explanations. They were able consequently to influence even those men of science who had not gone to the bottom of things, and much more so tho general public, who are always satisfied to believe what they are told.

The nature of the resistance which they have met with from time to time was calculated to increase the splendour of their triumph. Men as imprudent as ill-judged have attackel them in the name of dogma. Scientific discovery has degenerated into controversy; both parties have become excited, and in the two camps it has been considered necessary to deny all the statements of the enemy; they have vied with each other in violence, and savants, who pretended to speak in the name of free thought, have not shown themselves the less intolerant.

I will only remind the one party of the trial of Galileo, and the other of the theories of Voltaire denying the existence of fossils.

Uthers have resisted the impulse of the time ; they have remained faithful to method, the mother of modern science; they have carefully preserved their inheritance of solid and precise knowledge, acquired from past centuries. They cannot on that account be accused of acting from routine or be considered as retrograding. As warmly as the most ardent partisans of the so-called advanced theories, they have : pplauded all the progress, and have received with equal favour new ideas, on the condition of exposing them to
experiment and observation. But when they meet with questions the solution of which is at present impossible, and will perhaps always be so, they have not hesitated to answer:-WE do Not kNow;-and when they find purely metaphysical. theories are being imposed upon them, they have protested in the name of experiment and observation:

I venture to say that I have always remained faithful to the ranks of this phalane, to which the future distinetly belongs. For this reason, to those who question me upon the problem of our origin, I do not hesitate to answer in the name of science :-I do not KNow.

I do not on that account anathematise those who consider they ought to act otherwise, nor do I greatly hame their boldness. The study of second causes has enabled man to exphain scientifically the present constitution of the in-organic world ; and it is quite legitimate to attempt to accome for the present state of the organic world by causes of the same nature ; perhaps success will one day crown our efforts, and should they for erer remain unrewarded, as they have hitherto done, they will still possess a certain utility. These efforts of the imagination provoke new research, make new openings, and thus render a service to real science in the world of facts, as well as in that of ideas. If Darwin had not been actuated by his preconceptions, he would probably never have accomplished his excellent work upon the 1.00 races of pigeons, nor develnped his theory of the struggle for existence and matural selection, which accounts for so many facts.

Unfortunately, from having forgoten the works of their predurssors, Dan win and his fullowers have drawn emoncons condusions from these promises. They imagine they have given explanations when they have given none. This is what I have endeavoured to show. I have been obliged to rsume the debate; it is for the impartial and unprejudiced reader to decide between us.

## BOOK III.

## ANTIQUITY OF THE HUMAN SPECIES.

## CHAPTER NII.

AGE OF THE HUMAN SPECHES-PRESENT GEOLOGICAL EPOCH.
I. Without prejulging the future, we have been obliged to acknowledge that the problem of the specific origin of man cannot be solved, or even attempted, with the scientific data which we at present possess. This is not quite so much the case with certain questions which are maturally suggested to the mind by the preceding.

We know that our globe las passed through several georIngical and paleontological epochs; that living beings have not appeared simultanconsly, and that the present fama and flora have been preceded hy very different ones. It is natural to ask the question, when man legan to inhabit the earth, and to endeavour to determine the moment of the appearance of this being, so similar to other beings in many respects, so exceptional in his most noble ficulties, amil superiur to everything around him.

This question of time shomld be stated precisely; we must understand the sense which may be attributed to it.

Let us observe, in the first place, that here we can have mo dates properly so called. They only exist in histury. Now primitive mankind can have no history in the scientifie sense of the word. Most great religions have endeavered to fill up this gap. But my readers are already aware that I
have refused all considerations drawn from such a source, and that I intend to bring forward here none but the results of experiment and observation. I shall then try how far back we cill go with the aid of these guides alone, quoting in the first place a fuw historic dates as terms of comparison.
11. The Greeks and Romans, with whom classical education ton often terminates, do not take us very far. The former hatd much more ancient records than the latter, and yet the era of the Olympiads only brings us to the year 76 ; befure our era; according to Hecateus of Miletus, it was in the nintli or tenth century before our era that the gods ceased to intermarry with mortals, and the Trojan war is regarded approximately as having taken place in the eleventh or twelfth century. Beyond this period, it is evident that we are led by Greece into mere mytholoy, or rather into those legendary times where truth and fable are coufounded.
The Aryan traditions go further. M. Vivien de Saint Martin, summing up the works of which he is so good a jurdre, refers the arrival of the Hindons on the river of Cabul (1) about the sixteenth or eighteenth century before our era. These tribes were only an offloot of the great emigration which the Zend Avesta takes back almost as far as the Bolor. We can, therefore, refer the latter to the twentieth or twentyeighth century before our era.

Jewish history, starting with Abraham, groes back almost to the same period (229( years) ; the dhuge of Noah, accorting to the estimation generally received, to the year 3330 s . Say about thirty centuries.

In China, the Chom-King places the reign of Hoang-ti in the year 2698, and that of Jao in the year 23:37 before our cra. This would comrespond almost to a century, with the date of the migration of Abraham.

Egypt had mo Chou-King, but her momments are the most magnificent of books. ('hampullion has tanght us how to read them, and we can decipher them page by page. Now Lepsins and Bmasen place the fifth dynasty abont the fortictl century, and according to Marictle Bey, the lists of

Manctho, upon the subject of which the eminent Eryptologist makes formal reserves, go back to the year 500 t before our era. We should, then, be separated from the earliest historical times of Egypt by an interval of about seventy centuries. If, instead of counting by years, we count ly the human life, which we will estimate at about twenty-five years, we find that we are only separated from these times, which constitute the extreme limit of past history, by 2 s0 generations.

These numbers are undoubtedly interesting. They tend to modify sume of the impressions which we have received in our childhood; but they tell us nothing of the antiquity of the human race. At most, in showing us that at this period there existed people in the valley of the Nile sufficiently civilised to possess the art of writing, and to raise monuments worthy of our admiration, they refer the first appearance of man far beyond the limits which they reach themselves.
III. The Egyptians themselves have, then, a past anterior to all history. With much greater reason is this the case with the Chinese, Hindoos, Greeks, and still more so with nations less well endowed, or accidentally retarded in their evolution. To plunge iuto this obseurity with the hope of finding in it any certain land-marks, and to discover facts of which even legends say nothing, would thirty years ago have appeared a senseless enterprise. It is, nevertheless, the work accomplished hy one of the most recent of sciences, I'relistoric Archarology. We should therefore regard the jear 1 s 17 as a memorable date, when three Danish savants, a geologist, a zoologist, and an archæologist, were charged by the Society of Northern Antiquaries to carry out the studies which have served as its foundation. By a study of the Kitchenmiddens and peat mosses of their country, Forchanmer, Steenstrup and Worsaar have done for the history of man what De Buch, Elie de Beaumont, and Cuvier have done: for the history of the glabe.

The Kitchenmiddens are essentially furmed by the acenmulation of shells strewn on the sea-shore, which sometimes
attain considerable proportions. With the shells are found the remains of fish, and bones of birds and mammalia. Man alone could liave formed this accumulation, and his presence, moreover, is revealed by the implements, tools, and weapons, which he once mislaid, and which are now found among the remains of his meals. They consist of stone, almost always rudely shaped. In some of these artificial hills, among the traces of a very rudimentary industry, we meet with other stone ohjects which betray workmanship of the most remarkable perfection.

The Kitchenmiddens, then, reveal the existence of a population now forgotten, which at first lived in an entirely savage state, but afterwards acquired a certain amount of civilisation. From a chronological point of view, however, this information is still very imperfect. The mixture of implements, sometimes almost without form, and sometimes again showing wonderful workmanship, permits of various interpretations, which have in fact been given.

It is different with the objects found in the peat-mosses, and especially in those which the Danes call skormases, that is, foreat mosises. These formations are found in hollows of irregular form which have been exeavated in Quaternary clays, reaching at times a depth of thirty feet or more. The detailed study which Steenstrup especially has made of thean led him to distingnish among them the central region or pent-moss, and the exterior region or forest regiom.

The first is formed by the cavity itself. It is the peatmoss properly so called, formed by the layers of peat which fill the envity, and have been deposited subsequently to its formation. A meagre vegetation grew uron the surface, which disides this mass of wergetable dílris into distinct zones. They are, procereling downwards:-1st, certain trees, such
 small stunted, but sturdy pines (Pimus sylvestris), which had grown upon peat in which moses of a high organisation, such as the hypmom, were fomed ; 3rd, compact, :momphoms: peat, the elements of which for a long time it was comsidered
impossible to discover, but in which MM. Steenstrup and Nathorst discovered in 1872 undoubted remains of five species of plants now confined to the Aretic circle, such as, Sulix herbacea, S. polaris, S. veticulata, Betula nana, Dryas octopetule ; 4th, a bed of clay evidently resulting from material washed down by rain from the sides of the hollow, when the latter were still bare.

The forest region occupies the sides themselves. The trees were there protected from the wind, and extending their roots into a fertile clay they attained a magnificent development. Now we at once meet with a very remarkable fact, the beech tree is not found in the skormoses. At the present day it is the essential constituent of the Danish forests; it is the national tree, and the most ancient traditions give no suspicion that it has ever been wanting in Denmark. In its place the peat-mosses contain at first nothing but oaks (Quercus robur sessilifoliu) which disappeared from the comntry in prehistoric times, and is only found in a few places in Jutland. Then, as we descend deeper into the peat, the oaks give way to pines. In their turn the latter gain the ascendant, and occupy the lowest parts of the peat exclusively.

Oaks and pines, when they fell from old age, aceident, or human ageney, generally fell towards the interior of the log. Their interlaced branches supported and consolidated tho peat, which was then in the hest condition for preserving, as they fell, any solid sulstances which may have been dropped or thrown into the bog.

Man used to frequent the skurmoses, and it is well known that he cannot live in any place withont losing a number of oljects, even those upon which he sets most value. He lowt in the bogs weapons, tools, and instruments of all kinds, and they all remain where they fell. The skomoses have thus become a kind of chronologically stratified musemm, where each generation has left its trace in the contempuraneons: peat. We have only to explore it layer by layer to obtain many definite ideas about the predecessors of the present

Danes, and to find in this prehistoric past velutive dutes or epochs. In this manner the Scandinavian savants have arrived at the idea of the Ayes of Iron, Bronze, and Stone, which are now universally adopted. I shall not here fullow the development which these fundamental ideas have received, nor the manner in which they have been applied to the Lake dwellings of Switzerland and elsewhere. I shall not insist further upon the different degrees of civilization betrayed by the use of two metals and of polished or groumd stone. I shall confine myself to the remark that in Denmark the Iron age entirely corresponds with that of the beech tree, while the Bronze age corresponds with the entire period of the oak, and the close of that to the pinc. Lastly, the pine is the tree of the Stone age.

The presence of oljects formed by human imlustry proves the presence of man. Thanks to their irrefutable testimony, there is no difficulty in tracing him through the zones of the oak and the pine. The immense number of objects, which have been left ly him in the peat period, points to the existence of a somewhat dense pupulation. These objects, on the contrary, hecome very rare, and at the same time ruder, in the layer of amorphous peat. They were, for some time, even thought to be wanting altogether, till they were finally discovered by Stcenstrup associated with the remains of the reindeer.

Man, then, was living in Demmark when Aretic plants, such as Betulu nomu and Sulise polerris grew at the bottom of the skovmoses; he was accompanied by the reindeer, which completes the resemblance between the past state of that country and the present state of Lapland. Now we know that such a state of things conld only have existed in Denmark in the latter part of the Quaturnary epoch, when the ice, retreating from the south northwards, would still lee far removed from its present limits. We can hen affirm that man existed and lived in Emope nt the very dawn of the present geologieal eproll.

This fact is again prowed ly the diseovery of a human station, made by M. Fians, at Schussenried in Wurtemberg.

Here man, whose presence is attested by worked flints of various forms, by weapons and instruments of bone, by phalanges of reindeer made into whistles, lived with the reindeer, the glutton, and the polar fox, and gathered mosses which are now confined to Northern Europe, such as IIgpmum sumentosum, fluitans, and aduncum. As in benmark, he seems to have fellowed the glaciers step ly step, as the melting of the latter opened out new lands to his activity.
IV. Without claming such accuracy for the historic dates, or even such an approximation as that derived from the Aryan traditions on the most ancient monuments of Egypt, is it possible to estimate the number of years which have clapsed since the times we have just been discussing?
'This question has often attracted the attention of geologists. and anthropologists, and several attempts have been made to solve it. But the results are still far from being satisfactory. They are none the less interesting, and calculatel, to a certain extent, to encourage fresh research. The method is grood; it has only been hitherto wauting in sufficiently precise dates, and we may hope that they will be sooner or later fortheoming.

This method is easily comprehended. For example, let us admit that the peat has a regular growth in the skovmoses, amd suppose, in addition, that a coin, recognised as belunging to the (welfth century, hass been funmel at a depth of 1.50 metres ( $+\cdot y$ feet); we shall conclude that the layer of peat has only required about 600 years for its formation. The age of a bronze hatehet found at greater depth, 8 metres ( $2\left(621 \mathrm{fect}\right.$ ), will be given by the proportion $1^{\mathrm{m}} \cdot 50: 6:: \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{m}}: \mathrm{x}$. The hateliet would then be 3,200 years old, and would date from the fourteenth century before our cra.

Many natural phenomena are available for calculations of this kind. Such are the alluvinu of a river, the silting up of a lake, the erosion of a hill or platean, etc. But in order that the results of these calculations may have a real value, the phenomenon which serves as the basis, and the calcula-
tions resulting from the data must satisfy three conditions which have been very clearly stated by M. Forel.

1. The phenomenon should be perfectly constant and regular, which is never the ease. At least, it ought to be possible to regard its action as giving an ammal mean or constant centemial result, by means of compensations which are produced naturally.
2. When super-imposed strata are used as a means of estimation, the age of the strata sorving as a term of comparison, ought to be rigorously determined ; the nature of the oljects compared should leave no doubt.
3. We ought to be certain that the olijects foum in any stratum really belong to it, that they lave not been displaced ly any reformation or by their mere weight. (P'eat.)

Should even one only of these conditions be unfulfilled, the calculation is necessarily erroneous. Nuw, hitherto, we camot be absolutely certain that the conditions laid down by M. Forel are satisfied. Nevertheless, I repeat, it is interesting to know what results have been oltained by these attempts at prechistoric chronology.

It would seem, at first sight, that the skormoses must be useful for rescarches of this kind. It is not so. Steenstrup, an execllent julge of these matters, after having estimated at forty centmies the time necessary for the formation of the peat accumulatel in these bugs, declares that it might be twiee, or even four times as much.

In reality, the uncertainty ats to the results obtained from the growth of peat, is very much greater than the Danish savant admits. In adding to the ditit collected by Prande, thow kindly prosented to me liy my colleague, M. Bésal, I fitul that for a period of 4 ti $y$ ears the mean annal growth of pat is 00032 metre ( $1 \cdots(f$ inch $)$. But this mean is the result of mumbers whese extremess are 0 (105 metre ( 2.56 inch) athd 00006:5 metre ( $102(6$ inch). That is to say, that the means fomed by diferent observers for the ammal growth of peat, vary from one to ten.

The caloulations of MM. Gillieron and Troyon, resting upen
the deposition of silt, which has cansed the retreat of the Lakes of Bieme and Neuchâtel, have but little connection with the present sulject. Both have sought to determine the age of the Lake dwellings, which belong, probably, to a much later period than the one which we are now endeavouring to determine. We may, however, notice the numbers, (i,000 years and :,300 years, found lyy these observers.

The chronological results derived from the littoral accummlation of silt, of which I have just spoken, exhibit chances for error which Vogt has rightly pointed out. For some time the results have been thought more worthy of confidence which were based upon the researelies made by M. Morlot upon the conical accumulation of silt deposited by the Tiniere. This cone, which was cut through by the raitway for a distance of $133^{110}$ ( 436 feet), and to a depth of $7.7 \mathrm{~m} \cdot$ (25 feet), exhibited in the midst of the mass of gravel three undisturbed soils, the highest of which contained Roman instruments and coins; the second, pottery of the Bronze age ; and the third, split bones, charcoal, and different oljects referable to the close of the Stone age. Fixing the commencement of the Roman period in Switzerland at the first century of our era, and the end of it at the year 56.3, and making sume corrections which camot be detailed here, M. Morlot has considered himself :able to propose the fullowing numbers as approximate dates :-


These numbers are not high. The mumber given hy M. Morlut as the age of the Stone periol in Switzerland, leads us. back to an antiquity which does not exceed that given ly the Eiseptian monuments; aml it is impossible to avoid being struck with the differenees of civilization exhibited ly the two countries. Nevertheless, this fact cammot constitute a reason for doubting the results of the Swiss savant. It is well known that man during the same time has not every-
where equally alvanced in civilization, and that the Eskimas are still in the Neolithic period.

But other criticisms have been bronght forward against M. Morlot, the result of which is that the numbers furnished ly the cone of the Tiniere cannot be accepted as giving a real approximation to the date which we are seeking for
V. M. Forel, who has taken an active part in this discnission, has tried to solve the problem in an indirect way. Instead of seeking directly for the age of a prehistoric fact, he lias proposed to have recourse to the rule of false position, which allows the determination cither of a maximum which the mmbers camot possibly exceed, or a minimum below which they cannot fall. He has applied this plan, which is as correct as it is ingenious, to the Lake of Geneva.

It is well known that the waters of the Rhone, especially during the floods cansed by the melting of the snow, enter the lake in a very turlid condition, and flow on remarkably clear. The mud thus depositel evidently tends to fill up the lake, and has alrealy silted up a part of the great depression which was filled ly the ice of the Quaternary epoch. M. Forel has first determined the annual volume of the deposit. He has then calculated the volume of the present lake, basing his calculations on the soundings made ly La Bèche. He has thus been enabled to calculate the time necessary for the sediment of the Rhone to fill up the entire lake. Then, admitting that the part of the original lake already filled up had a mean depth equal to that of the present lake, he has compared the surface of the alluvial deposits already formed with the surface of the lake itself. The proportion is almost one to three. These dapmits have then been formed in a third of the time necessary to fill up the present lake. Now their fomation commenced immediately after the retreat of the ghaciers. The date thus ohtained is, then, that of the modern geolugical epoch.

Such is the method by which M. Forel arrives at the mumber of 100,000 years. 'This is a maximmm which is probahly much csasoberated. M. Forel shows this himself
very clearly. He has always taken the lowest numbers for the estimation of the iucrease of alluvium ; he has considered on the whole year ninety days only as contributing to this increase ; he has only included the Rhone in this estimation, and taken no account of other rivers, streams, etc.; he has not taken into consideration inumdations, extraordinary falls of rain, landslips, ete. ; he has assumed the floods of the Whone have always resembled the present floods, while they must originally have been much more considerable, and have carried away much more material from mountain slopes buit recently relieved from their covering of ice; lie has said nothing of the gravel and sand which must necessarily be carried along the bed of a rapid stream like the Rhone, etc.
M. Forel's result must therefore undergo serious reduction before it approximates to the truth. Without attempting a precise statement, we can (at least) admit with almost absolute certainty that the present geological epoch commenced less than 100,000 years ago.

On the other hand, M. Areelin has fought for a solution of the same problem in the deposits of the Siône. The present river flows in a chamel hollowed out in the alluvium of the Saone of Quaternary times, the hauks of which have been raisel by the sediment deposited during floods. The two deposits are very easily distinguished. The homogeneity of the morlern atlusium indicates, moreover, a remarkably regular phenomenom. The hanks of the Saône at different peints form more or less abrupt hills which constitute so many natural geological sections. The erosions of the river have laid lare objects easily recognised as belonging to the Poman period, the Bronze age, and the Neolithic age. These oljgets are foum at a constant height, showing that they are in sitn. The hills of the Saône, then, constitute one of those means of estimating prehistoric chronology, which are so valuable to us. MM. Areelin and De Ferry have attempted first to determine the age of the different hayers. The numbers so obtained show a certain amomet of discordance, mudoubtedly due to the fact that M. de

Ferry has based his calculations upon a single section, while those of M. Arcelin represent the mean taken from 33 points. The latter has, however, afterwards had recourse to the method of M. Forel, and to the rule of false position. But instead of seeking a maximum, he has endeavoured to determine a minimum. This calculation gives the fullowing results : -

Age of Noman layer . 1.500 years $\mid$ Age of Neolithic layer . 3.000 years Age of Dronze layer . . 2.250 years Age of Quaternary claty 6,750 years

This represents a very moderate antiquity, and corresponds almost entirely with the dates of Manetho. But the minimum of M. Arcelin appears to me to be too low, and the error greater than in the case of the maximum of M. Forel. I shall only point out the most important of the causes which have lel to this result. The calculations of the author are hased upon the hypothesis of the equality of the floods, and of the alluvial deposit in the period between the present and the Roman period, and in times previous to that. He this confounds the epochs when the basin of the Saône was left to Nature alone, with other epochs when the same basin was strippend of its forests, cleared and cultivated as it is at present. Now everyone knows how much more powerful the action of atmospheric agents, of rain in particular, are upon cultivated land tham upon menltivated. The upper layers, which served as the basis for the calculations of MI. Arectin, have necessarily diminishal to a considerable extent the final result, since they must have been formed much more rapilly than a great part of the hower hayers.

I shall saty, then, of the minimum of M. Arectin what I have said of the maximmo of $\mathbf{~ I}$. Forel. It leaves as the cortainty that the present geolugical period groes back much further than 7-8000 years.
VI. What conrections onght the extreme numbers which I have just ynoted to undergo in order to approximate to the truth? It is still impossille to saly. But the path Which shonld be followed in order to diminish the space
which separates them is henceforth clear. The alluvium of the Saone has always appeared to me to present conditions of uncertainty which it would be difficult to overcome, and the best means of determining the age of the present period by prehistoric chronology, appears to me to be the Lake of Geneva.

In order to correct the first results obtained hy M. Forel, it would be necessary to take into account all the circumstances pointed out above, and several others also. It would be especially necessary, at different seasons of the year, in dry and wet weather, to gauge the smallest rivulets and ravines all round the lake, to measure the amount of mud their waters contain, and the amount of gravel and sand they carry down with it. This task is beyond the power of a single man; it would require the formation of an $A$ ssociation for this end. The problem would be worth the trouble, and the Swiss savants, so justly proud of their beautiful lake, might easily make arrangements to obtain its solution.

Such as they are, the works of MMI. Areclin and Forel lead to some important conclusions. The total age of our globe, used till lately to be restricted to a little more than 6,000 years ; the alluvial deposits of the Saone show that the present geological epoch alone surpasses this ly several centuries. On the other hamd, under the influence of Darwinian prejudices, men have begun to landle time with a strange laxity, and it las been affirmed that millions of years separate us from glacial times. The deposits of silt in the Latie of Genera show that these times terminated less than 100,000 years ago. As M. Furel well says, "This dues not' yet constitute historic chronology ; it is, nevertheless, a little more than simple geological chromology;" and we see once more experience and observation doing justice to theoretical conceptions.

## CHAP'TER XIII.

AGE OF THE HUMAN SPECHES-PAST GEOLOGICAL EPOCHS.
I. The skomoses and the remains at Schussenried have shown that man existed in Europe at the close of the Glacial Epoch. But did he live through this epoch? Did he precede it? Has he, therefore, been contemporary with veretable and animal species, which have long been considered as fossils? We know that we can with certainty reply in the affirmative to these fuestions. We know also that the proof of this great fact, one of the grandest seientific conquests of modern times, dates, so to speak, from yesterday.

This demonstration rests on proofs which are now so well known that the enmerration of them will be sufficient. It is evident that human bones, buried beneath an undisturbed layer of soil, prove the existence of man at the time when the layer was formed. It is no less clear that flints workent ly luman hameds and made into hatehets, knives, ete., bones of animals made into harpoons and arrow-heads, are so many irrefutable testimonies of the existence of the workers. Lasty, when human hones are found associated with homess of anmals in the same molisturbed layers, it is again evident that math and these amimal speceics have been emotempranemis.

Many farts included in these three cathanines were proved in the cartier grars, and during the course of the last century: Since 1700, excevations made lay the order of Duke Eherhated Lonis de Wartomberg, at Canstadt, near Stultgatd, lrought, to light a great number of tomes of animats, amony which was found a human cranimm. The mature of this precions relic
was, howerer, only recognised by Jaeger in 1835. About the same time an Englishman, Kemp, found in London itself, side by side with the teeth of elephants, a stone hatchet similar to those of Saint Acheul. Some time after Esper in Germany, and John Frere in England, discovered more or less analogrous facts. But none of them were able to recognise their significance, for geology was quite in its infancy, and palicontology not yet in existence.

1I. It was not till 18.3 that Amy Boué gate C'nvier some human bones which he had found in the locss of the Rhinc, near Lahr, in the Duchy of Baden. Boué regrarded these lrones as fossils. Cuvier refused to admit this conclusion. He has often been reproached with this, but the reproach is unjust. Cuvier had too often seen pretended fossil men change either into mastodons or salamanders, or even into simple contorted blocks of sandstone, not to be on his graml, and, in presence of a fact hitherto unique, he thought it wiser to admit a disturbance which would have carried into the loess bones of much later date than that of the formation of this layer.

But Cuvier, whatever may have been said of him, never denied the possibility of the discovery of fossil men. He has, on the contrary, formally adinitted the existence of our species as anterior to the latest revolutions of the globe. "Man," he says, "may have inhabited some comntry of small extent from which he repeopled the earth after these terrible events." We see that the praises and reproaches which have been addressed to our great naturalist on account of an opision which he never held, are equally undeserved.

The reserve, perhaps exargerated, which Cuvier imposed upun himself, and the confidence which was placed in him, weighed heavily upon science by impeding the comprehension of the value of ohservations made by Tournal ( 1525 18.9) in L'Aude, by Christol (14-2!) in Lo Gard; by Schmerling (183:3) in Belginm; by Joly (18:35) in Lozare; by Mareel de serres ( $15: 3!$ ), in L'Ande, and ly Lumd (lity) in

Brazil. In 181.5 almost all the sawants, properly so called, shared the opinion so well stated by Desnoyers. Without regarding the existence of fussil man as impossille, they did not think that the discovery had as yet been made.

It is to the persevering efforts of a distinguished archawolugist, Boncher de Perthes, that we owe the proof of a fact so long denicd, and now universally admitted. Under the influence of certain philosophical ideas, little calculated to procure him followers, he had admitted is priori the existence of human beings anterior to the present man, from whom they must have differed considerably. He hoped to find either their remains themselves, or the prolucts of their inlustry, in the upper alluvial deposits. Watching either himself.or through his agents the excavation of the gravel pits near Abberille, he collected there a number of flints, more or less rudely worked, but bearing the numistakable impress of the hand of man. Some of his publications (1S.47) brought him visitors, who in their turn carried on the search. Soon after, M. Regollot (180̈5) and M. Gaudry (1855) obtainad from the gravel of Saint Acheul hatchets similar to those of Ableville, and declared themselves convinced. The Einglish savants, Falconer, Prestwich, and Lyell, after having visited the collection of Boncher de Perthes, did the same, and hand many imitators.
III. In spite of the discoveries which were multiplied in caverns and gravel-pits, even in the neighhourhood of Paris, the same oljections were bronght against the leclievers in fussil man which Cuvier had opposed to Amy Boné. The juxtaposition of the remains of extinct amimals and human benes, or anticles of human workmanship, were attributed to a refiomation effected hy water. The high anthority of M. du Bramont hent new fore to this argument. He comparel the allmimn of the meightompond of Abheville to his terations des puties, formed, he said, by storms of an exaptional vielence, which only happened oner in a thomsind years, and which hope up turehom materials derived from different heds. As for the oljecets diseovered in caverns they
inspired still less confidence than the others, on account of the ease with which the bed might be undermined by elddies, which would tend to deposit in the heart of a subjacent layer objects derived from the upper layers, without destroying either the one or the other.

Many men of high intellect still hesitated, until M. Lartet published his remarkable work upon the grotto of Aurignac (1861). Here doubt was impossible. This grotto, or rather ruck-shelter, was closed at the time of its discovery by a slab of stone brought from a distance; M. Lartet discovered, either in the interior or at the entrance, the bones of eight or nine species of animals which are essentially characteristic of quaternary deposits. In his memoir he gives details of all the remains. Some of these animals had evidently been eaten upon the spot, their bones, partly carbonized, still bore the trace of fire, the charcoal and ashes of which were discovered ; those of a young tichorhine rhinoceros showed marks made by flint implements, and their spongy extremities had heen gnawed by carnivora; the species of the latter was shown by his excrement, which was recognized as that of the hyena spelera.

The grotto or rock-shelter of Aurignac is excavated in a small momutainous group, a spur of the plateau of Lanćmézan, which the Pyrenean drift has never reached. It is, therefore, free from the oljections drawn from the intervention of aqucous currents. Thus the facts made known by M. Lartet were generally accepted at once in their fullest signification. These facts show that man lived in the midst of a quaternary famna, which he nsed as food, including the rhinoceros, and was followed by the hyena of this epoch, who fimished the remains of his meals. The coexistence of man with these fussil species was proved.

A few ill-julged attacks were still made by savants, who did not accept the testimony of these facts, among others that of the discovery of a human jaw made by Butheher de Perthes. But the discoveries became so mumerous that the last among them was soon reduced to silence, and had to
submit to the mention of fossil mun withont raising the slightest protest.
IV. It would be too tedious and, indeal, useless to enumerate here all these discoveries. I will only mention some of the most striking ones associated with the names of Lartet and Christy, his enthusiastic colleague. At Les Eyzies, these indefatigable investigators discovered a stalagmitic layer formed of a veritable breceia, which contained worked flints, ashes, charcoal, and bones of different ghaiternary animals. Large slabs of this breccia now figure in many collections. In this same grotto they found a vertebra of a young reindecr pierced by a flint which bad broken in the bone, thus causing the death of the animal. Finally, in 1s6t, M. Lartet hacd the pleasure of being present at the discovery of a plate of mammoth ivory, upon which a representation of the animal itself had been carved with a sharp flint by an artist of La Madeleine. In this drawing are found the characteristic traits of the mammoth, as they are known to us from the remains of the animal which are at times fonnd preserved, with its thick fur and longl hair, in the ice of Siberia.

For man to be able to draw the portrait of any animal speries, he must have been contemperanculs with it. Now proofs of this nature have rapidly beome more momerns and striking. In l'Aricure M. Garrigon fomd a representation of the eave hear traced on a pebble. M. de Vilmaye oxtracted from the grotto of Langerie Basse a sketeh of a fight hetween seindeer remarkathly well drawn upon a piece of selist. The sume animal has loeen discovered represented in senpture in the sitne rock-sholter, and again in the rock-sholter of Anomtantruc, where M. Peccalean de l's sle fomed his womderful daggor-handlues.

I need not spak here of the weapons, foris, and instrinments of every kimel, from the simple hoife to harbed arrowheads, and harpmens, to hamel-haf shaped lance-heads, and dagers toothed and gromead, wheh equal the finest specimens foum in Demmark. I will ualy remark that all these oljects
prove the existence of man, and that we now count by the thousand articles made by him during the geological period preceding our own.

Without being nearly so abundant, the remains of man himself have been discovered in every part of the quaternary formation. Although several European states have contributed towards this mass of discoveries, by far the greater number occurred in France and Belgium.

I cannot here enter into details, some of which will be more alvantageonsly discussed in another part of the book. I will only mention the cave of Cro-Magnon, which was discovered by the railway engineers in 1860, not far from the station of Les Eyzies, and which has given us the type of one of the best characterized fossil races. Nor can I pass over in silence the successful and laborious researehes made by M. Martin from 1867 to 1873 in the quarries near Paris, the results of which enabled M. Hamy to fix the succession of types in our immediate neighhourhood. Lastly, I would allude to the investigations of M. Dupont in the valley of the Lesse. Commenced in 186. 4 , and contimed during seven years with an unequallel activity, they have presented to the Musenm at Brussels about su,000 worked flints, 40,000 bones of animals, now all named, the cranin of Furfooz, and twenty-one jaws, including the now celebrated jerw of Siulett?.

It is not only in Europe that the existence of fossil man has been proved. Even in 1844 Lund had announced that he had found in certain caverns in Brazil human bones associated with remains of extinct animals. He afterwards withdrew his statement, doubtless owing to the distrust with which every announcement of this kind was received. But his observations, which, unfortunately, were never pubblished in detail, were probably correct. In 1867 M . W . Blake amomeal to the Congress of Paris that in the auriferous deposits of California, and especially near the village of Sunora, weapons, instrmments, and even stone ornaments were frequently fund associated with the bones of the manmeth and the mastodon. Dr. Sucll, who lives in this
lucality, possesses a large and rich collection of them. Dr. Wilson published some facts of the same nature in 1865.
V. It became necessary, in orler to prevent our being lost amidst these riches of every description, to distribute them in a methodical manner, and arrange them in order of time. The miversal preponderanco of weapons, tools, sculpture, drawings, etc., has led archeologists to propose different classifications essentially fomded upon the difference of the types presented by these articles, and upon the material from which they were made. The classification which M. de Mortillet has applied to the Museum of St. Germain is of this kind. But such classifications, though very convenient for the arrangement of a public collection, have the inconvenience of being rather artificial. The naturalist and the anthropolagist ought to give the preference to palarontolegrical or greological data.

Lartet prefered the former. He connected the division of quaternary times with the predominance and extinction of the great mammalia. The eave-bear, which was the first to disappear, he employed to mark the most ancient period; the mammoth and the tichorline rhinoceros, which survived it, characterised the secom; the reindeer and the aurochs have served to mark the third and fourth.

This classification has the inconvenicnee of being purely local, since the disapprarance of guaternary species did not takeplare everywhere at the same time, and was not general. In reality the are of the reindeer still contimues in Lapland, and that of the aurochs is prolonged, a little artificiatly it is true, in the forests of Lithuania But Lartet's mothod connects haman gromps with animal types; it charaterises the equchs by un erent palaromtelogically important; it preserves the relation between the suceession of periouls and himbugical erints ; it offirs, therefore, scmions advantages if taken for what it is. Jlhis was rery clearly moderstood hy the eminent anthor of the theory ; he has only applied it to France.

Since M. Lartet made his splendid investigations, fresh
facts have come to light, and, as it often happens, distinctions, which at first were apparently most pronounced, have now been partly effacel. 'Iherefore M. Dupont has proposed to reduce to two the four ages of Lartet, which is perhaps excessive even for Belgimm. M. Hamy, agrain, has admitted three ages as corresponding to the mean and new river levels of M. Belgrand. This division of quaternary times has the alvantage of being connected with geological phenomena; it at least partly loses the too exclusively local character, amd it ourght for this reason to be preferred.

Let us, nevertheless, consider the sulyeet fur a moment from Lirtet's point of view, which permits of an interesting comparison. We have seen in Demmark the succession of three regetable species; the beech, the oak, and the pine bring us to the commencement of the present modern epoch. In France the successive disappearance of four animal species, the cave-bear, mammoth, reindeer, and aurochs, which at first were contemporaneous on our soil, characterises so many epochs which embrace the whole quaternary period. Man hiss been eontemporancous with them all ; he made use of their flush for food, and has luft representations of them in sculpture and drawings.
VI. Can we go further and find traces of man even in tortiary times? Falconer, the celcbrated Engrlish palarontulogist, prematurely lost to science, did not hositate to rply in the affirmative. But he only expected to fime tertiary man in India, and M. Desnogers has discovered him in France.

It was in $15(63$, in the gravel-pit of Siant-Prest, ne:re Chartres, that II. Desmoyers himself foumd a tibia of rhinocerns bearing marks of incision and grooves similar to those which had been so often noticed in the bones of bears and remdeer caten by quaternary man. A careful comparison and mmerous facts of the same nature, shown in different collections, anthorised him to announce that man might be traced bevond the glacial epoch, and had lived in pliveene times.

But M. Desnoyers only brought forward proofs of a single kind, and such as are nut appreciated at their full value until we are used to them. Thus his work was at first received with a certain amount of distrust. He was asked to produce, if not pliocene man himself, at least some oljects of his industry, and, in particular, the weapons which would emable him to attack, and the knives with which he could cut up the elephant and rhinoccros, or the great deer, whose bones all bear the marks of more or less deep incision which he attributes to man. M. l'Albé Bourgeois soon replied to these demands, and in the presence of the worked flints which he placed before competent judges, all doubt disappeared.

Unfortunately, the gravel of Saint-Prest is considered ly a sufficient number of geologists to belong rather to quaternary deposits, which are more recent than undoubted tertiary formations. It ought probably to be phaced in the period of transition which separates two distinct epochs. Perhaps it is contemporancous with the deposit of the Vietoria cave in Yorkshire, from which 'Tildeman extracted a human fibula, and which this naturalist regariled as haviug been formed a little before the great glacial cold. In shont, the discoveries: of MM. Desnoyers ant Tiddeman take back the existence of man to the contines of the tertiary perionl.

The discoveries in Italy take us still lurther. On different orcasions, and since 1863, some Italian savants thought that they had discovered in umdoubted pliocene deposits traces of human inlustry, and even human bomes. These results were, howeser, for different reasous suceessively doubted and rejectell by the most competont julges.

But M. Capellini has just disenvered, in 1876, elearer proufs of man's existence in pliocence times in the clay deposits of Monte Aperto, mestr Siemes, :and in two other places. The eminent profenor of bulogna has fomm in these localities, the age of which is mot contustent, bmes of the balomotus hearing numerons deep incisions, which it seems to the could ouly have heon producen be the action of a cutting
instrument. In some cases the bone has been broken off upon one of the faces of incision, whilst the other is smooth and sharply defined. Judging from woodeuts and casts, it is impossible to avoid admitting that the cuts have been made upon fresh bones. These incisions differ entirely from those found upon the bones of halitherium found in the miocene falunian strata of Pouancé. I have always thought it impossible to attribute the latter to man, as decidedly as I think those which we are now discussing ought to be attributed to his agency. The existence of pliocene man in Tuscany is, then, in my opinion, an acquired scientific fact. Nevertheless, I should admit that this conclusion is not yet unanimously accepted, and that it is disputed by M. Magitot, among others, who relies upon his own experience.
VII. The researches of M. l'Abbe Bourgeois take us still further back. This practised and persevering observer has diseovered in the department of Loir-et-Cher, in the Commune of Thenay, flints, the shape of which he thinks can only be attributed to man. Now geologists are unanimous in considering these deposits as miocene, belonging to the mean tertiary age.

But the tlints of Thénay, generally of small size, are almost all very roughly shaped, and many palanontologists and archeologists have considered the fractures to be due to nothing more than accidental blows. In 1572, at the Cungress of Brussels, the question was submitted to a commission of the most competent men of Germany, England, France, Belgium, and Italy, and the judges disagreed. Some accepted and some rejected all the flints exhibited by M. l'Ablee Bourgenis. Some considered that a small number only could be attributed to human industry. Others, again, thought it right to reserve their judgment and to wait for freslı facts.

I joined the ranks of the latter. But since then fresh specimens discovered liy M. l'Abbé Bourgeois have removel my last doubts. A small knife or scraper, among others, which shows a fine regular finish, can, in my opinion, only have been shaped ly man. Nevertheless, I do not hame
those of my colleagues who deny or still doubt. In such a matter there is no very great urgency, and doubtless the existence of miocene man will be proved, as that of glacial and pliocene man has been-ly facts.
VIII. Thus, man was most certainly in existence during the quaternary epoch and during the transition age to which the gravels of Saint-Prest and the deposits of the Victoria cave belong. He has, in all probability, seen miocene times, and cousequently the entire pliocene epoch. Are there any reasons for believing that his traces will be found further back still? Is the date of his appearance necessarily connected with any epoch? For an answer to these questions I only see a single order of facts to which we can aply.

We know that, as far as his bonly is concerned, man is a mammal, and nothing more. The conditions of existence which are sufficient for these anmals ought to have been sufficient for him also; where they lived, he could live. He may then have been contemporancous with the earliest mammalia, and go back as far ats the secondiry period.

Palaontulugists of high merit shrink from this proposition. They do not admit even the possibility of the existence of man in miocene times. All the mammalian famna of this period have, they say, disappenred; how should man alone have resisted against catuses which were sufficiently powerful 10) callse a complete renewal of all the beings with which he was most nearly connected?

I reengnise the force of the olyection ; but I also take int.,
 is cvidmaty owing th this intelligence that the man of Sime Prest, of the Victoria cave, and of Monte Ajerto has been ahle to survive two great geolugical eprechs. He protected himbelf against cold liy fire, and so survived till the return uf a more gemial temperature. Is it not pessible, therefore, to imagine that man of an cantier period should have fomme in his industry the necessary resumeces for struggling agoinst the comblitins which the transition from the later

## Past Gcological Epochs.

secondary times to the earlier tertiary must have imposed upon him.

In fact, the most careful judges acknowledge that man has seen the accomplishments of one of the great changes on the surface of the globe. He has lived in one of the geological eprechs to which he was but lately thought to be a complete stranger; he has been contemporary with species of mammalia which have not even scen the commencement of the present epoch. There is then nothing impossible in the idea that he should have survivel other species of the same class, or have witnessed other geological revolutions, or have appeared upon the glule with the first representatives of the type to which he belongs ly his organisation.

But this is a question to be provel by facts. Before we can even suppose it to be so, we must wait for information from observation.

## BOOK IV.

## ORIGLNAL LOCALISATION OF THE HUMAN SPECHE.

## CHAPTER XIV.

AGASSIZS THEORY-CENTLES OR CREATION.
I. Wiru the exception of Australasia, with which we are but very imperfectly aeguaiuted, and of some islands and deserts which we need not take into account, all the regions visited by man since the commencement of the era of modern discoveries have proved to be more or less inhabited. In wandering over the globe of which he took possession, the European has met with man everywhere, and quaternary palsontologry reveals him to us upon the most distant shores of the two continents.

Are all these different populations indigenons? Is man a native of the combtries where he is represented by history, and where travellers have met with him? or has he rather invaded by degrees the surface of the globe, starting from it certain mmber of peints, or from a simgle one? In other words, has man, who is now cosmopuliten, originally been more or less localised?

These questions have been answered alternatoly in the different renses which they admit of. Unfortunately these sulations have too uften been influcned by eonsiderations entirely foreign to science. It has been thourht, necessary to adspt either the one or the other in the name of dogma or philosophy, and this question has been confumeled with
that of monogenism and polygenism, without seeing that npon this particular point the two doctrines must lead anyone who remains faithful to the data of science to the same result. Science has already been shown to be our only possible guide ; let us examine her teaching on this subject.

If. The doctrine which admits the multiplicity of the geographical origins of man, has been more frequently asserted, than sustained by more or less scrious arguments. Agassiz is the ouly naturalist who has developed and defined it, by supporting it with general data. We must, therefore, first examine these data. A very short account will explain the reasons why I must, with regret, oppose one of the men whose learning and character I have always held in the highest estimation.

There are singular points of resemblance, and no less striking contrasts between Agassiz and the most extravagant disciples of Darwin. The illustrious author of the E'ssay on C'lussification is as exclusive a morphologist as the latter; neither in his opinion nor in theirs, does the idea of filiution form any comection with that of species; he declares, as they do, that the questions of crossing, of constant or limited fertility, have no real interest. We are justified in attributing these opinions, so strange in such an eminent zoologist as Agassiz, to the nature of his early works. It is well known that he commenced his career with his celehrated researches upon fossil fishes. We have already remarked upon the influence which is almost inevitably exereised by fossils, where form alone has to be considered, where nothing calls attention to the genealogical connection of beings, and where we meet with neither parents nor uffispring.

But while Datwinists admit the perpetual instukility of specific forms and their transmutution, the illustrious professor of Cambridge believes in their absolute immutability. Upon this fundamental point he is in exact opposition to ]arwin. In 18t0, whilst proclaiming the unity of the human species, he admits that the diversity which it presents
is the result of original physical differences. This is really nothing more than a mitigated polygenism ; and, like every polygenistic doctrine, compels its author to place man in contradiction to general laws. In 1845, Agassiz himself accepted this consequence in a memoir upon the geographical distribution of animals and man. He attributed the diversities of both to the same causes. "But," he adds, "whilst in every zoological province animals are of different species, man, in spite of the diversity of his races, always forms one and the same species." The following year he declared his helief in "an indefinite number of primordial races of men created separately."

Agassiz has collected and developed all his theories in a memoir inserted at the begimning of the great polygenistic work entitled Types of Mankincl. It is clear that Nott and Giliddon, the authors of this work, were perfectly aware of the real meaning of a doctrine which proclaims the specific mity of mam, while at the same time admitting that the human races have been created separately with all their distinctive characters. We, also, must not be deceived, but recognise Agassiz as a true polygenist.

I shatl, therefore, be obliged to make all those ohjections to the theory of the eminent naturalist which have already been stated. Moreover, the siugular association which he has endeavoured to estallish between the unity of species and the original clueructerisation of ruces, has led him into contradictions and consequences which are peculiar to him, atul which it would scarcely be possible to pass by in silence.

Agassiz, like the greater number of polygenists, gives no intimation of what he means by the word race. Yet he makes use of it incessantly and declares, for example, that he is ready to show that "the differences existing between homan races are of the same nature as those which separate farnilies, gencra, atul species of apes or other animals.
"The chimpanzee and the gorilla," he adds, "do not differ from each other more than Mandingoes from the Negroes of Guinea; there is less difference between cither of them and
the orang, than there is between the Malay or the White and the Negro."

Must not the logical consequence of such positive language be, that man forms a zoological family comprising several genera and many species, precisely similar to the family of anthropoid apes? But no; Agassiz devotes a new paragraph to declaring that this opinion, which he has expressed so clearly, agrees entirely with the theory of unity, and in no way brings human fraternity into question. In one of his first memoirs upon questions of this nature, he declared that man is an exceptional being, and we shall see how far he pushes this unavoidable consequence of his theories.

In a letter addressed to the same authors, and priuted in the Indigenous Races of the Eurth, Agassiz returns to the sume subject. He here insists upon considerations which, in his first work, he had merely alluded to, and which we are truly astonished to receive from his pen. In order to show that the same local causes have acted upon man and animals, he draws attention to the resemblance of colour, which, according to him, exists between the complexion of the Malay and the colour of the hair of the Orang; from the same point of view he compares the Negrittoes and Telingas with the gibbons.

If it were possible to consider scriously this comparison between the skin of a human group, and the colomr of the hair of an animal, we should have no lack of arguments to bring against the author. I shall only remind my readers that black gibbons are found in Sumatra, which is one of those islands where men are cousidered by Agassiz to resemble the orang in colour.

Cirried away ly the heat of controversy with those naturalists who admit the mity of the geographical origin of man, Agassiz goes much further than this. He considers the various languages as being of primitive origin as well as all other characters. Men, he asserts, were created by nations, each of which appeared upon the globe with its own language. He draws a comparison between these
languages and the voices of animals; he laughs at philologists for their belief in the discovery of any connection between one language and another. In his opinion, there is just as much relation between one human language and another, as between the growling of different species of bears, the mewing of the cats of the two continents, the quacking of ducks, or the song of thrushes, who all pour forth their gay and harmonious notes, each in its dialect, which is neither inherited nor derived from another.
Philologists will most certainly reject the law as laid down by Agassiz. But I must also protest against the comparison admitted by this illustrious naturalist. If I attribute a lunguage to animals, I do not forget how rudimentary it is. I recollect that no animal has ever learnt the language of amother. I know too well the distance there is between unimal interjections and articulate speech, and I am as well aware as anyone that to use such an iustrument, so as to produce from it true languages, can only be accomplished by the superior intelligence of man.

Agassiz, when he had arrived at this point, must have felt that he had lost himself, and that, in trying to harmonise the idea of a single human species with that of several races of distinct origin, he was entering an endless lahyrinth. His last work betrays the signs of this embarrassment only too clearly. It is probably in the hope of escaping from it that the author has finally even denied the existence of species. After having again rejected the criterion drawn from crossing and degrees of fortility, he alds: "With it disappears in its turn the pretended reality of species as opposed to the mode of existence of genera, families, orders, classes and branches. Reality of existence is, in fact, possessed by individuals alone." Thus, from adhering solely to morphology, from a disregard of the physical side of the question, from having allowed themselves to be guided by a logic which is only founded upon ineomplete data, Agassiz and Darwin have arrived at a similar result. Both have disregarded this great fact, intelligible to common sense, demonstrated by science, and
which governs everything in zoology, as it does in botany, the division, namely, of organised beings into elementary and fundamental groups which propagate in space and time. But Darwin, starting from the phenomena of variations which are presented by these beings, considers species as only races. Agassiz, entirely preoccupied with the plenomena of fixity, finally considers individuals only as existing in living nature. Both forget that the great Buffon passed successively to both these extremes only to return again to the doctrine which includes and explains all facts, and which may be summed up in these words: distinction of ruce and specics.
III. In spite of these dogmatic assertions, when it comes to application of any kind whatever, Agassiz, like Lamarck in former times, and Darwin in our own day, is obliged to use the word species in the sense in which it is employed by so many others. In the memoir, from which I have already quoted, animal and vegetable species are constantly being discussed. Their geographical distribution serves as a foundation to the theory of human origins. The author admits that they could not have arisen upon one and the same point of the globe; that the centres of creation were numerous, and that the species diverging from these centres give to the actual flora and fanna all their characteristic features.

Up to this point Agassiz has only accepted the doctrine of centres of creation, a doctrine entirely French in origin, having been formulated by Desmoulins aud developed by M. Edwards.

What is due to Agrassiz is the reproduction, in the namo of science, of a theory at first proposed by La Peyrère in the name of theology : giving to man the whole world as his original home: the admission that the human races originated in the same places as the groups of anmal and vegetable species, and the connection of one of these races with each centre of creation; the multiplication of the number of human creations to such a degree as to profess that "man was created by nations," endowed from the first with all
their distinctive characters, and each speaking its own special langnage.

There is, at first sight, no absurdity in the idea itself, nothing at all contradictory to anything which we have as yet met with. We lave seen above that physiology leads to the conclusion that "human groups are to all appearance descended from one primitive pair." It goes no further than that. Anyone who confines himself to inferences drawn from this order of facts might, therefore, accept the theory of Agassiz as, it is true, a very gratuitous liypothesis, but convenient in order to accomut for the distribution and actual diversity of human types.

This is no longer the case when we turn to another branch of the natural sciences, zoulogical and botanicul geograpley. We then can easily prove that the theories of Agassiz tend to make an exception of man, to place him at variance with the general laws of the geographical distribution of all other urganised beings, and, consequently, that they are false.
IV. I fully agree with the views of Agassiz, as far as centres of crection, or sather centres of uppearctace are concerned.

All who confine themselves to the data of olservation aud experiment will see at once that all ammal and vegetable species conld not have originated upon any one spot of the globe. The fomer shows ns, in various regions, different types and species, living naturally in comntries which present alnost precisely the same conditions of existence. The latter teaches us that we can transport the greater number of species from one region to anotlicr, and that they will prosper there, if the eombitions of existence are the same ; that, on the contrary, anctio and tropical species camot, even (कmporarily, be submitted to the action of the same conditions; that nesther can withstamd the action of a temperate climate. It is impossible with all these facts to avoid the conclusion that plants and amimals had several points of appearance.

But if 1 acerpet this doctrime as the only one reconcilable with facts, it is mon the condition of adopting it entirely,
and as developed by studies upon the geographical distribution of all living beings. Now, works of this kind are mmerous at the present time.

For all phanerogamous plants we have the work of M. Ad. de Candolle, which has been a standard work ever since its appearance.

Animals have not yet had their de Candolle. The great work of M. Alphonse Edwards will partly fill up this gap for the more sonthern regions of the globe. In the meantime, important investigations have been made in some of the principal classes. Buffon, by his admirable researches upon the geography of mammals, opened the way, in which he las been followed by the two Geoffroy Saint-Hilaires, Fr. Cuvier, and Andrew Murray ; Dumeril and Bibron have studied reptiles from the same point of view ; Frabricius, Latreille, Macley, Spence, Kirby, and Lacordaire have done the same for insects; M. Milne Edwards has worked out the distribution of the crustacea; I have endeavoured to do as much for the annelids. Finally, a great number of works bearing upon the lower groups have long been known to science, and Agassiz himself has largely contributed to increase our knowledge in this direction.

A certain number of general facts stand ont from this mass of research, which we call luncs. If the theory of Agassiz is true, it ought to agree with these laws. Now the disagreement is apparent from the outset.

Let us prove, in the first place, that this theory includes two very distinct ideas : that of the original cosmopolitanism of the human species; and, secondly, that of a geographical connection between the human race and the animal or vegetable groups observed in a common contre. Let us examine the truth and error contained in this last statement.

Agassiz holds that the influence of the centre of appearance is general and absolute. It extends to all the products of the soil as well as to those of fresh and salt waters. A country is just as much characterised by its plants and animals as by its human beings. In his opinion, an essen-
tially local force seems to have produced all beings, or at least to have imprinted upon them a common mark.

This generalisation was inevitable. Any one who wishes to attach a human race to each centre of appearance is compelled to localise in each one of them the original canse of all the animal and vegetable forms which are indigenous in it. For all living beings geographical coincidence must be absolute.

Now there is generally no such coincidence. From the waters of a river to the banks which enclose it, the contrast may be striking. This is exactly what was shown by the discoveries of Agassiz himself in the ichthyology of the Amazon. To anyone who accepts the results published by the illustrious traveller, it is evident that this fauna may be divided into groups much more narrowly confined than those of terrestrial fanna. The same fact may be observed upon the shores of two seas separated by even a very narrow strip of land. The terrestrial fauna and flora are the same throughout the whole extent of the isthmus of Suez, whilst MI. Elwards lias not fumd a single species of crustace:a common to both the Mediterrancan and the Red Sea, and the study of amelids has led me to the same result.

Moreover, the same region may be the centre of appearauce for one class of animals, but by no means for another. Australia, for example, is one of the most characteristic centres for mammals, and stands alone from this point of view among the surrounding countries. With respect to insects Australia agrees, on the contrary, with New Zealand, New Caledunia and the neighbouring islands. I have borrowed this last fact from Lacordaire. It has the more valne since this entomologist has multiplied the centres of appearance to a much greater extent than $\Lambda$ gassiz, and has, therefore, made their characterisation easier.

Thens the coincidence admitted by Agassiz, far from extending to all the organised leings of a region, does not even exist in certain cases between the different elasses of animals alone.
V. Agrassiz divides the entire surface of the globe into nine
great regions or kingdoms. I cannot here give in detail the numerous criticisms to which the fixed limits and characterisation of these centres are open. l shall confine myself to a few short remarks upon each.

1. Pulynesian Kinglom. We shall see presently that it is impossible to regard Polynesia as a centre of human appearance. This region has been entirely peopled by migration from the Indian Archipelago, the history of which has been partly preserved. The first kingdom of Agassiz must be struck out as far as we are concerned; it is an exclusively animal and vegetable centre. Agassiz, moreover, though he supports it in the text and upon the map, does not assign it a place in the illustrated table, in which he sums up his ideas.
2. Australiun Kinglom. Agassiz includes New Guinea in this kinglom. He thus destroys the homogeneity of the mammalogical fauna. At the same time he unites the several human races of Australia with the Negrittoes and Papuans. This alone destroys all unity of type.
3. Malay or Indian Kingdom. This kingdom comprises India, the Malay Archipelago, and the Andaman Islands. Now, anterior to the Aryan conquest, Yellows and Blacks lived in India. The latter are still found in a pure state in the peninsulia of Malacea, and in the Andaman Islands; Malaysia presents a perfect mixture of most different races, from the White to the Negro. The Malays, properly so-called, are much rather a population levelled by the action of Islamism, than a race in the true sense of the word; they present in a high degree the characters of intercrossing. All these facts protest against the idea of making these regions a centre of human appearance.
4. Holtentot Fuma. Agassiz abandons the expression kingdom in speaking of the south of Afriea, without giving any reason for the change. Whatever the canse may be, this is one of the least unfavourable regions for the application of his theory. From a geological or botanical point of view, South Africa constitutes a veritable centre. The

Bosjesman and the Hottentot might be considered as the characteristic human type. But the Negroes of Delagoa and the Kaffirs still protest against this partial coincidence.
5. Africun Kingdom. This region is considered by Agassiz to comprise the rest of Africa, with the exception of the shores of the Mediterranean. He adds Madagascar and the southern half of the Arabian peninsula. Now, from a mammalogical point of view, Madagascar forms a little centre of itself, whilst the human population is very mixed. The Hovas are very slightly modified Malays, and the languages of the Sacalaves themselves indicate relations with the Malayo-Polynesians. As to the continental portion of the kingdom, it is enough to remark that it includes Negroes, Abyssinians, Arabs, etc. History, as well as the present state of things, protests against the connection made in this case by the author.
6. European Kingdom. This division Agassiz considers as comprising the entire circumference of the Mediterrancan, Persia and Beloochistan. Consequently it embraces very different fauma and flora; it mixes up Aryan, Semitic and Chamitic populations, and takes no account of history. Agassiz himself recognises this fact, and declares that he has only taken into consideration pre-historic times. Since the Quatemary epoch, however, France alone has supported tribes which were tall and dolichocephalic, and others which were short and brachycephatic. Finally, although Agassiz includes the Persians with the Europeans, he leaves out the Hindoos who are ethologically comected with them, and places them in an entirely different kingdom.
7. Mongolian or Asiatic hingdom. This kingdom enrloses all the central portion of $\Lambda$ sia, beginning at the Botor and the Himalayas, and extending as far as Japan. The Mongol is taken as the loman type of this vast extent of comutry. But Agrassiz forgets the Aryans of the Bolor, the white Jutchis, the Japanese of the same type, the Ainos, ete. He mites, therefore, people which belong to at least two extreme types of mankind.
8. Americun Kinglom. Agassiz makes but one kingdom of the whole of America, whilst all zoologists and botanists are agreed in dividing it into at least two great and distinctly characterised centres. He adopts the opinion of Morton, who only admits one human race in Americi, with the exception of the Esquimanx. Now, since the publication of d'Orbigny's Homme Americain, it is no longer possible to believe in this uniformity. The numerous investigations which have been undertaken upon this question have, moreover, proved still more strongly the multiplicity of races admitted by this traveller. Again, if the himman races of America are compared with those of the old world, we shall find, with a few exceptions, a very close comection with Asia, especially in certain populations of Central America: if we compare the fiuma and flora, the connection is, on the contrary, closer in North America. These facts are in direct opposition with the theory of Agassiz.
9. Aretic Kinglom. This latter kinglom deserves is little more attention than the others. It comprises all the northern regions of the two continents. The southern limit is somewhat arbitrarily fixed by Agassiz at the zone of forests. In no region of the world does man meet with such identical conditions of existence, for all are governed by cold. It would seem, therefure, to be better able than any other to justify the author's theory, and yet facts agree but very slightly with it.

Agassiz characterises this kinglom by the existence of one plant and six species of animals, five mammals and one bird. The plant is the I celand lichen (cenomyce rangiferina). Now, this lichen is so little characteristic of proar regions that it is found in many parts of France, and even in the neichbourhood of Paris at Fontainebleau. M. Decaisne believes that our hares and rabbits live upon it in winter, as the reindeer do in Lapland. Further, the observations recently made in Greenland by the German Polar Expedition, show that in this country, which, of all cometries in
the Arctic Kingdom, should most readily adapt itself to the conceptions of Agassiz, and which is imhabited by purcblooded Esquimaux, possesses scarcely one vegetable species; which can be said to be peculiar to it, and that a great number of them are found in the $\mathrm{Alps}_{\mathrm{p}}$, and upon the summits of the Vosges. It is a result of the return of heat after the glacial epoch, the species which resisted it having emigrated in altitude as well as in latitude.

In animal species, the white bear and the walrus are really polar. The same may be said of the Greenland scal considered as a species. But as a type we meet with it everywhere; as a genus it inhabits all the seas of Europe. The reindeer inhabited France in the Quaternary epoch; it was living in Germany in Cessar's time ; it descended yearly to the C'aspian Sea during the lifetime of Pillas. The true whale nsed to visit our coast before it was driven away by man. Finally, at this day, the eider duck builds yearly in Denmark, ten to fifteen degrees sonth of the Polar cirele. Thus, in the six species mentioned by Agassiz as peculiar to his Aretic Kingdom, three at least belong equally to his European Kingdom.

Agassiz wats certainly more capable than anyone else of niecly characterising the region in 'fuestion, if it had been possible to do so. He failed, because there is in reality no such thing as a true Arctic fama. The cause of this lies in the extension of more southern fauna, which become imporerished as they adsamed northwards, but change their rharacter very slightly. In reality, this kingdom is broken up, into imdependent provinces, or rather, is connected with remions siluated more to the south, and consequently better divided. 'The Polar region, says Lacordaire, in speaking of insects, is characterised less loy the speciality of its products than hy their scarcity. All these facts, again, are the comsirquence of the peopling of the Aretic regions after the glacial epoch.

It would seem that man at least might present at the prele the homogeneity supposed liy the theory. It is not so,
however, whatever may be the assertions of Agassiz upon this subject. "A peculiar race of man," he says, "live there, known in America by the name of Esquimaux, elsewhere by that of Lapps, Samoyedes or Tchouktchis. . . The uniformity of their characters throughout the whole extent of the Arctic seas unites them in a striking manner with the fauna with which they are so closely connected."

There are, in these words of Agassiz, grave ethnologrical and anthropological crrors. The uniformity of characters of which he speaks does not exist at all. It will suffice to remind my readers that the Lapps are one of the most brachycephalic, and the Esqumaux one of the most dolichocephalic races with which we are acquainted. In fact, these two races are so entirely distinct that no anthropologist has ever dreamt of establishing a connection between them.

As to the Samoyedes and 'Tchouktchis, they have not always inhabited the icy lands where we now meet with them. The former have still a recollection of having come from the south, and M. de I'chiatchef has discovered the original stock upon the confines of China. The latter settled at Behring's Straits but a short time agro to free themselves from Russian conquest, against which they had bravely struggled. They subjugated and absorbed the Yukagires, their predecessors. They differ, morcover, equally from Esquimanx and Lapps.
'Ihus, in the Arctic Kingdum, where all the most fiwourable conditions for the display of any truth which the ideas of Agassiz may possess are brought together, everything protests against these ideas. In spite of his vast knowledge, he could not characterise it zoologically in a precise manner; the special fauna which lie admits does not exist; thie identity of populations which he proclamed disappears umber the slightest examination.

Finally, the theory which attaches a hmman race to every centre of appearance as a local product of that centre, onght tu be rejected by anyone who sets the least value upon the results of observation.

## CHAPTER XV.

## PROGRESSIVE LOCALISATION OF ORGANISED BEINGS.-CENTRES

 OF APPEARANCE-ORIGINAL LOCALISATION OF MAN.J. An eminent man may draw incorrect conclusions from the existence of centres of appearance without their existence being any the less real. Unconnected with animal or vegetable centres, the human races might have their own ; man might have come into existence wherever we mect with him. But, before we accept this original cosmopolitanism, we must assure ourselves that it suljects man to general laws. Now we shall see that this hypothesis is, on the contrary, at variance with all general facts presented by plants as well as animals.
II. Let us first prove that no animal or vegetable species imbabits, as man does, almost the entire globe.

The assertion of Ad. de Candolle could not be more precise as far as plants are concerned. "No phanerogamous plant," he says, "is distributed over the entire surface of the earth. There are only eighteen whose area extends to half the globe. No tree or shrub figures among these plants, which are so widely distrihuted." This latter remark belongs to an order of considerations which we shall meet with again.

Being umable to enter into an examimation of all the facts which are offered by the various classes of the Animal Kingdom from this pmint of view, I shall confine myself to a few details upon biggls and mammals.

We should expeet to find the former presenting rery extensive areas of hahitation by reason of thecir mode of focomution. It i , in fact, among them that we find some of the
species which most deserve the epithet of cosmopolitan. 'lhey do not, however, equal man in this respect.

The stock-dove, the parent stock of our domestic pigcon, extends from the south of Norway to Madeira and Abyssinia, from the Shetland Islands to Borneo and Japan; but it does not reach as far as either the equator or the polar circle ; and it is wanting both in America and Polynesia.

The fulvous vulture is found in all the temperate regions of the old world, crosses the equator in Africa and descends as far as the Cape. But we do not meet with it either in our polar regions, in America or in Polynesia.

The peregrine falcon has perhaps of all animals the widest area. It is found in America, as also in all the warm or temperate regions of the old world. It is supposed to exist in Australia, but we do not meet with it either in Polynesia or in the polar regions.

Among mammals, whales, on account of their immense powers of locomotion and the continuity of seas, would seem to be adapted to true cosmopolitanism. This, however, is not the case. They are almost all confined within relatively very limited areas, and rarely pass beyond their customary boundaries. Commodore Maury regarded the equatorial sea as forming an invincible obstacle to their passage from one hemisphere to the other. Two exceptions have, however, leen observed to this rule. A rorqual (Meguptera longimunu) and a Sibuldius luticeps are said to have crossed this barrier, and to have passed from our seas to those of the Cape and of Java. These exceptions might easily be explained by various accidental circumstances. Supposing however wo were to accept them as testifying an exceptional relative cosmopolitanism, we still have the fact that they have never been met with in the Pacific Ocean.

With the exception of whales, we shall find nothing at all resembling cosmopolitanism. Setting aside the whole of Oceania, we only find, as common to both the Old and the Now World, two or three ruminants, perlaps a bear, a fox and a wolf. All these species are, moreover, more or less
polar, and are wanting in the central regions of the two worlds. Finally, there is not one species of cheiroptera or quadrumana which is iudigenous both in America and the Old World.

Beyond those species which man has disseminated by making them follow his migrations, animals and plants evidently occupy their natural area, wherein lies the centre from which they have spread. We see that even after this dispersion none of them have acquired an area of habitation which can be compared to that of man.

The admission that the human species appeared in every place in which it is found, attributing to it an original cosmopolitanism, would make it a solitary exception in contradiction to the facts presented by all other species. An hypothesis which leads to such a conclusion should be rejected as irreconcilable with the results of observation. If man is now to be found everywhere, it is owing to his intelligence and industry.
III. This conclusion is foreed even upon polygenists themselves; unless, indeed, they would reject, as inapplicable to man, the laws of zoological and lotanical geography.

In fact, to whatever extent they may have multiplied their human species, they have been obliged, upon even the slightest study of natural history, to unite them into a single genns. Now, all that has just been said of species applies equally to generc. The area of habitation is doubtless increasel, and, for example, some genera of cetaceans, as dolphins and roryuals, are found in all seas; and amongst terrestrial mammals, some genera of ruminants and carnivora inhabit, in a greater or less degree, both the Old and the New World. But they are all alsent in the greater part of ()cc:allia.

Noreover, the higher the types, the fewer is the number of these genera of widely extended areas. Cheiroptera, which are not provided with a nasal membrane, have some genera common to both the Old and the New World. This is no longer the case in cheiroptera, in which the nose is provided
with a membrane. There is not a single genus among them, any more than among quadrumana, which inhabits both America and the Old World.

Consequently, polygenists must admit that the species of which their human genus is composed could not have come into existence in every place where man is now found, unless they wish to make a striking exception of this human yenus.
IV. Should we wish to regard the human races as forming a family composed of several genera, or even as an order comprising several families, the same difficulties would present themselves.

Setting aside the marsupials and edentata, to which we shall return, it is true that the great normal orders of terrestrial mammals, the ruminants, rodents, insectivora, and carnivora are almost as cosmopolitan as man. But this is no longer the case with the cheiroptera, not one of which passes the polar circle. As to the quadrumana, it is well-known that they are wanting in Europe, with the exception of the Rock of Gibraltar, in North America and in the greater part of Asia and Oceania. Thus it appears that, even in the extreme hypothesis which I have here indicated, it would not be in the animal types which present the greatest resemblance to man, but among the carnivora or ruminants that we should be forced to seek for geographical analogies in favour of the pretended cosmopolitanism of the human order.
V. This limitation of the areas of habitation of amimals, which is evidently related to their degree of elevation in the scale of beings, is a general fact which we also meet with in plants. On this point Ad. de Candolle speaks as follows :"The mean area of species is smaller according as the class to which they belong has a more complete, a more highly developed, or, in other words, a more perfect organisation."

The mogressive locelisation of organised beings, increasing in degree as they become more perfect, is, then, a general law. Physiology will readily account for this fact.

The perfection of organisms is the result of division of labour, which demands the multiplication of functional apparatus. As the anatomical instruments become more numerous and special, the functions do the same. From this cause alone the conditions of harmony between the living being and the conditions of life which surround it become more and more definite. Consequently, the auimal or the plant only finds its really favourable conditions in a constantly diminishing area. Beyond these limits the conditions of life change, the struggle for existence becomes more hazardous, and the spread of the species, genus, family, or even order is arrested. Man alone, armed against the conditions of life by his intelligence and industry, is capable of overcoming condlitions of existence which would be an impassable barrier to his material organisation.

The law of progressive localisation is in direct opposition to the doctrine of the original cosmopolitanism of the human species. In putting it aside, polygenists, properly so called, might draw attention to the diffusion of the genera of dolphins and rorquals; polygenistic monogenists of the school of Agassiz might argue from the facts mentioned above in connection with the gencra of megaptera and silahldius; they might both say: The general law of localisation offers two exceptions; why should not man form a third?

The analogy, it is clear, is fundamentally wrong. Dolphins, rorquals and sibaldius belong to the lowest order of mammals; man, even if his body alone is considered, belongs incontestably to the highest order. Unless we make him a solitary exception, it is to the laws of the superior groups that he should be subject, and not to those of the inferior.

Thus, we are so fir justified in affirming that man could not have been originally cosmopolitan. But we can go further.
VI. Without having come into existence in every place where we now meet with him, man may have had several centres of appearance. Let ns examine this latter question.

The laws of progressive localisation and the characterisation of centres enable us both to put the question and to solve it.

Let us re-examine from this point of view the animal groups, setting aside all inferior groups and confining our attention to anthropoid apes. In this family, which most closely resembles man in its organisation, there are degrees also. The law of progressive localisation applies to this limited group equally with the entire kingdom.

We meet with the entire family in Asia, in the peninsula of Malacca, in Assam to $26^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. Lat., in Sumatra, Java, Borneo and in the Philippine Islands; in Eastern Africa from $10^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. to $15^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. Lat. The giblon genus, however, which is the lowest, is the only one which occupies the whole of Asia. The orang is confined to Bornco and Sumatra. In Africa the chimpanzec extends almost from the Zaire to Senegal; the gorilla has only been found on the Gaboon, and perhaps in Ashantee. Were he to occupy all the space which is still left blank upon that part of our maps by travellers, his area of habitation would even then be very limited. Thus, the higher the anthropoid type, the more limited the area of habitation.

If we consider the material organism alone, the human type is incontestably superior to that of the orang or gorilla. He must then have been originally localised just as much as these animal types. It will perhaps be oljected that the great apes are gradually disappearing, and that the few survivors do no more than show that they once existed in greater numbers. This would be an entirely gratuitons hypothesis having no foundation in facts, and we shall at least be permitted to reply, that the gorilla and the orang might very well have continued to exist in those places where the chimpanzee and gibbon are still living. Now, what are the areas occupied by the latter compared with the human area?
VII. I have, as yet, neglected exceptional types, such as the marsupials, the edentata, the makis, ete. ; I did not wish to argue from aberrant forms ; I confined myself to demon-
strating the luws in action in species of a so-called normal organisation. Aberrant types have, however, a very high value, and furnish us with further instruction.

- These types almost always characterise either the great centres of appearance, or the secondary centres or geographical regions. Not to mention mammals, I must remind my readers that Australia has its marsupials ; South Australia, the ornithorynchus; polar America, the musk-ox; central America, the edentata; Africa, the giraffe; Asia, the yak; the Cape, the gnu; Madagascar, the makis and ayeaye ; the Gaboon, the gorilla, etc.

Man, also, is evidently an exceptional or aberrant type among mammals. He, alone, is construeted for a vertical position; he, alone, has true hands and feet; he, alone, exhibits the highest degree of cerebral development, and possesses that superiority of intelligence which makes him master of all around him.

To allow that the human type, though the most perfect of all types, the exceptional genus in the midst of all others, has come into existence in several centres of appearance without characterising any, would be to make him a solitary exception.

However strong may be our polygenistic tendencies, and however many species we may admit, we cannot help acknowledging that the original localisation of the human genus in a single centre of appearance and the characterisation of this centre by him are the logical consequence of all the facts attested by zoological geography.

With still greater reason the monogenists will consider the privileged species which predominates over all others as one of those special types which characterise the centre, or the region in which they have appeared, as the ornithorynchus, the aye-aye, and the gnn characterise South Australia, Madagascar and the Cape.

Finally, the laws of zoological geography lead us to consider the human species as unmistakably characteristic of a single centre of appearance. Moreover, they justify us in con-
cluding that this centre cannot have been of greater extent than that of the gorilla and the orang.
VIII. Is it possible to go still further and to endeavour to determine the geographical position of the human centre of appearance? I cannot here enter into the details of this problem. I shall confine myself to determining its meaning, and to indicating the probable solutions of it from the data of science of the present time.

I must observe, in the first place, that in considering an animal or vegetable species, even those whose area is most circumscribed, no one thinks of trying to discover the precise spot upon which it may have first appeared. There is always something very vague in such a determination and it is necessarily approximative. It is still more difficult when the species in question is of universal distribution. Within these limits we are justified in at least forming conjectures which, as such, have a certain amount of probability.

The question presents very different aspects according as we confine ourselves to the present or take into cons:deration the geological antiquity of man. Nevertheless, the facts are of the same order and seem to indicate two extremes. The truth lies, perhaps, between the two.

We know that in Asia there is a vast region bounded on the south and south-west by the Himalayas, on the west by the Bolor mountains, on the north-west by the Ala-Tan, on the north by the Altai range and its offshoots, on the east by the Kingkhan, on the south and south-east by the Felina and Kuen-Loun. Judging from the present state of things, this great central region might be regarded as having contained the cradle of the human species.

In fact, the three fundamental types of all the human races are represented in the populations grouped round this region. The black races are the furthest removed from it, but liave, nevertheless, marine stations, where we find them either pure or as mixed races, from the Kioussiou to the Andaman Islands. Upon the contiuent they have inter-
mixed with almost every inferior caste and class of the two peninsulas of the Ganges; they are still found pure in both, ascend as high as Nepaul, and extend west as far as the Persian Gulf and Lake Zareh, according to Elphinstone.

The yellow race, either pure or in places mixed with white elements, seems to be the only one which occupies the space in question; it peoples all the north, east, south-east, and west. In the south it is more mixed, but forms, nevertheless, an important element in the population.

The white race, from its allophylian representatives, seems to have disputed the central area itself with the yellow race. In early times, we find the Yu-tchi and the Ou-soun to the north of the Hoang-ho; and in the present day cases of white populations have leen observed in Little Thibet and in Eastern Thibet. The Miao-Tsé occupy the mountain region of China; the Siaputh are proof against all attack in the gorges of the Bolor. Upon the confines of the area we meet with the Aïnos and the Japanese of high caste, the Tinguianes of the Phillippine Islands ; in the sonth with the Hindoos. In the south-west and west the white clement, cither pure or mixed, reigns supreme.

No other region of the globe presents a similar union of extreme human types distributed round a common centre. This fact, alone is sufficient to suggest to the mind of the naturalist the conjecture which I have expressed above ; but we may appeal to other considerations.

One of the most important is drawn from plilology. The three fundamental forms of human language are found in the same countries and under similar relations. In the ceutre, and south-east of our area, the monosyllabic languages are represented by those of China, Cochinchina, Siam and Thibet. As agglutimative languages, we find in the north-cast and north-west the group of Ougro-Japanese, in the south that of the Dravidian and Malay, and in the west the Turkish languages. Lastly, Sanserit with its derivatives, and the Iranian languages represent in the south and sonth-west the inflectional languages.

It is to the linguistic types gathered round the central region of Asia that all human languages must be referred ; whether from their vocabulary or their grammar, some of these Asiatic languages bear a close resemblance to languages spoken in regions often very distant, or scparated from the area in question by entirely different languages. We know that several philologists, M. Maury among others, establish an intimate connection between the Dravidian languages and Australian idioms, and that M. Picot has discovered numbers of Aryan words in our oldest European languages.

Finally, it is from Asia again that our earliest domesticated animals are derived. Isidore Geoffroy is entirely agreed with Dureau de la Malle upon this point.

Thus, the present epoch alone consilered, everything points to this great central plateall, or rather to this great enclosure. There, we are inclined to say, the first human beings appeared and multiplied till the populations overflowed as from a bowl and spread themselves in human waves in every direction.
IX. Palæontological studies have, however, very recently led to results which are capable of modifying these primary conclusions. MMS. Heer and de Saporta have informed us that in the Tertiary period Siberia and Spitzbergen were covered with plants, indicating a temperate climate. MM. Murchison, Keyserlink, de Vernenil, and d'Archiac tell us that, during the same period, the barren lumds of our day supported large herbivorons animals, such as the reindeer, the mammoth, and the tichorhine rhinoceros. All these animals made their appearance at the commencement of the Quaternary period. It scems to me that they did not come alone.

I have said above that the discoveries of M. l'abbe Bourgeois testify, in my opinion, to the existence of a tertiary mun. But everything seems to show that as yet his representatives were but few in number. The Quaternary populations, on the contrary, were, at least in distribution, quite as numerous as the life of the hunter permitted. Are we justified in imagining that during the Tertiary period man
lived in polar Asia side by side with those species which I have just mentioned, and that he supported himself by liunting them as he afterwards did in France? The fall of temperature compelled the animals to migrate southwards; man must have followed them to find a milder climate, and to be within reach of his customary game. Their simultaneous arrival in our climates and the apparently sudden multiplication of man would thus be easily explained.

The centre of human appearance might then be carricd considerably to the north of the region I have just been discussing. Perhaps prehistoric archæology or palæontology will some day confirm or confute this conjecture.

However this may be, no facts have as yet been discovered which authorise us to place the cradle of the human race elsewhere than in Asia. There are none which lead us to seek the origin of man in hot regions either of existing continents, or of one which has disappeared. This view, which has been frequently expressed, rests entirely upon the belief that the climate of the globe was the same at the time of the appearance of man as it is now. Modern science has taught us that this is an error. From that time there is nothing against our first ancestors having found favourable conditions of existence in northern Asia, which is indicated by so many facts borrowed from the history of man, and from that of animals and plants.

## BOOK V.

## PEOPLING OF TIE GLOBE.

## CHAPTER XVI.

## MIGRATIONS BY LAND.-EXODUS OF TIE KALMUCKS FROM THE VOLGA.

I. At the point which we lave now reached, the connection of facts and of their consequences proposes a fresh problem. Physiology has proved that there exists but one species of man, of which the human groups are races. Zoological geography has taught us that this species was originally localised in a relatively very limited space. It is now met with everywhere, because it has spread by irradiation in every direction from this centre. The peopling of the globe by migrations, is the necessary consequence of the preceding facts.
Pulygenists, and the partisans of the autochthony of nations have declared that these migrations are impossible in a certain number of cases, and have brought forward this pretended impossibility as an objection to the doctrine which I uphold. Here, again, I turn to facts for my answer.
II. I confess that I never understood how any value could be attached to this argument. Migrations are almost universal in history, and in the traditions and legends of the new as well as of the old world. We find them among the uncivilised nations of our time, and among tribes which are still lingering in the lowest stage of savage life. With every
increase and extension of knowledge, we learn to appreciate better the wandering instincts of man. Human palæontology and prehistoric archæology are daily adding their testimony to that of the historic sciences.

To jadge from this kind of information alone, it seems more than probable that the entire globe was peopled by means of migrations and colonisations. The primordial and minterrupted immobility of any human race would be a fact at variance with all analogy. It would, once constituted, doubtless establish, except under exceptional circumstances, a more or less considerable number, generally the great majority of its representatives; but in the course of ages it could not fail to have cast off swarms.
III. The supporters of autochthony lay especial stress upon two orders of considerations, the one drawn from the social condition of nations when still in their infancy and unprovided with the means of action which we now possess, the other from the ohstacles which a hitherto invincible nature would oppose to their movements.

The first objection evidently rests upon an imperfect appreciation of the aptitudes and tendencies developed in man through his different modes of life. The very imperfection of the social condition, far from arresting the diffusion of the human species, must rather lave been favourable to it. Agricultural nations are of necessity settled; to pastoral nations, less bound to the soil, special conditions are indispensable. Hunters, on the contrary, by reason of their mode of life, of the necessities which it imposes, and the instincts which it develops, cannot but spread in every sense. A rast space is neeessary to their existence; as soon as the numbers increase, even in a slight degree, they are forced to separate or to destroy each other, as is shown so clearly in the history of the Red Skins. Nations of hunters and shepherls are then alone fitted for great and distant migrations. Acricultural uations are rather colonists.

Ancient history itself entirely confirms these theoretical inductions. We know what the insaders of the Roman world
were, the destroyers of the Eastern Enipire, the Arab conquerors. The case was the same in Mexico. The Chichimequi here represent the Goths and Vandals of the Old World. If Asia has so often overrun Europe, if North America has so often sent devastating hordes into more southern regions, it is because in these two countries man was still in a barbarous or savage state.
IV. Were natural obstacles indeed insurmountable to nations destitute of our perfected means of locomotion? This question must be considered from two points of view, as the migrations in question are by land or sea.

The former demands but little attention. The weakness of man, and the strength of the barriers which the accidents of land, vegetation, or fauna might oppose to him, have unquestionably been much exaggerated. Man has always been able to vanquish ferocious animals, the rhinoceros having formed part of his food as carly as the Quaternary period. His course has never been arrested by mountains, even when encumbered by everything which could make the passage most difficult. Hannibal crossed the Alps with his elephants, and Bonaparte with artillery. The progress of the Asiatic hordes was no more stopped by the Palus Meotides than that of Fernand de Soto by the marshes of Florida. Deserts are daily traversed by caravans; and as to rivers, there is not a savage who does not know how to cross them upon some raft or other.

The truth, as is too well proved by the history of travel, is, that man alone stops man. Where the latter did not exist, there was nothing to oppose the progress of tribes or nations advancing slowly and at their own leisure, outstripping or passing each other in turn, establishing secondary centres, from which, after a time, fresh migrations would take place. Even in an inhalited country, a superior invading race would not act otherwise. It was thus that the Aryans conquered India, that the Paouians advanced, who, starting from a centre still moknown, arrived at the Gaboon with a line of front of about 2.50 miles.
V. I might dwell upon these general considerations, but it will be better to recall briefly a fact which, though of recent date, is too generally forgotten, and which shows how an entire population can effect a great migration although they mect with obstacles of every kind over a great tract of country.

About the year 1616 a horde of Kalmucks, impelled by motives with which we are unacquainted, abandoned the confines of China, and crossed Asia in order to establish themselves in the Khanate of Kazan, upon either shore of the Volga. They placed themselves under the dominion of Russia, who readily received the new colonists and respected their patriarchal government. In return, the Kalmucks proved themselves faithful subjects, and on several occasions, furnished the Russian army with numerous and valuable detachments of cavalry. This good fceling lasted till the time of the Empress Catherine, when she, having to choose between two aspirants named Oubacha and Zebeck-Dorchi, nominated the former to the government of the horde. The infuriated Zebeck determined, in revenge, to lead his fellowcountrymen back to China. Seconded by the chief Lama, he even persuaded Oubacha limself to join, and the conspiracy, though it included the entire nation, was conducted with such secrecy that it escaped the interested vigilance of Russia.

On Jan. 5 th, 1771, the Kalmucks might have been seen assembling on the left bank of the Volga. Every hatf hour groups of women, chitdren, and aged numbering from 15,000 to 20,000 , set out in waggons or upon camels, eseorted by a body of cavalry 10,000 strong. $\Lambda$ rear-guard of 80,000 picked men covered the retreat of the emigrants. A Russian officer, who was detained a prisoner for part of the journey, and has preserved these details for us, estimated the whole assemblage at more than 600,000 souls.

The Kalmucks felt the necessity for haste, in order to escape the attempts which would assuredly be made by Russia to detain them. In seven days they had accom-
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plished more than 100 leagues, with the weather dry but cold. Many of the cattle had succumbed, and the want of milk was beginning to be felt, even for the children. On arriving at the banks of the Djem, they met with their first serious disaster ; an entire clan, numbering 9000 horsemen, was massacred by Cossacks.
At the first intelligence of this flight, however, Catherine had despatched an army with instructions to bring back the fugitives. The latter had to pass, at a distance of eighty leagues from the Djem, a defile which nust be taken at any price. They advanced by forced marches. Unfortunately snow set in, and they were obliged to stop for ten days. On arriving at the defile, they found it occupied by Cossacks, who were however routed, defeated, and massacred by Zebeck.

The defile was passed, but they were forced to redouble their speed, for the Russian army was upon them. They killed and salted all the remaining cattle, and left behind every incapable woman or child, and all their aged or sick. The winter increased in severity, and though they burnt all their saddles and waggons, every encampment was marked by hundreds of frozen corpses. At length the spring came to alleviate their sufferings, and in the beginning of June, they crossed the Torgai, which flows into Lake Aksakal, to the N.N.E. of Lake Aral. In five months the emigrants had accomplished 700 leagues; they had lost more than 250,000 souls, whilst the camels alone remained of all their animals. The Russian officer, Weseloff, who was shortly after set at liberty, was able to regain the Volga with no other guide than that of the trail of corpses left upon the route.

The unfortunate fugitives had hoped to enjoy a rest after having crossed the Torgai. But the Russian army still followed, and was even reinforeed by terrible auxiliaries, the Bashkirs and Kirghises, hereditary enemies of the Kalmucks. This light cavalry was now in adrance, and it would be necessary to fight with them while still flying from the Russiaus. They were also obliged to skirt the desert, where
they would have perished from hunger, and to cut their way through countries where the inhabitants rose in arms to protect their territories against the famished invaders. Winter had given place to Suminer; the emigrants suffered as much from the heat as they had done from the cold, so that the rate of mortality was unaltered.

At length, in the mouth of September, the horde reached the frontiers of China. For many days they had had no water. At the sight of a small lake they all rushed forward to quench their thirst; the confusion was general, when the Bashkirs and Kirghises, who had never for a moment ceased to harass the fugitives, threw themselves upon the infatuated crowd, and would, in all probability, have annihilated them. Fortunately, the Emperor Kien-long was hunting in the neighbourhood, accompanied, as usual, by a small army. Informed of the arrival of the Kalmucks, he had recognised them in the distance. The sound of his artillery restored the conrage of those who were allowing themselves to be massacred, and their persecutors suffered a bloody defeat. It should be added that Kien-long distributed amongst those whom he had saved, the lands which are occupied by their descendants at the present time.

The exodus of the Kalmucks is a sufficient answer to every argument that can be adsanced on the subject of primitive migration by land. In eight months, in spite of the intense extremes of cold and heat, of incessant attacks from implacable enemies, and in spite of hunger and thirst, this nation had accomplished a distance equal in a straight line to one-cighth of the circumference of the earth. If we take into consideration all the enforeed detours, we ought probably to double the amount. With such facts as these, how can we doubt the possibility of still longer expeditions for a tribe advancing peacefully by stages, and having only to contend against the difficulties presented by the soil or wild beasts?

## CHAPTER XYII.

## migrations by sea.-polynesian migrations.-migraTIONS TO NEW ZEALAND.

I. The greater number of the defenders of autoclithony allow that there is no fundamental impossibility in migration by land, but maintain that it is different in migrations by sea. The peopling of America, and especially that of Polynesia, by emigrants from our great continent, is, in their opinion, far more than could possibly be undertaken or accomplished by nations unacquainted with the science of astronomy, and the improved method of navigation. Aocording to them, geographical conditions, winds and currents, must oppose an insurmountable obstacle to any enterprise of this nature.

Starting from Polynesia, let us see how much truth there is in these assertions. This will be taking, so to speak, the bull by the horns, for no other part of the globe seems to justify to such an extent, the opinions of autochthonists.
II. Polynesia is not quite so isolated as we are accustomed to think. A study of the map alone should be sufficient to justify us in holding that a maritime people, accustomed to the navigation of the Malay Archipelago, might, on some occasion, have pushed as far as New Guinea. This fact is now established above all dispute. Beyond Near Guinea, the Archipelago of New Britain and the Salomon Islands would put, so to speak, any fairly adventurous navigators on their way to the Fiji Islands; once arrived at this archipelago, however little they may have been impelled by the spirit of discovery, they must easily have reached Polynesia properly so called. New Zealand to the south, and the Sandwich

Islands to the north, remain, however, beyond the limits of this route, as it is pointed out by geography.

For bold mariners to be stopped in their advance, winds and currents must have been invariably contrary and irresistible. The stronger the belief in the universality and absolute constancy of the trade winds in these regions, the more was this action attributed to them. But the investigations which have been carried on in the interests of seience, the writings of Commander Maury, and the charts of Captain Kerhallet, have taught us that the variable winds due to the cloud-ring extend over almost twenty degrees in the maritime area in question. We know, moreover, that every year the monsoon drives back the trade winds and blows beyond the Sandwich and Tahiti Islands, so that instead of the winds being contrary, they are, for many months, very favourable for ships sailing eastward.

Considerations drawn from currents lead almost to the sames conclusions. In the Pacific, the equatorial current running from east to west forms in reality two great distinct oceanic streams separated by a large counter current flowing in the reverse direction. The latter skirts almost the whole northern portion of the Polynesian area; it thus, as it were, forms the outlet from the Indian Archipelago. 'There is every indication of its having played some part in the history of the dispersion of races in all parts of Oceania and to the east of the Malay peninsula.

Finally, we know that there is no absolute regularity in the atmospheric phenomena in the regions of the Pacific, any more than elsewhere. This ocean has in common with others its typhoons and its tempests, which suddenly change the direction of the winds and carry ships before them in spite of currents. Islands, both large and small, with which it is beset, must often have been visited by sailors who had thus lost their way, of which we shall presently quote examples.

Fiar from leeing impossible, the peopling of Polynesia by navigators starting from the Indian Archipelago is relatively
easy at certain times of the year, provided only that the navigators are courageous and not afraid of losing sight of land. Now we know the character of the Malay populations in this respect.

Again, those who have taken all these circumstances into consideration, Malte-Brun, Homme, Lesson, Rienzi, Beechey, Wilkes and others, have not hesitated to regard Polynesia as having been peopled by migrations advancing from west to east.
III. Writers, on the contrary, who have only consulted the imperfect knowledge which we till lately possesselk of these seas, and the ordinary direction of the winds, have either believed in autochthony or have invented various theories to explain the presence of man in this multitude of islands and remote islets.

Ellis held that the Polynesians had been conveyed from America to Oceania by winds and currents, but this hypothesis has had scarcely any adherents. It is in too direct contradiction with all the physical, philological, and social characters, which refer the Polynesians to the Malay races as strongly as they separate them from the Americans.

Dumont d'Urville has proposed a theory which, at first sight, is more satisfactory, and still has a few supporters. In his opinion, Polynesia is the remains of a great continent which was originally connected with Asia. This land sank after some geological revolutions; the sea covered the plains and hills, the highest summits only being now visible and forming the present archipelago. The Polynesians are the descendants of those who survived the catastrophe.

This hypothesis has the advantage of preserving those relations which were broken by that of Ellis. And, curious to relate, it agrees with the tradition of the deluge as preserved by the Tahitians. They say that the great inundation happened without either rain or tempest. It was the sea which rose and covered the whole earth with the exception of a flat rock where one man and a woman took
refuge. We might say that there was nothing in this account but a mistake which is easily understood. The sea never rises, but the land may sink, and other people besides the Tahitians have been deceived.

Nevertheless, we cannot accept the theory of Dumont d'Urville. It is in contradiction to the zoological facts so thoroughly investigated by Darwin and Dana. If some of the atolls of Oceania shew signs of subsidence, a great number of islands offer incontestable proofs of upheaval, and Tahiti itself is one of the latter.

But the most serious argument which can be brought against d'Urville is derived from the inhabitants themselves. If travellers agree upon one point, it is that from the Sandwich Islands to New Zealand, from the Tonga Islands to Easter Island, all the Polynesians belong to the same race, and speak the same language with mere variations of dialect.

Now the Polynesian area, the limits of which I have just pointed out, is of greater extent than the whole of Asia. Wliat would an Asiatic Polynesia be like, if that continent were to sink beneath the waters and leave only the summits of its mountains visible, where some representatives of the present inhabitants might take refuge? Is it not at once evident that each archipelago, and often each island, would have its own race and language ?

The considerations drawn from the identity of populations and languages in Polynesia are of themselves sufficient to justify the assertion that all the Islanders have a common origin ; and consequently, that, starting from some unknown point, they have, in their advance from archipelago to archipelago, peopled by degrees the maritime world in which we find them.

Horatio Hale, the eminent anthropologist of the scientific expedition of the United States, was the first to approach the problem from a general point of view ; he solved it as far as he was able with the data collceted by himself, and sketched the first chart of Polynesian migrations. Fresh
facts have been obtained since that time. Sir George Grey has published the historical songs of the Maories; Thomson, Shortland, and Hochstetter liave brought to light fresh traditions; M. Remy published a history of Hawaii arranged by a native. M. Gaussin has carried off the prize in philology by his admirable work upon the Polynesian language ; the Dépôt of the French Marine has received special documents from Tahiti to which General Ribourt, Admiral Lavaud, and Admiral Bruat have added the results of their own researches. These unpublished materials have been liberally placed at my disposal, and I have added to them some facts which have been forgotten. I have thus been able to confirm, from a general point of view, the conclusions of Hale, making, however, some important modifications, and to complete, again with some modifications, his chart of migrations. My readers will understand that I cannot here enter into a detailed discussion, and I must beg to refer them to my work upon The Polynesians and their Migrations. I shall confine myself to a short summary of the results which, I believe, it demonstrates.
IV. Both physical and philological characters show that the Polynesians are a branch of those Malay races which are divided into numerous groups by shades of difference, sometimes strongly marked. It is to one of these groups which are least distant from the white type that the nations in question must be referred.

The starting point of these migrations, which were to extend so far into the east, was Boeroe Island, which is represented in all maps between Celebes and Ceram. This conclusion, already proposed with some diffidence by Hale, secms to me to be placed beyond a doubt by all the traditions collected at Tonga by Mariner, with whose work the learned American scems to have been unacquainted.

On quitting the Malay seas, the emigrants must have followed as nearly as possible the course given above. Repulsed doubtless by the black races which then, as now, occupied New Guinea, they passed Melanesia. Some canoes,
however, probably separated from the others, reached the eastern extremity of this great island, and there founded a colony recently discovered by Commander Moresby. It is this colony which has doubtless furnished the several archipelagos of Melanesia with at least a part of the Polynesian elements which have been observed by several travellers. We know, however, thanks to the researches of M. de Rochas, that the Polynesian elements of the little archipelago of the Loyalty Islands is due to an emigration passing in 1770 from the Willis Islands to New Caledonia.

The great stream of emigration must have left all Melanesia to the south, and have separated into three branches. One would arrive at the Samoa Islands, another at the Tonga Islands, and a third at the Fiji Islands. The two first archipelagos were evidently uninhabited, the latter already possessed by a black population. An alliance was at first made, however, between the aborigines and the emigrants, but before long the wear of races broke out, the Malays were expelled, probably leaving behind them some of their women. In this manner the mixed character of the Fijian population was produced, with which all travellers have been struck. The ejected Malays gained the Tonga Islands. Finding them occupied by fellow-countrymen they attacked and defeated them. Instead of massacring or enslaving them they invented serfflom, an institution which has only been met with in this archipelago.

Whilst the Malay colonies founded in the Fiji and Tonga Istands were dispersed and desolated by a fratricidal war, those in the Samoan archipelago prospered. The population became denser: the spirit of adventure was not as yet extinguished, fresh emigrations took to the sea, advancing in the direction which had led to the first discoveries. At this period the island of Savaï played an important part, according to the universal testimony of Polynesian traditions. Its name appears in almost all the archipelagos, scarcely modified by local dialects, in the Sandwich Islands and in New Zealand, in the Marquesas

Islands as well as in Tahiti, and as far as the Manaïa Islands. Finally, Tupaia, in drawing the curious map, which has been preserved by Forster, designates Savaï as the mother of all the others, and represents it as much larger than Tahiti. This is an error, but this very error proves beyond a doubt the importance of this locality from our present point of view.
With the exception of a single emigration, which passed directly from Tonga to the Marquesas Islands, it is from the Samoan archipelago, and from Savaï in particular, that all the great expeditions appear to have started, which formed secondary centres elsewhere. Tahiti and the Manaïa Islands are the two principal. The former peopled the north of the Pomotous and part of the Marquesas, which, in turn, sent out colonists to the Sandwich Islands, where, however, they had been preceded by the Tahitians. The latter, in which there were both Tahitians and Samoans, pushed their colonies as far as Rapa, to the Gambier Islands, to the south-cast extremity of Polynesia and to New Zealand in the south-west.
V. We have only isolated and very incomplete accounts of the greater number of these migrations. Though sufficient to remove all doubt as to the fact, they tell us nothing of the circumstances which accompanied or followed them. It is quite otherwise when we come to consider New Zealand. Thanks to the songs collected by Sir George Grey, we possess the detailed history of this colonisation. This exception is doubly fortunate as giving us information upon a number of important points, and precisely in reference to those islands which, from being situated at a great distance from Polynesia, properly so called, favour autochthonic hypotheses more than all the rest of the area. It seems to me, therefore, to be advisable to enter into a few details upon the sulject.

It is the inhabitants of Rarotonga, one of the principal islands of Manaïa, who had the honour of discovering and colonising New Zealand. An emigration from Tonga may,
however, at some unknown period have possibly joined them.

The Christopher Columbus of this little world was a certain Ngahué, who was compelled to fly from his country to escape the persecutions of a queen, who wished to rob him of a jasper stone. It was doubtless chance which led him to New Zealand. He here discovered several pieces of jasper, which probably restored him to the favour of the femule chief, for we do not hear that he was molested on his return to Rarotonga.

During the absence of Ngahué a general war had broken out in his island. The vanquished party followed the advice of the traveller, who persuaded them to go and occupy the recently discovered land with him. Several chiefs joined together and constructed six canoes, the names of which are still preserved. The song translated by Sir George Grey informs us that one of them, the Araucu, was made of a tree which had been felled in Rarotonga, situated on the other side of Hawaiki. This was one of those secondary Sucuirs which I have mentioned above, and the place from which the emigrants started. "Once," says one of those songs already quoted, "our ancestors separated; some were left at Hawaïki, and others came here in canoes."

The same song describes the accidents of the voyage, the storms which the navigators met with, the eare bestowed upon the first eulture of the soil, the exploring expeditions undertaken in the new country, and the disagreements which occurred between the different crews. They show that the connection with the mother country continued to exist for some time, so much so indeed that a young woman accomplished the voyage with only a few companions, and warlike expeditions started sometimes from Hawaiki and sometimes from the colony to avenge some of those outrages which were considered by these races as demanding the life of the offender.

There is nothing astonishing in these passages. The Polynesians knew perfectly well how to direct their course
at sea by the stars, and the route from one point to another once observed was inscribed, if we may use the expression, in a song which would never be forgotten. They had a very correct general idea of the whole of their maritime world. The map drawn by Tupaia, which I have reproduced in my book, is equal to those of our savants of the Middle Ages, while it embraces a considerable area. Tupaïa had seen for himself several of the istands which he represents. According to the calculations of Cook, he must have gone westward to a distance of 1,600 miles. But it was from the sacred songs of his country that he acquired his knowledge of the rest of Polynesia, and was able to sketch it with tolerable accuracy.

As to the canoes in question, they were the same as the pirogues, which are mentioned by all travellers with admiration, and are declared by Cook to be very suitable for long voyages. 'This is a fact which is often established by the very precise details contained in some of the songs translated by Sir George Grey. We sec, for cxample, one of the emigrant chiefs, Ngatoro-i-Rangi, " mount upon the roof of the hut constructed upon the platform which joined the two canocs." We have only to add that the Arazca and other similar vessels generally carried 140 warriors, and it will at once appear how devoid of foundation are the assertions of those writers who declare these voyages to have been impossible for want of sufficient means of transport.
VI. The various docmments which we now possess have not only been of service in proving beyond a doubt the general fact of migrations, and in acquainting us with the circumstances by which some of them were accompanied; they even enable us to indicate with very tolerable exactness the date of some of the most important.

This result is generally obtained by the genealogies of the principal families. Each forms a kind of litany, which is sung in fixed rhythm, and of which each verse contains the name of a chief and those of his wife and son. Anyone, therefore, capable of remembering a song of one hundred
verses may easily learn the longest of these genealogies. Confided to memory by the Arepos or hecepers of the Archires, they were preserved with jealous care. Thomson informs us that in New Zealand a scrious inquiry was made into these verbal documents, and their authenticity was so well established, that they have an equal value in matters of justice with our deeds.

Now, in the Marquesas, Gattanewa, the friend of Porter, who was descended from the first colonists of the Tongan portion of the archipelago, had only eighty-eight predecessors. At Hawaï, the genealogy of the Tamehameha, according to 11. Remy, is contained in seventy-five verses. In 1840, according to Williams, Rarotonga was governed by the twentyninth descendant of Karika, the founder of the colony. In the Gambier Islands M. Maigret saw the twenty-seventh reigning chief since the arrival of the first colonists from Rarotonga.

Hale has shown very clearly that the Hawaïan genealogy contains at the outset, like many others in Europe, some fabulous personages. He considered it necessary to remove the first twenty-two verses. Some such correction should very probably be made in that of the Marquesas Islanders. As to those of Rarotonga and the Gambier Islands they are too recent to have been already contaminated by fable.

Hale, guided by considerations which I cannot here discuss, attributes to each verse of these genealogies the value of a generation, from twenty-five to thirty years. Thomson and M. Remy, however, having had time to gather more precise information, regard them as indicating merely reigns. C'alculating the mean duration of these reigns from that given ly the list of French kings from Clovis to Louis XVII., we obtain as a result $21 \cdot 13$ years.

According to these data, the arrival of the 'longans in the Marquesas Islands must have taken place in the year 417 of our era ; that of the Tahitans in about 701 ; Karika must lave colonized Rarotonga in 1207, and the Gambier Islands have been peopled in-127().

For New Zcaland we have a double source of information,
and the results thus obtained agree so well that we cannot doubt their accuracy. The genealogies of the greater number of the Maori chiefs go back as far as those bold pioneers whose history I have related. Thomson, who has examined several, considers that the number of chicfs who have succeeded each other in every family since the colonization, may be estimated at about twenty. Taking the kings of England as a term of comparison, lie attributes to the reign of euch chief a duration of $22^{\frac{1}{3} 3}$ years. These data took lim back to the year 1419. The list of French kings would only give the year 1457.

On the other hand, in one of the songs preserved by Sir George Grey, there is an account of the history of the son of Ifotunui, one of the colonizing chiefs of New Zealand, and of his immediate descendants. At the fourth generation a daughter was born, "from whom," the legend adds, "are descended in elcven generations all the principal chiefs now living of the tribe of Ngalipaoa." Taking thirty years for each gencration, we find that the migration of Hotunui took place 450 years before the time when Sir George Grey received the document (about 1850), which carries us back to the year 1400 .

Thus, these Maories, whom autochthonists regard as children of the soil, cannot have landed in New Zealand earlier than the beginning of the fifteenth century.
VII. I have hitherto only spoken of more or less voluntary migrations, such as might be induced by a spirit of adventure, civil troubles, or the authority of a priest despatching an excess of population in search of new countries. But in treating of Polynesia, we must, as I have already remarked, take accidents by sea into consideration. Several examples are known. It was in this manner that Toubouaï was peopled, which at the close of the last century, within au interval of a few years, received three canoes from different islands, one of which was Tahiti. All three had been carried away by a storm and driven ashore upon this island, which, till then, had been uminhabited.

Such, again, is the history of the chief Touwari and his companions, men, women, and children, discovered by Captain Beechey upon Byam-Martin Island, which they had begun to colonize. They had started from Anaa, an island situated two hundred and forty-five miles to the east of Tahiti, to go and pay homage to Pomare, but were surprised at Maïatea by the monsoon, which had come sooner than usual. Driven to the south-east into the midst of the Pomatou Islands, they landed at first on Barrow Island. Finding, however, no means of subsistence, they took to the sea again, and fell in with the island where they were found by the English navigator.

This example is perfect, since it realises all the circumstances indicated by the theory. It establishes the existence of regular relations between islands situated at great distances from each other ; it proves one of those occurrences which must more than once have caused these bold navigators to wander from the usual route; it shows how a remote island was able to receive all the elements of a colony; it leaves no doubt as to the possibility of dispersion going on in an exactly opposite direction to that of the trade winds. We need only add that the passage from Maïatea to Barrow and Byam-Martin Islands is more than five hundred and sixty miles, and we shall understand without any difficulty how Polynesia was peopled by voluntary or accidental colonization.
VIII. There is one more circumstance which it is important to observe, and which is completely at variance with all antochthonist hypotheses, that, namely, on approaching the islands where they have been discovered by us, the Polynesian found them uninhabited.
The songs, for which we are indebted to Sir George Grey, show that in New Zcaland the greater number of the first emigrants met with no traces of a previous population. One only, named Manaïa, found upon a promontory aborigines of the country. This exception, from the very reason that it is mique, proves that this population could not have
been very numerous. It has slightly altered the type of the lowest grades of the Maories, to which it has been confined. The portrait published by Hamilton Smith, and one of the skulls in the possession of the Museum, inform us that these supposed aborigines were Papuans. It is evident that they had reached New Zealand in consequence of some mischance similar to those I have just mentioned, and had not even had time to multiply sufficiently to occupy the entire shores of the North Island.

The traditions of the Sandwich Islands furnish us with a fact of the same nature. They tell us that the first colonists coming from Tahiti found in these islands gods and spirits, who inhabited the caves and with whom they entered into alliance. It is evident that we have here a troglodyte people, whose importance the legend has been pleased to exaggerate, and whose origin it is not difficult to find. If Kadou, whose history has been preserved by Kotzebue, instead of leaving the Caroline Islands for the Radak Islands, had started from the latter, and if he had made almost the same passage in the same direction, he would have landed in the Sandwich Islands.

The mixture of Polynesian and Micronesian races at once explains the darkness of colour and want of purity in the features of the Hawaïans. Perhaps the same cause may account for the difference in features, manners, and industry which is presented by some tribes of the Low Archipelago.

Apart from these few and, as we see, very feeble exceptions, all the islands of Polynesia appear to have been uninhabited when the narigators from Boeroe or their descendants landed. This fact is distinctly proved by traditions in Kingsmill, Rarotonga, Mangarewa, the Toubouaï Islands, etc. Purity of race testifies that this was also the case with the 'Tonga, Samoa, and Marquesas Islands.
IX. Finally, the facts to which I have been obliged to confine myself are entirely opposed to the theories of autochthonists, and lead to the following conclusions: Polynesia, a region which, from its geographical conditions, seems at first
sight to be isolated from the rest of the world, has been peopled by means of voluntary migrations and accidental dispersion, passing from west to east, at least as a general rule. The Polynesians, coming from Malaya, and the Isle of Bocroe in particular, first established and settled themselves in the Archipelagos of Samoa and Tonga. Thence they invaded by degrees the maritime world open before them; they found, almost without an exception, that all the countries where they landed were uninhabited, and only on two or three occasions met with very small tribes of a more or less black type.

## CHAPTER XVIII.

## migrations by sea.-migrations in america.

I. Tire peopling of Polynesia and America is a problem which presents, if I may use the expression, inverse conditions. There is, in reality, no geographical difficulty in the latter. The proximity of the two continents at Behring Straits, the existence in this channel of the Saint Laurence islands, the largest of which is situated exactly half-way between the two opposite continents, the connection formed between Kamschatka and the peninsula of Alaska by the Alcutian Islands; the maritime habits of all these peoples; the presence of the Tchukchees on the two opposite shores; the voyages which they undertake from one continent to the other on simple matters of commerce, leave no doubt as to the facility with which the Asiatic races could pass into North America through the Polar Regions.

More to the south, the current of Tessan, the liouro-siro, or black stream of the Japanese, opens a great route for navigators. This current has frequently cast floating bodies and abandoned junks upon the shores of California. Instances of this fact have been observed in our own time. It is impossible that they should not also have happened before the period of European discoveries. Asiatic maritime nations must at all times have been carried to America from all those places which are washed by the Black Stream.

The Equatorial current of the Atlantic opens a similar route leading from Africa to America, and there are some evidences, rare it is true, showing that wrecks have been carried in this direction. It is possible, therefore, that the sane may also have happened to man.
II. We shall not, therefore, be surprised at finding in the New World representatives of races which seem to belong originally to the Old World; we shall easily understand the multiplicity of Amcrican races, which is perlaps still contested by some of Morton's followers, but firmly established in the opinion of every unprejudiced person by the testimony of Humboldt and d'Orbigny's classical work on L'Homme Américain.

Black populations have been found in America in very small numbers only, and as isolated tribes in the midst of very different nations. Such are the Charruas of Brazil, the black Carabees of Saint Vincent in the Gulf of Mexico, the Jamassi of Florida, the dark-complexioned Californians, who are, perhaps, the dark men mentioned in Quiché traditions, and by some old Spanish adventurers.

Such, again, is the tribe of which Balbao saw some representatives in his passage of the Isthmus of Darien in 1513. Yet it would seem, from the expressions made use of by Gomara, that these were true Negroes. This type was well known to the Spaniards, and if they had encountered black men with glossy hair, like the Charruas, they would undoubterlly have been much impressed by it, and would have mentioned the fact.

The white type is more widely represented in America than the black. Along the whole of the north-west coast, Meares, Marchand, La Pérouse, Dixon and Maurelle have ubscrved populations, which, judging from some of their descriptions, would seem to be of pure white race. Upon the Upper Missouri, the Kiawas, Kaskaïas and the Lee Panis possess, we are assured, the attributes of the purest white races, including their fair hair. The Mandans have, from our present point of view, always attracted attention. Captain Graa, again, found in Greenland men speaking Esquimau, but tall, thin, and fair. In South America, Ferdinand Columbus, in his relation of his father's voyages, compares the inhabitants of Guanaani to the Canary lslanders, and describes the inhabitants of San Domingo as still more beautiful and fair. In Peru, the Charazanis, studied by
M. Angrand, also resemble the Canary Islanders, and differ from all the surrounding tribes. L'Abbé Brasseur de Bourbourg imagined himself surrounded by Arabs when all his Indians of Rabinal were around him, for they had, he says, their complexion, features, and beard. Finally, Gomara and Pierre Martyr offer a similar testimony, and the latter speaks of the Indians of the Parian Gulf as having fair hair (copillis fluris).

It is useless to insist upon the anthropological relations between America and Asia. Most travellers have insisted upon this point. I have heard M. de Castelnau say, "When I was surrounded by my Siamese servants, I imagined myself in America;" and M. Vavasseur, assisting at the visit of the Siamese ambassadors, remarked, "But those are my Botocudos." I should, however, observe that the skull in the Collection in the Paris Museum indicates less resemblance than the external characters.

America has, moreover, its distinct races with which the foreign elements have more or less blended. She has also had her quaternary man. This is a fact which must not be overlooked, and by which the problem is singularly complicated. We shall presently see that geological revolutions do not involve the disappearance of existing hmman races. There can be no doubt that in America there are descendants of men who were contemporary with the mastodon, just as, in Europe, we find the descendants of those who were contemporaries of the mammoth. Unfortunately our knowledge of the physical characters of the American fossil man is as yet very slight.
III. It does not, however, seem to me the less probable that the most pronounced ethnological elements, such as White, Yellow, and Black, which we encounter at the present time, have overspread this continent by means of migration. This fact is proved by history in a certain number of cases ; and some very simple considerations seem to me to render others no less probable.

For example, we only find black men in America in those
places which are washed by either the Kouro-sivo, or the Equatorial Current of the Atlantic or its divisions. A glance at the maps of Captain Kerhallet will at once show us the rarity and the distribution of these tribes. It is evident that the more or less pure black elements have been brought from the Asiatic Archipelagos and from Africa through some accident at sea; they liave there mixed with the local races, and have furmed those small isolated groups which are distinguished by their colour from the surrounding tribes.

The presence of Semitic types in America, certain traditions of Guiana, and the use in this country of a weapon entirely characteristic of the ancient Canary Islanders, can be easily explained in the same manner, and the explanation rests upon positive facts. Twice during the last century, in 1731 and 1764 , small ships passing from one point of the Canary Islands to another have been driven by storms into the region of the trade winds and equatorial current, and have drifted as far as America. What has happened in our time must often have happened before. We cannot then be surprised at finding upon the shores of the Gulf of Mexico, tribes which are more or less related to the African Whites by their physical characters.
IV. The geographical position of the continents at onee explains why the yellow type has so many representatives in America. Supposing, which seems to be contradicted by some evidences, that the coast-lines have not altered since the latest geological era, the facilities presented by the passage are quite sufficient, and the Asiatic races have profited by them to a considerable extent. America was known to them long before Europeans possessed anything beyond legends on this subject, the meaning of which is still hotly disputed.

It is to De Guignes that we owe the discovery of this fact, the importance of which is evident. He revealed to Europe what he had learnt in Chinese books. These books speak of a country called Fou-Sang, situated at a distance, to the east of China far beyond the limits of Asia. De Guignes
did not hesitate to identify it with America. To the proofs drawn from the Chinese books, he added some isolated and hitherto forgotten facts which were borrowed from Europeans, from George Horne, Gomara, etc.

The work of the French Orientalist was received with a very singular, yet accountable repugnance. Apart from the mistrust excited by every unexpected discovery, many people were annoyed to find that Europeans had been preceded by Astatics in the New World; it seemed to them to be dethroning Christopher Columbus. A Prussian, who had become a naturalized Frenchman, gave the support of his great learning to all who required no more than the contradiction of the fact, and it was almost unanimously agreed that De Guignes had deceived himself. More justice is now done to him, and anyone who will study the question in an unprejudiced spirit, cannot but acknowledge that he is right.

Klaproth held that Fou-Sang was nothing clse than Japan. He forgot that the country of which the Chinese writers spoke contained copper, gold and silver, but no iron. This characteristic, which is inapplicable to Japan, agrees, on the contrary, in every respect with America. To support his assertion he maintained that the Chinese could neither recoguize their direction nor measure distances in their voyages with precision. He forgot that they were aequainted with the compass 2000 years before our era, and that they possessed maps far superior to the vague conjectures of the Midale Ages.

As to the supposed error in distance of which Klaproth speaks, there was no such thing. Paravey informs us that Fou-Sang was placed at a distance of $20,000 \mathrm{Li}$ from China. Now a Li , according to M. Pothicr, is equal to $444^{\circ} 5$ metres (486 yards). In following the course of the Kouro-Sivo, thicse numbers would exactly bring us to California, where the abandoned junks were stranded ; they prove what was indicated by the theory, that this current had been the route for voyages to and from America.

Paravey has published a facsimile of a Chinese drawing representing a lama. This at once answers one of the objections of Klaproth, and carries us considerably to the south of California. Amongst the productions of Fou-Sang the Chinese authors mention the horse, which, as we know, did not exist in America. It is clear that they called by this name the aninal which in Peru was used as a beast of burden. This habit of calling by a common name species which are known and new species which resemble them in certain respects, certainly existed elsewhere than in China. This habit led the Conquestadores to call the puma a lion, and the bison a cow.

But did the Chinese then extend their voyages as far as Peru? This can hardly be doubted after the preceding testimony, and after that which is contained in the Geografia del Peru by Paz Soldan. The following is the translation of a passage for which I am indebted to M. Pinart: "The inhabitants of the village of Eten in the province of Lambayéque, and the department of Libertad, seem to belong to a different race from those of the surrounding countries. They live, and intermarry, only amongst themselves, and speak a language which is perfectly understood by the Chinese, who have been brought to Peru during the last few years."

The Chinese books studied by De Guignes and Paravey speak of religious missions, which, towards the close of the fifth century, left the country of Ki-Pin to carry to FouSang the doctrines of Buddha. The researehes of M. G. d'Eichthal have fully confirmed these accounts. The strongest resemblances have been pointed out between the monuments and the Buddhist figures of Asia and the same products of American art. The comparison of legends has led the author to the same result.

Finally, aceording to an encyclopredia, from which M. de Risny has translated a passage, the Japanese were acquainted with Fou-Sang, which they called Fou-So, and with the missions which had left the land Ki-lin for that country.

Although its real position must still be doubtful, they show that Fou-So and Japan are two different countries.

To this formal testimony derived from the Chinese, we must add that of Europeans. The first is Gomara, who witnessed the conquest of Mexico, and was a contemporary of the expedition which followed. He tells us that companions of Francesco-Vasquez de Coronado, in sailing up the Western Sea as far as $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. lat., met with ships laden with merchandise, which, as they were led to understand by the sailors, had been at sea for more than a month. The Spaniards concluded that they had come from Cathay or Sina.

The primary object of the ships in question was evidently that of commerce. Such pacific relations did not, however, always exist between the native Americans and the strangers from the west. This is proved by the testimony of an Indian traveller, preserved by Le Page du Prat. Moncacht-Apé (the pain-killer) was certainly a remarkable man. Impelled by the desire which drove Cosma from Körös to Thibet, the wish to discover the original home of his tribe, he went at first in a north-easterly direction as far as the mouth of the St. Lawrence, returned to Louisiana and started again for the north-west. Having ascended the Missouri to its source, he crossed the Rocky Mountains and reached the Pacific Ocean by descending a river, which he called the beautiful river, and which can be no other than the Oregon.

There he heard of white, bearded men, provided with arms hurling thunder, who came every year in a great boat to look for wood which they used for dyeing, and carried off the natives to reduce them to a state of slavery. Moncacht-Apé, who was acquainted with the nature of firearms, advised his friends to prepare an ambuscade. The plans which he suggested were a complete success. Several of the aggressors were slain. The Americans at once saw that they were not Europeans. Their clothes were quite different, and their arms more clumsy, while their powder was coarser, and did not carry so far. Everything tended to show they were

Japanese, accustomed to make descents upon this coast of America exactly similar to those undertaken by some crews in search of sandal wood in Melanesia, who seize the blacks whenever they have an opportunity, and give them up to cotton planters under the name of coolies.

The narrative of Moncacht-Apé was given in the year 1725 , three or four years before the discovery of the Behring Straits, and more than thirty years before European voyages had acquainted us with the north-west of America. The exact details which he gives as to the general direction of the coast, and of its bend at the peninsula of Alaska, are a sure proof of the correctness and truth of this narrative. Thus, however much it may wound European pride, we must acknowledge that Chinese and Japanese Asiatics knew and, in different ways, explored America long before Europeans.
V. Nevertheless, these civilized nations, whose ships visited Amcrica, do not seem to have founded large settlements, which could become the starting point of a new colony. Had it been so, they would have left more traces of their passage in the language. Now, with the exception of the small Chinese colony of which I have spoken above, there is searcely one fact of this nature which can be considered as established. Some Californian colonies are mentioned as speaking a Japanese dialect. M. Guillemin Taraire has reproduced this information in reference to a tribe of Santa Barbara; he adds that the language of some others includes Japanese and Chinese words. Unfortunately the researches of M. Pinart, far from confirming these results, only tend to contradict them; we can, therefore, only speak with great reserve upon this point.

It seems to me to have been principally in the north that the great migrations took place, and that they were undertaken by savage nations. The traditions borrowed by l'Abbé Brasseur de Bourbourg from the sacred books of the Quichés, and those of the Delawares which have been preserved by Heckewcllder, appear to me to offer much information on
this point. By comparing the missionary's narrative with some facts of Mexican history anterior to the conquest, I have been cnabled to determine approximately the date of the arrival of the Red-Skins in the basin of the Missouri. It seems to me that we cannot refer it to an earlier date than the ninth, or at most the eighth, century.

Ihese traditions bring to light another and no less important fact: namely, that the Alonquins and Iroquois, after having crossed the valley of the Mississippi, from which they drove the people, whose singular monuments are now the object of study, had no more fighting to do, and found the country minhabited as far as the coast, and far away to the south. The traditions of some tribes of South America point, though not so plainly, to the same conclusion. Thus, probably in the two halves of the New World, and certainly in the northern portion, thuse uninhabited lands existed which we have already noticed in Polynesia, and the pretended American autochthon of Agassiz, Morton, Nott, and Gliddon was, on the contrary, one of the latest arrivals upon this continent.

These facts of thin populations, and of their low social condition, which was everywhere the case except in those centres where legislators had appeared who were perhaps entirely foreign to the soil, involuntarily lead us to the conclusion that the general peopling of America by the existing races, though it may be traced to an earlier period than that of Polynesia, is, nevertheless, much more recent than that of the Old World.

YI. It is not from Asia alone that America has received its population. They came from Europe also long before the era of great discoveries; F am not now alluding either to the story of Atlantis, of which many interpretations are still possible, or to Phonician and Carthaginian traditions, nor again, to the pretentions of the Basques and Dieppois, although they appear to be supported ly facts, which are, to say the least, curious; nor to Irish and Welsh traditions, though Humboldt considers them well worthy of attention.

I shall only speak of the voyages accomplished by the Scandinavians as related by Rafn from Irish sagas, and which have been lately republished in detail by M. Gravier.

We are not now dealing with isolated facts belonging to the darkness of those ages which they only occasionally illuminate. It is a detailed history embracing several generations, and sometimes giving circumstantial details which explain, and are confirmed by certain modern discoveries.

In 877, according to M. Gravier, perhaps as early as 770 , according to M. Lacroix, Gunnbjorn discovered Greenland. In 886 Erick the Red doubled Cape Farewell, and built at the head of a fjord his house Brattahilda, the lately discovered ruins of which have been compared to those of a town. In 986 Bjarn Meriulfson, when on his way to Greenland, was carried by a storm as far as the shores of New England. In 1000, Leif, the son of Erick the Red, started for the country discovered by Bjarn. Accompanied by 35 men, he ran down as far as Rhode Island, where he found the vine, and gave the name of Vin-lund to the country of which he took possession; he built Leifsbudir, passed the winter there, and noticed that the shortest day began at halfpast seven and ended at half-past four. This observation, which agrees with all the other details, places Leifsbudir near the present town of Providence, $41^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ N. lat.

Thorwald succeeded his brother Leif. Followed by 30 warriors, he reached Vinland and passed the winter at Leifsbudir. In the spring of 1003 he ran down as far as Long Island, explored the neighbourhood, and returned in the autumn to his starting-point. The following summer he turned his steps northwards. Near Cape Alderton, his companions surprised three boats made of osier, and covered with leather, and slew eight of the men by whom they were manned. The ninth escaped; he soon returned, however, accompanied by a great number of his fellow-countrymen, who showered upon the Scandinavians a cloud of arrows and then fled. But Thorwahl, mortally wounded, was interred in this, land which he had expressed a desire to inhabit. It
may possibly have been his tomb, which was discovered at the end of the last century in Rainsford Island, near to Hull and Cape Alderton; a tomb of solid masonry, containing a skeleton, and a sword with an iron hilt, indicating a period anterior to the fifteenth century.

In 1007 Thorfinn, accompanied by his wife Gudrida, started with three ships carrying 160 men, some women, and cattle. This time the object was to found a colony. They settled not far from Leifsbudir at Mount Hope Bay. The strangers were soon visited by some of the natives, who are easily identified with the Esquimaux from the description given in the Saga. The relations, maintained with these Slivellings were at first pacific. But the following year an act of brutality on the part of a Scandinavian led to war, and Thorfinn, although victorious, did not feel his position to be secure, and resolved to return to his country with his companions, his wife, and his son Snorre, the first Scandinavian born in Vinland.

Before quitting his settlement, the chief was anxious to leave some trace of his presence. Such, at least, is the opinion adopted by Scandinavian savants, and by M. Gravier, on the sulject of the famous Dighton Writing Rock. This block of gneiss, situated upon the right bank of Tauton River, and alternately covered and left bare by the tide, bears a certain number of characters engraved upon it to the depth of eight millimetres (one-third inch). This inscription, which has given rise to many discussions, has, probably, a double origin. Schoolcraft tells us that an old Indian, who was familiar with American pictography, recognized the hand of his countryman in a certain number of signs which he was able to explain, though at the same time he confessed that others were quite new to him. On the other hand, Magmusen and his followers have also only been able to interpret some of these same sigus. They were, in their opinion, a mixture of runic and cryptographic signs, and of figures referring to the adventures of Thorfinn. They thought they conld recognize Gudrida with her son Snorre,
and the phonetic portion might, it scemed, be translated in the following manner:-131 men of the north have occupied this countri-witi thorfins. I should add, however, that Mr. Wittlesey does not admit the existence of a single alphabetical inscription in the United States. Yet we must not suppose that the opinion of the American antiquarian at all affects the authenticity of the Sagas which relate the history of Thorfinn.

I cannot here repeat all the adventures of Thorvard and Freydisa, of Ari Marson, Pjorn Asbrandson, Gudleif and Hervador . . . . , but I must remark, in reference to the latter, that, through the indications contained in the Skalholt Saga, the American savants have been able to find upon the shores of the Potomac the tomb of a woman who fell by the arrows of the Skrellings in 10.51 .
VII. The colonies founded in Greenland by Erick and his successors multiplied rapidly ; both the cast and west coasts were peopled. These two centres bore the names of Osterlygd and Vesterlygil. From the documents consulted by M. F. Lacroix, it appears that the former possessed a cathedral, eleven chmeches, three or four monasteries, two towns called Garda and Alba, and 190 Gacurls or Norwegian villages; in the second, there were four churches and 90 or 110 gaards. These figures clearly indicate a considerable population. This is still more strongly proved by the fact, that as carly as 1121, an Irishman, Erick-Upsi, was created Bishop of Greenland, and had cighteen successors. Vinland was in the jurisdiction of this diocese. The tithes of this comntry figured among the revenues of the Church in the fourteenth century, and were paid in kind.

This prosperity, and the regular relations between Europe, Greenland, and Vinland seem to have lasted till towards the middle of the fourtenth century. About this time the Skrellings attacked Vesterlyged; the succour sent by the other settlements arrived too late, and the western colony was destroyed. Osterlygd had a much longer existence. In 1418 it still paid to the Holy See as tithes and Peter's

Pence 3600 pounds of walrus' tusks. At a period anterior to this epoch, however, Queen Margaret, sovereign of the Scandinavian dominions, impelled by motives which have been differently interpreted, had interdicted all commerce with the Greenland colonies. Shortly afterwards fleets of pirates, springing from some unknown quarter, came down upon and pillaged them; the temperature of both land and sea gradually fell; voyages became more and more difficult, and, at last, ceased altogether. Thus, when in 1721, the Norwegian Pastor, Hans Eggede, led to those frozen lands the first modern colony, he found nothing but ruins, and not a single descendant of Erick and Thorfinn. What had become of them?

A letter addressed to Pope Nicholas V., quoted by M. Lacroix, throws some light upon their fate. It is dated $1+48$, and informs us that, thirty years previously, some strangers coming from the American coasts had pillaged the colony, and massacred or carried into slavery the greater number of the inhabitants of both sexes. A great number hal, however, returned to their homes, and asked for help.

It is hardly possible to avoid referring to the latter, the white population, tall, and with fair hair, which Captain Graa met with on the east coast of Greenland, during his expedition in seareh of Osterbygd. Notwithstanding their adoption of the Esquimaux langnage, they certainly did not belong to their race.

But were all the descendants of the bold navigators who had discovered America content to live, like the Skrellings, by the side of ruins which recalled the relative grandeur of their fathers? This hypothesis appears to me inadmissible. It seems evident to me, that the greater number of the survivors must have emigrated and sought refuge in Vinland, of the existence of which they were aware. Perhaps they were repulsed by the mixed population of Scandinavians and Esquimaux, who secm very early to lave come into existence, and who were, perhaps, the invaders mentioned in the letter quoted by M. Latroix; perhaps, again, they
may have encountered warlike and inhospitable tribes, like those mentioned in the Saga of Gudleif. But the Norwegians would then only have pushed on further, till they met with some hospitable shore where they could settle.

VIlI. However this may be, the history of Scandinavian voyages is sufficient to explain the appearance of the white type, even of the fair type, in the midst of American populations. I do not hesitate to refer to this Aryan stock, the white Esquimaux of Charlevoix, the fair-haired men of Pierre Martyr, the fair men spoken of in some Mexican traditions, the White Savage Chief whom the Spaniards met with in their Cibola expedition . . . etc.

Besides, the discovery and the repeated invasions of the American coasts by the Seandinavians show the estimation in which we onght to hold the pretended impossibility of the peopling of America. Here, we have no longer the double pirogues of the Pulynesians, carrying 150 warriors; it was in loats manned by thirty or forty men that Leif and Thorwald faced the Greenland seas, reached, and returned from Vinland. In the presence of sucl, facts, can we regard our improved method of navigation as indispensuble to long sea voyages?

Modern civilization has placed in our hands an immense power of action unknown to our ancestors. It enables us to accomplish works which they would have thought could only be expected from supernatural powers. Science has placed in our hands the magic ring, and we have luecome so used to employing it for the satisfaction of our smallest wants, that it seems to us impossible to do without it. We too often forget the resources which man possesses in himself, and which form part of his original nature. Thus, we regard less andvanced, less lecurned races as incapable of accomplishing that which we should not dare to undertake without the aid which we have leen able to create for ourselves.

We have just seen how fully the history of the Polynesians and Scandinavians contradiets these false ideas, and how they justify the words of Lyell :-"Supposing the human genus
were to disappear entirely, with the exception of a single family, placed either upon the Ocean of the New Continent, in Australia, or upon some coral island of the Pacific Ocean, we may be sure that its descendants would, in the course of ages, succeed in invading the whole earth, although they might not have attained a higher degree of civilization than the Eisquimaux or the South Sea Islanders."

## BOOK VI.

## ACCLIDATISATION OF THE HUAAN SPECIES.

## CIIAPTER XIX.

## infllexie of conditions of hife and race.

I. The luman species, springing originally from a single centre of appearance, is now miversally distributed. In their innmmerable travels, its representatives have encounteral the widest difference of climate ame the most opposite comditions of life, and now inhabit both the polar and equatorial regions. It must, therefore, have possessed the necessary aptitudes for accommodating itself to all the natural conditions of existence; in other worls, it must have hat the power of becoming acrlinatised and nuturalised in every place where we mect with it.

The possibility of man living aml prospering in other rurgions than those in which his fathers lived, has been denied in a more or less emphatic mamor by the greater mumber of polygenists. Without going as far as this, certain monogenists have held that a hmman race, when constituted for given conditions of life, was, so to speak, a prisoner to them, and coukl not effect a change without losing his life. Other writers have maintaned precisely opposite opinions, and have held that any human group conld at once become acelimatised in any given spot.

There are exargrerations and errors in all these extreme ductrines.
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11. In spite of the assertions of Knox, Frenchmen can live perfectly well in Corsica, provided only, that they avoid the marshes of the eastern coast, which the islanders themselves cannot inhabit. After the revocation of the Elict of Nantes, the fugitives from Provence and Languedoe founded villages in the valley of the Danube, thus contradieting beforeland one of the assertions of the English doctor. Euglish and French emigrants to the United States, and to Canada, have not degencrated, in spite of the assertions of the same author. Though modified, often in a very striking manner, as we shall presently see, the Yankee squatters and the Canalian bucliwodsmen are certainly not inferior to the first colonists who planted the luropean standard in the midst of the Red-Skins.

Kinox, and the anthropologists who agree either entirely or partially with him, attribute to emigration alone the maintenance and growth of the white population in America and elsewhere. In their opinion the European emigrant loses, after several generations, the power of reproduction. If the human current, which sets from Europe towards the Colonies were to be stopped, they maintain that the population would rapidly diminish, and the local races regain the ascendancy, that the United States would return to the Red-Skins, and Mexico to the descendants of Montezuma.

This assertion will easily be answered by a few statistics. They are taken from the history of French races, which, since the treaty of Paris in 1763, have, although in a slight degree, directly contributer to the peopling of Canada. There were in this comutry:

| (11) 1051 | 6950.94 | : | " |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In 1861 | 1,133,170 | " | " |

In Ottawa State there were :


The history of the Acadians furnishes statistics which are quite as convincing. From the information obtained by M. Rameau, it appears that the entire population was descended from forty-seven families, numbering 400 souls in 1671 . In 1755 there were 18,000 . Dispersed and driven out by the English they were reduced to only 8,000 . In 1861, the number rose to 95,000 persons.

If we calculate from the preceding figures the annual increase of French populations in America, we shall find the ratio equal or superior to that furnished by the most favoured European pepulations. This proves that the French race shows no sign of disappearance, even in the country chosen as an example by Knox.

Without entering into too many details, let us remember that the French have lived and increased in number at Coustantia, not far from the Cape, since the revocation of the Edict of Nantes; that this same region has been colonized by the Dutch, whose descendants, the Boers, have migrated, and now furm the Transvaal Republic ; that they have been succeeded at the C'ape by the English, who, by degrees, have overrun the whole comntry. We must also remember the rapid growth of the Anglo-Australian colonies, cte. ; and, finally; let us not forget those nine fimilies of missionaries visited by M. de Delapelin in Polynesia, which, in all, numbered sixty-nine children, that is to say, a mean of more than seven and a half each, and we shall be forced to acknowlenge that the most highly characterised European white can live and increase in number in both hemispheres, at the antipodes, and in the mative countries of the most diffirent races.

Firther, the great race to which he himself belongs was mut orginally European. It probably spang from the momtatin district of the Bolor and the Hindoo-koh, where the Mamogis still represpont the original stock. In any case, the Zomb-Avesta informs ns that it issimel from a region where the summer lasted but two months, a climate which almost corresponds to that of Finland. Step by step it advanced,
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out the one hand as far as the Gangetic peninsula and Ceylon, on the other to Iceland and Greenland. Afterwards, when the era of great discoveries had commenced, it distributed its colonies over the whole world, peopling continents, and replacing indigenous races.

The consideration of these general facts alone, and the result of this perpetual activity, make it impossible to deny to the Aryan race the faculty of acclimatisation, under the most diverse conditions of existence. All the assertions of Knox, and of his more or less avowed disciples, fall before these facts.

What is true for the Aryan race is equally true for the Negro. The White has transported the Black to almost every part of the globe, and in the most distant places the Black lives side by side with his master. Our experience as to the Yellow Races is still slight, but we can already foresee that the result will be the same. Chinese and Coolies have passed over into America from Asia; we shall perhaps soon see them in Africa and in Europe.

Certain branches detached from the great ethnical stocks have already offered similar evidences. The Gipsies, Aryans mixed, perhaps, with Dravidians, have overrun the whole of Europe, and are now met with everywhere. As to the Jews, we know that they are really cosmopolitan, and that almost overywhere, in Prussia as in Algeria, their fecundity surpasses that of the local races.
III. I do not mean by this that I consider the Aryan, or any races, capable of always becoming at once acelimatised in any given locality. On the contrary, there are regions which are fatal to man, to whatever group he may belong, and however well prepared he may seem to be to brave their influence. Such is the great estuary of the Gaboon, where the Negro himself cannot live. The general constitution of the inhabitants grows sensibly weaker; the reproductive organs appear to be particularly affected, and the number of women greatly surpasses that of the men. We know how dangerons the climate of this country is to the European, and it will be
interesting to see whether the Paouins will in their tur: yield to the deleterions influence of these coasts, which they are gradually approaching.

We need not, moreover, go so far for examples. Who docs not know the reputation of the Maremma, and the marshes of Corsica? At one time the swamps of the Dombe, and the mouth of the Charente, in France, were scarcely less dangerous.

Even where the conditions are much less severe, acclimatisation almost always demands numerous and melancholy sacrifices, which some anthropologists have done wrong to overlook. The fact is but too natural. A race, which has settled under the influence of certain conditions of existence, cannot effect a change without undergoing modification, and hence suffering. This fact will be noticed in some detail in the chapter dedicated to the formation of these derived groups from the species. I can here only point out the general law.
IV. Thus, every colonization of a distant country must be regarded in the first place as a conquest attempted by the immigrating race. Now, whether the battle has to be fought with man or with the conditions of life, the victory is only gained at the cost of human life. We must not, however, exaggerate the extent of inevitable losses, and deny the possibility of acclimatisation. We must put the problem clearly, and seek for experimental data, whence the solution may be naturally deduced.

Every question of acclimatisation comprises two terms, which are, so to speak, the components of the resultant which we are seeking for or studying. These terms are ruce and conditions of life. We already know the exact significance of the former of these two words, and we shall presently consider in some detail what we are to understand by the latter. At present we will take it as simply representing all the conditions of existence presented by a given place, and proceed to point out its influence in acelimatisation.

We have seen that certain conditions of life appear to be
fatal to all races. In cases of this kind, we shouid distinguish how much of this insalubrity is due to the regions, and how much is the result of accidental circumstances, sometimes provoked by man limself. The plain of the Dombe in lirance was once as salubrious as the surrounding country. The exaggerated industry of the marshes transformed it into a pestilential region, where it was quite as fatal for foreign populations to live as it would have been in the swamps of the Senegal. Sanitary measures are now tending to restore it to its former condition. It is evident that we cannot reproach the Dombe with the deleterious influence which human intelligence seems to have undertaken to develop.

Even when the latter does not step in to vitiate the conditions of life, we cannot charge a country with opposing unfavourable conditions to an indigenous or foreign race, when these conditions may be attributed to the negligence of the inhabitants, or to some special cause, which human intelligence might modify. Deprived of the care which rendered it healthy and luxuriant, the Campagna of Rome has become a branch of the Pontine Marshes. On the other hand, the environs of Rochefort have become licalthy; Bouffarik, once one of the most dangerous spots in Algeria, has become the centre of a flowishing population. It was not, therefore, the general natural conditions which rendered these localities dangerous, especially to strangers, but simply uccident. As soon as the cause is removed, acelimatisation becomes not only possible, but easy.

Considered from this point of view, many countries, which now appear to repel all attempts at immigration, will, perhaps, at some future period, be particularly favourable to the development of colonizing races. It is clear that in all cases of this kind we must distinguish hetween normal and acciteniully vitiuted conditions of life.

I cannot enter into all the details which this distinction would allow, and shall confine myself to quoting a few facts.

The very progress of civilization sometimes results in the
vitiation of certain conditions of life. Such is the almost inevitable result of the crowding together of human beings in a relatively limited space. This is one of the points most clearly demonstrated in the statistical rescarches of M. Boudin upon the comparative mortality of the country and of barracks, for example. A comparison of our large towns and rural districts leads to the same result, and points to a special action upon the organs of reproduction. M. Boudin conld not find a pure-blooded Parisian whose genealogy could be traced for more than three generations. At Besançon, town families bocome extinct in less than a century, and are replaced by others from the country. London, I have been assured, presents a similar phenomenon.

Do not ships, in which men live crowded together for months under very unsatisfactory sanitary conditions, develop deleterious principles, to which the crews become accustomed by degrees, but which are, neverthcless, capable of producing the most scrious affections in the midst of surrounding populations, which, till then, had been in a flourishing condition. Have we here one of those phenomena to which, according to Darwin, we must attribute the terrible mortality and increasing sterility of the Polynesian races? Among the diseases introduced by European sailors, onght we not to reckon phthisis, which is said to have become epidemic, as well as hereditary, in those islands? The probabilities seem to me to be in favour of an answer in the affirmative. Neither land nor sky have changed in these archipelagoes since the time of their discovery, and yet the Polynesian Islanders disappear with a terrible rapidity, whilst their mixed races and even pure-blooded Europeans show a redoubled fertility -a dunble contradiction given by facts to autochthonic doctrincs.

It is not always casy to determine, in julging of the more or less deleterious action of given conditions of life, what should be attributed to normal conditions, and what is the result of accidental vitiating clements. The soil, cold, heat, dryness, or humidity of a country are mot all. 'The difference
presented from the point of view of acclimatisation by the two hemispheres is a striking example.

The hot regions of the southern hemisphere are, as a rule, more accessible to white races than those in similar latitudes in the northern hemisphere. From 30 to 35 degrees of N. lat. we find Algeria, and especially the southern part of the United States, which present serious difficulties against our acelimatisation. In the same latitude of the southern hemisphere, lie the southern portion of the Cape and New South Wales, where all European races prosper almost immediately. M. Bondin's calculations give the differences exactly. He has found that the mean mortality of French and English armies was about eleven times greater in our hemisphere than in the southern hemisphere.

Struck by this contrast, M. Boudin endeavoured to discover its cause, and found that it lay in the greater or less frequency and gravity of marsh fevers. North of the equator these fevers may le traced in Europe as far as the 59th deg. of latitude. In the south they rarely pass the tropics, and often cease at an even smaller distance. T'ahiti, which is only 18 deg. from the geographical equator, and alnost beneath the thermal equator, is free from them. In the southern hemisphere, the mean anmual number of cases of fever in the united English and French colonies was 1.6 in 1000; in the northern hemisphere it was 224.9 in 1000.

Thins, marsh fevers are almost 200 times more frequent to the north than to the south of the equator, although in South America and Australia, for example, vast tracts are covered with standing water under a burning sun. They are, moreover, of a far less serious nature in the southern hemisphere. The immense lagoons of Corrientes only occasion slight fevers. We know how dangerous, on the contrary, are those of the Puntine Marshes, which are sitnated at a much greater distance from the equator. It would be much more difficult for a Embpean to live in Italy upon the banks of the Carigliano, tham in America upon those of the Parana.

In spite of some experiments and ingenious theories, these
differences between localities, apparently presenting almost identical general physical conditions, have not yet been explained. The rescarches of M. Boudin, however, justify us in regarding these marsh miasmata as very probably the greatest and often the only obstacle to the acclimatisation of Europeans in the greater number of those places to which the spirit of enterprise has led them. There is something very encouraging and instructive in this fact. We know by what combination of circumstances these pestilential miasmata are engendered; we know how it is possible to resist them. Man can, then, wherever he may go, fight against nature, and at least somewhat ameliorate the conditions of acclimatisation. It has, until now, been impossible to make a whole country healthy in a short space of time. This was a work which time alone scemed to be able to accomplish, very often at a heary cost of human life. It seems as if the introduction of the eucalyptus would, in a great measure at least, tend to diminish these sacrifices.

Should, however, the tree brought from Australia by M. Ramel justify all our hopes, we shall find that some care must still be taken in the choice of stution. I shall presently show how, in countrics which are apparently most dangerous, there are circumscribed spots where acclimatisation takes place almost immediately. It is clear that new comers ought to look carefully for these favoured localities, and pitch their tents there. The contrary has almost always been, and still is, the case. They allow themselves to be seduced by the beauty and fertility of the alluvial lands situated at the mouth of some river, or upon the shores of some bay calculated to facilitate commeree, without considering their unhealthiness. They settle down and build there, without being disturbed by the losses which overwhelm fresh arrivals; and thus it is that pestilential flats, like that of Batavia, have lecome inhatbited.
V. I cannot here consider in any detail the action of conditions of life upon human races, without anticipating considerations which will he more appropriate in another chapter.

I shall only point out a very general fact, and one of great interest in the problem of acelimatisation.

We know that the animal and vegetable races of one species, although in reality subject to the same influences, lave, nevertheless, their special aptitudes ; and, more especially, some affection which is very general in one will be very rare in another. The case is precisely similar with human races.

Marsh fevers act in the same manner upon all men. The Negro suffers and dies from fever on the banks of the Niger, but in a much less degree than the White. Moreover, the two races, when transposed to India, preserve, in this respect, almost the same relations. Compared with local races, the Negro still retains the ascendancy; he is everywhere the last attacked by malarious emanations. Born in a co:antry where he is obliged almost incessantly and universaiiy to breathe them, descended from ancestors, who from prehistoric times have lived in this poisoned air, he has become acclimatised to it more than any other race ; on this account alone, he is able to prosper in places where the White would suffer for a long time.

On the other hand, the Negro has a delicate chest, and no race is so subject to consumption, whilst this malady is much more rarely fatal to the White or to the Malay.
From the extreme differences presented by the White and the Negro it follows that the general conditions of acclimatisation are reversed in the two races. A moderately warm air which is impregnated with malarious emanations is dangerous to the European. A moderate degree even of damp cold will be fatal to the Negro.
These few facts are sufficient to show that the conditions of acelimatisation vary with the race ; that the same climate camnot exereise the same kind of action upon different races, and that complete acelimatisation, that is to say, nuturelisution, can only follow upon the harmony of these two termsrace and conditions of life.

## CHAPTER XX.

## CONDITIONS OF ACCLIMATISATION.

I. The possibility of establishing the harmony, of which I have spoken in the preceding chapter, has been denied. It has been argued that it must exist beforehand, and that instead of becoming acclimatised, people merely become cecustomed to a given place. It will be easy to show from what takes place in animals and plants, that there is, in their case, something more than this, and that the organisation is sometimes modified in its most intimate relations so as to conform to the exigencies of conditions of life, which are by nature inflexible.

The chrysanthemum (Pyretrum sinense), which adorns our gardens, came, as we know, originally from China. Introduced into France in 1790, it flourished there and produced fruit which it was unable to ripen, so that commerce alone supplied our flower gardens with the necessary seed for more than sixty years. 'The attempt to rear it in hothouses and frames met with very small success. In 18.52 a few plants were observed to flower and to fruit sooner than the others; the seeds ripened, and France now produces all the seed which she requires. A small number of accidentally precocions plants have, therefore, acelimatised this beantiful Hower.

The history of the Egyptian goose (Anser egypticcus) is still more striking. Pronght to France in 1801, by Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, this species at first laid in December, as in its native country. It reared its brood in the depth of winter, and consequently under very unfavourable circumstances. Several generations were, nevertheless, reared at the Musemm.

Now in 1844 the liirds laid in February, the fullowing year in March, and in 1846 in April, the time at which our common goose lays. Is it not elear that the organisation of the Egyptian goose had accommodated itself to the conditions imposed by our climate?

This marvellous faculty of living beings is sometimes even inconvenient. French vines when removed to the island of Bourbon yield grapes continually, so that the mixture of clusters in every stage of development and maturity has been a serious obstacle in the manufacture of the wine. Silkworms have acted in a similar manner; they have laid their egrgs and spun their cocoons with perfect indifference as to the season of the year, and in such an irregular manuer as to force breeders to give up rearing them.

Acclimatisation, that is to say, pleysiological aduptation to new conditions of life, is an incontestable fact. All our domestic races which have been imported into America are prospering there. When the conditions of existence have been almost the same as those of their native country, they liave changed but little. When the new conditions have differed too widely from the old oncs, local races have been formed ; and thus, though perhaps assisted by human industry, pigs with fleece are to be found on the cold plateaux of the Cordilleras, sheep with huir in the warm valleys of the Madeleine, and hairless cattle in the burning plains of Mariquita. Is it not clear that these pigs, sheep, and oxen, these descenclants of our races in temperate climates, lave established a harmony between themselves and the conditions of life?
II. But, as I said before, this harmony is searcely ever whtained without struggles and sacrifices. In this respect again man resembles plants and animals. Let us see, in the first place, what may be learnt on this sulbject from these beings of inferior organisation.

It is well known that two kinds of wheat are recognised by agriculturalists, one of which is sown in spring and the other in autumn, both: being reaped at about the same time.

It is evident that the conditions of development are very different in the two cases. To sow a spring wheat in autumn, was, so to speak, changing the condition of existence, and, consequently, attempting an experiment in acclimatisation. This was done by the celebrated Abbé Tessier. A hundred seeds of autumn wheat were sown in spring; they all came up and produced young plants, which passed through the usual stages of vegetation. Only ten plants, however, formed seeds, which only ripened upon four plants. A hundred sceds of this first crop produced fifty fertile plants. In the third gencration the hundred seeds produced corn. The inverse experiment gave similar results.

The acelimatisation of wheat at Sierra Leone offers still more instructive peculiarities. The first year almost all the seed ran to leaf; the ears were very few, and poorly filled. The seeds of this first crop were sown ; a great number did not come up at all. Those which survived were a little more fertile. Much patience was, however, required, and many generations passed before normal crops were obtained. We see that in 'Tessier's experiment all the seeds of wheat and their germs lived, but the grain was wanting, or was more or less abortive. There was, then, a loss of generations. The same thing occurred at Sierra Leone. Moreover, the second time the seed was sown, some of it never came up at all. Here, therefore, the loss of individuals was added to that of generations.

The history of our poultry which has been imported into Americi, presents equally significant facts. At Cuzco the broods are just as large as in Europe. Garcilasso de la Vérír tells us, however, that in his time the eggs were few, and the chickens difficult to rear. The species has, since then, become acclimatised.

When M. Roulin made his observations upon the gecese imported into Bogota, it was more than twenty years since they had been first brought to that high platean, and, even then, they had not attained their normal fecundity. They were not, however, far from it, while at first the egrs were
very rare. A quarter, at the most, of the eggs were hatched, and half the goslings died before the end of the first month. Thus, on the one hand, the Bogota breeder did not obtain nearly as many eggs as he would have done in Europe, while, on the other hand, at the end of a period scarcely equal to the two-hundredth part of the life of the goose, he obtained from these eggs scarcely one-eighth of what they would have produced in Europe.

The history of these Bogota geese is most instructive. At the outset we meet with all those circumstances which would seem to justify us in the prediction of a failure. The infertility of the females, as attested by the rarity of the eggs, and that of the males, as shown in the strong proportion of addle eggs, point to a serious physiological injury to the organs whose action alone insures the permanence of the species. The enormous mortality among the young birds betrayed a no less serious alteration in the components of individual life. Nevertheless, at the time of M. Roulin's journey, acclimatisation had been almost realised, and must without doubt now be completed.

More than twenty years were, however, necessary for the organisation of this European lird to establish a harmony between itself and the conditions of existence on the high plateaus of Anerica. The breeders were consequently fored to submit to many losses, affecting both generations and individuals.

We see what took place in the case of the fowls and geese as well as in that of the wheat. Shortly after their emigration the climate killed all those who were unable to conform to the new exigencies. A certain number offered sufficient resistance to live almost as long as they would have done under their natural conditions of existence; but their weakened organisation was unfitted for generation, or could ouly produce beings which at once succumbed. 'Through all these disasters, however, a few privileged organisations conformed, from the first, more or less to the new exigencies. With slight modifications they tramsmitted their own
acquirements combined with the suitable aptitudes to their progeny, who in turn made further advances in the direction opened by their parents; and from year to year the adaptation was more complete, the acclimatisation more nearly realised.

But it is evident that years here represent generations. It is only from parent to offspring, through heredity and accumulation, that the living being becomes modified, and by degrees harmonises with the conditions of life. When, however, we are no longer studying an animal, plant, or a bird, which has the faculty of yearly reproduction, but species or races of a more tardy reproduction, we must remember that it is necessary to reckon by generations, and not by years.
III. Such are the data by which we are enabled to judge of the attempts at acclimatisation made by man himself. I cannot too often repeat the fact that, in common with organised and living beings, we are subject to all the general laws which govern life and organisation in animals and plants. Our intelligence is unquestionably of assistance to us in our striggles with nature, but, unfortunately, the power which we derive from her is limited, and in no case are we placed at greater disadvantage than in the increasing struggle demanded by a considerable change in conditions of life. The most ingenions efforts are then unable to free man from vicissitudes more or less analogous to those suffered by the wheat of Sierra Leone, the fowls at Cuzco, and the geese at Bogota.

We must, then, almost always be prepared for sacrifices, the extent and gravity of which will be proportionate to the differences, as regards conditions of existence, between the two countries, and we must almost always expect to lose a certain number of individuals and generations. Everything depends upon judging facts fairly, not exaggerating their importance, and sceing how far they justify a hope of success in spite of appearances. If the losses are merely equal to those I have just mentioned, or, better still, if they are fewer in number, we may prophesy a favourable result ; and, if the
victory is worth the price, we must leave the rest to perseverance and time.
IV. Events in Algeria confirm these observations. After the conquest it was everywhere, as also in France, a question whether it would be possible to colonise the country taken from the Turks and Arabs. Dr. Knox declared most emphatically that such a colonisation was impossible, and that the French would never be able to increase or even live in Africa. It must be confessed that this opinion found many and strong supporters. After the first few years of occupation the generals, as well as the doctors, were almost all of the same opinion. M. Boudin supported, with distressing statistics, the views of his colleagues, Marshal Bugeaud and Generals Duvivier and Caraignac.

Relying upon what I know to lave taken place with regard to birds, I did not hesitate to attack these discouraging prophecies. Military and civil mortality was in 1845 doubtless much more considerable in Algeria than in France, and the number of deaths must again liave exceeded that of births. But emigration was at that time abundant and continual. Now, if the influx of new arrivals filled the voids caused by the change in conditions of existence, it at the same time augmented the mortality by continually bringing forward recruits to this war against conditions of life. The rate of deaths amongst children was almost double that reported by French statistics; but the proportion of deaths was still much less than that among the first geese at Bogota. Finally, far from laving been weakened, the fertility of the women had increased; the sources of life were therefore much less affected in this case than upon the high plateaus of America.

From all these considerations, I felt justified in concluding that the acclimatisation of the Frencb in Algeria was certain of success, and would not require twenty generations. My opinion has been corroborated liy events much sooner than I expected. The census of 1870 showed in the European population of Algeria an increase of $2.5,000$, due almost
entirely to the superiority of the number of births over that of deaths. The action of the first generation born in the country began to make itself felt, and from that time the result has been still more striking. In two or three more generations the French Creole will live in Algeria quite as well as his ancestors have lived in France.

There are, however, distinctions which must be drawn with regard to the facility of acclimatisation in Algeria, hetween the different European races, and even between the inhabitants of the north and south of France. The statistics offered by MMI. Boudin, Martin, and Foley show clearly that the Spaniards and Maltese stand the Algerian climate infinitely better than the English, Belgians, or Germans. Now, the inhabitants of the north of France strongly resemble the latter nations in race and habits. In both these respects the inhabitants of southern France are connected, on the contrary, with the inhabitants of Malta and Spain. We might, therefore, without much fear of error, prophesy that the latter had, either for themselves or their descendants, a much greater chance of surviving than the French of Alsatian and Flemish origin. Experience has again fully confirmed these deductions of theory.
V. The information which we derive from these facts taking place, so to speak, at our very doors, and among races with which we are very elosely comected, may, with perfect justice, be applied to conditions of life more widely different in eharacter, to races which are much more distinet from each other than the Freuch and the Belgians. Nevertheless, the conclusion so obtained would have the same value as that drawn from a general formula, the signification of which ehanges with the data. When the question is one of acclimatisation, these data always rise from the two elements mentioned above: conditions of race and life. If either vary, even though it be but slightly and within narrow limits, the result is necessarily altered, and often in a very muexpected manner. Every question of acelimatisation, in teality then, forms a separate problem, which often, again, is
subdivided into a number of particular cases, each of which demands a special solution. Without leaving the French colonies, we can quote on this subject another most striking example.

Anthropologists, as well as doctors, have often questioned the possibility of the acclimatisation of Europeans in the archipelagoes of the great Mexican Gulf, which, through yellow fever and the influences by which it is developed, is particularly fatal to him. At first sight, it is true, a number of general facts seem to leave no doubt that the answer should be in the affirmative. Since the discovery of America Europeans have always oceupied these islands, and the White race, bringing with it the Negro, have everywhere replaced the Caribean race. In answer to this statement, it has been argued that these islands are one of the most favourite parts of the globe for emigration, and that by this means alone a population is maintained, which, if left to itself, would soon disappear. Calculations are opposed to calculations, statistics to statistics, and were we to approach the subject without analysing faets, the question would appear most obscure.

To solve it in those cases only in which France is interested, we will speak only of Guadeloupe and Martinique. We know that these islands were colonised by the French only 23.5 years ago. Even allowing the very liberal ratio of four generations to the century, we find that, at the most, ten generations have elapsed in these islands, the climate of which is of all others the most fatal to Europeans. Now, more than twenty generations were necessary to acclimatise the geese at Bogota. The experiment, therefore, is not complete. Nevertheless, in presence of the ficts of longevity and fecundity attested by M. Simonot, we do not hesitate to share his opinions. Although the French race may not yet be acclimatised in Martinique and Guadeloupe, we may be certain that it soon will be.

It is no less true that statistics attest an excess of deaths over births. The information which they furnish has, how-
ever, been presented without distinction. Old and new creoles have been mixed together, as well as the latest emigrants, in a common estimate. Elements, which are fundamentally very different have thus been confornded. For a work of this kind to have any real value, it is absolutely necessary to divide the population into classes determined by the time of emigration, and to estimate the length of time itself by the number of generations. By proceeding in this manner, we shall mudoubtedly establish in the mortality of groups striking differences, more or less amalogons to those displayed by the generations of plants and animals transported into Africa or America.

The statistics in question are still further vitiated by a fault, which is completely exposed by M. Walther in his work upon Guadeloupe. He, also, has drawn up tables of mortality; only, insteal of taking the population en masse, he studied each district separately. Very significant differences then made their appearance. Considered as a whole the population of Guadelonpe offers an anmual excess of $0 \cdot 46$ deaths over lirths, that is to say, nearly onc-half per cent. In presence of these facts, the statisticians whose views I am attacking, would certainly have concluded that the European is not acclimatised in Guadeloupe, and lave declared, that, after a certain time, which might easily be calculater, this colonial population would become extinct, if the voils were not incessantly filled ly fresh immigrants.

When, however, we examine the table of mortality taken ly districts, we arrise at very different conclusions. 'These districts number thirty-one. Now, in fifteen the number of linths is greater than that of deaths. In the little island of Marie-(ialante this is the case in two districts ont of three. Thms, the terrible calculations of the mean mortality are due entirely to the exageration of mortality in certain districts, while the European has become neclimatised in the others.

The taldes of mortality drawn up in Algeria ly M. Bendin present analogous facts. Out of sixty-nine localities, fiftyfive have shown, since 18.57, an excess of Dirthis over deaths.

The general result obtained by M. Walther may be thus explained. The French race is acclimatised in Guadeloupe in fifteen localities, but not in the remaining sixteen. Oif these two statements, the first should be considered as definitely proved; the second requires confirmation, for a closer examination of the populations of the most unhealthy districts, and a study of them in classes, is still required.

However this may be, every unprejudiced person will acknowledge that we can no longer question the fact of acclimatisation in Cruadeloupe as a whole. It should now mily be a question of acclimatisation at Busse terra, at Pointe-li-Pitre, at Pointe-Noire, etc.
VI. The French Antilles, as also the greater number of the sister islands, are the scene of valuable experiments upon the aptitude of different human races to stand this exceptional climate, which is one of the most difficult to overcome. The Negro was earried there by force very shortly after the occupation of the islands by the Whites, and has lived there in a state of slavery till within the last few years. As the condition of the parents was inherited by the children, there i.: little room for doubt, but that after a given time the local multiplication of the Blacks would have sufficed for all the wants of agriculture and industry, if the race lad become acclimatised. The incessant activity of the slave trade, seems to show that the mumber of deaths must have greatly exceeded that of births. There appears to be no doubt as to the truth of the fact for the island of Cuba or for Jamaica. General Tulluch, struck by the mortality of the Negroes in the English Antilles, has not hesitated to declare that if the trade were once suppressed, the whole race would disappear in these islands before the close of a century. The researches of M. Boudin justify us in regarding this assertion as an exargeration, at least as regards the French possessions.

Neither the English nor the Freuch author has, however, taken into consideration a circumstance, the importance of which eannot be denied. I allude to the conditions imposed upon the Negro by slavery. It is clear that the character
and conduct of the master played an important part in the probability of the life or death of the slave. Without feeling himself to be, and without being inhuman, the master might demand more labour from him than his nature could support, or violate those instincts, the free play of which is necessary to health. This was certainly the case in Cuba, where it was the general practice to get as much out of the slaves as possible, thus creating the necessity for more frequent renewal. We have here, doubtless, one of those causes by which the mortality of a race, better fitted than ours for intertropical climates, is so immoderately increased. Facts seem to justify these conjectures. "Since the abolition of slavery," says M. Elisée Reclus, "the Negro population has been on the increase in the English islands.

However singular this fact may appear to some anthropologists, it is only a repetition of what took place in Brazil. There again, it was said, that the slave trade alone maintained a black population, which was destined to diminish and disappear as soon as this enforced immigration should cease. Authentic documents show that the opposite has taken place. The slave trade was abolished long before slavery in this great Empire. For many years the proprietors, being unable to purchase fresh slaves, took care of those in their possession, and from that time the Negroes have multiplied. Thus it was that during the period in which the missionaries of the Jesuits flourished, that portion of the black race in which they were interested was observed to increase in an extraorlinary manner, whilst in the rich haciendas, where it was uncared for and overworked, it ilwindled away.

By the side of the Negro Creole, there are now in the French Antilles lahourers engaged more or less voluntarily from the same consts of $\Lambda$ friea, representatives of the Semetic white race from Madeira, Chinese of yellow race, and Indian coolics, who are almost all dravidian, and consequently a cross between the black and the yellow. It will be interesting at some future time, to show what resistance each of theso
nations has offered to the terrible climate they are confrouting. The experiment is, at present, only begun. Nevertheless M. Walther has already obtained some interesting data at Guadeloupe. The mean annual mortality of the Creoles is 3.28 per 100 ; that of immigrants, $9 \cdot 66$ for the Chinese ; $7 \cdot 68$ for Negroes ; $7 \cdot 12$ for Hindous; and $5 \cdot 80$ for the natives of Madeira. Unfortunately, the statistics are doultful, and differ from those which M. Du Hailly has given for Martinique. They must, however, both be recorded as the starting-point for new study. There is, moreover, no cause for despair. It is clear, for cxample, that the natives of Madcira will very quickly become acclimatised in Guadeloupe, as is already the case in Cuba, and the much more serious mortality of the Negro, Chinese, and Hindoo races does not prove the impossibility of their ever inhabiting these islands.
VII. The conditions of life and the nature of the race are not all in the numerous problems raised by acclimatisation. Man, even individually, brings his special elements to bear upon it. The savage and the modern European are placed, by the mere fact of the social differences which separate them, in conditions often opposite, and not always in favour of the latter.

Even the marvels of modern industry, whilst facilitating immigration into distant lands, make it more dangerous. Railways and steamers have reduced the longest journeys to a mere nothing. Lands, which it took our ancestors centuries to people, distances which our own fathers could only travel over in several months, are accomplished by us in a few days. We have here, then, yet another to be added to the many difficulties of acclimatisation. It is a common thing in Paris to hear men complain of the effects of a mere journey from Algiers. The rapidity of the transit gives a shock to the organisation, although tending to replace it under its natural conditions of life. The shock is necessarily greater when the journey is made in the other direction, and we go against our physical habits, instead of returning to
them. And, when after a few days' voyage, insteal of Algiers, we land at Rio de Janciro or the Antilles, the shock must be great indeed.

Modern civilisation is also answerable to a great extent for the losses involved by every settlement in a climate differing too widely from our own. By reason of the security with which she surrounds the poor as well as the rich, of the at least relative ease which is enjoyed by all classes of society, we are little prepared for the struggle for existence. Without going so far back as primitive man or the Aryans, let us simply call to mind Balbao, Pizarro, Cortez, Soto, Monbars, and their rough companions; can the present generation offer such a resistance as theirs?

It is not, however, ly its luxuries only that civilisation renders us unfit to confront the chances of acelimatisation. It is also, and principally, by the vices which too often accompany it. M. Bolut, who was in charge of a number of men employed for the construction of a pier at Grand Bassam, said to Captain Vallon: "A Sunday will put more of my men in the hospital, than three days of work in the full heat of the sun." This was becanse Sunday was given up to debauchery.

Here, again, is a fact forming, so to speak, an experiment such as might have been imagined by a physiologist. The Isle of Bourbon passes for one of those disastrous climates to which the European cannot become acclimatised. 'I'he tables of mortality which relate to the whole population do, in fact, show that the deaths exeeed the births to a formidable extent. 'This is, however, another of those sweeping results, intu, which we must inguire if we wish to understand its true meaning.

The Whites of Bourbon form, in reality, two elasses, or rather two races, distinct in their manners and customs. The former inclules the population of towns and large seltements, who lead the ordinary life of colonists, and especially avoid agricultural latour, considered ly Crooles as degrading as well as fatal. The latter includes the Mecon

Whites, descendants of the original colonists, who, too poor to buy slaves, were forced to cultivate the land with their own hands.

Now, of these two classes of colonists, it is the former alone which supply the mortality to which attention is so often drawn. The Mean Whites live as their fathers lived; they inhabit and cultivate the less fertile districts of the island. Far from having deteriorated, their race has improved, and the women, in particular, are remarkable for beauty of form and feature. The race maintains itself perfectly, and secms to be on the increase. Crossing, morcover, has no influence in the matter, for the Mean White, proud of the purity of blood which constitutes his nobility, will not, at any price, ally himsclf with the Negro or Coolic.

Thus at Bourbon, indolence, and the habits which it involves, destroy the rich, and those who try to imitate them, while the poor become acclimatised through sobricty, purity of manners, and a moderate amount of work. From the latter, anthropologists and all the world may learn a lesson of grave importance, at once scientific and moral.
VIII. Finally, acelimatisation and naturalisation are as universal in history as migration, of which they are the consequence. We see them daily accomplished under our very eyes, and with the most different races, though almost invariably at the price of human life. In many places, they are purchased very cheaply, so much so, that study alone teaches us that new conditions of life in no ease entirely lose their rights. In others, specially in countries characteriscel by an extreme climate, they involve considerable losses. But there is nothing to authorise us to deny the existence of acclimatisation and naturalisation. Everything, on the contrary, proves that if they are willing to submit to the necessary sacrifices, all human races may live and prosper in almost every climate which is not ritiated by accidental caluses.

IN. In this case, as in many others, the present explains the past, whick also contributes its share of information

Relying upon our own daily experience, and upon facts borrowed from history, we can form a general idea of the manner in which the world has been peopled.

The listory of the Aryan race alone, gives us, so to speak, that of the whole species. We see it starting from the Bolor, and Hindoo Koh, from the Eeriéné Vceljo, where the summer only lasted two months, descending into Bukhara, and overrunning Persia and Cabul before reaching the basin of the Indus. Eleven stations mark this ronte followed by the Aryans before reaching the Ganges. We there find them again slowly advancing, though all the time sending forth as a vanguard, those pious heroes, who slew the Rakehassas, and prepared the way for conquests. The race is now in the tropics in India, in the Polar circle in Greenland, where the Norwegians and Danes have replaced the Sea-Kings ; it spreads over an immense region of more or less temperate climate, and possesses colonies in every part of the world.

The human species must have made a beginaing like the Aryans. Upon leaving their centre of creation, it was by slow stages, that the primitive culonists, ancestors of all existing races, marched forth to the conquest of the uninhabited world. They thus accustomed themselves to the different conditions of existence imposed upon them by the north, the south, the east, or the west, cold or heat, plain or mountain. Diverging in every direction, and mecting with different conditions of life, they gradually established a harmony between themselves and each one of them. Thus acclimatisation, advancing at the same rate as geographical couquest, was less fatal. The struggle, however, though mitigated indeed by the slowness of the advance, still existed, and many pioneers must have fallen upon the route. But the survivors had only nature to face, and, therefore, succeeded, and peopled the world.

## BOOK VII.

## PRLAITIVE MAN.-FORMATION OF THE HUMLAN Races.

## CHAPTER XXI.

## Phimitive man.

I. The primitive type of the human species must necessarily have been effaced, and have disappeared. The enforeed migrations, and the actions of climate, must of themselves have produced this result. Man has passed through two geological epochs; perhaps his centre of appearance is no longer in existence; at any rate, the conditions are very different to those prevailing when humanity began its existence. When everything was changing round him, man could not avoid being changed also. Crossing, also, has certainly played its part in this transformation. I shall shortly return to these different points which I only allude to here.

But, on the other hand, we shall see that the skull of the most ancient Quaternary race is repeated not only in some Australian tribes, but in Europe, and in men who have played an important part among their fellow-countrymen. The other races of the same epoch, judging from the skull, have many representatives amongst us. They have, nevertheless, passed through one of the tiro geological revolutions, which separates us from our original stuck. It is then not impossible that the latter may have transmitted to a certain
number of men, perhaps scattered in time and space, at least a part of its characters.

Unfortmately, we do not know where to seek for reproductions, bearing more or less resemblance to the primitive type ; and, for want of information it would be impossible to recognise them as such, if we were to meet with them. Here, therefore, obscrvation alone can furnish no data. But, when it is aided by physiology, some conjectures are possible.
II. We know that among animals atavism often causes the reappearance of ancestral characters, even when a carcful selection has acted upon hundreds of generations. The silkworms of the Cevemnes which yield white cocoons, and the black sheep of Spain furnish examples. In man, where selection does not exist, such facts would be much more likely to be produced. Some characters of our first ancestors ought to appear in isolated cases or collectively in all human races; perhaps, there are some which have been preserved in one or more grouns. Consequently, ly searching for them, and classifying those which appear in a more or less erratic manner among races which are most dissimilar in all wher respects, we shall probably be able to form a partial reproduction of the primitive human type.

In this respect, it is difficult to awoid attaching a real importance to the prognathism of the upper jaw. 'Ihis anatomical feature is very pronomed in almost all Negro races: it is also strongly marked in certain Yellow races. It is considerably diminished among Whites: lnt, nevertheless, it appears at times almost as strongly marked as in the two other groups: it existed in Quaternary man. Everything secons to indicate that it must have been as strongly developed in our first ancestors.

Phenomena of atavism acting on the coloning atre of freprent occurrence among amimals.

They are equally prevalent in the human species. This consideration causes me to attach real importance to the opinion of M. de Salles, who attributes red hair to the carliest
men. In fact, among all human races, individuals have been moticed whose hair more or less approaches to this tint.

The experiments of Darwin upon the effects of crossing hetween very different races of pigeons led to the same conclusion. He found that the crossings resulted in the reappearance of certain peculiarities of colour in the mongrels, which were peculiar to the original species, and which had disappeared in the two parent races. Now in our colonies the offspring of a Mulatto and a White frequently has red hair. In Europe also, M. Hamy has remarked that children are born with red hair, when one of the parents is decidedly dark and the other decidedly fair. In all cases of this nature, we should say that the primitive character reasserts itself, being accidentally acquired by the reciprocal neutralisation of opposed ethnical characters.

When examined under the microscope, the cutancous pigment which gives the human body its characteristic colour, doubtless shows different tints, but yellow is always present as a colouring element. If we apply to man the laws which Isidore Geoffroy has deduced from his observations upon animals, we are led to conclude that this colour originally predominated. When the White is crossed with the Negro, the yellow colouring element at once asserts itself and generally appears to predominate. In the colonies the general term of yellozes is sometimes given to mulattos. This result is again explained ly the experiments of Darwin; and the conclusion is admissille that the original colour of man more or less approximated to this tint.

Certain facts which have been observed among Negroes stem also to confirm this conclusion. Among the must strongly characterised peoples belonging to this type, the appearance has been noticed of individuals of a lighter columr, sometimes almost resembling the Whites in this respect, sometimes tending more or less to yellow, without presenting any of the phemmena of teratological allinism. These individual peculiarities of colour may be attributed to atavism. Now among no white or fellow race have facts
been noticed which can be regardel as reciprocal to the preceding.

Nothing therefore authorises us to regard the Negro race as having preceded the other two ; and, on the contrary, the contrast which I have just pointed out leads to the conclusion that the ancestors of the negro were a race of a much lighter colour.

On the other hand, we know that the Aryan race is the latest. The question of priority thus lies between the Semitic, the Allophylian, and the group of yellow races. What I have said above of the fundamental colour being present as an element in the colour of all races, and the phenomena of crossing, point with some probability in favour of the latter.

Philology seems to confirm this view. Monosyllabic languages, which imply the first attempts at human speech, ouly exist among the yellow races. All the Negro races and the Allophylian Whites speak argolutinative languages, which answer to the second form which man gave to the expression of his thonglits. Aryans and Semites buth have inflectional languages.

Philology then seems to lead to the same conclusion as physiology, and even to give an appearance of greater probability to these conjectures, which I only give for what they are worth.
III. We know nothing of primitive man; we acknowledge that, from want of information, it would be impossible to recognise him. All that the present state of our knowledge allows us to say is that, according to all appearance he ought 10) De characterised by a certain amome of prognathism, and have neither a blata skin nor woolly hair. It is also fairly probable that his colonr would rescmble that of the yellow rates, and his hair he more or less red. Fimally everything tends to the conclusion that the language of our earliest anmetors wats a more or less promonned monesyltabic one.

These arremly conjectures, and they amome to but little, Dont this little is fommed upon experiment and observation.
IV. We can also ouly form very vague comjectures upon
the degree of intellectual development which man exhibited at his birth and during his first generations. At any rate it is possible to believe that he did not enter upon the scene of the world with innate knowledge, and the instinctive industries which belong to animals. Still less did he appear in a fully civilised state "mature in body and mind" as thinks the Comte Eusilie de Salles. All traditions point to a period when human knowledge was very small, when man was ignorant of iudustries, to our eyes very elementary, and which we see appear in succession. Upon this point the Bible agrees with classical mythology. The Hebrews have their Tubal Cain, and the Greeks their Triptolemos. Prehistoric studies confirm this progressive development in Western Europe upon every point. Tertiary industries precede quaternary. The whole history of races seems to me to give, at least in part, a representation of that of the Species; and our thoughts go back almost irresistibly to the time when man found himself face to face with creation, armed solely with the aptitudes which were destined to undergo such a marvellous development.

Thanks to these aptitudes, at a very early period he satisfied at least the first wants of existence. The miocene man of La Beance already knew the use of fire and worked flint. However rough and rudimentary his instruments may have been, he had even then an industry, and according to all appearance fed partly upon cooked food. The man of SaintPrest, with his small lozenge-shaped arrow-heads, worked only on one side, with his rough hatehets, could undoubtedly attack and kill the great contemporary mammalia. He possessed serepers which he used to prepare their skins with, and arel., which perhaps served as needles. From this distant period, upon which science has thrown as yet but little light, man reveals his existence liy two great facts, and shows his superiority to the whole animal creation.

## CHAPTEER XXII.

## FORMATION OF HUMAN RACES UNDER THE SOLE INFLUENCE OF CONDITIONS.OF LIFE AND HEREDITY.

I. Tie first men who peopled the centre of human appearance must at first have differed from each other only in individual features. At their beginuing and during an indefinite lapse of time, mankind could only have been homogencons, ats is every amimal and vegetable species which is restricted to an area of small extent.

At the present time, we find mankind composed of numerous groups, which have peculiar characters, and constitute so many distinct races. How have these races origimated? and how have they grown and multiplied?

To give a definite reply to these questions, ly going lack from recent effects to first canses, is still impossible, and perhaps will always be so. Nevertheless, seience may even now approach the general aspects of the problem. We are well acquainted with the circumstances under which varieties originate and races are formed among plants and animals: we have establishey in man the occurrence of a mumber of phonomena, which are in this respect identical, or very similar to thase exhithited hy the two inferior kingdoms. We are therefore clearly anthorised tu apply inferences dratw from them to ourselses, comecting particular with general facts. 'This study is instructive in matuy rexpects. Unfortmately, we camot fully enter upon it here; we can only select some facts in the history of amimals to justify our conclusions.

1I. The poblan of the formation of human races proschts two very distinct cancs. Minn at first was sulject to the sole
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action of nutural modifying agonts. Under this intluence pure races were formed. When these races came in contact, they were crossed; this resulted in the formation of mixed reces. Without being antagonistic to the natural forees, crossiny modifies them by its peculiar phenomena, and sometimes masks their manifestations. The two cases, therefore, require separate examination. We will begin with the first.
III. Every organic species considered as a whole appears to be sulijected to the action of two forees, one of which tends to maintain and the other to modify its characters. To what cause can this double action be referred? This is a question put by the greatest thinkers and the most eminent physiologists, from Aristotle and Hippocrates to Burdach and J. Müller.

It is not the resemblunces existing between the members of the same species, or between the members of one family, which perplex philosophers: all agree in referring them to heredity. The problem lies rather in the differences. Not only in the considerable differences which are established between races; but more especially in the shudes constituting the indicidual traits which distinguish father from son, or brother from brother. This is in reality the fundamental difticulty, and many hypotheses have been proposed for its solution. Prosper Lucas, after having diseussed them separately, regarded them all as insufticient, and believed that, side hy side with heredity, which maintains types, we onght to admit a special force, imuteness (l'iméité) which diversifies them.

We can, however, account for the double tendeney exhilsited by living leeings, without having recourse to a new force. Fur this purpose it is suflicient to push the analysis of phenomena a little further than is customary, and to obtain a clear idea of the part played by the condtitions if life (milien) and herodity. As a general rule an action is attributed to the first, which everywhere and at all times is a modifying one, and to the second a purely conservative
action. Now it may be easily shown that this is not the case ; and that each of these causes acts in an inverse manner according to circumstances.
IV. By virtue of the laws of heredity, the father and mother tend equally to transmit to their offspring their own character. However similar they may be supposed to be, there are always some differences between them; and the nature of the new being is necessarily a compromise between two different tendencies. The son cannot, therefore, always resemble his father exactly. In him the characters common to both parents will easily be exaggerated; the opposite characters will be neutralised; and the different characters will produce a resultant, as distinct from the two components as green is from yellow and blue. Thus even by virtue of its own tendencies, and in consequence of the enforced cooperation of the sexes, direct and immediate heredity becomes, in some respects, a cause of variation.

Mediate and indirect heredity, justly compared by Burdach to geneagenctic phenomena, as well as atavism, which suddenly reproduces with great exactness the characters of an ancestor, sometimes after hundreds of generations, have certainly considerable influence in the variation of individual traits, and in the differences which distinguish parents from their children.

Their action, added to that of direct heredity, is sufficient to explain the appearance of certain varieties, without appealing to innateness.
V. But the hereditary force, although it is manifested from one generation to another, or through several generations, is always influenced by the conditions of life (milieu), and this has evidently greater force.

This term ought to be taken in a much more gencral sense than is usually the case. Buffon himself only took into account climate, varying quantities of food, and the hardships of servitude, when he was treating of domestic animals. I understand by the conditions of life something much more complex. They comprehend the sum of all the conditions
under whose sway a plant, an animal, or man, is formed and grows as germ, embryo, youth, and adult. To make a selection from these conditions, to admit some and take them into considcration, to reject and exclude the rest, is evidently an entirely arbitrary procedure. The consideration of only a certain period of life, the neglect of the whole intra-ovarian or intra-uterine period, deserves the same reproach. From this point of view, the existence of a being cannot be severed, any more than the conditions of life under whose rule this existence is accomplished.
A number of cases do away with all doubt as to the action of the conditions of life upon the germ, or upon the embryo, however much it may appear to be protected by the envelopes of the ovum; or by the tissues of the mother. The two Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire have clearly proved that monstrosity dates from the earliest stages of the formation of the being, and indicates in certain cases the external causes which have produced it. The experiments of M. Dareste have confirmed and enlarged in a singular manner these first conclusions, while giving them greater precision. By mixing madder with the food of a female mammal, Flourens produced a red colour in the bones of the foetus. By placing the eggs of a salmon-trout in waters which only nourished whitetrout, Coste noticed the eggs become gradually paler, and produce trout which had lost the characteristic colour of their race. In order to increase the height of our excellent small horses of the "camargue" race, it is sufficient to give the mare during the period of gestation a more plentiful diet than that to which she is accustomed in her half-wild state.
Thus it is established in the clearest manner and by exact experiments that the conditions of life, when acting upon the embryo during the intra-uterine or intra-ovarian part of its existence, are capable of producing either the gravest teratological disorders, or simple and slight deviations. We are, therefore, clearly justified in attributing to the same cause modifications which are placed between these extremes
according to their importance. To invoke innateness, in order to explain their appearance, is obviously superfluous. We shall connect, therefore, with actions of this kind the appearance of the first spineless Acacia of which we have spoken before, of the first Ancon sheep in Massachusetts in 1791, that of the first Mauchamp sheep in France in 1828, cte.

The Ancon and Mauchamp races are only propagated by human industry. But these sudden deviations from a given type can also extend and multiply their numbers by themselves. It is well-known that South American oxen are descended frorn a horned Spanish race. Now, in 1770, a hornless ox was produced in Paraguay. In several years, according to d'Azara, this exceptional form had, as it were, invaded several provinces. Nevertheless, the race is far from being in favour, because the absence of horns renders it less liable to be caught by the lasso, so that its destruction was attempted. It was, therefore, evidently propagated spontaneously.

Whoever has the smallest acquaintance with embryogenesis will have no difficulty in understanding that conditions of life act especially upon organisms in respect to their formation and evolution. However, their influence upon an animal, even when full-grown, is sometimes quite as marked. Our sheep, when transferred to America, generally become acelimatised without undergoing great changes. Their flecee, particularly, is retained. But in the plains of the Meta it is only retained on condition of the sheep being regularly shorn. If they are left to themselves, the wool becomes of a felty nature, is detached in flakes, and is replaced by a short, stiff, and shining hair. Under the influence of this burning climate, the same individual becomes in turn a woolly and a hairy animal. Now, imnateness, as Prosper Lucas conceives it, caunot be appealed to in the case of changes undergone by a full-grown animal, whilst the action of conditions of life is here incontestable.
VI. We have just pointed out how heredity and conditions of life can give rise to a ruriety. Now, the individual which
has commenced to deviate from its original type becomes in its turn a parent; it tends to transmit to its offspring the exceptional characters which distinguish it. The same facts are repeated in its offspring; and, at each generation, the actions of the conditions of life are added to each other. Thus every time heredity transmits the sum of these actions to the fullowing generation. The faintest modification inereased from father to son sometimes leads to most marked changes. Our European oxen, in the hot plains of Mariquita and Neyba gradually lost their hair, at first became pelones, and would soon have formed an entirely naked race, if the culongos had not been regularly killed. Again, pigs which have become wild in the Paramos have acquired a kind of wool under the action of a contimuous, but not excessive cold. The Guinea $\log$ and the Esquimaux dog present an analogous contrast between races of the same species.

In the preceding examples, and in many others which must be omitted, the actions in question modify organisms in order to place them in harmony with the conditions of life. Now it is intelligible that when the maximum of possible effect has once been attained, they can only fix the result obtained more fully, but can never determine a change in the opposite direction. 'The heat, which has by degrees deprived calongo cattle of their hair, will never restore it again; and the cold which has made our pigs woolly, will never deprive them of wool. Here, then, we find conditions of life acting as an agent of preservation and stability.
VII. In the preceding passage allusion has been made to natural forees left to themselves. It is to them that the formation is due of the wild races of all the species whose geographical area is very extended, such as the fox, jackal, lion, etc.
These races are sometimes so different that they were regarded as distinct species, as long as the intervening geographical and zoological terms were muknown. Frederick Cuvier himself made this mistake in the case of the jackals
of India and those of the Senegal. Wild races have, however, never been so numerous or so distinct from each other as domesticated races.

Are we to infer from this that man exercises around himself and of himself a kind of magnetic action, as some authors seem to admit? Certainly not. In reality, he only acts upon an animal by setting in action, sometimes intentionally, sometimes unintentionally, the two agents which, hitherto, we have met with everywhere, conditions of life and heredity. By the single fact of domestication, by the confinement which is almost always the result of it, he changes entirely the natural conditions of existence. By leading in his train the animals which he has enslaved, he diversifies still more the influences which act upon them. Prompt to seize every means of rendering them most useful, he profits by the smallest modifications which show the least advantage, pushes them to their utmost limits and produces the extreme races, of which our exhibitions of animal races give such curious examples.

The chief means which man uses for the attainment of these results, which at times seem to border on the marvellous, is selection. Ever since he has possessed domestic animals he has marked out among them individuals which are better adapted than the rest to his intentions. By some kind of instinct, or unconsciously, as Darwin says, he has chosen them to breed from. By rejecting the types which he considers inferior, and only employing the higher types wherewith to propagate the species, he has directed the action of heredity in a definite direction, and has readily created races. Now, man has acted in this manner since the times spoken of in Genesis and by Chou-King, that is, for thousands of years. Is it then surprising that he should have multiplied aroind him hereditary forms which are more or less distinct from the primitive types?

Progressive selection would doubtless lead to numerous and varied results. Would it allow of the creation of races whose characters almost reach hemitery? The answer to
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this question is at least doubtful. But we have not to ask it. When by one of the actions of the conditions of life, whose origin remains obscure, an almost teratological animal form is produced, it soon disappears by the mixture of blood from different sources, if unions are left to chance. This is the reason why analogous facts are not observed in feral races. But if this form appears in a domestic animal, if it answers to any want or caprice, selection intervenes, preserves it, and multiplies it. This explains the origin of the Ancon sheep, which were all descended from a single ram of which we have spoken above ; also the means by which M. Graux de Mauchamp has raised his race of sheep with silky fleeces from a single ram. These two examples show how all those peculiar races have been obtained, which in some of their characters seem to clash with the very type from which they were derived. In the canine species the beagle corresponds to the Ancon shcep; the niata cattle, which have appeared in South America since its conquest, correspond to the bull-dog, etc.
VIII. Races, when once formed under man's influence, are fixed by the same causes which produced them. Their characters, which at first were entirely artificial, become more and more fixed, so much so, that even a very considerable change in the conditions of existence, rever effaces them entirely. The acquired nuture is, so to speak, welded to the original nature of the being.

This is a fact not generally recognised by naturalists and anthropologists who have touched upon these questions. For instance, it has been admitted as proved that domestic races, when they have returned to the feral state, reassume all the original characters of the species. This is a mistake. The fact is, that both with animals and plants, escaped ruces lose a certain number of characters, and frequently the most apparent ones, which they owe to domestication ; they reassume others which they had lost during their period of servitude, but the former are inore frequently only diminished and masked by the latter. If fruit-trees escaped from our
orchards, if our horses, dogs, cattle, and pigs, when they have become wild, had really reassumed the original type of the species, they ought to present in every arca which they inhabit the marked uniformity characteristic of animals who were never subject to man. This is not the case. They ought in particular to preserve no trace of their acquired characters. Now, the latter are partly persistent. Vans Mons has found apple-trees and pear-trees of Belgium, in a wild state, in the forest of the Ardennes. The prickles had reappeared, the fruit had become small and bitter again, but the principal cultivated varieties were still to be recognised. I have established an analogous fact with regard to clingstone and free-stone peach-trees in a valley of the Cevennes. Similarly Martin de Moussy has recognised in the troops of dogs which have become wild in America, all the chief races from which they had been derived, although they reassumed the general characters of the tan-coloured type.
IX. From the number of observations which have been collected among plaits and animals, and of which I can only notice a small number, it is easy to understand the appearance and multiplication of human races, and to account for certain general facts, some of which are closely connected with our history. Let us state at starting that with man, as with animals, varieties have appeared at times which may be classed among hemitery. Individuals, exhibiting from their birth exceptional characters, are none the less healthy, and sometimes have very remarkable power of transmission. Edward Lambert, born in 1717 of perfectly healthy parents, had all his life a kind of carapace more than an inch thick, and irregularly fissured, which gave him the name of the porcupine man. All his children, to the number of six, and his two grandehildren, inherited this strange modification of the skin, although his wife and his daughter-in-law did not show the least trace of it. In the Colburn family, four generations were marked with polydactylism which was derived from the grandmother of the great calculator. At the fourth generation, four children out of cight still had
supernumerary fingers, though at each generation normal blood was mixed with the teratological blood.

Evidently, if the descendants of Lambert and Colburn had been treated like those of the first Ancon or Mauchamp sheep, two human races would have been obtained, one characterised by a cutaneous carapace, and the other by the possession of six fingers. But here selection was wanting, and the exceptional blood, from being diluted at each fresh marriage, did not fail to be rapidly exhausted.
X. Man does not subject himself to the selection; which he applies with so much success to animals and plants. In his species, therefore, the extreme variations which are obtained elsewhere are not produced. It is thus easily explained why the limits of variation are not so extensive with man as with domesticated or cultivated races. But if, for some motive or other, he were to apply the process of selection to himself, we should not have to wait long for the result. By marrying the tallest women to the giants of their guard, Frederick William and Frederick II. had created at Potsdam a real race distinguished for its tall stature. In Alsace a Duke de Deux-Ponts, who imitated the Prussian sovereigns, obtained the same result.

There is another cause which contributes powerfully to restrict the limits of variation in man, namely, the power which his intelligence gives him of partly escaping from the effects of the conditions of life. He is always struggling, as much as he is able, against the external influences capable of disturbing the equilibrium which constitutes his well-being. In the tropics, he uses contrivances for avoiding the heat; in the pular circle, he perfects his means of heating; if he emigrates, he carries with him, as far as he can, his manners and customs, and struggles with redoubled care against the new conditions of life. There is nothing strange in finding him successful in neutralising to a certain extent the modifying influences of the external world.
XI. Nevertheless, the conditions of life do not surrender their rights; although diminished, their action is none the
less real. This is a fact which can be affirmed by what occurs in our great western colonies. Each great European race is there represented by derived sub-races which vary according to the locality. The islands in the Gulf of Mexico, North and South Americia, and Australia itself, which has been so recently colonised, have at this time their own peculiar races, some of which are remarkably characterised.

Since I am unable to treat in detail all these facts of transmutation, I will only notice some of the facts which have been established in the United States. We know that the English race was only definitely settled there at the time of the Puritan emigration, about 1620, and from the arrival of Penn in 1681. Two centuries and a half, twelve generations at the most, separate us from this epoch, and nevertheless, the Anglo-American, the Yankee, no longer resembies his ancestors. The fact is so striking that the eminent zoologist, Andrew Murray, when endeavouring to account for the formation of animal races, finds he cannot do better than appeal to the condition of mankind in the United States.

The subject, moreover, is not wanting in precise details, which are vouched for by a number of travellers, by naturalists, and doctors. At the second generation the English Creole in North America, presents, in his features, an alteration which approximates him to the native races. Subsequently the skin dries and loses its rosy colour, the glandular system is reduced to a minimum, the hair darkens and becomes glossy, the neck becomes slender, and the size of the head diminishes. In the face, the temporal fosse are pronounced, the cheek-bones become prominent, the orbital cavities become hollow, and the lower jaw massive. The bones of the extremities are elongated, while their cavity is diminished, so much so, that in France and England gloves are specially made for the United States with exceptionally long fingers. Lastly, in the woman, the pelvis, in its proportions, approaches to that of the man.

Are these changes signs of a degeneration already accomplished, and of an approaching extinction, as Knox
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asserts? I think a reply to this assertion is hardly. necessary. We are sufficiently acquainted with American men and women to know that, although modified, the physical type is not on that account lowered in the scale of races; and the social grandeur of the United States, the marvels they have accomplished, the energy with which they pass through the rudest crises, prove that from every point of view, the Yankee race has retained its rank. It is simply a new race, formed by the American conditions of life, but which remains worthy of its elder sisters in Europe, and will perhaps some day surpass them.

The Negro transported into the same countries has also undergone remarkable changes. His colour has paled, his featureshave improved, and his physiognomy is altered. "In the space of 150 years," says M. Elisée Reclus, "they have passed a good fourth of the distance which separates them from the whites, as far as external appearance goes." Lyell's opinion is almost the same. Moreover, when visiting two Negro churches, at Savannah, he remarked that the odour so characteristic of the race was scarcely appreciable. A long medical experience at New Orleans has shown Dr. Visinié that the blood of the Negro Creole has lost the excess of plasticity which it possessed in Africa. With MM. Reiset, de Lisboa, etc., with even Nott and Gliddon, let us add that, while the physical type has undergone modification, the intelligence has improved, and we shall have to recognise that in the United States a sub-N'egro race has been formed, derived from the imported race.
XII. Thus the European White and the African Negro, when under the influence of new conditions of life, have both undergone modification. Moreover both, according to M. Reclus, whose statements are confirmed by those of M. L'abbé Brasseur de Bonbourg, approximate to the indigenous races. Both of these authors seem to admit that at the end of a given time, whatever be their origin, all the descendants of Whites or of Negroes who have immigrated to America will become Red-skins.

When two such intelligent observers arrive at an identical and certainly quite unexpected conclusion on such a question, the facts must be very patent. Yet they have forced their meaning, from not having taken sufficient account of the nature of the problem. That the Negro and the White should replace some of their features and characters by some of the features and characters belonging to the indigenous races, is quite natural. When subject to the action of the conditions of life which have formed the local races, they could not help being influenced by it to a certain extent. But they will never on that account be confused with the local races nor with each other, any more than the White transported to Africa would ever become a true Negro, or the European descendants of a Negro would ever become true Whites.

This impossibility of one race being transformed into another is often brought forward as an objection against Monogenism. It is nevertheless the natural consequence of the phenomena, of which I have endeavoured to give a short account, and is easily explained. Every race is a resultant whose components are, partly the species itself, partly the sum of the modifying agents which have produced the deviation from the type. We cannot separate those two elements, and races which have run wild show us to what extent the fusion can go. Every race which is fixed, when brought under the conditions of life which have formed another, will doubtless approximate to the latter; but it will partly retain its former impress, as the fruit-trees of Van Mons and the wild dogs of Martin de Moussy have done.

Such is what would take place even among primary races directly detached from the primitive type, and which have only been sulbect to the action of one fixed condition of life. But with the Negro and the White, the question is much more complex. These two extreme types represent the last product of two series of long-continued actions, whose diversity and multiplicity are indicated by the gengraphical stations themselves. Europe and tropical Africa have given them, if
the expression may be used, the last touches ; but their outline was slietched out long before they reached their present habitat. By their transposition, we only submit each of them to a part of the influences which have formed the other, and consequently a complete exchange of characters could never take place.
XIII. Without denying absolutely the influence of the conditions of life upon man, most polygenists refuse to admit that they have the power of producing new races. To support their statements, they appeal to the persistence of certain types for a considerable lapse of time, and insist most strongly upon certain facts derived from Egypt. On this latter point I readily agree with them. It is quite true that pictures and Egyptian sculptures point to the existence in the valley of the Nile of a type, or rather types, which are remarkably uniform; and whoever has visited these countries has certainly been struck, as I was, with the great resemblance of the peoples of the present to those of the past.

But what reasons are there why the inhabitants of the valley of the Nile should change? What cause, except intercrossing, could determine any modification in their physical characters? In this region, which is exceptional in so many respects, nothing has changed since historic times, neither the earth, the sky, nor the river ; habits, customs, and daily life have remained as they were in the time of the Pharaohs; the Egyptian even uses implements in our days, which are exactly like those which were used fifty or sixty centuries ago by his ancestors.

In Egypt, all the conditions of existence, and, consequently, the actions of the conditions of life, are the same in our days as they were in those distant times, the history of which is preserved by the monuments. Far from tending to modify a sace which is already fixed, they have only helped to fix it more and more. In the order of ideas which I support, a change in the Egyptian type would be inconceivable.

The persistence of a type, far from being an objection to the manner in which I understand the conditions of life to
act, viz. : the formation and maintenance of races, is a confirmation of it.
XIV. In conclusion ; like all animal and vegetable species, the human species can vary within certain limits; like plants and animals, man has his varietics and races, which have appeared and been formed by the action of the same causes.

In the human kingdom, as in the two other organic kingdoms, the first causes of variation are, conditions of life and heredity.

In phenomena of this kind, conditions of life act as the supreme ruler. If they vary, they become modifying agents, if they remain constant, agents of stabilisation.

In both cases their result is to harmonise organisms with the conditions of their existence.

Heredity, which is essentially a preserving agent, becomes an agent of variation, when it transmits and accumulates the modifying actions of the conditions of life.
XV. It is now easy to understand, in the general sense, the formation of human races.
Man at first doubtless peopled his centre of appearance and the countries immediately adjoining. He then commenced the immense and varied dispersion which dates from tertiary times and continues to the present day. He has passed through two geological epochs, and is now in his third. He has seen the mammoth and rhinoceros flourishing in Siberia in the midst of a rich fauna; he has at least seen them driven by the cold into the midst of Europe; and he has assisted in their extinction. Later on, he has retaken possession of the barren-lands himself; he has pushed his culonies as far as the neighbourhood of the pole, perhaps to the very pole itself, while at the same time he has invaded the forests and deserts of the tropics, reached the extremity of two great continents, and peopled all the archipelagoes.

For many thousands of years, man has therefore been subject to the action of all the external conditions of life with which we are aequainted, to that of the conditions of life
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of which we ean at the utmost only form an idea. The various kinds of life to which he has been sulbjected, and the different degrees of eivilisation at which he stopped or to which he has reached, have all diversified still more his conditions of existence. Was it possible that he should retain everywhere and for all time his original characters?

Experience and observation lead to an entirely opposite conclusion. When we see the Anglo-Saxon of our days, although protected by all the resources of an advanced eivilisation, subjected to the American conditions of life, and changed into a Yankee, we must admit that at each of his great stages, when man is submitted to new conditions of existence, he has had to harmonise himself with them, and in so doing undergo modification. Each of these principal stations has necessarily witnessed the formation of a corresponding race. The original characters, thus successively affected, have become more and more attered, by reason of the length of the journey, and the difference of conditions. When they have reached the end of their journey the grandchildren of the first emigrants would certainly only retain very few of the eharacters of their ancestors.

The original human type has probably presented, for an indefinite time, its original characters in the tribes which remained fixed to the centre of appearance for our species. When the glacial epoch began, which, according to all appearance, made the earliest country of man uninhabitable, these tribes were foreed to emigrate in their turn. Since that time the earth has no longer had wutochthones, but has only been peopled by colonists. At the same time the modifying action of the conditions of life was felt by these last comers, who themselves were also transformed.

From this moment, the original type of man has been lost; the human species was only composed of races, all of which differed more or less from the first model.

## CHAPTER XXIII.

## FORMATION OF MIXED HUMAN RACES.

I. The races which had been developed by the sole action of the conditions of life and of heredity, did not remain isolated. The earliest emigrants from the centre of appearance certainly did not pass at once to the extremity of the area determined by their first stages. They stopped on the way; they formed secondary centres, round which fresh emigrations spread. The history of the Lemi Lenapes, as of the Polynesians, proves that this must have been the case. Consequently, in many cases, the races first formed must frequently have come in contact. Then, as the waves of emigration followed each other, the last comers would meet on their way with those who preceded them. It will further on be proved that facts of this nature have occurred since Quaternary times.

Whether peaceful or otherwise, these contacts would result in reciprocal penetrations, and consequently in intercrossings.

The founders of anthropology, Buffon, Blumenbach, and even Prichard, have taken very little notice of crossings between human races, and have neglected their importance. It can scarcely be brought as a serious reproach against them. The two former were unacquainted with many of the facts which we possess at present. Prichard was neither a naturalist nor a physiologist. Moreover, nothing forcibly directed their attention towards crossings which might have occurred in more or less distant times, or among nations still insufficiently known.

At the present time this indifference is impossible. On
the one hand, the better the various nations are known, the greater becomes the number of those which derive their origin from intercrossing; on the other land, it is impossible not to pay attention to everything which happens to mankind in consequence of the impulse to expansion and mixture which takes place on every side. From seeing the phenomena which occur in the present times, we are naturally led to investigate those which may have taken place in times past.
II. Are mixed human races formed now? In the presence of the general facts which I have related in a preceding chapter, this question might appear strange. Nevertheless, the question has been asked, and in a more or less formal manner has been answered in the negative. A few words on the subject are therefore necessary.

We may consider the era of modern crossings as dating from the discovery of the new world. Nevertheless the mixture of bloods has only taken place on a large scale at a later period, at the utmost after the conquest of the Indies in 1515 , that of Mexico in 1520 , and that of Peru in 1534 . We are not separated from this epoch by more than three centuries and a half. And yet M. d'Omalius, only counting the products of the crossing of the European White with the different coloured races, estimates the number of half-breeds at eighteen millions. The population of the globe being estimated as 1200 millions, the product of cross-unions is already represented by about $\frac{1}{6}$ th.

We know, moreover, how irregular is the distribution of half-breeds. Immense tracts of country have not been affected. But where the peoples are in intimate coutact, the proportion is much greater. In Mexico and South America half-breeds constitute at least one-fifth of the population.

But, say Knox and the other anthropologists who more or less explicitly adopt his views, the number of half-breeds is entirely kept up by incessant cross-unions. If abandoned to themselves, and if they no longer had access to the pure
races, they would rapidly disappear. I will confine myself to quoting a few facts in opposition to these assertions.

At the Cape, the intercrossing of the Dutch and the Hottentots has resulted in half-breeds called Busters, who soon became sufficiently numerous to inspire alarm. They were banished beyond the Orange river. Here they settled under the name of Griquas, and they increased in numbers rapidly. A portion remained behind in the colony, and formed villages, among others that of New Platberg. The Basters intermarried between themselves, and travellers testify to the fertility of these unions.

Martins has seen the Cafusos, the result of the crossing of escaped Negroes with the Brazilian indigenes. Having retired into the woods, where they found a refuge, they have formed a separate race there.

Admiral Jurien de la Gravière informs us that at Manilla the half-breeds of Spaniards, Chinese, and Tagals, are much more numerous than the original stocks. At Mindanao half-breeds of Spaniards and Tagals form the majority of the inhabitants. "The fusion of races," he adds, "has taken place with marvellous facility in this isolated corner of the carth."

The Marquesas Islands, suffering the fate of the other Polynesian countries, have been depopulated by that mysterious malady which seems capable of annihilating oceanic populations. M. Jouan informs us that they are repeopled by half-breeds.

Upon the whole of the littoral zone of South America, according to M. Martin de Monosy, mixed peoples are prospering and rapidly on the increase.

We may close this enumeration by a detailed account of a fact which is well known, and which has all the value of a precise experiment.

In 1789, in consequence of a mutiny, nine English sailors went and established themselves upon the small island of Pitcairn, in the Pacific Ocean, accompanied by six Tahitian men and fifteen Tahitian women. In consequence of the

Whites becoming tyrannical, the war of race began. In 1793 the population was reduced to four Whites and to ten Tahitian women. Soon war broke out afresh between the four chiefs of the colony, and Adams only was left. But marriages had been fruitful ; the first half-breeds grew up, intermarried, and had numerous children. In 1825, Captain Becchey found sixty-six individuals on Pitcairn Island. Towards the end of 1830 the population numbered eightyseven. In spite of the deplorable conditions of the outset, the mixed Pitcairn race had then almost doubled in twentyfive years, and almost tripled in thirty-three years. Now England, the most favoured country in Europe in this respect, only doubles its population in forty-nine years. Thus the half-breeds of banished English and Polynesians had on Pitcairn Island about double the number of offspring that pure Anglo-Saxons have when placed in their customary conditions of life.

Thus the white race, when crossed with races most different in characters and habit, have given rise to mixed peoples, which have continued to increase since their appearance. No reason can be given why this movement of increase should stop or even slacken.
III. There remains the intercrossing of the White and the Negro. It is with reference to this that some facts have been quoted tending to prove that half-breeds cannot propagate among themselves. Let us examine them rapidly.

Etwick and Long, in their History of Jamaica, have asserted that Mulattoes cease to be reproductive in that island beyond the third generation. Dr. Yvan has pointed out an analogous fact in Java. Dr. Nott has found that in South Carolina, Mulattoes are endowed with low fertility, that they have a shorter life than other human races, and that they frequently die at an early age. Without going so far, Dr. Simonnot attributes to these half-breeds a sort of ethoological nentrality, "which only assures them an ephemeral duration as soon as they are abandoned to themselves."

Nothing is easier than to oppose contrary facts to the
foregoing. I can even invoke the testimony of some of the same authors whom I have just quoted. Nott, after having in a general manner formulated the aphorisms which I have just summed up, admits that they only apply to South Carolina, whilst in Louisiana, Florida, and Alabama, the Mulattoes are robust, fruitful, and energetic. I find that Dr. Yvan himself states that his observations only concern Java, and that he had pointed out the fact as exceptional.

On the other hand, Hombron declares that in our colonies "Negresses and Whites show a moderate fertility ; Mulatto women and Whites are extremely fertile as well as Mulatto men and women."
"Even in such conditions of life as those of the Gulf of Mexico, the Mulatto," according to M. Rufz, "is well developed, strong, alert, more adapted than the Negro for industrial application, and very productive." According to M. Audain, in the Dominican Republic of St. Domingo, " onethird are Negroes, two-thirds Mulattoes, and an insignificant proportion Whites." For a long time this population has not been fed by any fresh arrivals; its continuance is entirely due to itself.

More quotations, I think, are useless. When added to the numbers of M. Martin de Moussey, who makes no exception concerning Mulattoes, they are sufficient to demonstrate the following general fact, viz., that the Mulatto is as energetic and as fruitful as other races, at least in a very great majurity of those parts of the globe where this mixed population has been formed.
IV. Nevertheless, I do not deny the facts advanced by Etwick, Long, Nott, Yvan, and Simomot. I accept them without so much as discussing them. But what do they prove in the presence of the remaining facts which are so mumerous and so conclusive? At the most that the development of the mulatto race can be favoured, retarded, or hindered by local circumstances. In other terms, that it depends upon the influences exercised by the whole of the conditions of life (milieu).

We see, then, in the formation of the mixed races, the reappearance of this element, whose action plays so large a part in the natural history of man, and great attention should be paid to it.

In the result of the crossing of the Negro and the White in Jamaica, Java, \&c., its intervention could be foreseen. The two races are strangers to these countries, which are known to be very destructive to foreign races. The question of crossing is complicated in these cases, by the phenomena and difficulties of acclimatisation. Can we feel surprised that unions contracted under such conditions of existence should only present precarious guarantees for the future?

We must here, moreover, take into account an element which is constantly neglected, and whose importance in questions of this nature has always struck me strongly. I mean morality. It therefore forms one of the conditions of life (milieu). Now, if we pay attention to the details, which are not numerous, but which are very significant, given by some travellers upon the existence of Europeans in the colonies, in Jamaica in particular ; if we compare these melancholy facts with those furnished by daily observation, an entirely new light will be thrown upon the questions of crossing and acclimatisation. We shall be obliged to recognise that the death of the fathers, and the extinction of the descendants, are often only the consequence of, and the punishment for, the deplorable moral conditions of life, in which they have lived.
V. But the physical conditions of life have also their peculiar action. The fullowing cxample may be quoted as a proof.
M. Simonnot has noticed natives of Senegambia, "who combine a perfectly black skin, with all the characteristic forms of the Moor, even at all ages." According to him, these liluck Moors are a mixed race. If this is the case, it must, at least, be recognised that the white blood predominates considerably, since all the forms belong to this type. In order that the colour of the Negro should be persistent, in spite of
this profound semitic influence, a local action, that is, an action of the conditions of life, must have neutralised the ordinary laws of the mixture of races, and united the colour of one race with the features and forms of another.

If this conclusion requires confirmation, the facts quoted loy Prosper Lucas will be sufficient. He treats of unions between Negroes aud Whites accomplished in Europe. In the same family we find the black blood predominate at first, then lose its influence, and by degrees become effaced almost entirely in the children of the later generations. In one of these observations, the mother belonged to the black race; so that infidelity was unable to effect any change in the conditions of the experiment. It was then the conditions of life which gradually blanched these half-breeds, who would all have been black upon the borders of Senegal.
VI. Some anthropologists, although recognising the multiplicity and fertility of the crossings between human races, only see in this fact a confusion of blood, and complain that nowhere do they find a mixed race of recent origin which is well characterised. Consequently they deny that the crossing can have any influence in the formation of the races with mixed but constant characters which form part of the population of the globe.

This objection rests upon a disregard of the phenomena which accompany the formation of animal races by the production of mongrels. All breeders know well that a determinate and settled race cannot at once be produced by crossing. In such a case, the conflict and the compromises, of which I have spoken above, become more marked, for the very reason that we have to blend two natures which are dissimilar in some respects. Immedicte and direct heredity alone continually produces phenomena of fusion or of juxtuposition, or else causes the appearance of new features, the resultent of two different characters. Mediate and indirect heredity, as well as aturism, continually intervene and produce numerous irregularities in the succeeding generations. The more the races differ and are equul in respect to
llood, the more marked and persistent are these irregularities. In 1800 the Ancon race still gave irregular products. For more than twenty years M. Malingié has failed in settling his charmois race, so that it might itself serve for fresh crossings.

The clever breeder, whom I have just mentioned, as well as all other breeders, have moreover only attained their end by means of minute care in the choice of the animals from which they breed. Now, between human races there can be no question of selection. The unions have always taken place by chance. Noreover, in the immense majority of cases, the continual intervention of individuals of pure race increases, and prolongs the confusion. This absence of uniformity, which astonishes polygenists, is easily explained by those who only consider human groups as races. From a general point of view it is very instructive; if it brings forward diversity of races, it attests specific unity. It is not between species that crossing presents similar phenomena. But nevertheless, through this disorder, there appear in the mixed populations of our colonies, general common characters, which have attracted the attention of travellers, and have been described.

Moreover, when, in consequence of some circumstance, the products of these crossings are isolated and protected from new mixtures, the race becomes characterised with rapidity. The Cafusos, Basters, and Griquas, may be quoted as examples. Even the Pitcairn islanders, at the time of Beechey's visit, were beginning to become uniform.
VII. In the crossings between unequal human races, the father almost always belongs to the superior race. In every case, and especially in transient amours, woman refuses to lower horself; man is less delicate.

From the point of view of the future of the mixed races, the predominant action of one sex over the product should have then great importance. The question has been put since the origin of societies, as is testificd by the laws of Manou; it has been repeatedly discussed by thinkers and
physiologists. Each sex has had its champions ; and numerous facts have been quoted on both sides. Considering everything, it appears to me impossible to avoid deciding in favour of equality of action.

Nevertheless this equality is purely virtual ; it can, in fact, only exist on the condition of an equal generating energy in both parents. As soon as the equilibrium is interrupted, the stronger sex predominates, and the product shows this superiority. The experiments of Giron de Buzareingue upon the procreation of the sexes, appeared to me to be most decisive in this respect.

Now what is true of the whole of the organism is equally true of its different parts, functions, and energies. In the formation of a new being, the action of heredity is divided into as many cases as there are character's to transmit. Both father and mother tend to reproduce themselves in their offspring; there is, consequently, a struggle between both natures. But the battle, if we may use the expression, results in a number of single combats in which each parent may be in turn rictor or vanquished.

This very simple consideration, which is deduced from a number of facts of detail, explains many results which cause surprise to physiologists, anthropologists, ctc. After having attributed a preponderating action to the mother, Nott leclares with surprise, that, in point of intelligence, the Mulatto approaches more to his white father. But is not the intellectual energy of the latter superior to that of the mother? And is it not natural that it should gain the ascendant in the struggle between the two hereditary powers? We know how far this victory can go, and how the two natures can, so to speak, divide the product of this crossing between them. Lislet Geoffroy, entirely a Negro physical!y, though entirely a White in character, intelligence, and aptitudes, is a striking example of it.
'This victory of the superior energies is again shown in another very remarkable manner, in the crossing of white and black races. The former is, of all races, most sensible
to malarious influonces, the latter best able to resist them. On this account it is almost exempt from yellow fever. The Mulatto inherits this double power of resistance. Nott assures us that a proportion of one fourth of black blood is as sure a protection against the yellow fever, as vaccination against the small-pox.

We may now understand, that, in crossing between different races, the half-breeds possess the characters which, in each of them, predominate over the corresponding characters of the other. If the energies are in equilibrium, there will generally be a compromise. The Negro and the White differ essentiaily in colour and the texture of the hair ; the colour of the eyes varies almost as much in one as in the other. In the Mulatto, the two first characters almost always betray the double origin of the individual ; the third is uncertain.

On the contrary, in half-breeds of the white and the indigenous American, the cyes and hair are almost always derived from the latter. Humboldt has remarked that these two characters are persistent even after several generations, in unilateral crossing towards the White. M. Ferdinand Denis recognised a descendant of the caciques by the eyes. On the other hand, in the same crossings, the colour of the White overcomes that of the American at the second, and sometimes even at the first generation.

The crossiug of the Slav and the Bouriate presents similar facts. The half-breeds invariably have the hair and eyes of the second.
VIII. "In Brazil," says Martin de Moussy, " mixed races of every origin increase, and form a new population which becomes more intigenous every day, if we may use the expression, and always more similar to the white type, which, according to what takes place in the whole of South America, will, in the end, absorb all the rest." An analogous fact has leen pointed out at Buenos Ayres, in Paraguay, etc.

Can we then consider this result as a sign of the ascendancy of the white race? I do not think so. I rather
consider it as the consequence of the general tendency pointed out above.

- In the countries which we are discussing, the Negress or Indian woman readily crosses with the White. The female issue of these unions, proud of the blood of her father, would consider herself degraded if wedded to an individual of coloured race, and reserves all her favours for those to whom she approximates by reason of the crossing. The Quadroon reasons and acts in the same manner. In these regions, where colour decides caste, it is always men of whiter race, and especially the pure white, that the women prefer to marry.

The consequence of this is, that the crossing, although apparently left to chance, is in reality unilateral, and always directed towards the superior race. It is accomplished under the influence of a real unconscious selection, and the predominance of the white blood is the result of this selection.

Sooner or later it will also result in the fulfiment of the prediction of Martin de Moussy. The mixed races will in a great measure return to the superior race. But, when brought back to the white type by this circuitous path, and through all these degrees of crossing, they will possess one very great advantage over their European counterpart : they will be acclimatised.

The reverse phenomena appear, according to Squiers, to be taking place in Peru. Here the mixed population tends to return to the indigenous type. The fact is explained, at least in part, by the relations which, since the commencement of the conquest, were established between the conquerors and the conquered race.

The former could not affect unlimited contempt for a conquered race who were as civilised as themselves. Their leaders made alliances at an early period with the families of the Incas, and this example was followed. Consequently colour cannot exercise the same influence in Peru as in Brazil or at Buenos Ayres. The numerical predominance of
the local race and the conditions of life had then a free field, and their double influence is shown in the result pointed out by Squiers.
IX. Can human crossing, so general in our days, be a new phenomenon in the history of mankind? Evidently not. In the past as in the present, every contact between two races of any continuance, every immigration, and every conquest has led to the formation of a mixed race. It is one of the inevitable consequences of human instincts and of physiologieal laws.

It is quite natural that polygenists should have neglected facts of this nature. In their opinion a population with mixed characters is a species as much as any other, which is intermediate between two given specific types. But the indifference or the mistake of monogenists is less easily explained. They are evidently ignorant of the phenomena of crossings among plants and animals. When they meet with a race of undecided charaeters, and which presents more or less distant analogies with two different types, they have generally felt embarrassed, and have put the question on one side, or have at most invoked the action of conditions of life in a vague manmer.

It is quite true that the latter, when effecting a resemblance between foreign races and the local race, leads to results analogous to those which result from crossing. We have seen an example of it in the United States. Yet crossing has its peculiar phenomena, which are persistent even after several generations. Moreover, to the indications drawn from physical and physiological characters we may add others borrowed from very different orders of facts, and which, in many cases, permit us to draw a conclusion with remarkable certainty. The mixture of beliefs, customs, and manners often furnishes valuable information. But the comparison of languages generally throws an unexpected light upon problems apparently most difficult. From time to time legends and history confirm inductions drawn from the orders of facts which I have just pointed
out, and testify to the correctness of views which, at first sight, might appear conjectural.

As an example I will quote the Zulu Kaffirs. They are one of the groups of which some polygenists make a distinct species. They are in fact distinguished from other negro races by several characters. But by these characters they are brought nearer to the white type. Moreover, various travellers inform us that they present a great variability of feature. Missionaries who have lived among them add that, in the same family, and under conditions which render all crossing impossible, individuals are met with who have the hair and colour of a Negro, and others whose hair is smooth and whose colour is brown. These facts alone would authorise the conclusion that the Zulus are a mixed race.

Philology confirms this conclusion. Philologists agree in placing the Kaffir languages in the group of Zimbian languages, whose grammar and vocabulary are fundamentally negro, but which also include arab, nilotic, and malgach clements. Thus language, as well as physical characters, points to a mixture of blood.

The chronicle discovered by Captain Guillain justifies these conclusions by giving the history of the arab colonies from Quilon to Sofala. It relates the wars which were raised for the possession of the gold mines; it shows the conquerors driving out the conquered, and compelling them to go southwards to seek a new country. It is evident that the latter have crossed Delagoa Bay, where they have left the black race in its state of original inferiority, and have gone further to ally themselves voluntarily or involuntarily with tribes whose "type has thus risen.

In fact, far from being a species, the Zulus are a mixed race of Negroes and Arals, whose formation is so recent that mediate heredity and atavism still betray the double origin, which is also attested by philology, but in which the negro element preserves a very great superiority.

X . The investigation of mixed populations, the determination of the part played by each of the elements which
have assisted in their formation, belong to the most interesting questions of anthropology. This study ought not to stop at populations in which the misture of characters is evident at first sight. It ought also to bear upon those which are generally regarded as quite pure. We should then find that mixture of races has penetrated where it was scarcely suspected.

In China and especially in Japan, the white allophylian blood is mixed with the yellow blood in different proportions; the white semitic blond has penetrated into the heart of Africa; the negro and houzonana types have mutually penetrated each other and produced all the Kaffir populations situated west of the Zulus of Arabian origin ; the Malay races are the result of the amalgamation, in different proportions, of Whites, Yellows, and Blacks; the Malays proper, far from constituting a species, as polygenists consider them, are only one population, in which, under the influence of Islamism, these various elements have been more completely fused, etc.

I have quoted at random the various preceding examples, to show how the most extreme types of mankind have contributed to form a certain number of races. Need I insist upon the mixtures which have been accomplished between the secondary types derived from the first? In Europe what population can pretend to purity of blood? The Basques themselves, who apparently ought to be well protected by their country, institutions, and language against the invasion of foreign blood, show upon certain points, in the lieart of their mountains, the evident traces of the juxtaposition and fusion of very different races.

As for the other nations ranging from Lapland to the Mediterranean, classical history, although it does not go back a great distance in point of time, is a sufficient proof that crossings are the inevitable result of invasions, wars, and political and social events. Asia presents, as we know, the same spectacle; and, in the heart of Africa, the Jagas, playing the part of the hordes of Gengis-Khan, have
mixed together the African tribes from one ocean to the other.
XI. I need scarcely allude here to the general facts which follow from the detailed history of races. Short though it be, this appeal to the reader's memory will, I hope, give a sufficient motive for the following conclusions.

Conditions of life and heredity have fashioned the first human races, a certain number of which, on account of their isolation, have been able to preserve for an indefinite time this first characteristic.

Perhaps it was during this very distant period that the three great types of the Negro, the Yellow, and the White were characterised.

The migratory and conquering instincts of man have brought about a meeting between these primary races, and consequently a crossing between them.

Since the appearance of mixed races, crossing itself has only acted under the domination of the conditions of life and heredity.

The great movements of nations have only taken place at long intervals, and as it were form so many crises. In the interval between these crises, the races which have been formed by the crossing have had time to settle and become uniform.

The consolidation of the mixed races, the relative uniformity of characters effected by the crossing, have taken place very slowly, in consequence of the absolute want of selection. Consequently every mixed race which has become uniform is also very ancient.

Human instincts have produced the mixture of mixed races, just as they have produced that of the primary races.

Every mixed race, when uniform and settled, has been able to play the part of a primary race in fresh crossings. Mankind, in its present state, has thus been formed, certainly for the greatest part, by the successive crossing of a number of races at present undetermined.

The most ancient races which we know, the quaternary races, are still represented in our own days, either by populations generally small in number, or by isolated individuals, in whom atavism reproduces the characters of our remote ancestors. This is a fact which will be proved further on.

## CIIAPTER XXIV.

## INFLUENCE OF CROSSING UPON MLXED HUMAN RACES.

I. Has the crossing of human races been, or will it be, advantageous or detrimental to the species considered as a whole? The followers of Morton in America, and of MM. de Gobineau and Perrier in France, have stated that human crossing had, or would have in the future, disastrous consequences. Has this opinion any foundation? Let us study the facts.
M. Gobineau appeals to history, and goes back to the earliest ages of mankind. According to him, three fundamental races, the black, the yellow, and the white, were formed originally. The yellow race occupied the whole of America; the negro race all the southern parts of the old continent as far as the Caspian Sea; the white race was localised in Central Asia. The two former, degraded from an intellectual and moral as well as from a physical point of view, and unable to elevate themselves unaided alove the savage state, only existed as tribes. The third was the only one which united bodily beauty with a warlike spirit, to the faculty of initiative, of organization and progress, which gives rise to societies and to civilization. The day came when the yellow race burst upon Asia, and, avoiding the central region occupied by the whites, went to people the western regions of the old world. Then, this wave, continuing its course, submerged the white race, which, in its turn, began to emigrate ; and by the mixture of its blood with that of the inferior races, produced all the peoples who have succeeded each other upon the earth. At the beginning of this new era, the white blood, being more pure and more abundant,
produced superior civilizations. Becoming rarer at each new emigration, it lust its influence, and civilization diminished in every respect. The last effort of this renovating race was the Germanic invasion which destroyed the Roman world. It is now exhausted. The white blood, vitiated by the mixture, has everywhere lost its first efficacy. Mankind for this very reason is in a full decline. The fusion will soon be complete. Every individual will have in his veins onethird of white blood and two-thirds of coloured blood, and we shall then inevitably return to barbarism. Finally, the repeated crossings will have rendered the human species barren; it will then die out and disappear.

Such is, in a few words, the theory of M. de Gobineau. Let us accept it with all its hypotheses, including that of the migration from America to Asia, which is contrary to all our knowledge upon this point. Does it follow that the author is consistent? In order to be so, he ought to point out the privileged race, founding by itself one at least of those great societies, one of those civilizations, as M. de Gobineau calls them, recorded by history. Now the author is unable to point out a single example, and is obliged to admit that the exclusively white civilization has existed in Central Asia without leaving any other trace than the tumuli which have for a long time been attributed to Scythians, Tchoudes, etc. But everyone knows the state of the whites, when they left their Asiatic centre. In India they were the Aryans, still a half-pastoral race; in Europe, the barbarians who destroyed the Roman world. Had either of them a civilization equal to that of the Egyptians or the Greeks?
M. de Gobineau enumerates ten civilizations, namely, Assyrian, Indian, Chinese, Egyptian, Greek, Italian, German, Alleghanian, Mexican, and Peruvian. All, according to him, were produced in consequence of the mixture of whites with coloured races. But admitting that such has been the case, is it not evident that this mixture has everywhere given rise to an immense progress. The ruins of Nineveh

Thebes, Athens, Rome, and even those of Palanqué, certainly point to populations of a different civilization to that of the people who raised the tumuli in Central Asia.

In order to draw their logical consequences from the facts which he admits or supposes, M. de Gobineau should regard the formation of half-breeds as the most powerful dement of progress. As we have seen he adopts the opposite opinion. He considers that all these civilizations, which were splendid in the ease of the Assyrians and Egyptians, have been dwindling away and diminishing, and what remains in our own days, only deserves our scorn.

Without being blinded by self-conceit, we may protest against this conclusion. Doubtless we no longer raise towers of Babel, nor do we build pyramids. Gigantic works which are useless, or undertaken for the glorification of a single man, do not belong to our time. But when some generally useful work arises, do we recoil before the magnitude of the task? The time truly has been bailly chosen to accuse us of feebleness. The Suez Conal has heen made on a different scale to the small trench of the Pharaohs, and in tumnelling the Alps for a railway, we have accomplished what antiquity hatel never dared to dream of.

It is still true that, taken en musse, we are less artistic than the Athenians. But without leaving the domain of the arts, there are points in which we surpass them. To judge from the ancelletes which throw light upon the mature of the takent of their greatest artists, painting and music among the (irecks were not up to the level of seulpture. If we have net our Plidias, they had not their Rephacel, their Michawl Angelo, their Beethoven, nor their Rosuini.

But, when ho comulemis us to a radical inferiority, M. de Gubinean especially forgets the most striking character of modern times. He dissecgards the srientific derelopment, which is withont example or analogy in the past, and which
gives an alsulutely fresh appearance to our civilization. We who are sprung from races crossed a hundred times, are at least the equals of our forefathers, but no longer resemble them. Inferior in some respects, we make up for it thoroughly in other respects. We manifest human power under different aspects.

Highly gifted though man may lie, he eamot at once reach all the limits of the field which is open to his activity. For this reason, in time as well as in space, we find by the side of inferior peoples and races, other peoples and ruces which are superior, equal among themselves, but different. Such is the real information gained ly a comparison of the present and past condition of mankind.
II. M. Perrier is a polygenist and an autochthonist ; be makes use of the expression pure race as equivalent to the term species. Being a physician, and a learned one, he touches upon anatomical and physiological questions, and upon the limited fertility and sterility of half-breeds, and reproduces some of the opinions which I have already attacked. He pays particular attention to present populations, and endeavours to prove the superiority of those which he regards as pure. He gnotes the Arabs in particular, and praises their ancient and modern civilizations. But on this point I make the same oljection to him which I made to M. de Cubineau. We know very little of the Himyarites and the Adites. Canssin de Perceval shows them to have played at different times the part of conquerors; but they were conquerors who were barbarians, and whose manuers were thoroughly savage. When they left their deserts under the impulse of Islamism, did they appear with the marks of civilized peoples? Certainly not. It was only after their conquests, and in consequence of the crossings which they miderwent, that we find the great Arabian civilizations rise in Africa, Asia, and in Spain. W'as the civilization, which was developed upon the spot, and which has been brought to light ly Palgrave, equal to that of the Almohades, tho Almoravides, or the Abassides? Evidently not. Here,
agrain, crossing is fomud to have given rise to most striking progress.
M. Perrier lays especial stress upon physical perfection, and particularly upon that of women. Let us accept this criterion. Is purity of blood the sole cause of this beanty? If this were su, in the same country, the purest populations should show the fairest women. But in France, for cxample, the inhabitants of Ausergue, secluded among their momntains, are undoubtedly of a purer race than the inhabitants of the pains in Southem France, where so many different races have come in contact. Well, can the women of Upper Asvergne dispute the prize with the grisette of Arles, 'Toulouse, or of Montpellier? 'These three feminine types are very distinct ; they clearly point to a mixture of blood. They are not the less remarkable in the matter of beauty, and are madubtedly superior to the women of Auvergue. In Sicily, where all the Dediterramen populations are confused torether, I hawe observed analogrons facts at 'laormina, $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ alermo, Trapani, ete.

As to the possibility of meeting with women remarkible. for their personal attractions among mixed races, even when the Nergro enters as an element in their composition, the reputation of women of colomr, mulattoes and quadroons, is a sufficient proof. All trawellers bear witness to the chamm which they exercise upon Enropeans. Taylor is most explicit upon this point, and it is at 'Tristan d'Acmha, a distant island half way between the Cape and Sonth Amerion, that he makes his observations. In this isolated spret, a mixal propulation of Whites and Negroes has settled. Thue Linglinh traveller speats ats follows: " $1 / l$ who are born
 monnced ty"世, and of very lince propertions. Almost all have the Eurepean, muth mome than the Negro tpe. I do mot recolleat ever hasing seen such splemblid heads and firmeres :14 anong their yombg girls. And jet I know all the conasts of the earth: Bali and ite Malays, Havana :and its Creules, 'l'ahiti and its nymphes, and the Unitel States with their
distinguished women." It is evident that we here have a most impartial judgment in farour of mulatoes, and given by an experienced julge.

Thus female beanty is met with among certain mixed races, and is wanting among other races which are rightly regarded as the purest, the Bosjesmans and the Esquimaux. The adversaries of human erossings cammot then regard it as an argument in their favour.
111. Although modern crossings only go back three conturies, they have already produced results which make it certain that races remarkable from every point of view may be produced by crossing. The Paulists of Brazil are a stiking example of the fact. The province of Saint Paul has been peopled ly Portugnese and inhabitants of the Azores from the old world, who have formed alliances with the Gayanazes, a hunting and pacific trilie, and with the Carijos, who are warlike and agricultural. From these unions, which have been regularly contracted, there has sprung a race whose men have always been remarkable for their fine propurtions, their physical power, indomitable courage, and pndurance of fatigue. As for the women, their beauty has given rise to a brazilian proverb which proves their superiurity. This population shows its pre-eminence in every re-pect. If it was once remarkable for the expeditions of adventurers in search of gold or slaves, it was also the first to phant the sugar-cane in Brazil, and to breed immense herds of cattle. "In the present day," says F. Denis, " the highest morat development as well as the most remarkable intellectual morements appear to come from S.int Paul."

Such praises paid to a pupulation which is almost entirely the renult of a mixture of races, by a sagacions ubserver, who has long lived in Brazil, form a contrast to the reproaches cast upen American half-breeds by an immense majority of travellers. As a general rule they are pamited in the blackest colours. Althongh they are allowed to proselss physical beaty, and perhaps also a prompt and
ready intelligence, they are said to be almost entirely without morality. Let us admit that they differ as much from the Paulists in this respect as has been stated : the explanation of the contrast is not difficult to fimd.

At Saint Paul, the earlicst unions were from the first regularly contracted, thanks to the intervention of Fathers Nobrega and Anchicta. In consequence of different circumstances, the mumulucos, who were the result of these marriages, were at once accepted as the equals of the pure Whites. Here the crossing then was accomplished under normal conditions, a fact, jerhaps, unique in the history of our colonies.

In reality, the mixture of races elsewhere owes its origin to the worst passions; prejulices of blood have cansed halfbreeds to be regarded as tainted by the vice to which they owed their origin, as outcasts from society, or one might say, outlured. Now what branch of the pure white race being born, growing, and thriving under contempt and oppression, wonld preserve an elevated and moral character? Moreover, would the white fathers furnish examples capalile of influeneing for goor the children which they had abaudoned? The contrary is evidently the case. Unrestrained debanchery on one side, and servile submission on the other, are the elements in the prohluction of a half-hreed race. What could heredity transmit in the way of moral character tw the proxlucts of such mions?

If mything should surprise us, it is that half-breeds produced muder such detestable conditions shond already have been able to raise themselves. Now this has happened, even with the mulattoes, in all cases where prejudices of race have brem lass deeply routed, and have yieded to persomal merit. In Brazil, most of the artists amd musicians are mulattoes, say M.M Troyer and de Lisboa. In confirmation of this testimmen, M. Lagros added that the pultical capacity and scientific instinct are scarrely lass developed among them than artistic aptitule. Seseral are doetors and medical practitioners of the highest distinction. Lastly, AI. 'Tures Caïcedo
enumerated to me among the mulatioes of his country, orators, poets, public men, and a vice-president of New Grenadi, who was at the same time a distinguished author.

If the case is not the same where a social condemnation weighs upon the man of colour, the reason is that the moral and social conditions of life never lose their rights any more than the plysical conditions. But the preceding will, I think, be a sufficient proof that, when placed under mormal conditions, the half-breed of the Negro and the European would certainly justify in every place the words of the old traveller Thevent: "The mulatto can do all that the white man can do ; his intelligence is equal to ours."
IV. Although I protest agninst the doctrines which tend to depreciate inixel races, I am far from pretending that the crossing is at all times and in all places fortunate. Undoubtedly, if the union has taken place between inferior races, the prolluct will remain at the level of the parents. But these unions are few in number. Even in South America, the Zambo is relatively rare. The Negro appearing everywhere in slavery, has been despised by the indigenous pepulations, who, in spite of their dependent condition, have preserved their individual liberty, and have avoided union with the Negro.

It is the White who, impelled by his restless ardour, has invaled the world, and is every day multiplying his conguests and culonies. It is he who has searched out the home of the coloured races, and who everywhere mingles his blood with their own. Almost all the half-breed populations recognize him as their father, and this gives rise to a double result. These races are at once raised above the maternal race, and the two brought closer together, as if they possessell a common element.

Will this comnection extend as far as fusion, as Serres and Manry have admitted? Will all our present races somer or later he replaced ly a single homogeneous race, everywhere endowed with the same aptitudes and governed by a common civilization? I do not think so ; and what has ju-t
been said jnstifies the statement that this uniformity is inspossible.

Doubtless the mixture of races, favoured and multiplicel by the growing facility of eommunication, appears to me to prepare a new era. The races of the future, differing less in blowl, and brought together by railways and steamers, will have far more inclinations, wants, and interests in common. Hence a state of things will rise superior to that with which we are acquainted, although our civilization ought, it seems to me, to continue growing in spite of present evils and approaching storms. We know how the Greek, Roman, and the modern world were developed in succession; the modern future will embrace the entire globe.

But, although this civilization will become more general and more widely spread, it will not suppress certain differences in the conditions of life. As long as there are poles and an equator, continents and islands, or mometains and plains, raves will exist distinguished hy characters of every kind, and superior or inferior in a physical, intellectual, and moral point of view: In spite of crossings, varieties and inequalities will comtinue. But as a whole, mankind will be perfected ; it will have grown ; and the civilizations of the future, withont cansing those of the past to be forgoten, will outstrip them in some as yet unknown direction, just as ours have wutstripped those of our predecensins.
V. I have just closed the statement of the most general furetions raiowl hy the history of the human race.

The principal puint to determine is the unit! on the mulliphicity of the spercies. There are some anthropologists, won men of high distinetion, who regrard it as almost an idle frimbion, as merely at inestion of dogma or of philosophy. Nevertheless, a little reflection is sufficient to make it intelligible, that the semenee is montirely changed aceording as it is regarded from a monomenist's or a polyomist's point of view. I have alrealy puinted out this fact; and bey permission to return to it in a few words.

After the fumdamental question of unity comes that if untiquity. This is put similarly in the two doctrines. But the problem is simple and absolute for the monorenist, hat multiple and relative for the polygenist

The question of the pluce of origin, which next presents itself, only exists in reality for the believer in the specific mity of human groups. The doctrine of autochthonism, though greatly multiplying the question, reduces it to very simple terms, since it declares that all the populations were Lom upon the spot whose fureign origin it does not establish, and only admits morements of expansion.

For the polygenist the gencrul question of mignations does not exist. For particular cases autochthonism supplies everything. He who regards the Polynesians as having appeared on the islands of the Paeific has not to seek whence they might have come.

The question of ucclimatisation for the polygenist is reduced to a small number of facts almost exclusively modern, human populations being in his eyes naturally formed for living muder the conditions of life in which they were born.

The question of the firmution of rueces disappears entirely for the polygenist, since the different species admitted by him have appeared with all the characters which distinguish the different human groups. At most he has to coneern himself with the results of sume modern crossings which are ton erident to be denied.

The question of pimitiere mun does not exist for the polygenist, since he recomizes all his species with the characters which they have had from the commencement.

No one, I think, will dispute the truth of these propositions, which compel the conclusion that anthropology is :n entirely different science to the monogenist and the poingenist.

Polygenism seems to simplify the science in at singular mamner ; it will be sail that it suppresses its most apparent difticultics. In raality it only dues so hy veiling or denging
them, and thus conduces to inaccuracy. At the same time it gives rise to others, which, although less easily perecived, are nevertheless more important, for they are essentially of a physiological nature, and camnot be solved by the general laws of physioloey.

Monogenism seems at first to complicate and multiply the problems. In reality it only states them clearly. By that very means, it causes the necessity of long and persevering studies to be felt, which it rewards from time to time with great discoverics. It has required almost a century and the combined efforts of travellers, geographers, physicians, linguists, and anthropologists to establish the origin of the Pulynesians, to fullow their migrations, and to determine the date of them. But when this work is once set on the right track, human history is fomud to be enriched by a magnificent page, which gives another testimony to the intelligent activity of the luman race and its conquest.s over nature.

## BOOK VIII.

## FOSSIL HUMLAN RACES.

## CHAPTER NXV.

## GENERAL OHSERVITIONS.

I. Teletiary man is only known to us from a feew faint traces of his industry. Of tertiary man himself we know nothing. Purtions of his skeleton have been discovered from time to time, it has been thought, in France, Switzerlaml, and especially in Italy. Closer study has, however, always forced us to refer to a comparatively much later - period these human remains, which, at first sioht, were regarded as tertiary:

It is different with quaternary man. We have much better and more precise information about him than about many existing races. The eaves which he imhabited, those in which he buried his dead, and the alluvial deposits formed by rivers, which have borne away his corpses, have preserved ummerous hones for our study: As many ats forty different places in all, especially in the western portion of Europe, hase supplied our muscums with as many as forty skulls, more or lese intact, and numerous fragments of the cranimm and fiece, which seience has been able to utilize, as well as a great mumber of the bones of the trunk and limbs, and even sume entire skeletons. The most remarkable sperimen, freed from the earth which covered it, but still left in its $\}$ lace, was brought from Mentone by M. Rivière and is now to be seen in the Anthropological (iallery of the Paris Muscum.

Such is the accumulation of facts, already very considerable, which M. Hamy and I have consulted in arranging the first part of our C'renica-Ellmica. The importance of the skull in :uthropology is well known. It is of itself sufficient to fumish the principal elements of the distinction of hmman races. The study and comparison of quaternary skulls enables us, therefore, to form a tolerably definite conception of these ancient populations, of the principal rclations and most striking differences which, from this period, have distinguisherl human groups. The examination of the bones of the trumk and limbs tends, moreover, to confirm the results furnished by that of the skull. Thus we feel ourselves justified in expressing the hope that the future, hy completing our work in many respects, hy morlifying it perhaps in whers, aml by filling up graps in it, will at least confirm the esisential conchusions.

It is evident that I here speak in M. Hamy's name as well as my own. The truth is, that what I am about to say (m) the suliject of fossil man is almost the summary, mot only of our book, lint of many other genema studies and of many discussions. It heloniss, in fact, as mach to my coadjuther as to myself.
II. Let us, in the first place, bricfly describe the climate in which the forsil human races lived.

The quaternary or glacial perion imposel severe conditions of existence on man. What then existed of Europe was surromuled on all sides by the sea, and was sulgeet to all the ennecquences of an insular climate, that is to say; it was very damp, and moderatoly miform in tomperature, lout chilled, (1) a great extent at least, hy the loula ice which extemberl
 took the frim of folls of snow ulon the hing lames, and shpported sast glaciers, the trames of which may still bee sent in all our mombtain chains. Immense water-cousses hollowed ont valleys in anm prols, and depositel thick hayds of allusium in whers. This sexel and watery land supporte. a fanna empricing, lusides existine speceis, whers which
have partly disappeared, partly emirrated to distant comeries. Thus, on the one hand, there were the mammoth (elepleces pimigenius), the woolly rhinoceros (rhinoccros tichorlinus), the gigantic Irish elk (meguceros hibernicus), the cave bear (ursus speleus), the cave hyana (hyena spelcea), the cave tiger (felis speleca), the horse (equus cuballus) ; on the other hand, the reindeer (cervus turumulus), the elk (cerves alces), the musk ox (ovibos moscluetus), the aurochs (bison europreus), the hippopotamus (hippopotumus amplibius), and the lion (felis'leo speléu).

All these amimals lived side by side during the greater part of the quaternary period. They afterwards became by digrees either extinct or separated. At the commencement of the present period, France, in which at one time they were all to be fomnd, only retains the horse; and we must admit further, with M. 'Toussaint, that our beasts of burden and draught, are descended from fossil species, an opimion which is far from unirersal amongst palaontologists. We may remark in passing, that the same uncertainty exists upon the sulject of the spotted lyyent and the grizzly bear, regarded by some palicontologists as ruces referable to the care species.

Man was, in Europe, the contemporary of all these species.
The phenomena which have given to these countries their latest characters, have not always had the same violence, nor have they either commenced or temmated abruptly. They offered periouls of repose and of relative activity, till the time when the continents assumed their definite proportions, when the erlaciers were first confined within their present linits.

The modifications of living beings accord with these ascillations of the inorganic world. The principal anmal speces seem to predominate in thon; the human races appear in succession, inerease and decline.

During the sleposition of the lozecr ullurium (has niveans) of onr valleys, the mammoth, rhinoceros, and great camivora, seem to have played the principal part. Man disputed the
gromul with them, and fed upon their flesh. The struggle against the conditions of life, and the wild beasts of the ancient world was terrible. The race of these primitive times bears in a high degree the mark of this savage nature.

During the period in which the mean inferior alluvium (moyrens nierenx: infericurs) were formed, the great animal species still inhabitel the whole of Europe. The number of their representatives seems, however, to be diminishing ; less furmidable species begin to multiply, and the horse, in particular, forms, at least in places, large herds, which offered an abmend source of nourishment to man. The latter was represented especially by a race endowel with remarkable aptitudes. At first, we find it struggling with ats much vigour as the preceding one, and moler almost identical comlitions; but, ly degrees, perfecting all its methools of action, and alapting them to the new comditions introduced by the advance of time.

A great modification in the fanna correspomes to the deposition of the man upper allerium (moyens niesenex supericurs). The great carnivora and the mammoth become more and more rare, till at length they disappear altugether; the horse no lomerer predominates; the reinder has taken its place, and wanders in ratht herds over hands which atre gradually subsiding. Man has profited by theso chauges. New races, perfectly distinct from the preceding ones, appear upon our soil. That of the preceding age develops and attains a certain degree of civilization, attested ly true works of : art.

At lomerh, the botom of the ocean rises, and Europe is complate. The pular ieo is confined within its present limits, and the insular climate gives place to a continental one, with its extremes of heat and cold. The glaciers of our momtains gradually emomact, and withdraw to higher regions. Ther ammal specics, mo longere finding in the same latitmde the tompreatare suitable the them, emigrate, sume to the: somth, others to the merth, of to the higher mombtains.

Nan mant nee exarily have felt the consequenee of these
changes. When the animals which formed the basis of his nombishment disappeared, never to return, a part at least of the population must have followed, and emigrated at the stune time. The rising societies were thus shaken to their very foundations, and whilst some tribes went off in opposite directions, those which remained behind, experienced a decline of which we may observe the traces in the works which they have bequeathed to us. They were but too easily absorbed by superior races, who bronght domestic animals with them, and substituted the pastoral life for that of the limener.
III. The man of the quaternary period has left here and there a few of his bones by the side of those animals who were his contemporaries. The haman bones in question belong, however, almost exclusively to Europe. The fussil man of other parts of the world is almost menown to us. Lund is said to have discovered it in certain caves in Brazil. But unfortunately we have no other details of this discovery than a short note and two drawings of small dimensions, published quite recently by MM. Lacerta and R. Peisoto. Much has been said about the skull discovered by Witney in California. Unfurtmately, the description of this specimen has not appeared, so that doubts have, on several occasions, heen expressed as to the existence of the fussil itself. The recent testimony of II. Pinart has removed them, but has, at the same time, created the most serious doubts as to the antiguity of this specimen, which seems to have been found in elisturber grounds.

The restriction of the discurcry of hmman fossils to Europe is much to be regretted. We have no authority for regarding Lurope as the starting point of the species, nor as the theatre of the formation of the primitive races. We should rather seek them in Asia. It was upon the slopes of the Himaliaya, at the base of the great central mass, that Fialconer hoped to find tortiary man. Assidnons and persevering seareh can alone verify the prophecies of the eminent patanontolorist. This task minght be performed ly some of the learned officers
of the English army, by some of the military surgeons sent out hy the great institutions of London. Let us hope that they will set to work; that they will utilize for this end, the leisure they enjoy when on leave in some sanaterium of the Himalayas or Nilgheries. There is every reason to hope that they will emrich seience with important and magnificent discoveries.
IV. A few gencral facts, the interest of which will at once loe evident, may already be disentangled from details without leaving European soil. We will first establish the fact, that in quaternary ages, man did not present that unformity of characters, which a recent origin would lead us to expect. The species is already composed of several ruces; these races appear successively or simultaneonsly; they live side ly side; ant perhaps, as M. Dupont has thought, the rem of mees may be traced as far back as this period.

The presence of these elearly characterised human groups in the quaternary period, is enough to fumish a strong presmmption in farour of the previous existence of man. The influence of very dissimilar and long-continued actions, can alome explain the differences which separate the man of the Verare in France from that of the Lesse in Belgium.
V. In spite of some opinions which were brought forwand at a time when science was less advanced, and when terms of comparison were wanting, we may assert that no fossil skull belongs to the African or Melanesian Negro type. 'The true Negro did not exist in Earope during the quaternary eprech.

We do mot, however, conclude from this that the type must hatse come into existence later, and dates from the prestht gecolorical perimel. Fresh rescarch, uspecially in Asia, and in esuntries inhalited by black nations, ean adone ifecide this point with certainty. Nesertheless, up to the present time, the wosts of moneration have been but little farmorahte to the opinion of some muthropulegists, who have reganded the Nogro races as anterior to all others.
II. In foosil, as well ats in motem skulls, we find between
races and individuals uscillations of a more or less striking character. It is, however, an important fact that thase nscillations are often of less extent in known fossil races than those obserwed in existing populations. I shall only yuote nue example. The ecphalic index of the most ancient Eurnpean race, taken from the Neanderthal man, in which the ciaracters are exacrerated, is $7 \boldsymbol{7}$; that of the La 'Pruchere skull, which helongs to the latter part of the quatemary priod, is $8+3: 2$, a difference of $12: 32$. Nuw, at the present time, the mean ecphalic index of the Esqumaux is $69: 30$, that of Sunth Germans $S 6 \div()$, a difference of $16: 90$. 'Ihus, between the two extreme races separated by the greater part of the glacial period, the oscillation of the cephalic index is less than between two modern eontemporary races. Moreover, the latter range between wider limits, both above and below the mean, than the two fossil races. This fact may perhaps be explained by several considerations, which I camot enter into here.

I should, moreover, observe that the Lagoa Santa skull found by Lund, and which has just been deseribed liy MM. Lacerta and Peixoto, effaces in a great measure the differences. which I have just pointed out. According to the bazilian savants, its cephalic index is 69\%-2, descemding alnost as low as the mean index of the Eequimanx.

It is interesting to find that this smaller variability of fossil races is eatablished in one of the rery characters which has been the principal ciuse of the comparisons of some of colr inferior existing races with apes. Among quaternary skulls thom are some which may be eonsidered as presenting the mean dergre of orthognathism of the white races themselves. 'Jhe Nagy-sap skull, the No. 1 of the 'Trom duftontal, one of the women of Grenelle, ete., may be proted as examples. Whers, such as the No. $\because$ of the Tron du frontal, :mother woman of Cirenclle, the old man of Cro-M:ignom, sescral crania from Solutre, are more or les prosuathoms. There are some which equal, or eson exceed, in this respect the mean of eur Negro races. Nevertheles, there are nome
which attain a degree of proguathism equal to that presentent by certain examples of the inferior Australian types, of of the Kaffir race.

Another order of facts, which, without possessing the innprrtance of the proceding, are still of real value, present similar results. I allude to the stature and to its variations. M. Hamy has determinel it by the measurement of the femur and humerus. It appears from his investigations that the maximum presented by the Mentone skeleton is 1.55 m . ( 606 ft. ), and the minimum, taken from one of the Furfooz skeletons, is 1.50 m . ( $4: 92 \mathrm{ft}$.) The difference hetween these two mumbers, $0: 3.5 \mathrm{~m}$. ( $1 \cdot 14 \mathrm{ft}$.), is far smaller than that which exists between the extremes of the table given atwove.

The mean of the numbers fomed ly M. Hamy, 1.76t m. (-) 839 ft .), places the rate of Cro-Magnon very near to the Patagonians of Musters; lust the Fiurfon\% race, with its mean of 1530 m . ( 5.019 ft. ), stands well above the Busjesmans and Mincoprics. It ocenpies almost the same position as the Lapps.
O.cillations have taken place in time as well as in space. The most ancient race is mot the tallest. The skeletuns of Neanderthal and Brux give a mean of only 170.3 m. ( 5.593 ft .). The race of Cro-Magnon, superior in height to all others, is chronologically intermediate hetween them.

The preceding generalizations rest, it is trie, upon a mumber of obserrations as yet too limited to be remarded as comblusice. But they at least confute some assertions, and cuml to dissipate more than one prejendice.
VII. Dolichocephalic or bachyeephalie, large or small, orthermathous or prognathous, quaternary man is always man in the full acecoptance of the word. Whenever the remains hate lucu sufficient to enable us to form an opinion, we have fomm the ford and the hathd which chameterised our -rucien, the wert hatal cellmun has displayed the domble emrvatme to which Lanmen amines such great importance, and which whe molue bey simes the attribute of the homan Linglom, as he madertonal it The more ne study the sulject, the mere are we embineed that very beme of the
skeleton, from the most massive to the smallest, carries with it, in its form and propertions, a certificate of origin which it is impossille to mistake.

By reason of its special importance, the skull deserves consileration for a moment from this point of view.

We will first state that all the bones of the molern human skull are to be found in the fossil skull under the same forms, and presenting the same relations. Whether we consider them separately or as a whole, they cannot fail to awaken the recollection of what we see aromud us every day. Even the immense development of the superciliary ridges in the Neanderthal man camot disguise the entircly human character of this exceptional skull, which I shall presently discuss more at length.

In all fossil races we find the essentially human character of the predominance of the cranium over the face. With them, as with us, the bony framework which contains the brain becomes longer, narrower, or shorter, at the same time increasing in size ; it rises or is flattened, but always preserves a capacity comparable to that of the crania of the present day. In the Neanderthal cranium, which has been termed the most lrutul known, the cranial capacity, calculated by men who, we may be sure, did not wish to exaggerate, was as much as 120 ) cubic centimetres ( $7+40(0)$ cub. in.). Even M. Schanflhausen considers it as equal to that of the Malays, and superior to that of Hindoos of small stature. In the Brazilian skull from Lagoa Santa it is 1358 cubic centimetres ( 8466 cmb . in.).

We can, therefore, with perfect safety apply to the fossil mann, with which we are acquainted, the words of Huxley: " Neither in quaternary ages nor at the present time does any intermediary being fill the gap which separates man from the Troglodyte. 'To deny the existence of this gap would be as reprehensible ats absurd."

The eminent naturalist who wrote this sentence did not the less seize every oceasion which presented itsilf to point ont, in the different human races, what are called simien
treits and characters. Is there then in Huxley an unfortunate contradiction? Evilently not. It is in his case, as in that of all true naturalists, only an abuse of language, against which I have already protested. Belonging to the white race, which they naturally regard as the normal type, confining their attention to the very substantial anatomical similarities which exist between the man and the ape, they compare constantly and solely the white on the one hand, with the anthroproil ape on the other. They furget that the oscillations of morphological characters, the inevitatile result of the formation of the luman races, must necessarily sometines increase and sometimes diminish, in however small a degree, the distance which separates the extreme terms; they allow themselves to employ these figmative expressions, and I should let them pass without comment were they not sometimes understood literally, either voluntarily or involuntarily. We know that the English naturalist has himself been obliged to protent strongly against the conclusions which hatve been dratw from his words or writings.

Huxley allows that the oscillations are never so great as to c:anse confusion. The humun churucter, therefore, does not alter in mature; it does not become simien. The oseillations to which I allude may sometimes be olserved in the same intividual and even in the same bone. In the old man of (rio-Magnon, of whom I shall presently speak at some leng(th, the femme is the broadest and thickest that M. Broea has ever measired in man, and we have found others of still grater size. Now, in the chimpanze this same bone is henaler and much thinner. Are we therefore justified in saying that the femur of Les Ryyies is partly simien and party more thun human?

Finally, what has really been prowed, is the conchsion of Huxlny whic! 1 have just guoted. Believers in pithecoid muen must be contont to sock hime elsewhere than in the culy funal races with which we are acepainted, and to have reconse to the maknown. There may be some who will
mumur at this necessity, and protest in the name of philosophy. Let them say what they will, we are content with having experience and observation on our side.
VIII. If we consider the general formation of the skill, all fossil races may be referred to two fundamental types; the one distinctly dolichocephalic, and the other advauciner hy degrees from metacephaly to a very strongly markenl brachycephaly.

Animated discussions were held some years arro to decile which of these two types preceded the other. This question argain is comected with a number of general ideas which may be designated as the mongolvid theory.

At the conclusion of some excavations anong ancient tomblis and a few dolmens, Serres amnounced in 155 t that the inhalitants of France reckoned Mungolians among their ancesturs. Some time previous to this, some Scandinavian sawauts, among others S. Nilson, Retzins, Eschricht, ctc., hand connected with the Lapps, that is to say with the Fimnish race, round-headed skeletons which had been discovered in the neolithic tombs and the peat-bogs of Scania. M. Prumer Bey, combining these earlier notions with the data recently acpuired concerning the antiquity of man, has formulated by decrees a complete theory, remarkable for its simplicity and for the light which it throws unon the whole past history of the populations of France.

In the opinion of this eminent anthropologist, there still exists at the present time a vast human formation which he designates monyoloid, because it appears to him to be connnected in certain respects with the Mongel type, properly so called, whilst at the same time preserving a certain number of characters in which it resembles the white races. 'This great race, as it is understood by M. Pruner Buey, uccupies the greater portion of the north of the old continent, and extends eren into America. It is, moreover, representeal in the centre and somth of Europe by several more or lens isolated groups, such as the Basiques. Cirtain historical populations, such as the Ligurians, have belonged to it.

There is every imlication of its having once occupied the Whole of Europe. Now, this race itsclf is descended from the primitive quaternary race, as it is known to us through the fossil skulls foumel hy M. Dupmot at Furfooz in the valley of the Lesese. The parentage and filiation of these races ajpear to M. Pruner Bey to be attested by the seneral form of the skull and lyy its proportions, which in all these races are more or less brachycephalic.

The opponents of these gencral views brought forward the existence of the crania foumd in the Neanderthal in Prussia, in the Engis eave in Belgium, in the tufa bels of La I )enise in Auvergene, in the loess of the Rhine at Egnishem in Alsace. All thesc heads are dolichocrphalic. They were said to be more ancient than those of Furfooz. But at this time there were doubts of a different nature with regral to nealy all these bones which might have appeared legitimate, and the theory of M. Proner Bey grained by this means many strong adlierents. When writing in 157.5 my $\lim \mathrm{m}^{\prime}$ mort sur les progids de l'anthropologie, I felt obliged to ascribe anturiority to the brachycephalic type, thongh at the same time making formal reservations, especially in fivour of the Ennishein skull. The discovery at Cro-Macraon, in Perigord, which followed soon after, showed how carefully we must grard against drawing too hasty conclusions. It was evident, that, in presence of these great dolichocephali, incontestably anterior to the men of the Lesse, the mongoloid theory must moderero serious morlifications which I did not hesitate to acknowledge.

Since then sejence has been enriched hy new discoveries, and many points have been cleared up. The old beds of the Seine, stadied with rematiable intelligence by M. Belgramed, hase fumishad us with a relative chronometer, the indieations of which have beon fully appreciated by M. Hamy. 'The work presental hy him at the Stockholm Congress Le:wes no roum for doubt. 'Till the present time the dotiehorephatice type only has been fomme in the Iowest gravels of the platin of Grenclle. It is therefore representad by the

Canstall race. It reappears in the form of the Cro-Magnon rure, in the allurial beds at the level of and below the erratic blocks at a depth of from :3 to 4 m . ( 10 to $1: 3 \mathrm{ft}$.). Skulls which approach more or less to the brachyeephalic type are only found above this level at a depth of from 2.50 m . to $1 \nLeftarrow(0 \mathrm{~m}$. ( 5 ft .2 in . to 4 ft .7 im.$)$.

The superposition, and consequently the succession of types, is here evident. Does this authorise us to consider the dolichocephalic type as having everywhere preceded the brachycephalic? We ought perhaps still to retain some doubts on this point. Some fragments, belonging probably to the latter, have been discovered at Clichy, very little above a cranial vault of the Canstadt race, and the beautiful skull from Nagy-sap in Hungary was obtained from a well characterized loess, the age of which does not however appear to have been determined.

Perhaps, when fresh facts are fortheoming to dispel the latest doubts, we shall find that the two types appeared at almost the sane time upon the lands which were one day to become Europe ; but at present everything argues in favour of the anteriority of the dolichocephali. In America the nuly known fussil skull leads to the same conclusion.

However this may be, the mongoloid theory ean no longer be accepted as absolute. The man of Cro-Magnon and that of Furfooz cannot be placed in the same group, and considered as belonging to the same race. The idea of M. Prumer Bey is, nevertheless, partly true; and the honour of having connected living with fossil populations camot be denied to this eminent anthropologist. Still, what he has said of one race must be applied to the rest. The inhabitauts of Western Europe are comected with the quatemary period, hot by a single rout, but by six at least, and perhaps more.

IN. A methodical distribution of the ditherent races of a species is never an easy task. The difficulty is very strungly felt in the study of living human races; it is still greater in dealing with fussil races. Esen if the materials were as
abumdant as they are rare, we no longer have the perfect individual, and cannot attempt to apply the nuturel methorl; we are forced to be content with a systemutic clussificution. This is what M. Hamy and I have been obliged to do; and without sharing the ahsolute opinions which were once advianced by Retzius, we touk the greneral form of the skull as the starting point for our classification. In so doing we have, morever, only imitated paleontologists in their studies upon fussil animals.

We have alrealy seen that considerations drawn from this method lead to a division of fossil man into two groups, the one dulichocephalic, and the other brachycephalic. The Lagoa Sinta skull, which must from all appearance be the type of a listinct race, is evidently connected with the former. The accounts of this fossil are, however, at present so incomplete, that I cannot stop to consider it in such a rapid sketeb as this.

In these two fimdamental groups differences exist sile by side with the common character. In the former these differences are very great aud strongly marked ; they are generally luss so in the latere. Thas we have clearly distinguished the two dolichocephatie typer, while we have placed in the same chapter, and as it were in a kind of family, part at least of the brachycephatic races.

Several ohjections may be raisel agatust this momemelat ture, of which we are well awate. We maderstood perfeetly that the skull of Ja Iruchome is as distanct from those uf Furfooz as the Neanderthal skult is from that of (rosMagnom. On the one hamd, howerer, this skull is the extrome limit of a graduated series, from which it seemed to In a liffiente to detach it ; on the other, this fossil, at the time when we were witing, was perfectly minge. Exen at the
 pr rion. Thus, in giviner it a place in onn lable, we did not "ith tu sepmrate in atn abmolnte mannor an individual case.

As to the wher types which we have phaced in the same dhapter, thy form at tran mitural gromp, "ach at the sathe
time having its. special characters, which by careful stuly we are able to recoguise. The races may, therefore, be clearly defined. The (irenclle race, especially, will always be very distinct from the two Furfooz races. Nevertheless, we here no longer meet with decisive characters which strike us at the first glance, and the ethnical affinities are evidently closer. It will, perliaps, at some future time be possible to trace these three bramelies to the common source from which they have all sprung. In short, we must represent the present state of our knowledge without interfering with the rights of the future. Our nomenclature satisfies, we believe, this condition.

We admit then two dolichocephalic races, those of C'anstadt and of Cro-Magnon. The more or less brachycephalic races are four in number. Under the title of Furfooz races we have included two races discovered in that famons locality. The Grenelle race and that of La 'Truchère also take their mames from that of the localities where they were found.

Let us rapilly review all these races.

## CHAPTER XXVI.

## THE CANSTADT M.ACE.

I. Tris name of this race is that of the village near which the first human fossil was found. In 1700, Duke Eberhard Ludwig of Wurtemberg excavated a Roman oppidum in the neighbourhood of Stutggard. A portion of the cranial vanlt of a man was discovered in the midst of a number of animal bones. (icology and palieontology were, however, still in their infancy; and the nature of this precious fragment was unknown till Jacreer, in $18: 3.5$, recognised its value as an argument in favour of the coexistence of man with the great extinct mammals. After cluse stuly, thanks to the kindness of M. Fraas, M. Hany and I have been able, withont any ditionlty, to connect it with the famons Neanderthal alinll.
II. The latter was diseovered in 18.57 in a small cavern near Joisseldorf. The skeleton was perfeet. Unfortunately, the workmen who discovered it, bruke and dispersed the bouses, of which part only were saved by Dr. Fuhbrott. When exhithital the sathe year at the Congress of Bom, they became the sulaject of long contimed study and discussion. Il. Schataffhansen, althongh himself sometimes gromg beyond the truth, tonk his prostion from the first upon the rieflet ground. Some allatomints wished, however; to consider this specimen as a special specieq, and even a fresh genus. It was especially considered as intwimediate between man and apes, and here allal there traces may sall be fommd of these opinions.

The only canse of these examererations is a feature, striking it is traw, which is presumteol hy this eranial vants. In the Ne:nuturlat man the fromtal simmses hatse an exceptional
development, and the superciliary ridges, almost lost in the middle of the glabella, furm a most strange protuberance ahove the orbit. This conformation has not failed to be compared to the bonly ridiles which the anthropomorphous apes possess in the same place. Then, starting from this fact, it has been thought necessary to find in the rest of the cranium characters in harmony with this simiun feature. Stress has been laid upon its slight elevation, the lengthened form, the projection of the occipital recrion, ete.

With a little partiality, and by only comparing it with modern skulls, which are considered as normal, a separate species of being has been made of the Neanderthal man. By degrees, however, other crania equally fossil have been connected with this type. Indeed, in several parts of Europe those characters which were too hastily declared to be unique have been observed in dolmens in less ancient burial places, in historical persons, and even in individuals living at the present time. There was, then, no alternative but to conclule that the Neanderthal man belonged to a formation which was unquestionably human, to a ruce, certain features of which were merely exaggerated in his case.
This race is none the less remarkable and perfectly characterized. In all individuals of the male sex we find a greater or less development of the superciliary prominences, which were so striking in the Neanderthal man. The low and narrow forehead appears still more receding in conseynence of this contrast. The cranial rault is much flattened. 'Tolerably regular in its two anterior thirds, it rises towards the upper portion of the occiput, and is prolonged backwards. The entire skull is relatively narrow, and we have already seen that the cephalic index descends as low as 72 . These bones are also remarkable for their thickness, which in the Fguisheim cranium reaches 11 millimetres ( $0 \cdot 4.3 \mathrm{in}$ ). Sume of these features are modified in the female skull. The superciliary ridges disappear almost entirely. The occipital protuberance, and especially the prominence of its upler fortion, are much less marked. The cephalic index
rises one or two mite, 1,nt the flattening of the vault and the other characters are persistent.

The Neanderthal cranimm, and all those which may also he comnected with the Canstadt type, are incomplete and without the face. One skull alone, the age of which unfortumately is not determined with certainty, emables us to fill up this gap. It is that from Forbes Quarry near Gibraltar. In this case the cranium, and particularly the foreheal, exactly coincide with the description given above of the Neanderthal cranimm. Immense and almost circular orbits, the index of which rises almost to 6883 , well agree with the vestiges in the Neanderthal cranim, and hide by their external border the temporal region. Below, the malar bones descend alnost vertically; the masal bones are prominent; the nasal orifices very broad. The superior maxillary hone is sensibly prognathous, and lastly the dental arch is of a horse-shoe shape narrowing lackwards. The whole is rude and massive. A face recently discovered by M. liette in the Gourdan grotto, and which will shortly be described by M. Hamy, confims the commection which we have estahlished between the Forbes Quarry skull and the remains of the Canstadt race. Found in the juferior beds of the cave, among flints of the Monstier type, this specimen reproduces with some modifications the characters which we have just described. The inferior maxillary bone recalls that of Arey.

If thesse chamacters are mited to thase presented by the celchrated maxillary bome of Naulette, we must add that the whin in the Comstadt man is but slighty prominent, and that the lower patt of the face was sometimes more peeuliar, in this rumpert, thim the ereater mminer of the skulls of Negroes from Guinea. 'The researchess of M. Hamy have, however, shown that the singular maxillary bone diseovered by
 19 we which is met with rlowhere mater considerable monlifications.

In shont, the cranimm amal fare of the ('instacte man must, ates a rule, hase presentent a strangely savage aspect.

The body appears to have harmonised with the head. The few bones of the limbs, preserved more or less intact, indi-
 yet their propurtions are athletic. They are very thick relatively to their length, and the protuberances and depressions serving for muscular attachments are remarkably developed. Moreover, the tilia discovered in a quarry at Clichy hy M. Bertrand, presented the flattened form which has been designated plutyrnemic, and the rilss of the Neanderthal skeleton were sensibly more rounded than is generally the ease.
III. As far as we know at present, the Canstadt race is undonbtedly the most ancient European one. It disputed the ground with the great extinet mammals, with the mammoth, the woolly rhinoceros, the cave bear, and the cave hyarna. It belongs, therefore, to the earliest ages of the quaternary epoch. In the opinion of M. Schaaffiliausen, it may be traced to an earlier period still, and is identical with tertiary man surviving the latest geological revolution.

The naturalist who has made us so well aequainted with the Neanderthal man, only involes, in support of his opinion, what he calls the typiccel inferiority of this man, and of those who are connceted with him. This reason would to many be an insufficient motive for the view which he has taken. But I have observed alhove, that we are justified in assuming that man followed into Europe the great mammals; which were driven ly the cold into more southern countries. There can, then, be nothing strange in the idea that the race, to which everything points as having been the most ancient upon our soil, should also have been the one to accomplish the migration. But were the Saint-Prest, the Monte Aperto, and especially the Thenay men only its pioneers ? The future alme can answer this question cither in the affirmative or negative.

Howerer this may be, the remains of human industry indicate a well-marked progress since the earliest ages. 'Tools and arms became more numerons and perfeet. Deer's
antlers and bear's jawbones are worked into weapons and tools; in addition to scrapers and borers, the form of which hecomes more and more marked, we find knives, chisels, and hammers, set in handles: hatchets of much greater size, sometimes comparatively thin, flat upon one side but retonched mpon the other, sometimes thick and rudely cut on buth sides, with or withont a haudle, belong to the monstierion and ucheuléen types of M. de Mortillet; they atssume definite forms by which we are able to recognise several modifications characteristic of certain localities; the arrow is larger and the lance has become a formidable weapon. In the midst of the lowest yuatemary alluvial deposits, we meet with small heaps of coscinopora globuluris, and other small chalk fossils, all priereed either maturally or artificially. The only pussible explanation is to consider these polypi and shells ats having once formed necklaces or hracelets, the thread of which has disappeared. Thas, the taste for adomment, so largely developed in molem savages, was displayed as carly ats this period.

If we compare the imlustrics, still very modest, with those of the present day, we shall be able to form for ourselves an approximate idea of what the race of Canstall was when it oceupical perhaps nearly the whole of Emrope. With M. Latcet we see in the obsidian lances of New Caledonia, the flint heads of the lower allusium of the somme; the hatehet of cortain Anstralians reminds us, as it did Sir Charles Lyell, of the . Wheserille hatchet. It is with the latter and with the Buginemans, that I should lee tempted to comect the Neamderthal man and his fellows. Like them, he seems to have most frembently led a wambering life. But few of his dwellingr, or places of meeting, are known to us, such ats the Nomlette catworn. Nothing seems to indicate that he had phaess of bmiad such as we fimd later. Everything tembs 6) show, momower, that ho lived entively as a homere, amd there is mothing to justify us in supposing that he was ascyuaintad with agriculture, which is carricel to such a mmarkalido pitch by certain Mflanesian necgroes.
IV. Judging from the geolugieal distribution of the remains which have been met with up to the present time, the Lamstadt race during the quaternary perioul principally occupied the basins of the Seine and the Rhine, and extended perhaps as far as Stïngenais in the Bohuslian; certainly as far as the Olmo in central Italy; as Brux in Bohemia; as the Pyronces in France, and probably as far as Gibraltar.

This race is not restricted in point of geological time. The attention roused by the strange characters of the Neanderthal cranimm was the means of instituting widespread investigations, which have rapidly drawn this specimen from the isolation in which, at first, it seemed to be placed. B. Davis, Busk, Thrner, King, Carter Blake, Poner Bey, Vogt, Huxley and Hamy have heen particularly successful in these investigations, and have brought to light relations which are now senerally adopted.

The result ubtained from all these labours is that the Canstadt type, sometimes remarkably pure, and sometimes again more or less modified by crossings, is found in the dolmens: and in the cemeteries of the Gallo-Roman period, in those of the Middle Ages, and in modern tombs from Scandinavia to Spain, from Portugal to Italy, and from Scotland and Irelaul to the valley of the Damule, in the Crimea at Minsk, and as far as Orenbours in Russia. This area of habitation comprises, we see, the entire space of time which has clapsed from the quaternary period to the present day, and the whole of Eirrope.

The remark has with justice been made by M. Hamy, that there prolahly exist in lndia, in the midst of populations Wriven lack liy the Aryan insasion, representatives of the Neanderthal type. Nerertheless, to find them with any degree of certainty, we must go ats far as Australia. Our investigations have on this point confirmed those of Huxley. Among the races of this great island there is one, distributed particularly in the province of Vietoria, in the neighLombound of Port Western, which reproduces in a remarkalide manner the characters of the Canstadt race.

Finally, the Canstadt race has had representatives in America also. One of the drawings published by MM. Lacerta and Peixoto leaves no room fur donbt on this point. It represents almost the whole of the upper part of a cranial vault found in the province of C'eara, the resemblance of which with that of Fernisheim is very striking. Unfortunately, the Brazilian maturalists say nothing about the situation of this precious fragment at the moment of its discovery, and we do not know whether the cranium in question is a fossil or whether it belongs to the present epoch.
V. All these facts, which I have been obliged to sum up in a few lines, raise an important problem, and lead to an interesting conclusion.

Are we, in the first place, justified in cmmecting ethuolugically the crania of a more or less Neanderthal type, discovered in the Antipodes ats well as in Europe, with the races, the remains of which have been preserved by the graternary alluvium? Is not the reproduction of this type pmely accidental? Do not the most ancient crania owe their remarkable characters to some pathological condition, 10 a simple deviation from the normal development, and particularly to a premature mion of the bones of the cranimu?

These several opinions have been maintained, and the latter in particular has haud adherents. It rests principally upen the condition of the ossified sutures of the Neanderthal cranim. But these same sutures may be observel in the Camstadt cranimm. M. Samvage found in the almost infantine fromtal home of La Denise all the Noaderthal characters, although the medin-frontal suture as yot only existed in part. It is contircly open in the cranimm of the yome man, discosered in a Poiton tumulus, described by M. Promer Bey, and which it is impossille not to comed with the proceding.

Thims we cammet attribute to the premature nasifieation of the sutures, the form of the cramia of the men of C:mstalt. Eor a much stronger reason, the dearly mation chatacters
of the forchead and face which remain camot support this theory, and we must allow that the whole constitutes a true cthnical type.

Since we meet with this type disseminated through time and space, always fundamentally the same, and sometimes reappearing in all its primitise purity, we are forced to choose letween the two following interpretations; we have here either an coumple of uturism, the importance of which is attested hy its grencrality: or else the reproduction of these exceptional furms, in the midst of the most velriell pepmletioms and under the most difficent contilions of life, is due to mere chance.

The laws which govern the formation amd maintenance of animal and vegetable races, and from which man cannot eseape, do nut allow the admission of the latter conclusion. This is why M. Hamy and I have regarded the Cimstadt race as one of the elements of modern populations. In Europe it has bleuded with succeeding races, but asserts its past existence by the marks which it impresses, even at the present day, upon some rare individuals. In Australia, perhaps, it has some diewet lescembants in the tribes of North Westerm.
VI. The epithets brutul and simiun, too often applied to the Neanderthal cramimm, and to those which resemble it, the conjectures mate with regard to the individual to whom they belonged, might lead us to think that a certain moral and intellectual inferiurity was naturally connected with this form of cranimm. It can easily be shown that this conclusion rests upon a most worthless foundation.

At the Paris Cougress, M. Vogt quoted the example of one of his friends, Dr. Emmayer, whose eranimm exactly recalls that of Neanderthal, and who is nevertheless a highly distinmuished lunacy duetor. In passing through the Copenhagen Museun, I was struck by the Neanderthal characters presented by one of the crania in the collection; it proved to be that of Kay Lykke, a Danish gentleman, "ho plated some part in the political aflatis of the 17 th century: M. Godron
has published the drawing of the skull of Siant Mansuy, Bishop of Toul in the the centhry, and this head even exagserates some of the most striking features of the Neanderthal eranium. The forehead is still more receding, the vanlt more depresed, and the head so long that the cephatic index is $69+1$. Lastly the skull of Bruce, the Scotch hero, is also a reprorluction of the C'anstadt type.

In presence of these facts, we must assuredly acknowledge that even the individual whose remains were fund in the Neamlerthal cave was capable of possessing all the moral aud intellectual qualities compatible with his inferior social condition.

## CHAPTER XXVII.

THE CRO-MAGNON RACE.

I. In the year 18.58 , in the valley of the Verzere, near to the villatre of Les liyzies, which had already heen rendered famous by the investigrations of the ehler M. Lartet and ('hristy, the workmen bronght to light in the rock-shelter of ('ro-Magnon the bones of three men, a woman amd a child, which have been preservel to science by MM. BertonMeyron and Delmares. M. Louis Lartet, to whom the study of the deposit had been entrusted, determined their geologrieal age; MM. Broca and Pruner Bey described them with all the precision which we should expect from their knowledge of the sulject, and the discussions which arose between these two eminent anthropolorfists, brought the essential points still more strongly forward. The C'ro-Magnon bones thus became classic almost within a day of their discovery ; and M. Hamy and I could not do bettor than group aroumd them the human remains which resemble them. This has bern our reason for choosing the name which we have given to our second dolichocephalie race.

Like the preceling one, this also las its typical individual who exargerates in certain respects the chatacters of the race, and thms presents an extreme term of comparison. 'The contrast is only the more strikinge The only character common to both the Neanderthal man and the ohd man of Cro-Magnon lies in the proportions of the craninm. The cophatic index, here 73.76 , differs but very slightly, as we see at once from what we have alrealy stated. It descends, moreover, as low as 700.5 in a cratnimm of the same race found at solutré it is 70.50 in the famults Eugis
cranium. It was this elongation from the front backwards which led Sichmerling to comnect the fossil man which he had just diseovered with the Ethiopian rather than with the European. This, at least, partly accounts for the theory which makes the Negro the starting point of our race. 11. Hamy, in comnecting the Engis cranimm with the CroMagnon type, has added one mure fact to those which are at variance with this doctrine.

In every other respect the Cro-Magnon head and that of Canstadt are most dissimilar. Instead of a low and retreatng forchead above supereiliary ridges which remind us of the ape, instead of a flattencl vatilt like that of the Neanderthal skull and its companions, we here find a large foreheat rising above frontal sinuses but slightly marked, and a vanlt presenting the finest proportions. The frontal bone is remarkably developed from befure backward. The frontooccipital curve is continued with a striking regularity till within a short distance above the lamblat. It is there bent so ats to form a surface which is prolonged upon the cerebral part of the occipital bone. The cerebral region of the same bone is carried abroptly downward, and presents mumerous strong impressions of muscular insertions.

This skull, so remarkalbe for its fine propertion, is also remarkable fur its capacity. According to M. Broca, who conld only work under precantions calculated to diminish the amombt, it is equal to at least 1590 cubic centimetres (!)f:9!) cubice inches). I have already remarked that this nomber is fir higher than the mean taken from modern Parisians; it is equally so in comparison with the other Eurupean races.

Thus, in the saviere of yuaternary ares, who had to fight against the mammoth with stone weapons for arms, we find all thooe craniolonical characters generally ennsidered as the sign of great intellectual development.
'The features of the fare are not less striking than those of the skull. In the heads which M. Pruner Bey calls hurmomic, a face dongated from above downward correspomds to
a skull elongated from behind forward. When there is a disagreement between these proportions the head is dysshurmonic. This latter eharacter is very strongly marked in the old man of Cro-Magnon. The bizygomatic transverse diameter acepuires an extent rare even in hamonic brachycephali. In his case the facial inder descends as low as 63.

This exaggeration in breadth is present also in all the upper and medial parts of the face. The orbits, alnost reetilinear at their extremities, are remarkable for their slight clevatiom, being on the other hand very long. The orbital index deseends lower than M. Browa hats ever known to to be: it is only 61 .

But this temdeney to breadth does not extemd to the medial regions or to the infertor portion of the face. The nose, the hones of which are boldly projected forward and constitute a strongly marked protuberance, is narrow ; from its index, 4.509 , it places the ohd man of Cro-Magnon amongst the lepthorhini of M. Broca. The superior maxillary bone is equally narrowed relatively to the face which it terminates, and the alveolar arch is projectel outward in such a manner as to produce a very decided prognathism. The inferior maxillary bone is especially remarkable for the breadth of its ascometing branches which, accurding to the investigation of M. Broca, surpass in this respect all other known hman jam-bones. The hirealth in question is $49 \mathrm{~mm} . \mathrm{m}$. ( 1.93 inch). Far from loing obliterated and retreating, as in the ('anstadt race, the slighty thiangular chin projects furwards.

The ecphatic characters of the ohd man of C'ro-Magnon are to be found more or less strongly marked in all the men of the stame race. They are generally modified in the women. Thuse ceon in that specimen, the head of which, unfortunately incomplate, was discorered nut far from that of the old man, we see the beantiful lines of the skull preserved, and the forehead eren rising a little higher still. But the posteriur surface is less promomeed, the dysharmony is less strong between the skull and the face. The latter is relatively lunger, the orlits are higher, the mese in broader, and the

- prognathism is modified. We camot, however, deny the ethnical relation of the two heals which were fumd together, and which thus constitute definite terms of comparison for the two sexes.

The Cro-Magnon race was tall. The mean height deduced from the measurements taken by M. Hamy upon a skeleton and the isolated bones of tive men is 1.78 m . (5 feet 10 inches. With the old man of Cro-Magnon it was about 1.82 m . (5) feet $11 / 6$ inchen), and with the Mentone man, whose skeleton was fund by M. Riviere entire and in situ, it was as much :th 18.5 m . ( 6 feet 0.8 inches). The (ro-Magnon woman measured 1.66 m . ( 5 feet 53 inches). These bones and all those which have been connected with them, morcover, give indications of a remakkally strong race. Whey are thick and solid. In all cases the muscular impressions are very strongly marked. In the old man of Cro-Magnon the femmes are also the breadest and thickest that M. Broca has ever measured, as we have already remarked. The linea atpera is also of an umsual breadth and thickness, and furms a sort of prominent columan or buttress.

Finally, in the Cro-Magnon men, a fine upen furchead, at large, harrow, and aquiline nose, must have compensated for any strangeness which the face may have acpuired from the probable smalluess of the eyes, from very strong masseters, and from a slightly lozenge-shaped contomr. With Whese features, the type of which is in no way disagreeable, and allows of real branty, this mannifiont race combined a hish stature, powerful muscles, and an athletic constitution. It secoms to have been fitted in ivery way for -truerding against the difficulties and perils of savare life.
II. We have already seen that the Cro-Magnom race was diseovereal immediately above that of (anstadt in the alluvial deperits of Geronelle. It is therefore wory oht and was contemprary with the great mammals, now either extinct or emigrated. Mure sociable, doubtess, and more setted that the preceling race, it inhabited caverns where it left numerons specimens of its hamdiwnts; it buried its dead
under the shelters where they are now found. A great number of eminent investigators have explored these scientific quarries. I camot enumerate them all here, but there is one name, the omission of which would be unpardonable, that, manely, of Edouard Lartet. It is well known with what persevering intelligence, sometimes alone, sometimes accompamied by his friend Christy, this man, as modest as he is learned, has explored these caves, what treasures he has ultained from them, and the prudence and sagacity which he showed in the interpretation of his splendid discoveries, and only justice was dune to him in awarding him the title of fousuler of hemuen peleemtoloyg.

Thanks to him, and to those who have followed in his steps, we possess the essential elements of a history of the ('ro-Magnon race. Almost without leaving this valley of the Vézere, the name of which stands so high in anthropology, we can, as M. Broca has done, follow it step ly step. In fact, from the village of Les Eyzies to the rock-shelter of Monstier, within a distance of from seven to cight miles we meet with no less than cight human settlements, all of which hatre become more or less celebrated from the different records which they have furni-hed. They are the Moustim cavern, the Mousticer shelter, the shelter of La Mudeleine, the Cro-Mhergnon shelter and burial-place, the Langerie-Humte shelter, the Lomigeric-Busse shelter, the Gorye d'Linjere cavern, and the Les Eiysies cavern.

The most ancient, that of Moustier, is comected by its: fatuna with the lower alluvium (has niveanx) of (iremelle, and dates at least from the close of the age of the bear ; that of La Madeleine cannot be placed much before the present epoch. Between these two extremes are ranged the other six, and altogether they mark out, so to speak, the two laist periods of the quatemary ages. Yet to obtain a clear idea of the social and intellectual development of the race, to learn how far it complice with the modifications of the climate, and what progress or what decadence these morlifications imposed upon it, we must consult the evidences which
it has left in many other localities, and eipecially in the caves and shelters of Bruniquel, in the burial-places of sulutré, in the caves of Ciourdan, Durnty, and of L'HommeMort, etc.

The men who frempented the Moustior eavern do not seem to have been much superior to the Canstadt race, with which they were perhaps associated, and whose industries they clusely imitated. Their conditions of existence were almost identical with those of the preceding age. They lived among the great mammals which servel them for food. The horse aud the aurochs were the general oljeects of their sport. But they fed upon the mammoth, the bear, and even the lion and the eave lyatma. To meet such enemies as these they empluyed a species of spear-head and small lanee, smooth upon one side, cut upon the other, and sharp at the edges, constituting undoubtedly a formidable wapm. This special form chatacterises the Moustier typee of M . de Mortillet. The hanters of this epoch cut their armons upon the same model, lint rarely made use of them; they seem to have despised hirds and small game; the other implements remained almost the same as in the preceling age.

At Cro-Magnon, the progress is evident. Our fine ohl man and his companions had ams and implements of flint, which were more munerons, more varied and less massive. To juldge from the remains of their kitchen, thoy must have made frepuent use of the bow, to obtain birds and small mammals, while they still attacked large amimals, and wpecially the horse, with the lance, spear-hean, and perthips the diagrer.

At Lathredic-lfante, on the Verzere, at Solntre, in the Macomais, and other contemporary setulements, the culting of the flimes reselhed a degree of perfection which was truly marvellons. Sometimes modonhedly old types reappeared side ly side with forms modified by intelligent experience, and by perfected workmanship. Still the predominance of the latter is so marked, that it distinctly chaateterises this epoch. The perints of the lances and jaselins are tapered off
more or less in the shape of a walnut, laurel, or plantain leaf. They are very pointed, and become perfectly symmetrical. The arrow-lieads are the olject of most particular eare. M. de Ferry has very well shown that the general form, the weight, the angle, ete., were calculated in such a mamer as to be adipted to the different distanses of flight, to the necessities of the chase. All these tools, fincly cut upon both sides, present, morenver, a much more remarkalle finish than what we mect with in any of the other implements. They were worthy of loing taken for one of the terms of comparison admitted by M. de Mortillet, and constitute his Sulutió Iype.
linsentially honters, and certanly warrions, the men of this period bestowed their ehief attention upon their arms. They probably felt a certain pride in possessing the finest or the best ent weapons; but the relative indifference which they betrayed in the matter of other ohjects, shows us that their chicf aim in the finish of their work was to make their weapons more terrible by inctrasing their power of penetration. Several fragments of hone, discorered in places remote from each other, and belonginer to several periods, prove that these weapons of Hlint, handled by strong hands, left nothing to be desired in this respect. I shall only mention the vertebrat of a reindeer, which had been piereed through hy a lance or a jatselin, and a human tihat, through the heal of which an arow has passel mear to the kneepan. In both cases the lowken flint has remained, testifying to the grool quality of the wenpon and to the strength with which it was msed.

At the time of the deposition of the upper river gravels, and when the preduminance of the reindeer was most marked, the industry of the men of Cro- Magon underwent a sudden change. 'Jill then flint, ambl, in its absence, other harel stones, had fumished both the implement and the instrment formed by the aid of the former. Donbitess from the carliest times, hones and the antlers of the stare or reindeer, had been used from time to time; but they only played an almost insignificant part in the manufacture of tools or weapons. During the epoch of which we are speaking, they
acquired a growing importance, aml soon furnished almost the only material for weapons. Flint was now only used to make the implements, and these, on the other hamd, became more numerous, and fitted for the most varied uses. It was with flints that the troglodytes of Les Eyzies, of LaugerieBasse, of La Madelcine, and a great number of other settlements, sawed and carved their reindeer autlers to make strong harpoons, which were barbed on one side only. It was with flint that they pointed needles not much longer than our own, and pierced the eye. In some specimens the latter is so small that the piereing of it remained a problem, till Lartet reproduced it with his own hand, using one of the implements which he had discovered. But the most characteristic olject of the Muydulemian type is the arrow-head, regilarly barbed on both sides, the teeth of which contain little chamels, probably intended as the receptacle of some puisonous substance.

The suceession of intustries which I have just pointed ont is, moreover, hy no means invariable. As the investigations and discoberios increase in nomber, we are more and more impressed by the fact that the several eolonies of the race moder consideration, yieding to local necessities, or carried away hy the accilents of their development, do not present an mantellisible uniformity. The last exeavations earried ont at Solutre by MA. Arcelin and the Abbe Dacrost, show arms and instrmments of the Magdalénian type which are anterior to those of the Solutre type. In this epoch, ats at the present time, there existed a eertain diversity which explains the comedence, in point of time, of difforme indmstrial types among this prppulation of similar origin.
111. 'The linhter, more trasty, and more varicd weapons, amonomee a change in the life of our trogherlytes. Thery continne, it is thas, to homt large gran when it comes in thoir way; a fow vare mammoths, surviving the elimatic moditications which were geing ons, still foll moder their hand; the homes abo wfen eontribumed to their meptst. The
reindeer, however, largely predominated in the débris of their kitehen. Mixed with them are found the remains of small mammals, such as the hare and the squired. Birds also began to be used for fool to a considerable extent. From the bones discovered in the single grotto of Courdan, so admirably explored by M. Piette, M. Alph. Edwards has been able to distinguish twenty distinet species. Lastly, the men of the Magdalenian age fed also upon fish; but fishing agrain was to them a kind of hunting. They evidently did not use the houk, and only harpooned the larger species, the salmon in P'rigord, and the pike in the Pyrenees.

The conveyance of the large animals which fell under their hand to their usual dwelling place, would have been too much even for such stalwart hunters. They eut them $\quad 1 \quad$ upon the spot, leaving only the skeleton of the trimk. We rarely find in the eaves more than the bones of the head and limbs, which, again, are almost always broken. Like all silvages, the troglodytes of the Vézère held the brain and marrow in high estimation. The long bones which enclosed the latter have evidently been split in a methodical mammer, with a view to preserving the contents. MM. Lartet and Cluristy even think that a special implement was emploged in eating these delieate morsels. A kind of spatula made from the antler of a reindeer, with a conieal, richly carved handle, holiowed and rommed at the extremity, has been regarled by them as a marrow spoon.

The large amount of ashes and burnt wool found in the Vezère deposits, leaves $n 0$ room for doubt that fire was used in the couking of food. The manner in which it was used is, however, rather a difficulty. No trace of pottery has been found among these honters, and there is nothing to show that they were aequainted with the oven of the Polynesians. They must, therefore, have gone to work like the Siberime, Who, at the close of the last century, hat only vessels of leather or of wood, and nevertheless were able to boil the water which they contained hy throwing in highly heated flints.

We have no reason for thinking that the Cro-Magnon man was a camnibal. We find among the debris of his kitchen, none of these long bones, broken so ats to extract the marrow, which could not bist have been mixed with those of the large mammak, had human thesh formed even accidentally part of their repast. Nevertheless M. Pictte has found at Fourdan sereral remains of hmman skulls, bearing the mark of flat knives, and the trace of blows which seem to have hroken them. Axes and atlases in great quantity, jaw-hones broken or whole, accompany these fragments of the cranial vanlt. These facts may justify the opinion of M. Piette. 'The Gourdan wartors after having killed an enemy, doubtless brouglit his head home, scalped it, and perhaps mised the brain in some kind of pottiore, as some of the tribes of the Philippine islands do at the present day. But they did not eat the flesh of the vanyuished, whose decapitated corpses were probably left on the field of battle.
IV. Needles, like those which I have mentioned above, wond not have been makle had there mot heen something tor sew. This fact alone surgests the ibleat of elothes. The chase furnishal the raw material. The art of preparing skins mast have been carried by these tribes as far as it has bern by the Red-skins, to judge from the mmber of serapers and smoothers which have heen fomm in their stations. The manks left hy Hint knives at the penists where long tondons taken from the limbs of the rembeer were inserted, show how the thread was procured. 'The clothes, when sown, most have been omamented in vaions ways, as they are ly samares of the present day. Upon the skeleton discorored at Lamgeric-Basse hy M. Massemat, twenty piered shells were fonnd placed in pairs upon different parts of the benly. 'Jhis was not an instance of either necklace or bracelet, but of omamments aramoed in an almost symmetrical manmer upon a garment. 'The skeleton of Mentone, diseovered by


Thus the taste for adormanent, so strikiner at the present day in the mot satioge as alow in the civilized mations
existed in the troglorlytic tribes of the quaternary epoch. There are, moreover, mmerous proofs of this fact. The fragments of necklaces, bracelets, ete., have been fonnd in a great number of stations. In most cases marine shells, sometimes fossil and obtained from the tertiary beds, formed these ornaments. But the Cro-Magnon man combinced with these the tecth of the large carnivora; he ent also with the same intention plates of ivory, certain suft or hard stones, and even mate beads of clay which were merely dried in the sum. Finally, he tatoded himself, or at least painted his body with the oxides of iron or manganese, small stores of which have on several oceasions been found in different stations, and which have left their mark upon the bones of some skeletons, for example, "pun that of Mentone.
V. The Cro-Magnon race has up to this point shown searcely any smperiority over the hunting tribes of America, muless perhaps it is in the dexterity which they displayed in fliut cutting. But the artistic instincts which they showed almost from their first appearance, and the point to which they carried drawing and sculpture in the Madeloine age, gives them quite an execpotional pusition amongst those nations whose evolution has been arrested at the lowent stare of sucial life. The relative alleviation of climatic conditions, the diminntion of lare and ferocions animals involving the multiplication of useful species and especially that of the reindeer, placed at this epoch the Cro-Magnon man in conditions of welfare unknown to his predecesons: He profited by it in developing in a most unexpected manner his very superior talent..

As a general rule the greater number of seupptures representing animals leave, it is true, much to be desired. We can inded recornise the reindeer represented in high relief; nor would it be diflicult to recognise as a mammoth the little carving mate from the antler of the reindeer diecovered at Montastruc. Nevertheless, these specimens would give bat a poor illa of Magdalencan art. The frory
dagger handles fommd ly M. Peceadean de l'Isle by the side of the mammoth fortmately confirm this impression. In both a reindeer is represented erouching, the legs bent, the head stretched out and the antlers lying along the bonly so as not to inconvenience the hand which should hold it. The attitndes are so natural, and the proportions so exact, that a decorative sculptor of the present day, in treating the same subject, conld scarcely do better than copy his antigue predecessor.

Drawing or rather engraving was much more commonly practised than scupture. It offers also more points of interest. Armed with their point of flint, the quaternary artists engraved in turn the bone and the antlers of the reindeer, ivory from the mammoth, and stones of different kinds. Sometimes they endeavoured to reproduce the plants or animals aromul them ; at other times they followed their cown fincy, and made desiges of ornamentation, in which we moet with almost all the principles rembented many centmries afterwards. The multiplicity and the variety of this kiml of engrawing show much imagination and a real faculty of iusention.

The faculty of imitation is equally striking in drawings representing real ohjects, animals in particular. They are often very remarkahle for firmmess of toneh, showing a perfect comprehension of the whole, and reproducing the details with such exactuess that we are not only able unerringly to recounise the group but even the species represented by the artist. 'Thus we have found suceessively the $0 x$, the aurochs, the horse, the windeer, the elk, the stiter, the stembock, a cetaccan, eertain fishes, ete $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ fter these fathful representations, the models of which we know, thore is no reasun to dombt the exactuess with which certain exthat amimats have been drawn. 'Ihis very simple consideration givers great interest to the drawinger of the cate bear fommd hy M. (iamien mon a pioce of Massat schist, and for those of the mammoth diseovered by $\mathbf{M}$. Latret in the Prónencl catres. 'Jhanks to the lattor and to

What we know from the mammoths preserved in ice in Siberia, an artist of the present day mierht produce in almost exact detail the portrait of this giant of the ancient world, which disapieared so lung agro.
VI. Man firures very rarely in these drawings or seulptures, and the representations of our species which have been met with up to the present time, display a relative inferiority which is indeed most strange. The small ivory statue found by M. de Vibraye at Lallgerie-Basse scarcely testifies to even the infancy of the art. It is a woman, whose sex we are able to recoguise by a detail dumbtless exaggerated, but bong, stiff and with very strange protuberances at the lower extremity of the loins. The eronching loman form fomm Ly M. l'Abé Landesiute in the same locality is still more ill-formed. The drawings of men or women are scarcely better, and the contrast sometimes presented upon the same specimen between them and drawings of animals is most strange. M. l'Abbé Landesque's reindeer vomun is grotesque, whilst the hind legs of the animal, which alone have been preservel, present all the qualities which I have noticed above and which may he observed in the splendid horse's head engraved upon the other side of the bone. In MI. Massenat's eurioches mun, the animal has much beanty both in form and movement ; the man is stiff, without proportion or truth.

This eontrast is too grvat and too constant to be accidental. It must le the result of a cause arising perhaps from some superstitions idea similar to certain modern superstitions. When Catlin had finished his first portrait of the Red-Skin, some of the tribe looked upon him as a dangerous sorcerer, who had robbed the mollel of part of himself. Perhaps some similar idea may have prevented the artists of the Vézere from stmdyine the human figure, for it always happens that when they attempt to reprodnce it their graving tool hesitates, and loses all its groud qualities.

These imprefect representations, therefore, tell iss mothing of the aprearance or proportions of the race. The most we can
say, if we accept the interpretations of MM. I'Ablé Landesque and Pictte, is that it was remarkably hairy. But this opinion, which rests chiefly upon the drawing of the reimeer urman, seems to me to be contradicted hy that of the curochs men, whose small pointed beard scarcely extends as far as the angle of the jaw-bone. 'The horizontal hatching upon the lows and hoty cannot, it appears to me, be taken for hairs, bectuse it crosses at right angles the direction which would hase leen taken ly the latter. I should much rather consider them as lines of painting, a kind of decoration which we know to have been held in high estimation amongst these tribes.
VII. However bad they may be, the drawings which I have just described furnish us, nevertheless, with some facts respecting the mode of life pursued by these hunters. That of the curoche man informs us that they followed the largest grame naked, as is often the case with the Red-Skins, their hair raised in a tuft on the top of the head, and armed only with the lance or jatselin. The whule mun is also makerl, and the immense arm which he stretches ont as far as the fin of the fish, seems to indieate that he has fonght and confuered this monster, which had doubtless rim aground in some shallow. But, from this fact alone, it follows that the quaternary man of Périgord must sometimes have left his montains and travelled as far as the sea-shore. His contempmaries in the I yrinees did the same, as is proved by the drawings of seals diseovered in the grottoes of Gomdan aul Durnthy.

Again, those deposits which are sitmated at the greatest. distamee iulam have often firmished ohjects which can only have heen ohbancel uron the sea-shone. At Cro-Magnon more than there hmmedred shells of Lillorime liltorene, an oreanie speries, hase been fomme. On the other hamed the
 skeleton, which 1 have montioned almowe, are monestionably Mediterrancens. Sumetime the malluses peenliar to the two regions have bern fomm in the same place. In the Courdan
grutte, in the midille of the central Pyrenees, M. Piette fomal five occanic species, one Meditermanean, and five common to both seas. 'The fusail shedls of the Perrigord deposits were generally brought from the fulun of 'loumaine, those of Courdan must have been collected, partly in the Lindes and in the neighbourhood of Dax, and partly near Perpignan. In this same groto M. Piette discovered a pumice-stone, which had been used in polishing needles, amd which he considered had come from the volcanic region of Agile.

From these, and some other analogrons facts, M. Piette and M. de Mortillet have thought there is sufficient reason to suppose that the tribes of the Vézère had no fixed habitation, hut led a nomad life, visiting in turn the shores of the two seas, lunting in the mountains during the summer the grame of the season, and passing the winter in a warmer climate. We cannot adopt this hypothesis. The ever-increasing fatma among the cooking deflris denotes a population, which, as it multiplied in every way, made more and more use of the resources of the comntry. These same heaps furnished Lartet with reindecer hones of every age, amongst which were those of young fawns. Our great authority conchules from this fact that the tribe was stationary during the entire year, and we believe him to be right. The man of Cro- Maguon, La Madeleine and Gourdan, must muloubtedly have always been within reach of the reindeer, from which they ohtained nourishment, arms and clothing bat the migrations of this animal, under the intluence of a but slightly varying mar:time climate, conh not have been very extensive, and the troglodytes of Périgorl or the Pyrences, if they wished to keep within its range, wonld not have had such experlitions to undertake, as thuse of the Red-Skins in pursuit of the bison.

This semi-stationary life diel not exclude travels ly land or even by sea. Among the fussil shells found at Langeriebasse, thore are some which could only have eome from the 1sle of Wight. Now, in the age of the reinleer, there was
no longer land communication between France and England. As M. Fischer has remarked, the presence of these shells in a continental station proves the existence of narigation.

But, ean it really have been the man of the Vézère who went to seck these oljects of adormment on the other side of the chamel? It is difficult to believe that these mountain tribes could have crossed the sea. It is much more likely that this voyage was accomplished by contemporaries, who, by long residence on the sea coast, had developed navigating instincts. They, doubtless, would bring from the English island those shells regarded as precious jewels, which would then pass in exchange from hand to hand, till at length they reached the valleys of Périgord. Trafic of this kind can alone explain this existence of an oyster-shell from the Red Sea in the Thayngen grotto explored ly M. C. Mayer, near to Schaafilhansen. We know, moreover, that shells of the Pacific Ocean are in our day brought, hy a perfectly similar commerce, as far as the tribes of Red-Skins inhabiting the shores of the Atlamic.
VIII. 'The history of the Cro-Magnon race, fommed upon the industrial remains which it has bequeathed to us, still presents many questions answered in various ways by savants of the most different opinions. I shall only point them out cursorily.

Did the quaternary tribes confine themselves to hunting those animal species which are suliject to us, and hy which they were then surrounded. Did they never domesticate the homse, or the reimleer?
M. 'foussaint has answered the first question in the affirmative, and M. Gervais the second. The acemmation, oftern prodigions, of the bones of these animals is thus explained by all. At Sulutré, a kind of bone hollow, formed almost exclusively of the lomes of the horse, surromads, so to speak, tho space occuppied ly hearths and sepultures. It comprises the remains of ot least forty thousand horses, amongst which we only weenionally ment with either fuals or ohd amimals. The inmeme majurity were hillal at the ato of from four to
eight years. This strange accumulation of remains furnished by one species, and the choice of animals in their prime, are, in the opinion of M. Tonssaint, inexplicable facts, unless we admit the existence of great herds from which man could draw supplies at will. The arguments brought forward in favour of the domestication of the reindeer are almost of the same mature. M. Piette, howerer, admits that the latter, long honted in a wild state, was ouly domesticated towards the close of the quaternary period. His opinion rests upoun the proportion of reindeer bones which increase in number almost suldenly in the upper layers of the Gourdan grotto. M. Piette also draws attention to certain drawings in which reindeer are represented, having upon the neek the appearance of a halter.

To these arsuments, which are evidently not deroid of value, it has been objected that man may very well have been able to tame some individuals, withont necessarily domesticating the species; that the multiplication and utilisation of certain kinds of game under gencral and beiter understood conditions, readily account for the preference accurded to them at certain periods; and that a practised hunter would, without difficulty, chonse from anong the herd the one he wished to kill. All the facts bronght forward by MM. Gersais, Tonsaint, and Piette with regard to Lrance, are thes explained without much difficulty. As to cometries situated more to the morth, the facts obtained by 11. Frans from the grottocs of simabia, and his philobugieal rescarelies stem to support the opinions of these savants. It is evident that the problem of the domestication of the lourse and the reindeer by quaternary man demands further sturly, and may assume an entirely local character.

I should say almost the same with regard to social oryanisittion. We eamot doubt but that the tribes of La Madeleme and of Bruniquel recognised chicfs, and that it was for them those dageres of mammoth ivory were carved, of which I have spoken abowe. They were evidently state arms. But was this universally the ca.ee? Wias there, even amonget
these tribes, a true hierarchy, every grade of which was marked by certain insignia? ('ertain large portions of reindeer antlers, presenting a tulerably uniform appearance, diminished in size by hand, and invariably decorated with special care, have, it has been thought, offered sufficient proof for these facts. In some cases they are whole, in others they are pierced at one extremity with from one to four round holes, which sometimes eneroach upon the original drawing. These singular oljects are certainly not arms. 'They have been regarded as communders' leitons, an interpretation which appears to be phasille. Is it not, however, going rather too far, when the mumber of holes are regarded as indicating the dignity of the possessor, from which it would follow that these tribes recognised five district grades of chicfs?

Had the quatemary man in question any belief in another life? Had he a religion?

There ean lie mo doult as to the answer th the first of these questions. The care bestowed upon burial places shows that the limnters of Mentone, as also those of Sulutré and (ro-Manom, believed in the wants of their dead beyomd the tomb. Our aequaintance with the customs of so many s.avage nations of the pressent epoch formids any other interpretation of the interment of food, arms, and ormaments with the hooly.

The diflienty is greater in solving the prohlem of religion. It is wery poobathe that the man of this age had a belief similar to that which we know to exist among nations leading almost the satur kind of life. W'e can seareely help remsuling a great momber of small olyeets, piereed so as to mable them to low wom romet the neck, ats amulets, nom dombth that the troglontyes of the Verare or the J'yrences attithont to them virtnes analogrons to those which are even now ascribed to them loy many savare tribes. M. Piette diswered one of threse mullets consisting of a plate piereed in the centre, from which diverging lines took their rise; he foumd a similar emblem repuated three times upon a come
muncer's beiton. He admits that they are so many representations of the sun, and I very willingly accept this interpretation. But dues he not exceed the limits of legitimate induction, when he eoncludes from this fact that the man of (iourdan worshipped this heavenly borly, and invented the Sun God, afterwarls discovered by the Egyptians and Gauls.

1X. Finally the race of Cro-Magnon was not wanting ini cither beanty or intelligence. Taking its intelligence as a whole, it seems to me to present striking points of resemblance with the Algonquin race, as represented by the earliest travellers, and more especially ly missionaries who have spent much time amongst these Red-Skins. It had undoubtedly both its grool and bad qualities. Scenes of violence took place upon the banks of the Vézère, as is proved by the hatchet-cut in the skull of the Cro-Magmon woman. On the other hand, the burial places of Sulutré, though containing many indented male and female heads, seem to show that old age received particular attention, and was, therefore, honoured among these tibes. This race believed in another life; and the contents of tombs upon the banks of the Vézire and the Somme, seem to prove that a happy prairie-land was looked forward to leere, as upon the hauks of the Missis.sippi.

The man of Perigeral, like the Algompuin, diel not rise above the very lowest stage in the social scale; he remained at hunter, at least till towarels the close of that age, during which he appeared among the montains of France. It is, then, an error to cmploy the term cicilizution in speaking of this race. Yet he was endowed with an intelligence both pliable and capable of improvement. We have seen that he made progress and changes by himself, a fact, of which no trace is to be observed in his Anerican representative, so that, in this respect, he was undoubtedly his superiur. And lastly, his artistic instinets, and the remarkable proluctions which he has left, gain for him a special place among the savage races of all times.
X. During all the first part of the rembleer age, the Cro-

Magnon race supporteci itelf in the state, of which I have just been pointing out the principal features. But from the commencement of the second half of the same age, during the deposition of the red diluvimm and the upper loess, we observe an mumistakable decline, which becomes more and more striking as we proceal. The working of hone and reindeer antlers diminishes and returns to its former rudeness; flint cutting, on the contrary, gains in favour, and in some places, as in the grotto discovered at Saint Martin dexcideuil by M. Parrot, acquires a most remarkable finish. But this very perfection seems to heraldi the approach of a new age, and to betray the influence of a strange element.

The fact is, that during this period an amelioration in the gencral conditions of life was taking place. Furope had at length risen above the waves; a continental climate was succeeding to the maritime climate: the weather was more setted; warm summers followed winters more severe, but less rainy; the glaciers consequently retreated and locanne confined within their present limits; and consequently again, the fama became divided. Animals fond of cold, and organised for a mountain life, such as the chamois and bouluetin, were content to emigrat" incultitude, and followed the glaciers in their retreat to our highest mountain summits. The reindeer, in no way adipted for climbing, wats forced to emigrate in latilude and go further north. Its herils became more and more rare, and at length disappeared from our comentes, where, even if domesticated, it could not have enntimed for long. The human population, who haul, doubtless, for centuries lived upon this animal, and oltained from it their clothing, arms, and implements, must have felt the change intemesly, losing with the reindeer, what we may call their staff of lif:.

What haprond mow $\}$ According to MM. Cartailhac, Forel, and de Mortillet, mam disappeared or emigrated with the animal which hat howomes meessary to him, and the valleys of Pérignod, Macomais, and the Pyrences lecemen
unimhabited. They hold that, after the close of the reindeer age, there is an immense space, a great grap, during which the famm was renewed, and after which a new race of men suddenly made their appearance, who polished stone instead of eutting it, and surrounded themselves with domestic animals.

In spite of the incontestable authority of the savants. whom I have just named, their opinion has, I believe, gained but very few partisans, and has heen hotly contested. It is indeed possille, and even probable, that a certain momber of stations were ahandoned during the prriod in question, and that the inhabitants moved northwards to seek those contitions of climate and facilities for the chase to which they had been accustomed. But other tribes remained where they were, yielding to the new necessities, adupting the arms and customs of the immigrating populations, and becoming amalgamated with them. I cannot here enter into the geologicenl, zoological and archaological considerations by which this view is justified. I shall confine myself to mentioning some facts which belong especially to anthropology:
MM. Lonis Lartet and Chapelain Dupare discovered near surde, in the department of the Basses-Pyrinées, a shelter in the lower bed of which, after methonlical "xcamations, a human skull and bones were foumd, together with a neeklace of the teeth of the lion and bear. Immediately alove, and mixed with the later, was a thick layer of charcoal from which the explorers ohtained harbed arrows of the Maglalenean type, and numerous instruments and implements of the same age. Bones of the horse and ox were mixed with these products of hman industry. The reindeer was not wanting among this cooking defris, but this species recos more reve than the others. Lastly, above the chareoal, and partly confounded with its upper portion, they discovered a lager which was, so to speak, composed of human hones. The hearned explorers here obtained several cut Hints similar to the preceding, but they also found a marrow, thin blake, as well as a triangular dagerer, which, from its form and the
nature of the work, is closely connected with the finest productions of the art of polished stone.

The upper burial place contained the remains of more than thirty individuals. These bones have been taken to the museum, and M. Hamy has not hesitated in referring them to the Cro-Magnon race. I had only to confirm this opinion, as there could be no possible ground for doubt. Upon the hones of the limbs as well as upon the skulls, all those characters were observed which have become classie since the great works of MM. Broca and Pruner Bey.

Thus, in this curious grotto at Sorde, we find the superposition of two archerological types, the cut stone (Palaolithic), and the polished stone (Neolithic) ; but there is only one human race, that of Cro-Magnon. Is it not evident that this race must have known both the latest times of the reindeer age, and the earliest of the present epoch ?

Whilst accommodating itself to the new conditions of existence, and accepting the industries of strangers more ankanced than itself, the little tribe of Sorde seems to have preserved intact the pmrity of its blood. This could mot, however, he universally the case, for the invasion must necessarily have occasioned crossing. Here, again, facts fully justify all that is indicated by the theory.

In the cavern of lillomme-Nort, sitnated upon a high phatean of the Lozere, and so thoroughly investigated by IIII. Proca and Prunieres, animal bones of the present epoch atone have been fomm; there were nether rimdeer, nor even horse, ox, or stay. Morcover, the head of a lance or javelin had been worked with a fragment of hatehet in polished stome. Wi. liere, then, find uursches in the presence of a population: mach posterior to the quaternary periond, and very probahly contmperary with that which raised numerons dolmens in the neishthmithoml.

Now, the remains of this population betray in a high deoree traces of the Cor-Mtamon type, moolifiod partly, perhaps, liy the action of new comelitions of life, but also liy ethnolerical chathos. The stature is sensibly diminished;
having descended to a mean of 1.62 m . ( $5 \mathrm{ft} .3 \cdot 7 \mathrm{in}$.). The breadth of the upper part of the face is less striking, and the whole head has become almust harmonic. But the dolichoeephaly remains; the lines of the skull are almost unaltered, the orbits are always clongated, the masal orifices narrow, the great majurity of the bones of the limbs especially have preserved their very characteristic features. The same grooves are olserved in the fibula as at Cro-Magnon; the tibia is platycnemic ; in the femme may be observed that extraordinary prominence of the linea aspera which constitutes one of the most curious features of the race; finally; the ulua in every case possesses the sigmoid cavity, the curve so often pointed out as simiun. But at the same time we inserve a feature as yet fureign to the pure race of Cro-Magnom. The olecranon depression of the humerns is perforated in a mumber of specimens in as great a proportion ats 26 , or, perhaps, 33 per cent. This feature, which we find in other fussil races, is of itself a sufficient indication of crossing, and confirms the inferences which we might have drawn from the diminution in height, modifications of the face, etc.

Similar facts are proved by the two skulls, and the group of bones from (ieméns, near Marseilte, which were saved from destruction by M. Marion.

Thus, both upon the Lozere and in the neighbourhood of Marseille, the C'ro-Magnon race appears in the midst of the polinhed stone period, hut with a mixture of characters which imlicates the intluence of a fresh element. We come upon it in the upper Cévennes and on the shones of the Mediterramean just at the time when its tribes were begiming to blemd with those who had iutroduced among them the first elements of monlern civilization. We camot be surprised that these simple hunters should have been more or less absorbed by a denser population, who possessed domesticated animals and raised dolmens.
XI. It may, however, be said with equal, and even with greater truth, of the Cro-Magnon as of the Camstadt race,
that it has not disappeared. It may be traced through intermediate ages, and met with again in certain populations of the present day:

In the neolithic tombs phaced close beside the quaternary burial phaces at Solutré, the old hunters of the horse are represented by their deseendants, of whom the more or lesis moditied skults liave been discovered. In the sepulehral grottues of the Marne, so intelligently and successfully explored ly M. J. de Baye, the Cro-Magnon type is found associated with those of four other quaternary races, and with one neolithic race. In Ciermany, near the Taums; in Belgium, in the caverns of Hamoir and at Nivelles; in the neighbourhoorl of Paris, in the reeent alluwimm of Grenelle ; in the clays of the hatbour of Boulogne, luman remains dating from the same epuch, and belonging to the same race, have been fomme. II. Piette discovered a Cro-Magnon skeleton in the Aisne, whilst excavating a Gaulish cemetery of the iron age. At Paris even, the excavations of the Hôtel Dien, those of the Bouldward de Port Luyal, ete., have brought to light skulls of the same race, of probably as late a date as the fifth century, and there are some more recent still. Moxlem specimens will mast certainly be fimmed. I have myself twice: ,heerved in women features which coulh only aceord with the cramial and faclal bones of the race muder discussion. In one of them, the dyshamony between the face and skull was at least quite ass striking as in the old man of GroAannon: the eye depressed beneath the orbital vault had the same heavy apparance; the nose was straight rather than archeel, the lips somewhat thick, the maxillary bones arongly developed, the complexion very brown, the hair very dark atol growing low on the forchead. A thick-waisted fignere, slighty developed breasts, hamls and feet relatively small, serves to firm at whele, which, without locing attactive, was in no way repulsive.
The latmors of 18 Hamy have extendenl and enlarged this fichl of ressarch. Hi has :gain met with the type in question among the Zaraus colleetion of Baspue skulls,
collected ly MM. Broca and V'lasco; he has followed it even into Africa in the megalithic tombs explored primeipally by General Faidherbe, and to the Kabyles of the BeniMasser and the Djurjura. It is, however, chiefly in the Canary Islands, in the collection of the Barranco-Hundo of Teneriffe, that he las met with skulls, the ethnical relation of which with the old man of Cro-Magnon is beyond disenssion. Un the other hand, some points of comparison, unfortunately very few in number, have led him to regard the Dalecarlians as connected with the sume stock.
XII. However strange these results may appear, they are only a repetition in the human race of what has already been proved in the case of animals. It is now a long time since Latet showed that at the close of the quaternary age, and as the species peculiar to this age were finally disappearing, the survisors were divided into three groups. Some remained where they were, others migrated to the north, and others agrain to the south. Perhaps the latter were only persistent in Afriea, from whence they had despatched their representiatives to us, and where we meet with them still, whilst their enlonies, which were at one time in a flourishing condition in France, perished under the influence of the winters of the present period. Finally, as an explanation is given of the ancient fama, and the cause which brought about their soparation, we camot be surprised to find human populations presenting analogrous facts.

During the quaternary period, the race of ('ro-Mhann had its principal European centre of population in the south-west of Frame: The little basin of the Vezère was, so to speak, its eapital ; its colonies spread intoltaly, the north of France, the valley of the Meuse, etc., where they encounteral other races, to whom our attention will son be turned. But they themselves were perhaps only a branch of an Afriean population, which had emigrated to France with the hyana, the lion, the hippopotimms, cte. In this case, there is ne diffliculty in explaining its exi-tence at the present day in the morth-west of Africa, and in inlands where it would be pro-
tected from erossing. Some of its tribes, carried away in the pursuit of the reindeer, will have preserved, in the Scaudinavian Alps, the tall form, black hair, and brown complexion which distinguishes Dalecarlians of the neighbouring populations; others, mixing with all the races by which France has been suceessively invaded, only betray their ancient existence ly the phenomena of atavism, which lays upon some individuals the mark of the old hunters of Périgord.

## CHAPTER XXVIII.

## RACES OF FURFOOZ.

I. In giving the name of a locality justly celebrated in anthropology to this group of races, and in applying it especially to the two first, M. Hamy and I have been chiefly actuated by the desire to honour the long and eonscientious labours, which have led to the discovery of yuaternary man in Belgimm. It is scarecly necessary to remind my readers that it is due, after Schmerling, to M. Dupont, who during seven years, from 1s6it to 1871, has excavated more than sixty caverns or rock-shelters, from which he has obtained, independently of his human fossils, about forty thousand animal bones and cighty thousand stomes cut by the hand of man. The rece of Girenelle was discovered by M. Emile Martin, in 1S6it, in the gravel pits opened in the neighbonthond of Paris, and afterwards characterised by M. Hamy. The race of La Trumire was found by M. Legrand do Merecy in a bank of the Seille, near to the locality of which it hears the name.
II. Comsidered from the point of view of the general form of the skull, these four types arrange themselves in an almost recrular mamer. The cephatic index $79 \cdot 31$ places the first Furfouz race among mesaticephali ; the seemen Fiufooz race becomes sub-brachyeephalic by its index 81 :39; that of Gremelle, whose imbex rises to 8.3 .33 in the man, and asits in the woman, approacties very nearly to brachycephaly properly so callecl. This is also the case with that of La Truchere, the index of which is is 32.

Let us at once proceed to consider this latter, which, at present represented in quaternary times only ly a head, is,
on that accomut alone, fir less interesting than its companions. The skull and face are here remarkahle for a dysharmony as striking as that of the Cro-Magnon heal; but the contrast is inverse. The skull, in this case, is broad and short, white the face is long. The face view of the former presents a very marked pentagonal appearance. The bones are all strongly developed in the transverse direction, with the exception of the inferior half of the coromal which slants rapidly inward so as to form a narrow furchead. The whole face is relatively small and narrow. The nose is very large and long; the massive cheek bones are slightly prominent, and the superiur maxillary bones are slightly procnathous.

The two races of Fimfooz, like that of Cirenelle, have a certain family resemblance, which dwes not exclude the existence of distinctive characters. Thns, in the mesaticephatic race of Furfoo\% the antero-posterior are of the skull protuces above the small lut well marked stiperciliary ridges, a very retreating forchead, and is continnod with no further inflexion than a slicht depression at the sutures. The face is broad and the index almont the same as that of the race of Cro-Magnon. On accomut of the shortening of the skull, the heal is, however, harmomic, instead of being dyshentimemic as in the trogludytes of P'érigoril. A slighty concave, but sufficiently prominent nose, square ontits, slightly markel camine fussar, aul an almost orthornathons superior maxillary bone complete this face, the lomy framewonk of which has at somewhat fincly cut and delicate appearance.

In this sub-brachyerphalie race of the same luadity, the foreheal ries in a somewhat perpendicular line to the level of the fromtat emineners. The are then becomes suddenty Hattenes as far as the first third of the parictal bomes where Hoe chrse luromes mune inflected and is comtimed with
 oectipital. We med with alment the sitme inder in the face; lint the onbite and the face are longer, the camine
fossae form deep indentations, the superior maxillary bone projects forward, the teeth fullow the same direction, and the prognathism is very striking.

In the race of Grenelle, the very prominent glabella and full superciliary ridges give a slightly oblique direction to the base of the forehead. But the are soon rises and is regularly developed without either projection or depression. The skull, viewed from the face, appears as well proportioned as in profile. The face harmonises with it. The cheek bones are well developed and prominent ; the canine fossie high, but not deep; the orbits approach the square furm; the bones of the nose are concave and sufliciently prominent. Finally, the maxillary bone and the teeth are egually prognathous, but less so than in the preceding race.
III. The men of Grenelle, and still more those of Furfoo\%, were of small stature. The former reached a mean of 162 m . ( 5 ft .3 S in .), but the latter descended to 1.53 m . (.ft. $0 \cdot 2$ in.) This is almost exactly the mean height of the Lapps. Yet this reduced stature would neither exclude the vigour nor the agrility necessary to savare populations. The bones of the limbs and trunk are strung, and the eminences and depressions of their surface inlicate a very marked muscular development.

With the exception of this gencral appearance of strength superior to that which is generally met with, the skeleton of the men of Flafooz and Grenelle strongly resembles that of men of the present day. The tibia in particular assumes the prismatic triangular form which we are accustomed to wherve in them. Woe remark, nevertheless, the appearance of a character which we have as yet only noticed in the eavern of l'Homme-Mort, where we considered it to be it sign of crossing. The olecranon depression is often perforated in the races now under disension. In belgiom M. Whpont foumd this di-pusition to exist in the men of the Lase in the propertion of :30 per cont. N. Hamy carries it to - S per cent. in the fossil man of Grenelle, and to 4 tif per cent. only in the French of the present day:
IV. The races of Furfooz, comingr after those whose history we have just sketched, must have come in contact, and sometimes have formed connections with them. The clearest demonstration of this fact is at Solutré, where, side by side with Cro-Maguon skulls, two heads were found belonging to the race of cirenelle. Intellectual and social development must have progressed almost equally among men united into a single tribe.

Our brachycephali have, however, had their special centres of population where we can examine them in their home. The researches of M. Dupont have been chiefly devoted to Belgrimm and the valley of the Lesse. To give an idea of what the men of Furfooz were, we need to no more than riproduce an abridged account of all that the learned explorer of these caverns has said unon the sulyect.
V. The men of the Lesse, like those of the Vézère, inhahited caverns. Onc of their complete stations comprised the grotto where they lived, and a funcral grotto. Mr. Dupout fumed them almost in juxta-position at Furfonz, where the Trou des Nutons presented atl the characters of a hmman hahitation, and the Trou dhe Frontal those of a place of sepulture. These two localities alone would have furnished many materials for the history of these ancient populations. Nevertheless the Trou de Chulenx excels them in this respect. It was lomg inhabited ly man, who left there a considerable acemmation of that refuse which is now turned to such gromed accomint by science. The roof me day fell in; the inhahitants "ecaped, leaving all that was huried in their Wedling. Thms, whon this heap of rubbish came to be disturthell ly the pick-ase, all was fomed just as it hat been left at the mement of the catastrophe, and it is with goond reason that the (imotu of Chaldox has been called a little ghaternary Pompuii.

The man of thatenx chiofly employed flint and reindeer horn lu supply his mrimal wants. The former was used for the greater mmber of his sook of implements; but he gave himself little trumble in varging or perfecting the form.

Narrow, clungated lilades cut with a single bluw upon one side, with two or three upon the opposite face, and what are called knives, seem to be the model from which all the implements are worked. Notched upon one edge they became sures; roundel and recut at one extremity they were transformed into scropers, well adapted for scraping and taking the hair off skins; tapered and chipped to a peint, they furnished bodliins, piercers, etc. As for reindeer horn, it was divided into pieces from 10 to 1.5 centimetres ( $39-5.9$ inches) long, and then shaped so as to serve for lances or javelins. 'They may possibly have sometimes received a point of flint. But M. Dupont assures us that there are no gromends for supposing that the bow and arrow were in use among these troglodytes.

The arms of the tribe of Chaleux, were then much inferion to those of the Vézère or of Solutré. It still, however, huntid large game, and knew also how to obtain the small. Its ancient dwelling-place has furnished the remains of numerons horses, several oxen, some reindeer, sixteen foxes, five wild boars, three chamois, three aurochs, one brown bear, one Saïsa antelope, etc.

The bones also of the hare, squircul, water-rat and Norwegian rat, have been fomm here; the remains of several birts, amungst others those of the ptarmigan ; and remains of fresh water fish. The fama of the Trom des Nutons is almost identical, but the propertion of species is sometimes inverted. A much smaller mumber of horses ami much greater number of wild hoars have been discovered there. Here agrain, as in the stations of the Cro-Magnon race, the langer species are searecty represented by more than the bones of the head and limbs, all those containing marrow having been carcfully broken up.

Like the preceding race, that of Furfooz made nse of the skins of slain ammals for cluthing. This is proved ly the bone needles fomed at Chalemx. But they are here much ruler in form than those of La Mandelene and wher similar stations. Short and thick, they might be taken for
small horkins were it not for the eye with which they are pierceal.
[']. The Belgian troglodytes were, from many points of view, far behime those of P'rigord and Mitcomnais. The monuments of their industry are much inferior to all that we have seen amongst their predecessors, and they show no indication of the artistic aptitudes so remarkable in the man of the Vizere. They surpass him however, in one essential point; they had invented, or received from elsewhere, the art of manufacturing a rude kind of pottery, of which M . Dupont has found the remains in all the stations which he has explored, and obtained in the Troue due Frontel fragments in sufficient number to restore the vase of which they had once formed part.

This, and some other facts, which it would take ton long to discuss here, have led sume of the most competent suments, amongst whers MIM. Gartailhae and Cazalis de Fondence, to regard the Tron du frontal and the wher contemperary stations an helonging to the meolithic stone periond, and not to the quaternary epoch.

But the chatacter of the fanmat diseosered in the grothese of Chalenx amd Furform makes it impossible in our opinion to aceept this opinion, which rests chicfly upon archaolugieal considerations. Tor refer the age of prolished stone to :m rpoch whon the chamois, bouquetin, and Saïsa antelope lived in Belginm with the Norwegian rat and the ptamigan, would be making it very distant. This gnestion may perhaps call for further stuly; but the juxtaposition of these sprecies in the mofhthomhood of Dinant is, in our opinion, a prouf that the quaternary previed hand not then drawn to at close.

VIt. The troglodytes of Betrimm painted the face and peelaph the bedy, like these of Perigend. The ormanemts in une at Chatems and Fowfory were almont the same as thene whith whe have fomm in the south of france. We: never, hownor, fimil amment them :my wheet borrowed from marime fommat This is a cmions fact, ats the matm of the

rough material for lis implements and arms much greater distances than that which separated him from the sea.

In fact, the principal ormanents of the men of the Lesse were fossil shells. Some, it is true, were obtained from the Devonian rocks in their vicinity; but the greater part came from a considerahle distance, chicfly from Champagne and from Cirignou near Versailles. The flints, which our troglodytes used in such great numbers, were obtained, not from Hainault or the province of Liége, but almost entirely from Champagne. There are some even which could only have been collected in Touraine, on the banks of the Loire. Judging from the localities of these different oljects, we might conclude that the known world of the troglodytes of the Lesse searcely extended in a northerly direction for 1:3 to 2.5 miles, whilst to the south it stretehed to a distance of 2.50 to 300 miles.

There is something very strange in this fact, of which, however, M. Dupont scems to have given what is, at least, a very plausible explanation. He holls that two populations, perhaps two races, were placed in juxtaposition in the cometries in question during the quaternary period. There must have existed between them one of those many instances of, we may say, instinctive hatred similar to that which prevails between the Red-Skins and the Esquimaux. Encircled on the north and the east by their enemies, who occupied Hainault, the aborigines of the Lesse could only extend towards the south, and, through the Ardennes, communicate with the basins of the Scine and the Loire.

But did they themselves undertake the long and diffieult jumrneys, by which alone they could procure the shells which they nsed for ormaments, and the immense quantity of fint which they worked in their eaverns? We do not hesitate to asocert with M. Dupont that nothing is less probable. Everything, on the contrary, proves that they obtained their supplic:s by means of a veritable commeree, organised in a regular manner and upon a large scale; whether by the existence of populations devoted to this form of industry, of which there
are several examples known to us in the present day; or by the shells and flints passing from hand to land through successive exchanges, and reaching at length the banks of the Lesse. We cannot explain in any other way the abundance of forcign flints at Chaleux, Furfooz, etc., the prodigality with which they were used, and the evident carelessuess displayed in the preservation of tools which had loen manufactured from them.
VIII. In direct opposition to the men of Cro-Magnon, those of Furfooz appear to lave been eminently pacific. M. Dupont has not discovered either in their grottoes or burialflaces any warlike arms, and he applies to them Ross's remarks upon the Esquimaus of Batfin's Bay, who did not understand what was meant ly war.

In the sepulchral grotto of Le Frontal, where the tribe of Les Nutons luried their deal, a mumber of ohjects have been foumd, as at Cro-Manno proving the existence of a belief in another life. They consisted of a momber of perforated shells, onsments in spar, flat pieces of samdstone traced with sketehes, the vase which we have mentioned above, and some selected flint implements. All these oljects are, moreover, of the same nature as those in the Trou des Nutons. It is elear that they hat been laid in the sepulethal vault meter the impression that they would serve to supply the wants of the deceased in the new existence which wats upening before them.

Another fact, upon which M. Dupont has with justice insisted, adds to the frobatility arising from various considerations, of our being right in attributing to these quaternary men a kind of religion more or less amalogous to Fetishism. In the 'Tron du Challux, a mammoth's uhai was placed by the side of a buarth mpon at slats of sandstune. Now the mammoth mo longer existed in Belgimm at the cluse of the are of the reimdere, and this bone must have been fond in the alluvimm of the premeding are. It had dombtless heem the cause of an error which may he observed even at the present day, and had been looked upon as having belomged
to a giant. The place of honour which was allotted to it in the dwelling of the troglodytes seems to intimate that it had hecome an ohject of veneration.

1N. Very few remains of the two races of Furfooz and that of Grenelle, have been discovered in other quaternary deposits than those which have just been mentioned. The firmer are, however, represented in the basins of the Summe and the Aude; the latter has been met with at two or three points in the basin of the sicine. We have seen that it existed at Sulutré, and the skull of Nary-Sap in Hungary must probably be referred to it. These facts are sufficient to show that since the glacial epoch the races in question have occupied an extensive area.

In the noolithic age, we find the mesaticephali of Furfooz extending from the Var and Herrault to Cibraltar; the subbrachyecphali are represented from Verdun to Boulounce-surmer, and to Canp-Long from Saint-C'isaire ; they intermingled with the ancient inhabitants of C'abeço d'Arruda in Portugal.

The brachycephalic race of (irenelle, has, however, left the most distinct traces. It has been discovered in l'rance in several dolmens, and in the Rouml Barrows in England. In Denmark it constitutes the brachycephalic type of Eichnicht, and in Sweden forms a dozen of the total mumber of the skulls found in dolmens hy Retzins and his surcessors.

The intervention of these different races in the formation of existing races is equally evident. The exact demonstration of the fact is, however, often difticult. The crossing which took place between groups placed in such close contact with each other, more or less confused the types. Other lirachycephalic types, amongst others the Celtic race, such as it has beell described by M. Broca, came to ald to the confusion. Nevertheless, when visiting the valley of the Lasse, several members of the Congress of prehistoric Anthropolory recognised skulls and faces as bearing in the clearest manner, the distinctive marks of the local fussil races, and these traces are still more frequent in the rural population wheh supplies the markets of Antwerp.

It is the race of Grenelle, again, which reappears most persistently in living populations. The numerons larisian skulls in the Paris Musemun present several examples of this fact. 'The type is, however, very rarely found pure, a fact, which is probably the result of two ciluses. On the me hand, the new conditions of existence imposed upon the quaternary races by change of climate, must have caused an alteration in some of their characteristies. On the other hand, fresh elements, differing hut slightly from the fossil element, have been hlended with it. If the skulls of Crenelle are compared, as they have been by M. Hamy, with Lapp skulls, we find that from the extent of the horizontal are, from the length of the antero-posterior and transverse dianeters, and from the cephatic indices, the former must be placed almost exactly half-way between the two great known orders of Lapp skulls. We ubserve indeed, certain differences between them. For example, the eranial vault is more flattened in the lapp than in the man of (irenclle ; but, on the whole, the :malugies are far greater in number than the differences.

The elder Retzims, Sven Nilsson, Eshluicht, and others, hand alrealy recognised, hy means of their investigations of the amenent burials of their comentry, the great extension of an ancient hrachycephalic race, which they identified with the true Lapps. M. Schaaflamsen, at the last Stnekhohn Congress, brought forward another example in support of this opinion.

After considering those facts, M. Hany and I have been feid to :ulmit a Lapp-like type, to which, with the race of (irenelle, a grat number of popmations scattered thromsh time, and extemating over nearly the whole of Emope, may be referrel. In thie Damphine Alps particularly, this type is represented in an almost pure state. A curious collection of skills in the possussion of N . Hoel leaves no romm fur doubt on this puint. Whe have then confirmed, white giving it greater precinion and tracing it th an carlier periont, one of those general views, fur which authropelogy owes so much th the Sumblinavian sarants.
X. 'Thus, the races of Furfooz and that of Grenelle, the last to appear in the quaternary epoch, came in contact during the glacial ares with the dulichocephalic races which had preceded them. In certain respects they have become amalgamated with them; in others, they have preserved their antonomy; and they have shared the same fate. They also experienced that change of suil and climate, which we have seen eansing such trouble to the rising societies of the ('ro-Magnon race; they also witnessed a gradual change in the conditions of existence ; and the results of these changes have affected them in the mamer which we have already puinted out.

A certain number of tribes spread northwards, following the reindeer and other animal species which they had been accustomed to regard as necessary to their existence; they emigrated in latiturle. Others from the same motive emigrated in altitude, accompanying the chamois and bouquetin into the mountain chains, which had been liberated by the melting of glaciers. Others, again, remained stationary. The two first groups were free for a much longer time from - the influence of ethnical mixture. The tribes composing the thime soon fomed themselves in the presence of brachyeephatic and dolichocephalic immigrants of the polished stone period, and were easily subjugrated and absorbed by them.
XI. On their arrival in Europee, the men of the polished stone period did not meet only with those raees which we have been disenssing. They came in contact with all the ymaternary races. This is proved ly many of the facts already mentioned; and is prowed merely by the magnifirent cullection of skulls and skeletons colleeted hy M. de Baye from the sepulchral grottoes of the Marne. With the exception of the Canstant type, all thase which we have just described secon to have met torether in this remarkable locality. Even that of La Truchere is represented by a head almost as strongly characterised as that of the Sille. The fombdation of this neolithic population still belunged, however, to a newly arrived type. It is searcely
necessary to add that, whether old or recent, all these races have intermingled, and that the crossing is betrayed sometimes by the fusion, and sometimes ly the justa-position, of characteristics.

Fither hy infiltration or conquest, new races mingled with the preceding, befure even the arrival of the Aryans. The latter spread to the western extremities of the continent, leaving extensive regions on the north and the south, where their predecessors continued to exist. Then followed historic invasions. It is from the mixture of all these elements bronght together by war, and fused by the experiences of peace, that our European societies have been formed.
XII. Man has been the sole essential agent in the formation of fresh ethmical grompings. From the earliest times of the polished stone period, land and climate have remaned maltered in our western world. European man has then been at liberty to obey the laws of his evolution, to found, modify, or destroy his associations and his sucieties, th traverse the ages of bromze and iron as well as historic times, without having to hattle with those invincible furces, which purhaps arrested the development of the honturs of CroMagnot.

In what degre does the anthopmological past of the rest of the world resemble that of Europe? Science will some day, undulitedly, answer this ruestion, but we comld now only form conjectures. It is wiser to abstain, content with having deciphered in less than half a century, almost a whole Whapter of that prehistoric and palarombongieal history of math, the existence of which was not even suspected by onr fathers

## BOOK IX.

## PRESENT IUUMAN RACES.-PIYSICAL CIIARACTERS.

## CHAPTER XXIX.

GENERAL ODSERVATIONS.-EXTERNAL CHARACTERS.
I. I considered that I ought to give a somewhat detailed accome of our knowledge of fussil human races. The interest and novelty of the subject induced me to do so, ind its moderate extent rendered it possible. But I camot treat the history of present races in the same manner. If I wished to study them singly, I could scarcely derote more than a few lines to each. Even if 1 grouper them into ficmiliss, I should only be able to give an incomplete and vagre accomet of them, unless I went much leyond the limits of this work.

It seemed to me then preferable to adopt the practice of hotanists and zowlugists, who always begin with a general accumat of the nature and significance of the characters of the group which they wish to discuss. These notions, when affecting the whole group, are morower always necessary: They alone allow us to grasp and comprehend certain general renits. They become still more indi-pensable, when ruces derivel from one and the same specios are under disension, becanse they bring forward and rember evident the unity of -pecific urigin of these races, just as much as direct proofs.
II. If we were familiar with primitive man, we should regrard as characterisiug races, crerything which sparates them from this type. From want of this natural term of
comparison, we have taken the Europeun White as normal, and compared the remaining human groups with him. This leads to a tendency, which must be pointed out at once.

Influenced by certain habits of thought, and by a self-love of race which is easily explained, many anthropologists have thought that they could interpret the physical differences Which distingnish men from one another, and consider simple characteristic features as marks of inferiority or superiority. Because the European has a short heel, and some Negroes have a long one, they have wished to consider the latter as a mark of degradation. The remarks which were made upon this suljject, with so much justice, by Desmoulins with reference to the Bosjesmans were forgoten. Beeanse the greater number of civilizations have risen among dolichocephalic nations, a head clongated from before backwards has been regarded as a superior form. It was forgotten that the Negroes and the Esquimaux are generally dolichocephali of the most pronouncel type, and that Emropean brachyerphali are in every case the eyruals of their dolichocephalic brethren.

All aualogous interpretations are absolutely arbitrary. In fiect, superiority between liman groups depends essentially upon intellectual and social development; it passes from one to another. The Chinese and Ergytians were already civilized, when all Emropeans were true savages. If the latter had judsed our ancestors as we too frequently juide foreign races, they would have found many signs of inferiority in them, commencing with the white skin of which we are so promb, and which they would have been able to regard as betraying an irremediable degencration.

Is the fumdanental superiority of one race really betayed montwadly by some material sign? We are still in igmomence uphn this puint. But when we examine it more closely, we are lall to think that it is not so. In expressing myself thes, I know that I an separating myself from the opinions which are generally admittel, und ann at vaniance with men whase works I value most highly. But I hope to give decisive provess in my fasour further on.

Differences of every kind nevertheless exist between one human group and another. These must be taken for what they are, for cheracters of race, for etlenical chetracters. It is the duty of the anthropolncrist especially to recognise these differences, to make use of them for defining the groups, then to comnect or separate, according to their aftinities, the races thus characterised. In other terms, his work is the same as that of the botanist or geologist describing and classifying plants and animals.

Men of an impatient or venturous disposition will perhaps reproach me with making anthropology too descriptice. I shall only make a partial defence against the accuration. Provided that the description embraces the entire being, it enables us to become acquaiuted with it. If we take our stand on this point of view, we remain on the ground of positive knowledge, and run less risk of losing ourselves in hypotheses.

I still consider it the right and almost the duty of the anthropologist, to investigate the causes which may have given rise to the appearance of the features which characterise races. The stuly of the actions of the conditions of life sometimes gives valuable indications on this sulject. The evolution of the human being from his appearance in the embryonie state to the adult state, especially furnishes facts of great interest. A simple urrest, a slight excess in the crolutive phenomena, are, it appears to me, the causes of the principal differences which separate races, and particularly the two extremes, the Negro and the White.

I know full well that a wish lias been fult to go further back. Uuder the more or less perecptilile influence of transmutationist doctrines, terons of eomparison in the estimation of these differences have too often been sunght for among animals, and especially among apes. Eminent men, without even alopting these doctrines, frequently use the expressions, simiun churucter, unimul cleeracter. Why forget the embryo or the human foetus? Why not remember even the infant? Question their history. It furnishes all the elements of a human evolulion theory, certainly much more precise
and true than the simian theory. 'This is again a result which will be made clear, I hope, by the facts which I shall have to mention.

But whether or mot I may be able to explain the appearance of the features which distinguish races from each other, and whatever origin may be attributed to them, I shall only take the word character in the sense which is given to it in botany and zoology.
III. An animal species is not characterised solely by the peculiarities manifested by its physical organism. No history of bees or ants omits to speak of their instincts, or to show how these differ in different species. With much stronger reason onght we to point out in the history of human races the characteristic points in their intellectual, moral, and religious manifestations. Of course, when approaching this order of facts, the anthropologist ought none the less to remain exclusively a maturalist.

This very simple consideration is sufficient to determine the relative value which ought to be attributed in anthropology to characters of different orders. Here, as in botany and in zoology, the first place onght to be given to the must persistent characters. Now, a man, tribe, or an entire population can in a certain number of years change its social state, its language, religion, etc. They do not on that accomnt modify their external or anatomical physical characters. It is therefore to the latter that the anthropologist will attach most importance, contrary to what the linguist, the philosopher, or the theologian would certainly do.

Nevertheless we shall see that, in some very rare cases, the linguistic characters prepmolerate over the physical characters, in the sense that they furnish more striking indications on the smbjeet of certain ethnical affinities. Comsidereal from a physieal point of view, man exhibits characters which may be divided into four distinct categrores, mamely: extemal characters, anatomical characters, physiological characters, and pathological characters.
IV. Extmand, characters.-Heighe. All breeders regand
height as characteristic of race among animals. It is also one of the traits which are most striking in man. This character sometimes shows that it is very evidently dependent upon the conditions of existence. Sheltering and feeding somewhat carefully the mares of La Camargue, has been sufficient to raise the height of this exeellent breed of horses. With man, M. Duraud (de Ciros), confirming an observation alrealy due to Ed. Lartet, has shown that, in the Aveyron, the populations of the limestone cantons are sensibly taller than those of the granite or schistose cantons. He agreed with the statement of Dr. Albespy, that liming lands in the non-caleareous portions of this district has raised the height by two, three or even four centimetres ( $78,1 \cdot 17,1.57$ inch) on the lands where the practice has existed for the longest time.

But on the other hand, it is indisputable, that races of rery different height live side by side, without its being possible hitherto to point out the cause of this diversity. The dwarfed Negroes, the Alikas and Obongos, seem to be placed under conditions precisely similar to those under which much taller neighbouring tribes live.

I have given above $16: 3$ statures of human races. I have insisted with sufficient strength upon the consequences which follow from them; from the point of view of the gradation and intererossing of characters. But we can extract from these numbers some other results which are not less interesting.
'The general mean given by these numbers will be $1^{\text {min }}$ 6.3.j (.5ft 437 inch). I regard it as a littlo too great, the measures being wrong rather for the short races, than for the tall. Nevertheless it cannot be very far from the truth, and may lie accepted provisionally.

It is seen from the talle that the Rommanians and the Magrars represent, from this point of view, exactly the mean stature.

The oscillations of the mean statures above and below this general mean, extend in the case of the Patagonians to $+0{ }^{11} 115$ ( 4.53 inch), and in the case of the Bocjesmans
to - 0 me $255(1043$ inch). The individual oscillations are + $0^{m}-29.5$ ( 11.71 inch ) for the inhabitants of Tongatabou, and $-0 \cdots 45$ ( $1 \mathrm{ft} 7 \cdot 49 \mathrm{inch}$ ) or -0.635 ( 2 ft 1 inch ) for the Bosjesmans.

We see from the table that the oscillations below the general mean, are less numerous than those above it. This result may be connected with the fact which I have just pointed out. Nevertheless it appears to me probable that the number of races above the mean stature, is greater than those below it. The difference in number is compensated for by the more than double extent of the oscillations below the mean.

Between the highest mean observed among the Southern Patagonians, and the lowest mean among the Bosjesmans, we find a difference of $0^{m \cdot 55 t}$ ( $1 \mathrm{ft} 9 \cdot 8$ inch). The difference between individuals will be $0^{\mathrm{m}} \cdot 930$ ( 3 ft 0.6 inch). But I thimk that it ought to be reduced to 0 m. 790 ( 2 ft 7.1 inch ), adopting as a mean the height $1{ }^{n \cdot 1} 14$ ( 3 ft 8.8 S inch) given by Barrow as the height of a Bosjesman woman who had had several children. We are thus certain that we are not taking a catse of teratological dwarfishness as a possible normal state.

Travellers have not often measured separately the height of men and women. Uniting the facts of this nature which I have leen able to collect, we find $0 \mathrm{~m} \cdot 1+1(5.57$ inch $)$ as the mean difficrence between the heights of the sexes, and 0.973 as the mean ratio, the woman being everywhere shorter than the man. Among the Lapps, according to Capel Brooke and Camplefl, the mean difference is as high as 0 m. 278 ( $10 \cdot 0.4$ inches.) ; in Austria, it is as low as 0".037 ( 145 inch) according to Liharzik.
V. I'rinurntion of the bocly and of the limhes. In all the races of our domestic animals, the relative development of the different parts of the body, the proportions, have a chmarteristic value, which is cymal and frequently superior to that of height. Nos one wombld think of separating the greyhound from the harrier. It onght to be exactly the same with man. With the animal, races are formed by a
selection more or less open, and undertaken fur a fixed purpose. The proportions of the different parts of the body thus acquire a fixity, which catinot be found in human races on account of the absence of selection.

This variability is found even in the simplest relations, and in those which might be considered fundamental. Such is the relation of the height of the head to the total height. Gerdy, who has taken up this question in a special manner, has found that the height of Frenchmen is rarely beyoud $7 \frac{1}{2}$ heads, most frequently a little more than $S$ heads, and sometimes 9 . The artistic ideal is no more fixed than the reality, in spite of the mathematical rules laid down, from Vitruvius to Liharzik and Silberman. The table drawn up by Audran shows the variation from $7 \frac{12}{8}$ heads (the Egyptian Termes) to $7 \frac{13}{\frac{3}{3}}$ (the Farnese Hercules). The diffierence between these two extremes is exactly half a head. Painters have taken still more liberty. Raphael has only given a height of 6 heads to some of his figures, and Michael Angelo has given them $S$ or more.

The Pythian Apollo ( $7 \frac{1}{4} \frac{2}{8}$ heads), the Laocoon ( 7 星 ${ }^{\circ}$ ), are nevertheless chefs-d'ourre, and we rightly bestow an equal amome of admiration upon the two Italian masters. The reason is just the same as with the rest of organised beings: man's organism is not sulject to absolute laws, nor to a rigoruusly fixed develupment.

Doubtless there have been noticed among some human races differences of proportion generally sufficiently marked to serve as characters. But it just as often happens that with some individuals the order of these differences is inrerted. It is another example of intercrossing.

Thus the African Negro has generally the upper limb, from the shoulder to the wrist, relatively longer than the European White, and we shall return to this point further on. Nevertheless, from the measures of Quételet, it fullows that a Negro, well known in the studios, where he neted as a model, had much shorter arms than the soldiers, and than a Belgian model, who were taken as terms of comparison.

Moreuver, the numbers fomel by Quételet place the individuals, upon whom his observations were made, in the following order :- 1st, mean of ten Belgian soldiers; 2nd, an Ojibbeway chief; 3rd, a Belgian model, and a Zulu Kaffir; fth, an Amaponda Kaffir; 5th, the Negro model; 6th, three young Ojibbeways; 7th, Cantfield, the Hercules of the United States. Here intercrossing again appears in a well marked manner, and it is in the White race that the Brussels savant has found the two extremes.
In the general characteristic of negro races, we often find quoted the slight development and the relatively high position of the calf of the leg. I have no definite information upon the latter of these characters. As for the former, it lias been represented to be too general. Two Blacks, the Amaponda Kaffir, and the Negro model in the tables of Quételet, present the maximum $0^{m \cdot 10} 10$ ( $16 \cdot 14$ inches), and the minimum 0 m. 328 ( 12.92 inches) of development of this part. They are separated from each other by the Belgians, the Ojibbeways, and Cantfield.

Finally, the means taken for the different parts of the borly will doubtless give results useful for the distinction of races. But still, account will have to be taken of many of the conditions. All hunting peoples, iucluding the Australians, accorling to travellers who have been among them, could furnish models for the sculptor, and are gencrally remarkable for the symmetry and beanty of their proportions. In this respect civilized populations, especially those of our great towns, present a deplorable inferiority. Is our fumdumentul type degraded in this respect? Certainly not. But civilization itself, by the facilities of existence which it procures, by the vices which it induces, ly the weakly indisiduals which it preserves, introluces into the race the elements of dewradation. Here again appears, in all its fulness, the influme of the conditions of life.
VI. Colouring. With all anthropologists I recognise the high value of the colour of the skin as a chatacter. Neverthe less, its importance must mot be exaggerated. We now
know that it dues not result from the existence or disappearance of special layers. Black or white, the skin always comprises a white dermis.s, penetrated by many capillaries, and an epidermis, more or less transparent and colourless. Between the two is placed the mucous layer, of which the pigment alone in reality varies in quantity and colour accorling to the race.

All the colours presented by the human skin liave two common elements, the white of the dermis and the red of the blood. Moreover, each has its own proper element, resulting from the colourings of the pigment. The rays $r$ flected from these different tissues combine into a resultant which produces the different tints and traverses the epidermis. This latter plays the part of roughened glass. The more delicate and the fine it is, the more perceptille is the colour of the subjacent parts.

This arrangement explains why, among certain coloured races, for example, among the Sindwich Islanders, the upper classes, who do not live an exposed life, often exhibit the colour in a most pronounced form. Among them sun-burning masks the colour of the pigment, as it masks with us the colour of the dermis and its vessels.

From the preceding, we can also umblerstand why the White alone can be said to turn pule or to blush. The reason is, that in him the pigment allows the slightest differenees in the aftlux of blood to the dermis to be perceived. With the Necgro as with us, the blood has its share in the colouring, the tint of wheh it deepens or modifies. When the blood is wanting, the Negro tums grey from the blending of the white of the dermis with the black of the pigment.

It is well known that from the point of view of the colonting, human races can be divided intos four principal groups: white, yellow, black, aud red races. But we must guard against attaching an absolute sense to these expressions. Esery grouping of races founded solely on coluur would break elose relations, and would lead to comparisons which
would evidently be at variance with the sum of the remaining characters. Nevertheless, this systematic point of view brings to light some interesting general facts.

The races of a white colour present sufficient homogencity. From the sum of their characters, they belong almost exclusively to the type which borrows its name from this kind of colouring. It is, moreover, useless to insist upon the differences of tint which the latter exhibit, from the English or Cierman woman of the upper classes to the Portuguese, and especially to the Arab. Nevertheless, in the northern regions and in Central Asia, some populations, the Tehukchees for example, appear to mite with a white culour certain characters which connect them with the yellows.

In the purest white, the epilermis easily loses its transpareney as soon as the colour deepens. The sul)-cutaneous weins ann then only be recognised by their swelling. It is only with individuals whose slin is very fine and transparent, that the course of the veins is marked by the wellknown huish colurr. Whenever this trait is exhibited by any population whatever, it may with certainty be commeted with the white type. For this reason I have not hesitated to plaee among the Allophylians some of the most savage tribes of the north, western shores of North America, and the 'Tchukchees, of whom I have just spuken.

The populations with a black skin are far from being as homemeneous as the preceding. All bluck men are not Negroes; there aru some, who, from the sum of their more important characters, are closely comected with the white stork. Such, for example, are the Bicharis and other negroid popalations, on the borriers of the Red Sea, whose skin is much blacker than that of some newroce, but whose hair and chanactoss are perfectly semitic.

Among Negroce properly sit called, the tints vary, perhaps, much more than with the White. Without going further than Cairo, individuals may be seen, who, without any traces of the mixture of races, are of a lirown colour with
a considerable mixture of black. The Yolofs are of a bluish black, resembling the wing of a raven, and Livingstone speaks of some tribes on the Zambesi who are the colour of cufé un luit. But, perhaps, mixture of races has some action in this extreme modification of the colour.

Populations with a yellow skin present facts analoguns with the preceding, but not so numerous nor so striking. Perhaps this difference is only due to the difficulty of reengnising the shades of the fundamental colour. Nevertheless, a more or less pronounced yellow colour equally characterises the great Mongolian stock, and the Houzonana or Bosjesman race, which it is impossible to separate from the Negroes. On the other hand, this same tint is so well marked among the mulattoes that they are often designated by the name of yellores, in distinction to the Blacks and the Whites.

Of the four groups into which the colour of human races. may be divided, the least characteristic is the red. It has been attempted to make it the attribute of the Americans. This is a mistake. On the one hand, in America the Peruvian, Autisian, Araucanian, and other races are more or less deep brown, the Brazilio-Ginaranians of a yellowish colour slightly tinted with red, etc. On the other hand, in Formosa a tribe has been found as red as the Algonquins, and more or less copper tints are met with among fiorean, African populations, etc.

Moreuter, the red tint appears as the sole effect of the crossing between races, neither of which possess it. Fitzroy iuforms us that in New Zealand it frequently characterises the half-breeds of English and Maories. This fact also explains why it should be met with among many of the populations mentioned above. With man it is one of those facts which show how intercrossing ran give rise to the appearance of new characters.

Finally we see that the colour of the skin, although furnishing excellent secondary characters, camut the taken as a starting proint in the classifieation of human rates

For man, as well as for plants, we ought to recall the aphorism of Linnæus: "nimium ne crede colori."

The same may be said still more emphatically of the colour of the eyes. Doubtless, the black colour is generally found among coloured races, and sky-blue scarcely exists except among fair populations. The former tint appears even to be constant among the yellows and certain allophylian Whites. But, even among the Negroes, we often meet with brown eyes, and sometimes with grey eyes.

Just as with the colour of the skin, the colour of the eyes is a resultant due to the combination of the tints reflected by the different layers of the iris, intensified by the colour of the blood and seen through the transparent cornea. Hence arises the difficulty experienced by painters in rendering the general effect.
VII. The skin and its mincipal amexes. The skin, which covers the entire body, is a real covering composed of organs which are anatomically and physiologically distinct. The principal one is the cutuneous oryun or stin property so culled, to which are amnexed the organs moductive of villosities, the sudoriperous glands, the cutuncous glandes, and some others which to not concern us.

In extreme cases, the surface of the skin is sometimes dry and rough, sometimes supple and like satin. 'The first varicty is generally met with among Arctic races, the second among imbabitants of hot cominties, as the Negroes and Polynesians.

The two facts are easily explained ly the sole action of the temperature. Cold contracts the tissues, drives the blood towards the interior, or cheeks its circulation towarts the smface of the borly. It must consequently dimimish the functional activity of the skin properly so callesl, and partially diminish perspiration. Heat, on the contrary, causes a flow of bhood to the surface of the hondy, and renders the functions of the skin, and especially the perspiration, more active. The latter, by the produc-
tion of a constant evaporation on the surface of the body, maintains the suppleness of the epidermic layer, and the general freshness which causes Negresses to be sought after in harems.

From this action of heat, and the increased activity of the cutancous organs which is its consequence, other results follow which explain some of the facts noticed by travellers and anthropologists.

Pruner Bey has insisted strongly upon the thickness of the cutaneous layers, and especially upon that of the dermis in the Negro. Is not this thickness the natural consequence of the How of nutritive principles brought ly the blood, which is incessantly passing to the surface of the body to keep up the perspiration?

It has long agro been remarked that the Negroes and other races inhabiting hot countries perspire much less than the inhabitants of temperate climates. This is accounted for by the preceding facts. The blood, which is constantly brought to the surface and into the cutancous organs, does not flow so copiously in the sudoriparous glands, which are deeply buried beneath the adipose tissue. Between transpipation and perspiration, in consequence of the position of the organs, a real equilibrium should exist.

Probably, one of the difficulties of acelimatisation arises from the fact that the proportional activity of these two functions has to be changed when we pass from a temperate to a tropical climate, or vice versa. The rescarehes of Krause show that the body of a liuronean contains more than $2,281,000$ sudoriparous glands. Tl:e total volume of all these small organs would amount to about 40 cubic inches. A sudten change in functions could not therefore be unimpertant. Moreover, the sebaceous gland, which are smaller but more numerous than the sudoriparons, participate in this clange, which can only result in a serions shock to the organism.

The villosities are cither very rare or absolutely wating
on the surface of the body of a Negro, exeept some parts which in man are always curered with hair. On the other hand, the glandular eutaneous covering is highly developed in his case.

Both these facts may also be referred to the same cause, and are explained by the balancing of connected organs. The blood, when brought to the surface of the body, abandons the bulbs of the hair which are too deeply planted; but, for the same reason it flows into the sebaceous glands, which are situated near the surface. It easily follows that the furmer suffer atrophy, and the latter experience an exceptional development.

This development accounts for the exagrgerated odour which is peculiar to the Negro. It is known that a slaveship may be recognised by this smell. But African populations are not the only ones which are characterised in this manner. Humboldt informs us that the Peruvians distinguish the odour of a native, a white, and of a negro, calling them posco, pezuna, and gruër). Amongst ourselves, every indivilual has his own peculiar odour, which is easily detected by the delicate sense of smell of the dog.
VIII. V'illositics, beard, hair. Villosities in man represent the hair of the mammalia; lut whilst the latter are always covered, with the exception of some special races, - such as chiens tures, calongo cattle, cte., man is generally only covered to any notable extent upon rertain places. In the African Negro, and most of the yollow races, it only exists upon the normal parts of the bonly. Nevertheless the practice of cpilation, which is common to a great momber of coloured popmlations, has caused the frequency and intensity of this character to be exagrerated. Eekewelder represents Roal-Skin warriors, in their leisure moments, as occupied in tharing out the smallest hairs with pineers (specially mate for the purpose.

White races are gencrally more or less lairy, and this trait has long leen kown to be developed to a sery exceptional degree amony the Ainos. The photographs of Colmel

Marshall show that the Todas are their equal in this respeet. In certain individuals among the latter the villosities form a real fur, especially on the lower limbs.

Of all the villosities of the human body, those which cover the face and cranium have justly attracted most attention. All races have hair; but a considerable number in Asia, America, and Africa, have been noticed to be entirely without beards. Pallas, Humboldt, Brasseur de Bourbourg and Pruner Bey, have contradicted these assertions, and shown that the absence of beards is entirely due to careful epilution. All human races are more or less provided with a beard. Nevertheless great differences are known, even among races belonging to the same fundamental type. Certain Melanesian Negroes prescut a striking contrast in this respect to their African brothers.

The hair of the head is much more constant in respect to quantity than that of the beard. Nevertheless it appears to be sensibly thicker among some arctic races, who have moreover a more abundant down than races in temperate climates. In this respect there is perfect agreement with the known facts among animals.

With certain Negro races, the Bo-jesmans of South Africa, the Mincopies of the Aulaman Islands, the Papuans of Melanesia, and some African tribes, the hair forms upon the head small islands, separated by spaces which are perfectly smooth. Hence results the heals of hair en grains de poiverenoticed by different travellers. Amongst most African Negroes, and amongst the Yellows and the Whites, the distribution of the hair, on the contrary, is uniform.

The variation of the colour of the hair is well known. Sume general facts may nevertheless be collected from the midst of all these special cases. I have said already that we find isulated eases in alt races of individuals with lanir of a more or less reddish colour. Fair hair has for a long time been regarded as the appanage of a small number of Aryan groups. Nevertheless, accorting to Pruner Bey, we ako meet with it sometimes among the Asiatic Semites, and we
know for certain that they are very frequent among the Kabyles. Facts such as Pierre Martyr, P. Kies, James, etc., liave noticed among the Parians, the Lec-Panis, the Kiavas, etc., will no doubt one day be explained by migrations and intererossings. It seems to me, for instance, almost evident that the Scandinavians must have introlucel their fair hair among several tribes of the American shore, and that the facts noticed by Pierre Martyr are one of the proofs of their extension beyond the Gulf of Mexico.

There is also something characteristic in the form of the hair taken as a whole. Everyone knows the falsely called woolly head of the Negro, which is covered with very short and crisp hair. The very long and harsh hair of yellow, Amcrican, and other populations, contrasts in a striking manner with the preceding. That of the white races, which is frequently curly, almost takes the mean between these (wo extremes.

This general aspect ordinarily corresponds with the differences of structure and general form of the hair. Brown has already proved that a horizontal section of the hair varies from an elongated ellipse with the Negro, to a circle with the Red-Skin, and that the hair of the Auglo-Saxon is a mean between the two. Pruner Bey has resumed this study, and described the form of a horizontal section of the hair in several races belonging to the three fundamental types. He has proved that the elongated ellipse characterises Negro races in general, as well as the Hottentot-Bosjesman; that the oval forms belong essentially to Aryan populations; that more or less regularly circular forms characterise yellow, Ancrican, and other races, and that in this respect the allophylian white races (basques) appear to resemble the preceding.

Brown and Promer Jey morener agree in the statement that a mixture of forms is fornd upon the heads of halfbreeds. Exactly the same often happens in the crossing of the merino with races of sherp with a coarse wowl.

I have hitherto only spoken of the characters fumished
by the beard and the hair when grown freely. But it is well known that the love of adormment, one of the most characteristic instincts of man, endeavours to modify nature in these two directions. This results in characters, which are doubtless artificial, but which have sometimes a real value. This side of the question has often been attacked, and MI. E. Cortambert has made it the object of a work, in which he has given a summary of the work of his predecessors in addition to his own.
IX. Charucters of the cranium and of the fuce. From the point of view of descriptive authropology as well as from an anatomical point of view, the head is composed essentially of two regions, the cranium and the face. The former is covered solely by the hairy skin which follows all its contours, and it in reality therefore only presents ostcological characters. The general form, proportions, etc., are almost the same in the living man as in the skeleton. I will therefore go into greater detail upon this subject when treating of the latter. Here I will only remark that the inequality of the skin and of some suljacent muscular fibres necessitates some corrections in the comparison of measurements taken from the living head and from the skill. For example, the presence of the temporal muscles increases to a sufficiently sensible extent the transverse maximum diameter. Consequently the ratio of the latter to the anterio-posterior diameter becomes raised. This ratio, which constitutes the cephatic index, is one of the characters which anthropologists employ most frequently, and it was important to determine the correction to he made in case of comparison. Broca has shown that it is two units when the ratio is expressed in the mamer which I shall mention firther on.

The case is different with the face. Here the superimposed soft parts play a part of which the importance has been alternately exaggerated or ueglected. William Edwards considered that races should be determineed, as we judge of individials, solely by the facial characters. Serres, starting
from the fact that the bony framework determines the general form and the proportion of the face, required that osteological characters only should be taken into accomnt. Both were too exclusive.

Doubless the skeleton is important in the most superficial characters of the face. But the muscles, the cellular and adipose tissue, and the cartilages are much more developed on the face than upon the cranium; and from their greater or less extension, from their various relations, differenees of feature result which constitute so many characters. Unfortunately it is often very difficult to define the latter. The most detailed descriptions are rarely sufficient, and the most exact measurements are far from giving an idea of certain variations of the human figure. For example, they cannot make the difference intelligible, which is nevertheless very sensible to the eye, which distinguishes the nose of a negro of Guinea from that of a Nubian negro.

The nose is nevertheless one of the features of the face which is best adapted for investigations of this kind. Its length is determined by the point of attachment of the nasal bones to the frontal bone and the position of the nasal spine ; its breadth at the bridge depends upon the angle formed by the nasal bones; its breadth at the base is more or less related to the anterior opening of the nasal fossa: But the form and development of the cartilages, as well as the thickness of the nostrils upon two very similar skulls, can modify considerably the type itself of this organ ; and the exterior nasal inulex can give no idea of these variations. The study of Topinard upon this sulyect, nevertheless, possesses a real interest; but from the point of view of the chatacterisation of races, the researches made by Broca umon the nasal osteological index, which we will discuss further on, has a much more important value.

The characters drawn from the nose, which are observed "pon the living body, are however most important. This organ is more or less pressed in, broad and flat among almost all Nowrocs, the greater part of the Yellow races,
and certain allophylian Whites; it is on the contrary narrow and prominent in fair white races. These two general types moreover present variations of which drawings ouly can give any idea.

I may say the same with reference to the month. The thonsand differences of form and dimensions which it can exhilit, from the negro of Guinea with lis enormous and, as it were turned up lips, to certain aryan or semitic Whites can neither be measured nor deseribed. We can only point out the general characters when they become very pronounced. It may, however, be remarked that the thickness of the lips is very marked among all negroes, in consequence of their projection in front of the maxillary bones and the teeth.

The mouth of the Negro presents another character which seems to me to have been generally neglected, and which has always struck me. It is a kind of clamminess at the outer borler of the commissures, and which seens to prevent the small movements of the corner of the mouth which play such an important part in the physiognomy: The dissections of M. Hamy have explained these facts. They have shown that in the Negroes the museles of this reglon are both more developed and less distinct tham in the Whites.

Indepemtently of the colour of the iris, the eye also exhibits differences which constitute so many characters, having at times a real value in the development of the eyelids, and in the dimensions of the palpebral fissure. Everyone knows Chinese cyes, which slope from below upwards, and from inwards ontwards. They lave been regarded as peculiar to Vellow races, whether pure or mixed. Nevertheless these oblique eycs are found pretty frequently in Europe, principally among women, and are united to a fairness and freshness of colour which are almost exceptional, as well as to features unanimonsly regarded as most pleasing.

The general form of the countenance, and some other peculiarities drawn from the prominence of the cheek bones,
from the form and prominence or retreat of the chin, etc., favoured some considerations analogons to the preceding. But here again the external characters are wanting in the precision which we shall find in the ostenlogical characters.
X. Characters drawn from the trumi and limbs. When speaking of proportions I have already pointed out some of these characters; I will return to them when speaking of the skelcton. I will here only make a few remarks, and point out two remarkable features.

One of the peculiarities, which, in our European cyes, chicfly contribute to bodily beauty, is the width of the chest, of the waist, and of the hips. A body of a uniform breadth we consider ungraceful. It is a feature which is met with among several yellow and American races. The comparison of these dimensions will furnish indices which it is interesting to compare. But we have only taken that of the chest, or more gencrally its circumference. To judge from the numbers given by various authors, the Negroes of Fermando Po would have the most fully developed chest. With them, its circumference would he $95.2 \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{m}$. ( 374 s inches). The English would come next, and the minimum observed would be among the Todas, whose thorax would only have a circumference of 81.8 cm . ( 32.2 inches).

The Huttentot, and especially the Bosjesman women, exhibit, in a high degree, two peculiautics, which have for a lung time been considered special to them, but which have been met with elsewhere: I mean stentomgice and the Huttontot's apron (tablier). The first consists of a strange development of the fatty follds in the buttocks, from which rosults an coromous protuberance. The Hottentot Vemis, of which a model exists in the Paris Mnseum, gives a good example of it, but it appears that this character can be still more exaceserated. Jt is the reproduction in man of a feature noticed by Pallas as characteristic of certain races of sheep of Central Asia, among which the atrophy of tho tail coincides with the appearance of enormous fatty protnberances.

Steatopygia has been noticed among various black and Negröid populations. It was very noticeable in a queen of Poun, figured upon the Egyptian temple built by M. Mariette, for the Exlibition of 1867 . Livingstone assured us that it had begun to manifest itself among certain women of the Buers, who are nevertheless of a quite pure white race. But nowhere is it so pronounced as among the Bosjesman women, and it constitutes one of the most striking claracters of the race.

It is not exactly the same with "tublier," resulting from the exaggerated development of the labia minora, which project out of the rulva and hang down in front of the thighs. This feature is found more or less developed in a number of races, and has given rise to the practice of circumcision among women. In Europe there is doubtless scarcely an accoucheur who lias not noticed it on some occasion in some perfectly pure Whites. Nevertheless it seems that among the Bosjesman women it sometimes reaches a development which is not noticed elsewhere. In the Hottentot Yenus, of which the Paris Mnseum possesses a model, the length from the right reaches 55 millimetres ( -16 inches), and from the left 61 millimetres ( $2 \cdot+$ iuches) ; the breallh is 34 millimetres ( $1: 33$ inch) from the right, and from the left 32 millimetres ( $1 \because 2$ inch). The thickness, which is unifurn, is 15 millimetres ( 5 s inch).

## CHAPTER XXX.

## AN゙ATOMICAL CHARACTERS.

I. Osteological characters. - Without denying the very great value of external characters, I agree with almost all anthropologists, in attaching a greater importance to anatomical characters in the mujority of cuses. Unfortunately, the comparative anatomy of human races has, as yet, made but little progress. The fact is, that the solid portions, the skeleton alone, have, necessarily, been the subject of scrious examination. The study of the perishable portion has searecly been begnn. For this, and several other reasous, I shall distinguish these two orders of facts, and discuss separately our knowledge of osteological churueters and organic churucters.

The skeleton, the framework of the body, presents the same regions ats the latter: we can distinguish the head, the trunk, and the extremities. bach of these regions offers peculianties more or less comected with the diversity of human groups. The best studied, and fortunately the most important, are furnished by the head. For some years craninlogical collections have been singularly on the increase; and throughout liurope, the study has been entered upon with cupal ardour. Craniometrical methouls and instruments have multiplied, perhaps a little beyond the actual noed. MM. Vont and Topinamd have made an excellent summary of this mass of research. I can only refer to their pmblications. I camot here even empoluce all the results already acquired, and inust confine myself to ${ }^{m}$ minting out a few of the principal ones.
II. Characters drawn from the cranium clune.-From an anthropological point of view, as well as in an anatomical sense, the skull is divided into two parts, the cranium and the fuce. Each of these regions has its special iudications, while new characters again rise from their reciprocal relations. Let us briefly review them.

The general form of the cranium depends, above all, upon the relation existing between the length measured from before backwards, and the brcallh taken from one side to the other. 'the honour of having appreciated the importance of this relation belongs to Retzius. He made use of it to establish the distinction between dulichoceplutic, or longheaded races, and brechyicephetic, or shottheaded races.

Retzius considered the relations $7: 9$ or $8: 10$ as representing the limit, left by him uncertain, of dolichocephaly and brachycephaly. M. Broca proposed the formation of a third group, which should comprise all crania, the length and breadth of which presented a relation comprised within these limits, and anthropologists now admit with him the mesatierplealic races. In expressing these relations by decimals, and in creating the term horizontal cephatic inder, now universally adopted, M. Broca has, moreover, facilitated, to an extraordinary degrec, the study of this character, and the ideas to which it may give birth. His sublelivision of the two extreme gromps into two has also, in certain eases, been an alvantage. He has himself, however, shown that it is not wise to go too far in this direction.

The definitions of dolichocephaly, mesaticephaly, and brachycephaly have, it seems to me , been somewhat arlitrary. I draw this conclusion from the fullowing tables, which I borrow from MIM. Broca and Pruner Bey. They represent the means discovered by these eminent investigators. I have merely substituted the serial order for the purely geographical distribution adopted by M. P'ruver. Moreover, I have continued the calculation to the second decimal place, thus rendering the distinctions more minute, and the general result more striking.
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Americana (de formeel crania)



## Ostiological Characters-Cephatic Index: 373

| Hacre Indices. RL'B-BIEACHYCEPHALL - continull. | Races. Imilices. <br> sub-honichucermadi-continued. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Different Mongols | Potrnesians |
| Bretons of the Coites du Nurd (13reton lamton-) $\int_{0.51}^{0.5}$ | Ancient Egyptians (iuanches |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { F-ronians } \\ \text { French Basques } \end{array}\right\} \quad \text {. . . } 0 \text { 80 }$ | Cursicans of Avapezza of the lath cent. |
| MESATICEPIIA.I. | Bohemians of Foumania |
| North Americans, undeformed) | I'apuans |
| south Americans, undeformed Non-Jaranese Malays | Nurth French of the polished stone age |
| North French, 13ronze agc 00.79 |  |
| larisians of lith cent. | TRUE DOLICHOCEPH |
| Parisians of 12 th cent. | Kabyles |
| Parisians of 19 th cent. | Arabs |
| Gailo-liomans | Nubians of Elephantine |
| Joumanians $\}$. . 0.78 | South French; Neolithie age |
| Mexicans, undeformed) | (eave Homme. Mort) |
| SUB-DOLICHOSEPHALI. | France; l'aleolithie age Vecroes of West Africa $\quad 0.73$ |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Spanish Basques of Zaraus } \\ \text { Gants of the lron age }\end{array}\right\}$. $0.76,0$ | - <br> Rengalese |
| Malgaches | $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Kaftirs } \\ \text { Hottentots and Poosesmans }\end{array}\right\} \quad 0.72$ |
| Chinese | Australinus |
| Coptr $\}$. . $0 \%$ |  |
| Merovingian French Sclares of the Ilanube |  |
| lasmanians |  |

These tables mutually confirm and complete each other in general results. The secondary differences which distinguish them, are donbtless occasioned, on the one hand, by the number of crania employed by the two authors to obtain their means; on the other, from some diversity in the use of these materials. M. Pruner Bey distingnished the sexes, which are united by M. Broea: the latter has placed in one group the Hottentots and Boojesmans, separated by M. Primer, ite.

From M. Broca's table it appears that the mean of all these indices, leaving deformed skulls ont of the question, is 0 -s. From a numerical point of view this would be that of true mesaticephaly. The mean group onght, it seems to me, to descend eymally as it rises, and consequently to ahsorb at least a part of M. Broca's sub-dolichocephadi. In fact, upoun inspecting the two tables, it appears that the indices abure 0.7 and below 079 comprise the greater number of races helonging to the three fumbamental types, and taken from all
parts of the world. It seems to me that true mesaticephaly should be comprised within these limits. I do not, however. propose that those which have been adopted should be changed.

These tables give rise to many other observations, of which I slall only point out the principal.
M. Pruner Bey carried his calculations to the third place of decimals; M. Broca to the fourth. I have gone no further than the second, that the eye may be more easily attracted by the series formed by these numbers, so important in the characterization of races. It should be remombered that the greater number are means taken from a certain number of crania. Were there a sufficient number of suljects for each race, and all the indices taken from each arranged in serial order, the distance from one to the other would undoubtedly be no longer 001 , but would be diminished to 0001 , or even less. The insensible shades olserved in passing from one individnal to another would here be as remarkable as in the comparison of stature.

There is no need to insist at any length upon the intercrossing, so strikingly betrayed by the two tables. We see that the satne index places side by side the most dissimilar races, the South German with the Annamite, the Breton with the Kalmuck, the Belgrian with the Tagal, the Parisian with the Malay, the Italian with the Manri, ete., and that by their several indices the white races are seattered through,ut almost all the coloured races. I need not return to the consequences which may be drawn from these facts from a mongenistic point of view.

The yellow and black races are not so widely separated as 1h.1. White; the former are either brachyeephalie or mesatiaphatie, the latter all dolichocephalie, with the exception of the Aetas. I have shown that the latter lelong to a group of populations extemtine from the Andaman and Philippine Islands to Torres Serait in Melanesia, penetrating New Guinea, and forming a special brench in the midst of the Melanesian Negro ${ }^{2}$ mpulation.

The case appears to be somewhat similar in Africa. This discovery, entirely contrary to the ideas generally maintained till the present time, is due to M. Hamy. This excellent inventigator recognized brachycephaly in six skulls taken from the Paris collections, and obtained from Cape Lopez, or the months of the Fernand Vaz. Short!y afterwards, M. Duchaillu having bronght from the same districts ninetythree skulls, the measurements of which were made public by Englishmen, M. Hamy calculated the indices, and found that twenty-seren of these crania were brachycephalic or mesaticephatic. There is then every indication that the Negro stock in Afriea presents a special brench corresponding to the Negritoes. This result is confirmed by Schweinfurth, who places the Niams-Niams and some neighbouring tribes amongst the brachycephali.

We see that the horizontal cephalic index camot serve as a starting point in the classification of human races, as Retzius imagined it might. We also see, however, that all the value which was attributed to it by its author, is preserved in the characterization of secondary groups.

The extreme means given in M. Prumer Bey's table were found in two American races, the lisimimaus and the inlabitants of the Pampas of Bogota, etc. Whatever the differences may be which separate these two races, it is evident that neither of them belong either to the black or the white type. They show the greatest affinity to the yellow type.

From one extreme mean to the other there is, according to 11. Prmer, a difference of $0 \geq 06$ between the epphatic indices; according to M. Broca of $01+50^{2}$ only. This difference rests chicfly upon the fact of M. Broca rejecting as deformed, skulls which M. Prmer seems to aceept without observation. Agrain, the individual indices present a much wider variation than would, at first sight, be expected. Huxley mentions a Mongoul whose cephalic index rises to 0977 , and a New Zealander, of mmistakahle Melanesian origin, in whom it deseends to $0 \cdot 629$. The difference is, therefore, $0: 348$.

The general relations of length and brealth in the cranium of human races is apparent from birth. Nevertheless, from the researches of Gratiolet, it appears that dolichocephaly is due to a relative development of bones, which raries with age. In the infant it is essentially occipitul, in the child temporel, and frontul in the adult man. In the woman the clongation of the cranimm depends essentially on the length of the temporal regions; in this respect, then, the woman remains a child all her life.

Starting from these primary results, the stune observer has compared dolichocephalic Whites with African and Melanesian Negrocs. He found that the frontal dolichocephaly of the former was replaced in the two black races by an oceipital dolichocephaly. M. Broca has established the same fact in comparing Basques with Parisians. Thus the distinction proposed by II. Gratiolet furnishes a secondary: character, which may be of use in certain cases, but which falls very short of the importance with which some have attemptent to iusest it. They would consider oceipital dolichoenthaty ats a character which widely separates the Nearof from the White; the olscrvations of M. Broea show that this is not at all the case, and from the olservations of M. Gratiolet it apears that we have here ouly the persistence of an anterion condition common to hoth. The Negro and the Banque preserve thronghout life the cephalie character of the infant Parisian, thus foming one of the many examples of that cussation of evolution which, as we see more distinetly every day, plays a considerable part in the chamacterization of human races.

The stumy of the horizontal cephalic index might lead to many wher remarks. I shall ouly recall the results obtanined hy M. Diévici. It appears from his calculations that, the tutal pmpulation of the ghtee given at $128 S$ millions, there are 1026 millions of folichoerphati, and only 262 millions of brachecephali. But the Buelin savaut places in the first category the Chinese, who are mesaticephati, and must alone lee reckoned at 421 millions. All these facts considered, it
appears to me, from the talles of MM. Prumer Bey and Broca, and other data, received up to the present time, that the mesaticephali are much more mumerous than either the brachycephali or dulichocephali. If mesaticephaly is taken in the sense pointed out above, the latter in their turn predominate over the lirachycephali, owing chiefly to African black populations, which we are daily learning to entimate as much more dense than they were furmerly thought to be.

Retzius only compared the antero-posterior aud transerse maximum diameters. Later investigators have sought the relation between the latter and the height of the cranium. The rerticul cephutic index has thas been obtainel, the importance of which is at once evident. It plays an equally important part in the table of M. Prumer Bey, and gives rise to considerations analogons to those just discusserl. I camot, however, enter into all these details without exceeding the limits of this book. From the same motive I shall not mention the other measurements of the cranium, maximum and minimum frontal diemeters, total circumjerence, unteroposterior are, and others.

The composition of the cranimn can only vary within very narrow limits. Nevertheless, in Negrues, in ancient Egepptians, etc., the squamons portion of the tempural bone is sometimes mited to the frontal withont the partial interposition of the wings of the sphenoid. This is a remarkable fact, being in direct contradiction to the pminciples of connections, so justly regarded ly Etienme Geoffroy as one of the most essential principles of comparative anatomy.

In the preceding case, the composition of the cranimn is altered lyy the suppression of a normal suture. This may also be caused by the appearance of an abnermal suture, ly which two distiuct boues are formed from a single one. Such is the case when the occipital hone seems to divide, so as to leave its upper portion free. We then have what has leen called the epuctal bone, or bone of the Incus, becanse Rivers and Tschudy imagined this conformation to be a character pecu-
liar to the race. M. Jacquart, however, showed that it was merely the result of a cessation in the evolution of the occipital bone, of which examples are foumd in the most different human races. It is to a similar phenomenon that the persistence of the medio-frontal suture is duc. This, again, is doubtless miversal, but much more frequent in the Aryan white race than in coloured races, and especially in the Nerroes.

These facts are connected, morcover, with a group of observations and ideas which Gratiolet has brought forward on several occasions. According to this ingenions observer, the anterior sutures are the first to unite in inferior races, while in superior races the obliteration commences with the posterior sutures. Acrain, the sutures, as a whole, have a tendency to disappear rapidly in savage races, while the isolation of the bones of the cranimm is persistent in civilized races, and particularly in the European White. 'This lisposition allows a continnance of the development of the bran, although it erradually becomes slower. Giratiolet thus explains the contimance of the intellectual power, so remarkahle in mon who have constantly exercised their intelligence. The statistic researches of Dr. Pomerol, while correcting all that is absolnte in this theory, seems to confirm it in some respects.

Since I am mable to review all the cranial characters, I shall pass ly those drawn from the prominence of different bones, the occipital indices of Broca, the cephalo-spinal of Mantraraza, retc. I shall only saly a few words upon the position of the foramen magmom, and the sphenoidal angle of Wralkar, but I shall dwell more at longth upon the coprecity of the cranimm.

1) Aubenton, in a special work, shows that the foramon matomm is always placed further back in amimals than in man. Sammering rmarked that it semed more so in the Nurro tham in the White ; and this opinion, which was apparently confimed by some mosamments, was at one ace eepered hy a mumber of anthropologists, and recerader by them
as a simiun charucter; but this result was attained by considering the pusition of the aperture relatively to the entire length of the skull, including the face. Now it is at once evident that the forward development of the latter, by reason of prognathism, would increase the apparent retreat of the former.

The researches of M . Broca upon cranicl projections enable us to state this problem correctly, and to give the solution of it. M. Broca compared 60 Europeans with 35 Negroes. Representing the tutul projection by 1000, he found that in the former the anterior projection was 475 , and in the latter 498 . The anterior border of the foramen magnum is then further removed from the alveolar border in the Negro than in the White, the difference being 23. But this projection includes, with the enterior cranial projection, the ficial projection, which is 6.5 in the European and $1: 38$ in the Negro. If this is deducted from the former, we find that the White stands first in craniel mojection alone, and that the difference is 50 .

We learn from these calculations that, relatively to the cranium to which it belongs, the foramen magnum is placed more forward in the Negro than in the White, which is by no means the case in apes. These same calculations demonstrate the real difference which here distinguishes the two, that, namely, of the forward prolongation of the face.

In drawing comparisoms between men and apes, the ephemoidul emgle discovered hy M. Virchow, studied by M. Welker, and which, thanks to M. Broca, may be measured without making a section of the skill, presents special interest. It presents an inverse evolution in man, and the Quadrumana during growth. 'This may be seen from the :umexed calculations borrowed from M. Welker:-


I hase already insisted that facts of this nature are irreconcilable with those theories which attribute a more or less pithecoid ancestor to man.

In discussing the cranial cavity, our special object is to supply the deficiency of information upon the volume and weight of the brain. Now, from this point of view, we may casily fall into error. The bony cabinet and its contents are developed, to a certain extent at least, independently. This is very clearly demonstrated by a fact which was observed by Gratiolet, and is too frequently forgotten. The subject is an infant in whom the cranimm presented the nommal conformation. The brain was, nevertheless, almost entirely wanting. In well-formed men the simuses and coverings of the brain may very easily be more or less developed according to the indivilual or race, and influence the relatiye dimensions of the brain.

Morcover, the exact measurement of the capacity of the cranium is atterdal by difticulties which have not yert been antirely surmomintal. In spite of the improvements introduced hy M. Broca in his method of measuring with shot, comsecutive mosismemonts of the same cranimu by the same obocerer will vary considerathly in the result.

Again, there are peonliarities to be taken into consideration, the importance of which has long been neglectent. We have known for moneal gears that the stature has an influence "pen the weight of the brain. It cammot be withwht influence $\quad$ "pen the cavity by wheh the latter is conclosed. M. Broca has shown hat sex is of itself a catose of variation. In the woman the mean cranial capacity is always less

## Ostological Characters-Cranial Capacily. 3 Sr

than in the man, and the difference varies between different races.

Nevertheless, in examining a sufficient number of skulls, the causes of crror may counterbalance each nther, and the means may be accepted as giving results sufficiently near the truth. The results obtained ly the same observer are especially favourable for comparison, and from them certain results may be obtained. There is no reason, therefore, why the cranial capacity should not be considered as a character well worthy of study. But its importance must not be exaggerated.
M. Broca arrived at the following result, in considering the distinction of extreme races. The cranial capacity of the Australian being represented by 100, that of the African Negro is 11160 , and that of fair liuropean races $12+\mathrm{S}$.

I borrow from my eminent colleague the following table, pullished by M. Topinard in his Anthropologic. This table

gives the mean cramial capacity, in cubic centimetres, for a certain number of races in both sexes. I have merely substituted the serial order in the men for the almost
geographical division of the author, and calculated the difference between the sexes.

We lece observe facts of intercrossing similar to those which I have so often pointerl out. The Merovingians, a white race of the first order, are placel between the yellow Chinese and the New Caledonians, Melanesian Negroes.

But the chief value of this table is to show into what serious errors an estimation of the intellectual development of a race from its cranial capacity would lead us. By such an estimation, the troglorlytes of the cavern of L'HommeNort would be sthperior to all races enmmerated in the table, including contemporary Parisians, and the Chinese wonld come after the Esquimaux. The French pepulations occupy, it is true, the upper portion of the table, and the several Negro races are at the bottom. But here, again, when we find the Nubians following closely upou the Anstralians, we must confess that there can be no real relation between the dimensions of the cranial capacity and social development. We meet, moreover, with similar questions when we turn our attention to the brain.

The following table, which I borrow from Morton, is as instructive as the preceding. It includes a greater number of races. Norcover, the American sament has not ouly given the means, but also the maxima and minima as established loy his researches. His measurements are given in cublic inches. As they are only required for comparison with those of other observers, 1 have not reduced them to cubic cemtimetres. I have again confined myself to arranging the means in a descending series, and to calculating the differences between the maxima and minima.

| r.aces. | MLEN. | mix. | MIN. | DIFY. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Encylivh | 96 | 10.5 | 91 | 11 |
| (iermans |  | 114 | 70 | 4 |
| Anglo-Americans | 1 | :7 | 82 | 1.5 |
| Arabs . . | 8: ) | 98 | 8. | 14 |
| Grecu-Egyptians of the Catacombs | 88 |  | if | 23 |
| lrish . . . . . . | 87 | 97 | 78 | 19 |
| Malays . . . | $80^{\prime}$; |  | 68 | 29 |
| I'ersians ) | 1 |  |  |  |
| Armenians $\quad$ - - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1 | 91 | 75 | 19 |
| C'ircasmus ) |  |  |  |  |
| Iroquois 7 | \& 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 104 | 70 | 31 |
| Chrerukses | , |  |  |  |
| Shoshones $\int$ | ) |  |  |  |
| African Negroes | s3! | 99 | 6.5 | 34 |
| Polynesians $\}$ - - | 83 ; | 84 | 82 | 2 |
| Chinese 1 | 82 | 91 | 70 | 21 |
| Creole Negroes of Nurth America | \&2 | ¢ 9 | 73 | 16 |
| Hindoos ) | ( | 91 | 77 | 11 |
| Ancient Egyplians of the Catacombs | ¢0 | 96 | 63 | 24 |
| Fellahs |  | ! 14 | $6{ }^{6}$ | 30 |
| Mexicans. | 79 | 12 | 67 | 2. |
| leruvians ) | . | 111 | ら3 | 47 |
| Australians $\}$ |  | 83 | 68 | 15 |
| Hottentots | ) | 83 | $6: 3$ | 20 |

This table, borrowed from one of the most eminent supporters of polygenism, shonld, I think, excite reflections in all who pay any attention to facts.

We find the Chinese placed, hy their mean cranial capacity, below the Polynesians, the African Negroes, and the savage tribes of North Ameriea. Is this really the position which their civilization assigns to them ?

In Mortun's table the C'reole Nempoes of America fall below the African Negroes by the lesser development of the same cavity. Meigs has confirmed this curious fact in several wä*, and has even made the difference still wider; s() S for the former and 53.7 for the latter. And yet it is miversally acknowledged that Negroes born in America are intellectually superior to their African brothers. Even Nutt allows that it is so. With them, therefore, the intelligence increases, while the cranial capacity diminishes.

This fact is the more singuliar since the olservations of M. Broca upon Parisian skulls of the thirtenth to the nineteenth century show that the cramial capacity increases with general intellectual progress. The measurements taken by the same ubserver upon individuals belonging to the edncated and illiterate classes lead to the same conclusion.

Still, however, we cannot disregard the calculations of Mortun and Meigs; and this experience, bearing upon numerous populations of the same race, seems to establish buyond a doubt the fact, which already clearly results from the comparison of different races, namely, that the development of the intellectual faculties of man is, to a great extent, indenendent of the capacity of the cranium and the rolume of the brain.

I mist here confine myself to the statement that the dimimution of the cranimn is, in North Americ:, one of the charucters of the Cicole Neyro ruce, devived from the $A$ fricull Megion race.

The intercrossing of races is again demonstrated in this talle by the means. The Hindoos and ancient Eoyptians are separated from the other White races of the Negroes, Chinese, Polynesians, and Red-Skins.

But the maxima and minima show still more clearly how far this comfusion womld be carried, if individuals were compareal. Hottentots and Australians, ly their maxima of 53, wonld stand before Gemans and Anglo-Americans, whose minimum is not so high. With much greater reason would they be phaed in the midst of all the other races, which, lyy their means, are placel above them. This is not all. Between the highest and the lowest mean, hetween the Finglish and Hottentots, of Australians, the difterence in rranial capacity is only twroty-one cubic inches. The difterrace between the maximm and minimmo of the Chinese is exactly the same. And it is much greater in nine other races, , wing mere than demble in the Germans and Pernvans.

Do we mert with facts like thase resulting from the meanmements of Mortm in the npecirs of a single gencru of
plants and animals? Certainly not ; and this table is of itself sufficient to prove that the human groups are races, which have little uniformity owing to the absence of selection, and in no sense species.
III. Characters draun from the face alone.-Similar conclusions to those furnished ly the examimation of the cramimm are suggested by that of the entire face. It may be cither broad or lung ; and in order to distinguish these two forms by special epithets, we may employ the terms curynpse, dolichenpse (owts, theatrical manti).

Since the face is much more irregular in form than the cranimm, it gives rise to a far greater mmber of ulservations. Each one of its features would deserve our attention, were we writing a detailed work, and the more so, as suel close study as this can ouly boast an existence of a few years. Failing space, I shall confine myself to pointing out the nature of the characters, and commenting upon some of the principal results.

In the living sulject the length of the face is estimated from the commencement of the hair to the extremity of the chin. But measurements of this kind are difficult to procure when exotic races are in question. Skills, therefore, have heen examined. In the latter, the inferior maxillary hone is sery often wanting, and even the teeth have, in tho many cases, fillen out. The inferior limit of the length of the face conld therefire the carried no further than the alveolar lorder of the superior maxillary bone. The point suls-ncusel of M. Broea serves as the superior limit. The interval comprised within these limits is always less than the brcoulh mensured aeross the zugomatic ardies. In multiplying hy 100 the length of the face and dividing it by the breadth, NI. Broca has oltained the feciel indes. The following are some examples which I horrow from him with M. Tupinard:


In spite of the small number of these examples, they might leal to remarks similar to those which I have already brought forward on several occasions, and which I believe it to be unnecessary to repeat.

The nose is one of the most striking features of the human face. Its general furm and dimensions furnish some of the most special external characters in the distinction of races. But the morphological variations of this organ, presenting considerable difficulties, had long been neglected. M. Topinard filled this grap, and showed that it is possible, even upon casts, to take measurements suitable for indiecs. Nevertheless, it is the skull that, up to the present time, has contributed the clearest indications. The breadth of the nose taken at the opening of the nasal fosse and multiplied by 100 , compared with the length from the spine to the naso-frontal articulation, has furnished M. Broca with the terms of the relation expressed hy his masal index, the study of which has led him to important results.

Measurements, taken upon more than 1,200 skulls of all races, have enabled M . Broca to give 50 0) as the mean masal index. In the entire number of races this index varies from +1.33 (Esquimanx) to $58 \% 38$ (Houzouanas). We see that the variation is only 1605 . The individual differences are much wider, extending from 7222 (Howzonanas) to 35.71 (Rommanians), thus giving a maximum variation of 335 bl .

The difference between the maximum and minimum in the same race is alson very striking. When it exceeds ten, 1). Broca seems th attribute it almost exclusively to crossing. He has made an ingenions application of this itlea in the histury of the crosining of the lranks with the races who preconded them in Framee. But we can scarcely allow that this is alwayb the case whon we see the difference rising to 2198 in the Nempoes of Wrest Africi, and to e. O. (0. in the Hottontuta and Bojesmans. It seems to me that this is only the repertiton of a fact which we have alrealy proved with woratel th the capracity of cramia.
11. Broea has mate uate of his masal imper to divide all
human races into three groups from this point of view. In races of a mean nasal index, or Mesorhinien, it only varies from 45 to 53 . Below these are ranged races with a long marrow nose, or Leptortinian ; and above, those with a broad and more or less flat nose, Plutyrhiniun.

The gronps thus obtained are fairly homugencous. The Leptorhimians would comprise only Whites, if the Esquimaus had not most unexpectedly stepped in. The Platyrhinian group is composed exclusively of Negroes, and includes all the races of this type studied by M. Broca, with the exception of the Papuans, who are perhaps a mixed race. The Mesorhinians embrace all the Yellow races, as well as the Polynesians, all the Americans and the Papuans, which I have just mentioned. We also find in this group Allophylian Whites, the Fisthonians, and the Finns, who are this separated from the Aryans and Semites.

In short, if we take means alone into consideration, the masal index, taken as a basis in the division of races, breaks a much smaller number of natural relations than the characters which we have as yet discussed. Apart from the exceptions which I have just alluded to, intercrossing here only appears between races belonging to the same type. But. as soon as we take individual variations into accomat, the mixture, so often observed, reappear's.
M. Broen has studied the nasal index not only in the adult. hut also when in a state of evolution. He found that in an embrgo of three months this index was $i 6 s 0$; in a perfict fotus, $62 \cdot 18$; in a child of six yeas, 50.20 ; in modern Parisians, 76.51 . Thus the index constantly diminishes as the body approaches its definite form. Our anthor concludes from this fact that the variations ohsersed in the same race may often be referred to an arrest of development, or rather an arrest of evolution, and he seems disposed to attach the platyrhiniom of Nerroes to the same canse. He thus atopts the idea of Serres upm the genemat charactor of the Negro, which ideas we shall examine presemle. This 1 regard as a very correct explanation of the origin of one of the diatinctive
features which must clearly distinguishes the black race. It is not, however, to the nasal index alone that this fact is applicable, as I have already proved.

The orbitul index, also studied ly M. Broca, is obtained ly multiplying the vertical diameter of the orbit by 100 , and dividing the product by the horizontal diameter. Considered from this point of view, races are divided into three groups, namely, the meyasemes, whose mean index rises to 89 and higher; the mesosemes, whose index varies from 83 to 89 only; and the microsemes, whose index fall below 83.

The highest mean index stated hy M. Broca, is found in the Aymaras, in whom it rises to 988 . But we know that the cranium is artificially deformed by this peopte, and the practice may in some measure influence the form of the orbit. The maximun in normal skulls was observed in the Polynesians of Hawaï, where it was $95 \cdot 40$. The minimum of $7-01$ is presentel liy the Cuanches of Temerifie.

The mean maximm variation is then $18: 30$.
But here, as in all other eases, individual variations are much more considerable. Withont even taking the Aymaras into convideration, whose index sometimes exceeds 109, M. Broeat foumd 10593 in a Chinese, 10.5 in a Chinese and an Indian Red-Skin, 100 in two women of the Marquesas Islands, a Peruvian woman, a Malay, a Mexican, an Imb-Chinese, a woman of ancient Egept, of Auvergue, aml laris. It is unnecessary to insist upon the meaning of these similarities.

The simallest orbital index known is that of the old man of C'ro-Mamon, which we have seen to be 61:36. Ahove the latter, and at small distances from each other, may be ranged a Tamamian, a Merovingian, the Mentone man (of the same mace as that of ('ro-Magnom), a Guancle of 'Temeriffe, $a$ New Caldolonian, an Australian, a Nubian, a Kaffir, a Spanish Basque, an Anvergnat, and lastly, the woman of Cron Atagnon, whose index is 712.

The maximun individual variation is then $46.8 \%$.
Upon examiming tho talle of M. Broca, we fint that the white racess are reprectented in the thee groups. 'The Ditch
of Zanalam figure among the megrasemes "between the atorigines of Mexico and those of North-west America. The Gallo-Bretons are placed in the same group, between the Chilians and the Indo-Chimese. The Whites form the great majority in the gronp of mesusemes, and are much the most numerous in that of the microsemes. One of their races indeel, the matives of Teneriffe, terminates the series, immediately preceled by the Tasmanians and Anstralians.
Thus, as far as the white race is concerned, the mean orbial index proclaims an intercrossing comparable with all that we have hitherto observel. The case is lifferent with the two other fundamental types. They are distinctly separated by this character. All the yellow races are megasemes, for in my opinion the Lapps, considered hy II. Broca to belong to them, are in reality allophylian Whites. All the negro races are mesosemes or microsemes. There is a difference of 403 between the aborigines of Brazil representing the last megasemes which have not been deformed, and the Papuans of 'Toud Island, who have, of all Blacks, the highest orhital index.

The usnal intererossing word umdonbtedly reappear if we took indivilual variations into consileration. The difference 959 which separates the man of Cro- A lagnon from the woman of the same race is sufficient proof.
M. Broea has studied the influence of sex and age upon the orbital index. I camot follow him into these details, however interesting they may be. I will only remark, that, ats in the ease of the nasal imbex, it diminishes with the progress of evolution, and remains in all races greater in the woman than in the man. The latter freserves, then, throughout life, a certain infantile chanacter:

This observation applies equally to races distinguished for the size of their orbital imdex. The yellow races, including the Chinese, present therefore, if compared with white races, une irreal of clointion. Yet the Cllinese are far superior to all the microseme or mesoseme black races, and partienlarly the Anstralians and Tasmanians, who are ouly fotlowed lig
the inhalitants of Tencriffe in the lowest places of the table. If we take the white as the normal type, we must regard these two populations as presenting an excess of crolution; lut this excess is still more marked in the Guanches of Teneriffe, who, in their mode of life, are considerably superior to the Tasmanians and Australians.

A general conclusion follows from these facts, namely : that the characters resulting from an arrest or excess of evolution, are not of themselves a sign of superiority or inferiority.
M. Broca has, with great propriety, compared the orhital index of apes with that of man. As might easily have been foreseen, the laws of development are the same in the highest groups of apes as in man. The influence of sex and age are as noticeable in the gorilla, the orang, and in the chimpanzee as in our own races. It seems to be less striking in the lower apes.

The orbital index groups apes, like man, into megasemes, mesosemes and microsemes. But this character comects the anthropomorphons apes with the lowest types, with the cobide, and ewen the lemurida, which we now, from their embryogeny, cmmect with the rmminants or edentata. The genera of simiadio are divided into three groups. M. Brocat draws from these facts the very first conclusion that 100 value, as characterising gradations, can be attributed to the orbital index.

It is well known that in the Negro the entire face, and especially the lower partion, projects forward. This tait has been termed jnognenthism. In the living subject it is rexaggorated liy the thickness of the lips. But it is also apparent in the skull, and constitutes one of its most striking characters. M. Topinard has studied it in a special mamer, amel by a method of his cwn. He has with justice
 faer, from the varions murnillury and dentul protmallismes, which diatinetions I proposed some time ago. The index is here firmithed by the relation existing between the height, and the horiznatal prajection of the mion moder comsiderat
tion. But M. Topinard has recently replaced this index by the angle formed ly the profile lines with the horizontal plane. This is a happy monlification, as it presents a more precise idea to the mind.

The most important of the several prognathisms is that arising from the portion of the maxillary bone situated below the nose, and comprising the alveoli of the incisors and canines. This is the sub-nusal-alveolar prognathism, or the superior maxillury mognathism. It is this trait of the Negro which is opposed to the orthognathism of the White. This character suggests remarks similar to those which I have already made so often. It is the evident result of the following summary, which I borrow almost verbatim from M. Topinard's work.

All races and all individuals are more or less prognathons. As a rule, in European races it is only slight; it is much more marked in the Lellow and Polynesian races, and more strongly marked still in Negro races. Let us remark, however, that even mean indices place the Tasmanians ( $76^{\circ}-28$ ) above the Finns and Esthonians ( 75053 ), and very near the Merovingians ( $7 J^{\circ} \cdot 51$ ).

The minimum prognathism, or maximum orthognathism, is fomm in the Guanches ( $813+$, and the opposite extreme in the Namaquois and Bosjesmans ( 59 is $s$ ). The means establish limits between the varions sub-divisions of the great fundamental races. Individual variations, however, in this case, as in others, obliterate these distinctions. In all races there are exceptions, Negroes in whom prognathism is no more marked than in Whites, and Whites in whom it is very pronounced. M. Topinard regards these exceptional cases as examples of crossing, atavism, or as pathological phenomena. There is certainly some truth in this view. I have long referred the prognathism, sometimes so curiously marked in certain Parisian women, to atavian. But we must also take into consileration these oscilletions of charucters, which we everywhere meet with in races not sulject to selection with any special nim.

In any case we cannot consider cessation of development as explaining the existence of a most striking prognathism in certain indiviluals of incontestably pure white race. In fact, far from diminishing with age, like the preceding characters, it rather increases. Even in the European, the child is manifestly more orthognathous than the adult. With regard to Negroes, Pruner Bey olservel some time ago, and I have myself proved, that the chitd presents searecly any trace of that feature, so characteristic in the parents. It is not till the period of puberty that it appears, and is rapidly developed. The forward projection of the maxillary bone is, therefore, in both races a fact of normal evolution, merely more marked in the one than in the other. Fir from being the result of a cessution, prognathism betrays an excess of development.

The absolute theory of Sorres, which would treat the Nouromerely as a White, sulpected to a cessation of genemal develnment, is then here at fanlt. The tronth is, that in the black rate, organic evolution is less advanced than the sempal type of white race in some respects, and more so in whers. This is a fact upon which I have long insisted in my lectures at the Museum, and which is confirmed, as we now see, ly the more exact work of later years.

We see, also, that, in order to accomet for the differences selarating the Negro from the White, it is ly no means neenssary to have recourse to phonomena of atavism as exhibited by amimals. Simple oscillations, ibove or below the mean in the normal evolution of man, are sufficient to explain it. I feel myself, therefore, still more strongly justified in opposing the haman ceolution therry to the simian crolution theor!

Thu zymmatic urches, the malar bome, the superior and inferior maxillary bomes also furnish the anthropolugist with several more or less essential characters which sometimes acpuire, in reference to a given race, a value superior to that which they have chswhere. Sich is the slight elevation of the pmlatiae reull in the Lappse bint I camot here enter
into these details, and refer the reader to speciat books and memuirs.
IV. Churucterss draum from the sliull considered as a whule. When, instead of stulying the face or cranimm alone, we consider then in their reciprocal relations, we see new traits appearing, furnishing a number of characters, some of which are of real importance.

Let us, in the first place, remark that there may be either harmony or clysturmony between these two great regions. The sluall is harmonic in the Negro, whose cranium and face are equally long, and in the Mongol, who unites the two contrary characters; it is dysharmonic, as we have seen, in the old man of C'ro-Magnon, end in the man of La Truchère, but for contrary reasons.

Cuvier endeavoured to find the relation of the skull and the face by making an antero-posterior section of the skull, and directly measuring the surfaces of the section. He found that in the White the face represented about 0.5 of the skull, 0.30 in the Yellow, and $0 \not f 0$ in the Black. These results entirely accord with those furnished by the study of prognathism.

This relative difference of the development of the face led Camper to the conception of his celebrated facial angle. Struck by seeing painters represent Negrocs as Whites painted hack, he studied the anatomical characters of the skull, and gave, as the proper distinction, the angle formed ly two lines; the one pasing from the aulitory canal to the root of the nose, the other tangential to the foreheal and to the nasal bone, hoth being represented upon a vertical projection of the motel. Camper made use of his method to distinginish betwen the products of (ireek and Roman art. He thus represented a decreasing scale from the works of art in statuary to non-alult apes. I reprosduce it, not because of its real ralue, but on accomit of the importance which has been attributed to it. This following are the variations of the facial angle, accerding to C'mper :


Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire and C'uvier, M. Jnles Cloquet and Jaçuard have adopted different methods in determining the facial angle. Morton, Jacquard, and M. Broca have invented instruments for measuring it directly. M. Topinard, after having examined the several methods, gives, with justice, his opinion in favour of that of Cloquet, which places the upper extremity of the angle at the alveolar border. M. Jacpuard had chosen the nasal spine, remarking at the same time that the difference between the two angles might be of service in the calculation of prognathism.

Camper, or rather those who have followed him, wished to consider the size of the facial angle as a sign of superior int.llectual power. His gratuated scete has evidently given rise to this folse idea. Patholugical facts should have sulficed to show how great was the error. The work of Jacpuard has, morcover, established this fact beyond a doubt. This anthor has proved a difference of more than $16^{\circ}$ in the reducated White of Paris, that is to say, $6^{\circ}$ more than the distance established ly Cimper as separating the Negro from the White. Jacquard, again, has proved in the French race the existence of an angle of $!0^{\circ}$, an angle which Camper believed to belong only to the ideal representations of the luman form. Now this remarkable angular superiority was ly no means accompanied by an exceptional intelligence.

If we pass from the peycholowical to the anatomical meaning I shall have similar remarks to make. There has been much difenssion as to the position of the upper extremity of the facina line, which, with the horizontal line, forms the angle of Camper. It has been thonght desiable to avoid the frontal simues, ame to seek in the facial angle indications relative to the dimemimes of the encephaton, and not these of "my purticular home. I think, of thu contrary, that we must bee content with the latter, and mot go further. It is cevident that the dimensions of the encephaten are independ ant of the
position of the frontal point, and that it may be more or less extemed to the right, left, or hehind this point without the facial angle being affected in any manner whatever.

The exact Netermination of the metens of the facial angle will still, howerer, be valuable, like all those which it is possible to calculate upon the human body, provided there is a sufficient distance between these means. But M. Topinard has shown that this difference is not more than three degrees. Withont altogether renomeing the ideas of Camper, we see that science now has characters preforable to those which he discovered.

A more important angie is the unterior purictul enyle, formed on both sides of the skull by two lines tangential to the most prominent point of the zygomatic arch, and to the fronto-parietal suture. By taking the most prominent point of the parictal eminences as the second extremity, we obtain the posterior parietul angle. Prichard applied the term myramidul skulls to those in which these lines converged. I have endeavoured to measure the angle directly with an instrument of my own invention, and my first researehes have led we to results which I believe to be interesting. The angle is sometimes wide, sometimes narrow, and may be altogether wanting when the two tangents are parallel. It is, then, sometimes positive, sometimes nerative. The latter is the case in the fous and infants of all races. The negrative angle is also met with in adults. This trait appears to have heen very striking in C'nvier, julging from a fue portrait of the great naturalist when still young. I have fund it to be - 18 and - $22^{5}$ in two living persons, hoth remarkable for their intelligence. The positive maximum which I obsorved upon an Esquimaux cranium was +1 . I have employed this character in my course of lectures to complete the characterization of a great number of races, lut have never published any details.
M. Topinathd las juist filled this gap in a work which confirms, and at the same time, completes all my first results. His researches, bearing solely upon skulls, have given him
as limits of individual variations, $5^{\circ}$ and $+30^{\circ}$; as limits of the means, $+20 \%$ and $+20 \%$. He forme in the New Caledonians the most pramidal heads. Finally, he has seen in children from the age of four months to sixteen years, the angle decreasing from - $-4^{\circ}$ to $0^{\circ}$ and rise to $7^{\circ}$.

Thus the negative parietal angle in the adult is nothing more than a persistent foetal or infantile character. It is evidently the result of a cessution of development, or rather, a ressation of evolution. Now, we have jnist seen that this character may exist in individuals endowed with an intelligence above the average, and even in men of genius. $\Lambda$ cessation of evolution, the persistent trace of a fotal or infantile condition, is not, therefore, necessarily a character of injeriority cither in intividuals or races.

Two general views of the skull belong to the sulject now under examination. Blumenbach regarded and represented the homan skill from above. Ilhis is the norme verticelis, very valuable as permitting the appreciation of the genemb form of the cranium and some of its relations with the projections of the face. Owen has, sin to speak, regrarded it from below, and insisted upon the differences which the inferior surface offurs between man and the highest types of apes. Many characters of detail are brought to light hy these two methods which I cannut even mention here.

In this necessarily very incomplete sketel, I have heen ohliged to pass by in silence a large mumber of characters which are often of a very subatantial importance. The greater number are obtained by the method of projections so ingeniomsly perfected by M. Broca, and by means of instruments, some of which were alrealy in existence, such as the diagraph, and others invented ly various savants, amonght whom we must, again, espectially mention M. Broca.
V. Niddun of the trumi: I have dwelt at some length upon the characters drawn from the skeleton of the head; I shall be more binf in divenssing the other regions. They furnish characters perhaps iegnally important, but they have been much leses stulial, and the fand does not altorether lie
with anthropologists. It is not easy to procure skulls of the human races, even when we have to do with populations living elose to us; the difficulty of collecting a certain number of entire skeletons is far greater.

The thoracic cage presents some interesting facts sufficiently well proved. In consegnence of the furm of the sternum, the greater or less curvature of the ribs, it is gencrally broad and flattened in the White, narrow and prominent in the Negro and the Busjesman. According to dOrhigny, it is stil more prominent in certain Americans. An analogous fact has heen observed in some populations of Asia Minor.

The pelvis is the portion of the tronk which has been most thoroughly studied, ly reason of the application which may be made of these researches to obstetrics. As a rule, comparisons have been limited to those between the Negro and the White. Vrolick, Weber, MM. Joulin, Pruner Bey, and, quite recently, M. Vernean, have gone much further: The latter, unfurtunately, has not yet published his researches relatively to the distinetion of races. Vrolick insisted upon some peculiarities of the pelvis of the Hottentot Vens, and endeatoured to establish certain relations between her and the ape.

Weher found that in each of the races which he had studied, the pelvis presented a predominant form, which, on that accomnt alone, became characteristic. He regarded the inlet as being generally oval and of large transverse diameter in the White ; quadrilateral and of large tramsverse dianeter in the Mungol; round, and of equal diameters, in the American ; cunciform and of large antero-posterior diameter iin Negroes.
II. Jomin has disputed nearly all the propositions of Vrolick and Weber, and seems unwilling to allow any characteristic value to the pelvis. M. Prmer Bey has shown without difficulty the great exaggeration of this view, and has determined the characters which distingnish, from this point of view, the White from the Blatk.

The work of M. Vernean, much more complete than those of his predecessors, but with the amatomical part of which we are at present alone acquainted, will mudoubtedly throw some light on the ghestions raisal by their controversies. At present, moreover, the work of M. Vernean confirms the assertions of the greater number of his predecessors, as to the reality of the characters of race to be found in the pelvis.

Amongst these characters, there are some which have been pointed out in the Negro as indicutions of animalism. Eiven M. Prmer Bey, departing in this instance from his general practice, employs this expression, though at the same time restricting its meaning by his explanations. It seems. to me much more matural to consider it as a trace of a condition, normal at a certain period, and more or less persistent according to the race.

In fact, the verticality of the ilia, and the increase of the antero-posterior diancter of the pelvis in the Negro, have been chiiefly insisted upm as recalling characters which may be obeerved in manmalia generally, and particularly in apes. But we meet with the same anatomical characteristies strmogly promomed even in the fuetus and children of the White. They, and especially the latter peculiarity, are persistent to the age of seven years or more. Their existence in the Negro is, then, mothing more than relutive cassation in the evolution of this region of the skeleton. It is, again, a futal or infuntile chavacter, and not a character of unimalism.
VI. Sketctom of the limbs.- When speaking of fossil rates, I pointed ont certain morphological characters of the bones of the limbs, and among others, that of the perforation of the wheranon depression. This character may be ohserved in the Buyosman, the Gunches, ancient begyptians, and our own racers. It seems to make its appearance in Western Europe with the Quaternary brachyeephalic maces. M. Dupment mith it in the propurtion of thirty per cent. among the men of the Lase ; accorling to M. Hamy, this promotion is twonty-cight pre eent. in the fussil race of firchelle aut ouly 4 fifi per cent. in the present population.

I have already observed that the upper limb is a little longer in the Negro than in the White. The essential canse of this difference, is the relative elongation of the fore-arm. M. Broca, after comparing the radius and humerum of the two races, gives 7943 for the Negro, and 73.5 ? for the European. M. Hamy, who had more numerous materials at his disposal, and followed a somewhat different method of measurement, oltained as result 7504 and 7219 .

This elongation of the radius, relatively greater in the Negro than in the White, is one of the traits to which the expression simiun churcater has been most frequently applied. We know, in fact, that there is less incequality hetween the two regions of the arm in the anthropomorphons apes than in man, and that in the orang the length of the radius equals that of the humerns.

The researches of M. Hamy emable us to cminsider this peculiarity of the Necro from an entirely human and truer point of view. This anthropologist has followed the evolution of this limb with a view of obtaning the changes which it involves in the relation under consideration. The following table gives the results of these investigations:

| Fimbren of 2d months | - As-s. | luf.uts of 1 -l0 ilays . . it 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fuetus of 3-1 momils | . H -09 | , , 11-20 days . . 1178 |
| " 1-5momis | - 81042 | , 21-30 days . . 71:3 |
| " " $0^{-7}$ montis | ITris | " 2 months . . . $73 \times 13$ |
| " $5-9$ mentis | 3737 | " " 6 months tu 2 yrs. . it 4 fi |
|  |  | " 5 monthis lo lish y rs. $8: 30$ |

Vie see that in the development of the rpper limb in man, there is a constant tendency to diminish the relation in question. We see alsis that the average of the Nerro is almost that of a white feetus of five months. In his case, therefore, the elongation of the ralius may le explaned quite uaturally by an arrest of evolution, without siving any wecasion for comparing him with apes. Umler what protext shonld we return to the simian theory in connection with this character, after having seen that it is inapplicalsle in so many others?

The lower inember presents similar facts. According to the calculations borrowed by M. 'Topiuard from M. Broca, the tibia, when compared with the femur, gives a relation of $81: 33$ for the Negro, and $797 \pm$ for the White.

By adding the figures which express the length of the radins and humerus, we have the total length of the whole arm, with the exception of the hand; and lyy acting in the satme manner for the femur and tilia, we have that of the luwer member, with the exception of the foot.

The relation of the former to the latter is 68.27 in the Negro, and 6973 in the White.

The following is a table of several races, trawn up by M. Topinard from his own researches and those of several wher authors:
Kanes.

We sec that, by this chametor, the Emopean White is placed between the African Newn and the Ablaman Islander.

I have already mentionol some remarkalile morphological monlifications, such as the prominemer of the linea asperatin the frombr, the platyene mism of the tibia, ete. I need wot repeat dhom. 'Tlan clavicle, foot and hamd, alsis suggest many details which I mast pass ly in silhone I shall only observe that in Alys-inia it is mither hy his colnur nor his hair that the true Nome is proved to be characterised, but merely by the relatively exaceromeal promisemere of the heel. But this sign, which ham been asorted to be infallhbr, is wanting in certain Neegro
races, not only in the Yoloffs, whose inferior member resembles our own, but also in the Bambarac, who have a flut foot.
VII. Chumeters clrou'n from the soft portions; nereous sylstem. After having examined the external forms of the looly, and reviewed the skeleton, we must take the organie apparatus one by one, and study them in their turn. Unfortumately the facts collected are here still more rare, when the observations should have been in larger numbers in order to give a definite value to the results. This study, which has been searcely commeneed, has in reality ouly been bromght, till the present time, to bear upon the two most distant terms of the human series: the Emropean White and the African Negro. This alone will justify me in giving a very cursury exposition of the results obtained.

The nervous system, of which Cuvier has sail that it is the entire animal, is fortunately the part about which we possess, perhaps, the greatest number of comparative data. In the first place, we meet with a general fact noticed by Soemmering, and which is established beyond a doubt by the splendid preparations of Jaequard, exhibited in the galleries of the Paris Musemm. Relatively to the White, the Negro presents a marked predominance of peripheral nersous expansions. The trmaks are thicker, and the fiberes more mumerous, or perhaps merely easier to isolate, and to preserve on accomut of their volume alone. On the other hand, the cerebral centres, or at least the brain, appear to bee inferior in development.

In fact, in spite of what Blumenhach and Thedmann lave sail on this sulject, the lrain of the Negro is, as a general rule, less voluminous than that of the White. This fiet is chicfly the resslt, it is trine, of measurements of the capacity of crania. But determinations of the weight confirm this result.

Seven Nagro brains weigheal hy M. Broca gave a mean of 1316 grm. ( 16 to nz.). Upon uniting the weights of European brains 1 find, however, a mean of only $12 t 5$ grm.
( $4 \cdot 02 \mathrm{oz}$.), that is almost exactly the average of the White woman. The average weight of adult European brains is 140.58 gmm ( 49.59 oz ). But in both races, individual oseillations are very considerable. One of the skulls of the Black race examined by M. Broca weighed $1: 500$ grm. (.ie91 oz.) ; Maseagni had one of 1557 grm. ( 5.94 oz. ), and another of ouly 738 grm . ( 26.03 oz .).

The truth is that the European White alone has been serionsly examined from the point of view of the estimation of cerebral development by weight. The merit of having furnished the elements of this study helongs incontestably to Rud. Wagner. Uniting the far more important results of his own researches with those of Tiedmam, Sims, Parchappe, Lélut, Huschke amd Bergmann, this savant drew up a table containing the weight of $9(6+$ luains, which had been directly whtinel after removing the coverings ; he arranged them in order, comnencing with the heaviest and finishing with the lightest. But he had not taken circmastances of sex, are, health, disease, etc., into consideration. The results which he oltained were, therefure, sulject to alterations and corrections. II. Broea has accomplished this task. He took 357 cases of healthy brains from Wagner's table, and carried out his investigations entirely upon them.

A certain momber of general propositions rise from all these researches, which may be formulated in the following mam"e:

1. Under similar ciremmstances, in other respeets, the wright of the lorain varies propmertionately, or almost proPromately, to the height. Aecorling to Parchappe, the average weight of the hrains of two groups of men with an


 cent., is exactly the same for the height of the hody and the weight of the lirain. This intluenee of stature cmablas us to intoppet and comprebmed the facte bromght forward by Mr. S.an from Itmut. F'rom the calculations of this amath-
mist it would appear that the average weight of the brain of Anglo-American soldiers exceeds the average weight of European brains as deduced from Wagner's tables, by from 19 to 14 grms. ( 67 to 49 oz .), or from 133 to 99 per cent. But the American anatomist did not take into consideration the difference in stature, which he nevertheless notice. Now, from lis calculations, it appears that American soldiers have, in this respect, the advantage user French and English soldiers to an extent of 3 per cent. The increase is, therefure, only apparent, and, indeed, rather points to a relative diminution.
$\therefore$ Under similar cirenmstances in other respects, the femade brain weighs a little less than the male. Mr. Broca has shown that this is the case at all periods of life. This difference appears to me, however, to arise almost exclusively from that of the stature of the borly: Upon taking the woman as the term of comparison, and representing her height and the weight of her brain hy 100, we find $109+5$; and $109 \cdot 3 t$ as the result for the man. The latter relation is that given hy Parchappe. M. Broca fommel 109 cis ; thens the relative heights are intermediary.
2. The maximum average of the Emponen is observed between the thirtieth and firticth years. It is then 1262 grms. ( +145 oz .) in the female, and $1+10: 36$ grms. (49) it oz.) in the male, or, in percentares, 100 and $111 \%$. The average for the entire period of maturity, between 30 and .50 , is $1+0.5 \mathrm{~s}$ grms. ( +9.59 wz .) in the male, and 12615 grms . +4.5 oz.) in the female.
3. Beyont this maximum the weight of the brain appears to derrease continually, and in a more or less constant manner. Such, at least, is the result arising from calculations bearing upon decemial intervals, which show a constantly deceasing average in the male, as well as in the femake. There is probably some relation between this diminution and that of the horizontal circmenference of the cramimu and the derelopment of the frontal sinnees, ofonewed loug afo by Cimper.
4. In the Buropean White, a brain, to be caprble of per-
forming its functions, must weigh at least 975 grms. ( 34.39 oz .) in the female, and $113: 3$ grms. ( 3996 oz.) in the male. These figures are the result of the discussion upon Wagner's table ; they are, however, too high, to judge from some of Hunt's calculations. In the Bosjesman and Australian, and probably in many other races, the weight of the brain may descend as low as 907 grms. ( 31.99 oz.), without the intellectnal faculties being destroyed.

Let us add that this organ may, moreover, fall much below this weight without causing cessation of life, or even the absolute disappearance of the intelligence, as in some microecphali. The smallest brains which have ever been weighed are those of T'eite, quoted liy Wagner, 300 grms. ( $10 \cdot 58$ oz.), and that of the woman who formed the suljeet of a memoir ly (iore, 28375 grms. ( 10 oz .). 'These brains are appreciably iuferior in weight to those of the gorilla and orang.
(f. In the European White, the maximum weight of a lualthy brain perhaps reaches 2 2:31 grms. ( $78690 \%$. (Cromuell), or even 2238 grms. ( $7594 \%$ o\%.) (Byron). But there is not the certainty we should wish for about these figures. The weight of Curier's brain is, however, attested ly the post-mortern examination conducted by Professor Bérard; it is $18: 996$ grms. ( 6848 o\%.). Mr. Samdford Hunt quotes austher at 184: grms. ( 6,532 oz.). We may recrat these figrores as indicating the supurior limit which can be attaned by the brain in the White race without the general health apparing to be affected.
The firures obtained by Mr. Humt from the ealculations given by several amthors for 278 hains of Emronem Whites agree sutticiontly well with the above. The average of the former is 1403 grms. ( $495 \%$ o\%. ). The maximm is that quoted above, 1842 grins. ( 6497 a\%.); the minimum falls 10 !163 grms. ( $33: 97$ (1\%.), which is very remarkahle from its lighthesk, being briow that which, in Wagner's tahle, seems to involve idlintey. The results antained ly Mr. Hunt upen his Black and White follow-emmtrymen, present, as regards compariton, a special interest. The brains of twenty-four

American White suldiers gave an average weight of $1+24 \mathrm{grms}$. ( 43.2 oz .) in round numbers. The maximun was 1814 grms. ( 63.98 oz.) ; the minimum 1247 grms. ( 43.98 oz.). The braius of $1+1$ Negroes gave an average of $1: 331$ grins. ( 46.9 S oz.), which is greater than the results of investigations made in Europe. The maxinum was 1507 grms. ( $53 \cdot 15 \mathrm{oz}$.); the minimum 1013 grms. ( 3.773 oz .).

The observations of Mr. Hunt upon 240 crosses betwee: the White and the Negro lead to interesting conclusions The following is the result :

| In crosses having I white bloot, the averace weight of the brain is |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gring. } \\ & 13: 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0 z_{0}}{4!\cdot(0) 3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| " | " | " | ' | " | 1331 | 47.115 |
| " | " | " | 11 | " | 1:119 | $46 \cdot 5$ |
| " | " | " | " | " | 1:3) | $11 \cdot 13$ |
| " | $\cdot 1$ | " | " | " | 1250 | $42 \cdot 75$ |

We see that the weight of the brain diminishes proportionately with the white blood. But it is especially curions to ohserve, that in crosses still possessing a tolerably strong proportion of superior blood, the weight falls below that of pure Negroes. The average was taken from twenty-two individuals, and the difference, 86 grms. ( 303 oz .), is too great not to be taken into serions consileration. We should say that this is a phenomenon identical with that presented by colomring. Certain crusses, in whom the black blood predominates, are of a darker lime than the original Nemro rate.

To exhamst the little that we know of exotic races, I need only to add that in a Hottentot examined by Wyann the brain weighed 1417 grms. ( 4996 oz .). This werght, which is greater than that of the average of Europeans, athords one more froof of that intercrossing to which I have sio often called attention, and which has, in this case, perhaps a decper meaning than elsewhere.

Since the publication of Gratiolet's admitrable work Sur les plis cereibutux de thomme et des mimates, the study of cerebrel convolutions hats assumed considerable importance
in anthropology, althongh it has been somewhat exagyerated. The investigations of Mill. Dareste and Baillarger show that the development of these convolutions depends to a great extent upon that of the encephalon itself, and the influence exercised by stature at once explains certain facts which had formerly been the ciunse of sume embarrassment. Under conditions similar in other respects, the brain of smull rueces would be less convoluted than that of lerge reeces.

But, apart from this intluence, it appears as a well established fact, that in savage races the number and complication of the cerebral convolutions are less than in intelligent and civilized races. Intellectual culture would seem then to exercise an entirely special action upon the cortical layers, aul to favour their development.

The known extremes at the present day of the character in question are offered ly the Hottentot Vems and Cuvier. The brain of the furmer is the simplest that hats ever been ohservel in an intelligent person. It recalls that of an idiot. The hrain of Cuvier, which mufortmately has nether been modelled nor drawne, was, as we are told by the eminent anatomists who saw it, distinguished by the extratordinary complication of the consolutions and the depth of the sulci. Moreover, each consolution was, as it were, donbled loy a kind of rommded ridge. In spite of these exceptional cases, no one would surely dream of placing the great naturalist in any other species than that to which his contempmaries belong. Neither can we consider the simplifixation of the brain of the Hottentut Venus as a specific character.

When comparative olservations have sufficiently multiplied, we shall doubtless find more or less striking characters in the eflative propertions of certain regions of the lerain. For examp'e, if Dr. Nott's olservation be cerrect, the ccerelidlum in the Real-Skin extends beyond the errebrma, white the latter, it is well known, extemds beyoud the cerebellmm in the White and Negro. The same organ is longer in the Negro and broader in the White.

Nituralists, travellers, and anatomists amomed long ago that the brain of the Negro is distingui-hed from that of the White by its blackish colour. An experiment performed at Paris under the superintendence of M. Rayer, upon which I have already made some passing remarks, confirms the general fact. I have already obserwed how M. Gubler, ly whom it was prepared, wished to discover if there were no mean terms. He examined the colouring of brains obtained from individuals, all belonging to the White race, but whene complexions were differently coloured, and proved that the internal colouring was in dircet relation with the external. In fair individuals with blue eyes and a pink and white skin, the pigment seemed to be entirely wanting. In individuals with a brown shin, hlack hair, and a very dark iris, "not only the brain enveloped by its membranes assumes a derper shade, but a layer of black matter, in every way comparable to that of the Negro, covers the protulerance, the pineal gland, and some other points of the nervous centres."

Thus, internally, as well as extemally, the chlouring of tissues presents that graluated series to which I have so uften called attention. This removes, therefore, the absiolute nature which had been attributed to a peculiarity which had so often been insisted upon as soparating the Negro from the White, to the extent of making him a dintinet species.
VIII. Vascular und respiontory sysems. Considered as a whole, the vascular system of the Black and that of the White prestat fants somewhat similar to thone which we have ohered in the nervoms system. Acending to l'runer Bey, the venous systom predominates visibly ower the arterial in the Black; and here, again, the admimhte preparations of Jacquard are a material proof of the currectuco of the ounervations of the salsant I have just quoted. This predoninance seems to exteme to the right cartites of the heart.

The lumg are less derehped in the Negro than in the

White. M. Pruner Bey has observed cases in which they serm to be pressed upwards by the abdominal viscera. The characters peculiar to the blood of the Negro, which were noticed in a preceding chapter, will, perhaps, at some future time, be connected with this group of anatomical conditions.

We have already seen that the cutancous glandular system is more developed in the Negro than in the White. The investigations of M. Pruner Bey demonstrate that the same fact reappears thronghout the whole length of the intestinal canal, the surface of which is everywhere marked by the prominence of secreting organs, especially in the stomach and colon. The large glands which are connected with the alimentary canal are also remarkably developed, particularly the liver. The case is also the same with the supra-renal capsules. All these urgans are in a constant state of venous hyperemia. Finally, these intestinal mucous membranes are very thick, and present the appearance of adipose tissue. Facts of a similar nature will perhaps be observed in the greater number of intertropical races. We alreally kunw that in the Javanese the liver is as fully developed as in the Negro.

## CHADTER XXXI.

## PHYSIOLOGICAI, CHARACTELS.

I. The special history of human races presents a considerable number of interesting physiological facts which are sufficiently different and well marked, to serve as distinctive churecters. Wre find in the tropies peoples remarkably abstemions, and living entireiy upon regetables, without their organism being injuriously affeeted; in the polar regions there are others who eat fat in quantities which would be rejected hy our digestive organs; there are also some slight variations between the respiration, circulattion, animal temperature, secretions, ete. of the White man and the Negro; the muscular energy and the manner in which it is employed, sometimes vary considerably in different races; general sensibility, and consequently aptitude for feeting pain, are very unequally developed. The same surgical operation will not cause as much pain to a Chinese as to a limopean.

Bat the greater number of these traits arise from peculiarities whieh do not belong to general considerations. Many are the result of unterior jucts, and are comnected with couditions of life, habit, etc., sometimes even with beliefs and institutions. Fiven if we confuned omselves to a mere sketeh, we should have to enter into details incompatible with the plan of this book, if we wished to disenss all these questions. I shall, therefore, here confine myself to pointing out some general phenomena to justify the above statoments.
II. I will, in the first place, say a few words upon certain facts and ideas which have often beren the octasion of ani-
mated disenssion. I mean the degree of relation admissible between the development of the intelligence and that of the brain. This question may. seem at first sight to belong almost entirely to the study of the individual. But, from the manner in which it has been applied to the appreciation of the intellectual power of races, it has aequired a real interest in general anthropology.

Un no oceasion, perhaps, has this question been treated more thoroughly and by more competent julges than ly the Paris Anthropological Suciety in the great discussion of 1561 . Many speakers took part in it, but the two principal chanpions of the rival doctrines were Gratiolet on the one hamd, and M. Broca upon the other. Some of their statements, if taken literally, would lead us to imagine that an impassablle gulf lay between them. If, however, we read them again, after the excitement of the moment has passell away, we find, from the summaries which they thomselves have drawn up, that such is ly no means the case, aml that, far from their being divided in principle, it would not be diflicult to effecet an understanding between them.

Giratiolet considers "that power which lies in the brain, and which can only he estimated hy its manifestations," far more important than weight or form. But he is far from absolutely refusing to reengnise the influence of cerchral development ; he allows that below a certain limit the hnman lorain mo longer performs its functions in a momal mamer. This limit he places at !900 grms. (:31 7t w\%) in the fomale.
A. Brocat raises the mumber to 907 ermse ( 3199 om ), and adds that, in the mate, the limit is $104: 9$ grms. (:37 oz..). He attributes great importance to the volume of the hain, estimated cither directly, by weight, or by the capacity of the cranimen. But on several oceasions he protests most strongly : wi-hing to erablith an alanlute relation lietween the deshlopment of the intelligence and the volume or weight of the latin. "Nin well-in-mited man," he says, "would ever
think of estimating the intelligence ly measuring the encephatun."
The two following tables, borrowed from M. Broea, will suffice to show the truth of these words:

AVEAAGE WEIGITT OF THE BRAIN IN MAN.


WEIGHT OF THE BLAMN IN SOME EMLNENT MEN.

|  | 天A>F. | AOE. | froressios. | weigill grims. | y brain. $0 \%$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | . Cuvier | f.3 years | . naturalist | $1.20!1946$ | (6, 51 ) |
|  | . Byron | :3) ycars | - Juct | $\sin 0 \cdot \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{x})$ | (6.3-73) |
|  | - lajoune-Dirichlat | il years | - mathrmatician | $15020 \cdot(4)$ | ( $\quad 3.311$ ) |
|  | . Fuchs | 5ia ycars | - pathulogist. | 1499)(k) |  |
|  | . (iauss | is years | mathematician | $14!2 \cdot(x)$ | (:32•洨) |
|  | . Dupnytren | 5 5i years | - surgeon | $1+330 \cdot(x)$ | (51)-6.i) |
|  | . Jermann | 81 years | - philolorint. | 135s.no | ( $1-\cdot!10$ |
|  | . Hathsmamin | 77 years | mineralogist | 120906 | (133:21). |

The numbers placed before the name of each person indicate the position hedel by the latter in the list of $3+7$ cases of leatthy lmans taken by M. Broca from the general table of Wagner. We find that the celebrated mineralogist Haussmann stames almost half way down the list, and that he is separated from his eminent colleagues by a considerahle number of unknown examples. Again, we ulserve that the weight of his brain is $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ grms. ( 35 oz.) lnelow the average weight of men of his age. On the other hand, in all the other eases the weight of the brain was above the average.

The execption presented hy Jlausmann, the manner in which all these eminent men are seattered among their wrlinary brethren, sliould be sufficient to make ns reject all exacererated connection of the magnitude of the intelligence and that of the brain. This result is still more striking if we group these same numbers as Gratiolet lias done, culculating
the mean of the contigunus weights. We thus obtain for the first group (Cuvier, Byron) an average weight of 181848 grms. ( $6+14 \mathrm{oz}$. ) ; for the second (Dirichlet, Fuchs, (Ganss, Dupuytren) 1487 grms. ( 5244 oz.) ; for the third (Hermann, Haussmann) 1292 grms. ( 4557 oz .). The latter is below the average weight of German brains, that is to say, of the fellow-countrymen of the two eminent men in question.

This remark is important. In the question under discussion it will not do to compare separately the celebrities who figure in Wagner's table; we must connect them with the rest of mankind, with diseased, as well as with other brains. To act otherwise would be to give rise to the idea that we hadd wished to evade a difficulty hy negleeting to turn the attention to the fact that, immediately after the brain of Byron, and long before that of Gauss, stauds the brain of a madman. Are, then, genius and madness in such close relationship? Are the volume, the weight, and the peculiar characters of Cuvier's bain indeed due to a liypertrophy which came to a standstill just at the right moment, as (iratiolet thought?
III. However absidged and curtailed this statement of facts may be, it secms to me sullicient to justify us in drawing conclusions equally applicable to individuats and to races.

We shall certainly not be acensed of an exaggerated immaterialism if we estimate the action of the luain as we "stimate the action of a muscle. Now experience and observation daily testify that in the latter volume and form are not everything. Fonctimal energy often more than compensates for what is wanting with respect to mass. Many wher urganic systems would furnish similar facts, well-known to all doetors and all physiologists. To assert that the case is different with the hain would be, even in the absence of all dirert observation, a purely gratuitons hypothesis, and, in the presence of Wragner's tables, a contradiction of evidence. With his small brain, Hansinam, the commement of the

French Institute, has evidently surpassed, in the matter of intelligence, almost all his large-headed contemporarics.

But, on the other hand, beyond a certain stage of decrease, the muscular apparatus becomes incapable of effurt. We can readily understand that it should be so with the brain also. It is, therefore, most natural to find that, when it has fallen below a certain volume and weight, it gradually passes from weakness to impotence. Even M. de Bonald could not consider it strange, that an intelligener when provided only with imperfecter almost weliss oryens, should only manifest itself in an incomplete manner.

Thus, irrespective of all dogmatic or philosophic ideas, we are led to theenclusion that there is a certain relation between the development of the intelligence and the volume and weight of the brain. But, at the same time, we must allow that the material element, that which is appreciable to our senses, is not the only one which we must take into accoment, for behind it lies hidden an umlinozen quantity, an $x$, at present undetermined and only recognised by its effeets.
IV. Thus from this fact alone it follows that we camot act with ton much caution in forming an estimate of a race from the dimensions of its craminm, and the relative development of the bones of which it is composed. Gratiolet proposed to distiuguish fromtul, purietul, and ocripitul ruces, characterised by the predominamee of the anterior, medial and posterior regions of the cranimm and the brain. If we aecept the word character as it is muderstood hy naturalists, we shall have no ohjection to make to these denominations: But to go heyond that, to attribute to one or other of these races any kind of superiority by virtue of any one or other of these characters, would he mere lypothesis. In fact, the Basques, with their oceipital dolichocephaly, are in no way inferior to the frontal dolichocephali of Paris.

V . In those phemomena, amongst which, ì priori, we should toe tempted to look for ethmougical characters, we must give the first place to orgunce crolution at different periuds of life. Now, the examination of facts citablohtes
the important fict, that, in this respect, all hmman races present a remarkable uniformity. When some slight differences are manifested, they show such coincidence with the action of the conditions of life, that it is impossible not to recognise the relation of cause and effect, and this fact alone produced a most significant intererossing between peoples evidently identical in origin. Thus, the whole mass of plysiological phenomena, considered as characters, add one more proof in farour of the monorenistic theory. A few examples will suffice to justify these statements.
VI. Let us first prove that the duration of gestation is the same in all haman races. The importance of this fact will lee readily understood.

It is generally known that the intra-nterine life presents a notable disparity in the same zoological gromp, and sometimes in nearly related :pecies. If men constitnted a gemus, it would be very strange if thry were exompt from this law, and that no differences should have heen ohserved, as they certainly wonld have been, betwen groups. These differences may indeed exist to a certain extent withont rising to a specific chatacter, for they are observed in our races of domentic anmals, where they appear to bear some relation to stathre. Gestation lasts sixty-three days in large races of dhers; from fifty-nine to sixty-three in the small. This is the period olserved in menageries for the gestation of the jackal, the wild stock of the dog. Bat it rises to sumething over a hmodred days for the wolf, however nearly related it may morpholugically be to some canine races.

The period of lactation is very variable as to duration in difterent human jeoples. Withont evengoiner leyond Franee, we monuld have no difticulty in griving examples of sudt differemes, in which the maximum would almost double the minimum. It is crident that in this case mamers, chstoms, cies, play the mot important part, and that the grestion of rimes scatrely ruters at all. With the Nesroes, lactation lasts, as at general rule, for two yars, abl the period is quite as long in ath miental propulations. It lasts for five years in

Chima But as M. Morache tells us, the Chinese mother only prolongs it in orter to retard the recommencement of the monthly courses, which, in this fertile race, is rapidly followed by a fresh pregnancy. There is nothing surprising in the possibility of such prolonged lactation. It is generally known that the secretion of the milk is supported by its use. Amongst ourselves, according to the evidence of Desormeanx, one nurse will sometimes suckle three or four infants in succession.
VII. The period of suckling is followed by that of childhood, a condition very distinct from those by which it will in turn be followed. The human being is as yet neither male nor female. The first manifestation of sex is one of the most important epochs of life, and it is interesting to observe that the arrival of this epoch varies within very wide limits.
The female, on account of the phenomena to which she is then subject, and which admit the possibility of direct observation, is, in this case, specially adapted for the researches of the anthropologist. Now, taking extreme numbers, obtained by different observers upon several peoples of the glube, we find that the minimum age at which the female becomes puliescent is that of eight to nine years, as olservad by Oldried in the Eboes, and the maximum age, that of eighteen to twenty years, moticed by Rush, among some tribes of Nurth America. Setting aside these exceptional numbers, we find as general extremes, ten to eleven years on the one hamd, and fifteen to sixteen on the other.

The variation we see is great, and we are maturally led to ask if it is at all constant in hmman groups. The numerous statistics which have heen coflected upon this sulyjeet, seem to justify us in giving an absolntely negative reply to the question.

And, in the first place, there is mo doubt that here comelitions of life play an important part. From the researehes of M. Brierre de Boismont, it appears that, in the same levality, the higher or lower social position, and the consequent
difference in mode of living, produces an average variation of fourteen months. In Paris, the women of the lower classes are pubeseent at fourteen years and ten months, those of the middle class at fourteen years and five months; those of the upper class at thirteen years and eight months.

The mode of life is sufficient to produce differences of a very marked character in the age at which the female becomes capable of conception. At Strasbourg, as at Paris, the young country girl is behind those of the town. The difference is about $8 \frac{1}{2}$ months for Strasbourg and $4 \frac{1}{2}$ for Paris. In Alsace, as upon the banks of the Seine, the hardships of field labour render the functions of the individual life more active at the expense of those connected with the sexual.

Again, we cannot doulit the influence which is certainly exercised by temperature. M. Raciborski, adding to his own investigations those of a large number of other medical men, has even thought himself justified in drawing the conclusion that the age of pulserty is advanced or retarded by a little more than a month for each degree of latitude, according as we calculate from the equator or the pole, with the condition only that the temperature increases or decreases with the latitude.

The action of the three causes I have just mentioned are most evident. But, as we have already remarked, food, comperature, and even mode of life do not alone form condilions of life. Many other influences besides these act upon the organism. The greater or less amome of light, and of actinie rays, cannot be without efficet.

All these influences explain how it is that the age of pmberty varies with the habits in the same race ; how women, lwhonging to the same lranch of the white aryan race, may prosent the extremes which I have alluded to above. From among the latter the Swedes and Norwegians are pulescent at from 15 to 16 years; the Einglish at from 13 to 14 ; but the Einglish Creoles of Jamaica at from 10 to 11 years. At Antigua, Negro and White women, transported into the same
common conditions of life, no longer present any difference in this respect. We see also how it is that women belonging to the most different populations and races, Swedes, Dacotas, Corfiotas, Potowatomies, English, and Chinese, become pulescent at the same age.
Dues then race stand for absolutely nothing in the physiological phenomena nuder consideration?
Some facts seem to anthorize us in bolding a contrary opinion. The Esquimanx women of Labrador are as forward in this respect as the Negresses of our colonies. In the Potowatomies (Algonquins) and the Dacotas (Sinux) there seems to be an average difference of a year in the appearance of the first phenomena of puberty. Several other observations of the same mature might be quoted from various travellers. There is, however, nothing to astonish us in these facts. They are only the reproluction in the homan species, of what we observe every day in our dumestic animals and cultivated plants, all of which have forward and backward races.
M. Lagnean studied this question with particular reference to France. He came to the conclusion that the comditions of life are not sufficient to explain the differences which were proved by his investigations, and that the age of puberty, depending upon the rapidity of the development of the organism, varies slightly with the race. This upinion, which it secms as if we might accept within the limits he himself has prescribed, M. Lingean states with great reserve.

These limits are very narrow. They vary from fourteen fears and five days to sixteen years, one month and twentyfour days. The minimum age is presented by the female population of Toulon : the maximum, by that of strasbourg. But between these two localities there is a difference of about three denrees of latitude and five degrees in the mean temperature. Toulon enjoys a very equalle climate; the climate of Strabloury is, in the contrary, eccossice: at Toulon the climate is sumy, while at Strashourg there is much cloud; the Toulonaise lives in the open air, and
breathes the stimulating air of the sea, the Simestonergeoise lives in the honse and breathes an air which is generally damp; the former drinks wine, the latter beer. All these conditions, stimulating on the one hand, and debilitating on the other, must exercise some influence. After taking all these circminstances inte consideration, we see that, in France at leatst, the intlucnee of race scancely exceeds that exercised by difference in social position upon the population of the same town.

The researches of MI. Lagnean also have reference to the time when, both in the male and in the female, the reprosductive faculties become extinct. The evidences are here neither so numerous nor so definite. Nevertheless, from the little that we knuw on this point, the result would seem to point to conclusions similar to those which we have mentioned alove.
VIII. We might casily he led to think that forwartuess or backwardness in organic development, defined liy the age at which puberty appears, should involve a propurtionatcly longer or shorter duration of homan life. Precise obsovations are far from being sommerons and complete as (1) solve this important problem with any degree of certainty. The greater number of facts with which we are acquainted, searecly seem, however, to support the thenerical conclusions admitted hy some anthropolugists, by Virey amomer others. Ewerything seems to indieate, on the contrary, that the limits of life are almost the same for all hmman races, proveded thent they are placed in conditions of existence, which are relutiedy "unailly favomalite. It is, in fact, evident that these conditions exereise a most marked influence umon the duration of organisms. When life is in question we do not deny the adtion of the comititions of life.

Here, nemin, appears the multiple nature of these eomditionse We find from tho statistical researehes of Boudin that in sixty-aeson years, from $175(\mathrm{f}+\mathrm{t}$ 181:3, the average lifes of man in Framee was imerasend hy dewoll years. It has, therefore, gained sisty diags a year ; it has attained almost
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the highest limit gained in this respect by Eirropean peoples (3+4.) years). The temperature has not changed, nor has there leen any amelioration in the climate. But the general conditions of existence are modified and the result appears in these very significant figures.
The average life of European Whites, the only peoples concerning whom we possess sufficiently exact lata, oscillates between 25.18 years (P'russiu) and 398 years (SchlestrigHolstein, Luuenbunry) ; a difference of more than eleven years.

The tables of average duration of life, collected by Bondin and borrowed from Hain and Bernonilli, prove leyond a dunbt that, amongst our European peoples at least, mean duration of life depends to a very slight extent, if at all, upon the race. The German states present an average of from ${ }^{2}$ ' 18 years (I'rusviel) to 368 years Ihnoner).

Temperature, at least when considerel alone, seems to exercise hardly any notable influcnce, Naples standing almost midway between the preceding mumbers : 31.6.5 years.

These facts, oltained from anong the best known peoples, jnstify us in thinking that, other lhings heing equal, the duration of life must be almost universally the same. It will he understood that all strict comprision is here out of the question, for want of statistical documents, properly so called. Still, a mumber of facts obtaned by varions travellers amongst peoples of very different races, and, in some cases, placed mader opposite conditions of existence, seem to justify this conclusion.

All travellers, who have been in a prosition to judfer for themselves, have spuken of the Lapps as generally living to a great age ; men of from seventy to nincty gears are not rave amongst them.

Upon the evidence of travellers of the highest repmiation, it seems that the greater mmber of American peoples also reach an advanced age, and often withont bearing any external traces of decrepitude. However made and often precarions their mote of life may be, the representatives of
these races are in no way inferior to Europeans, as regards duration of life.

Is it diffirent in the case of the Negro, as Virey has thought? Everything secms to prove the contrary. Even when removed from his native land, and placed under conditions which we have seen to be very unfivourable to him, the Negro lives as long as the European. This result is ,btained from the register of slaves consulted by Prichard in the West Indies. This anthropologist has shown, hy examples drawn from different sources, that centenarians were far from rare among the individuals of this race scattered throngh different parts of America. From the documents which he quotes, it even appears that in the States of New Jersey, an official census gave a little more than one Negro sentenarian in the thonsami, but only one White centenarian in one humdred and fifty thousand.

Nevertheless Alamson, Winterbotem, and others, state that the Nugro of the Senegal and Guinea age early in life, and the latter :edds that individuals of this race rarely reach an advaneed age. Ir. Oldfiehd, in the great English Expedition up the Nigr, makes the same remark with reference to the part of the commry which skits the river Num, a marshy region, covered with a luxuriant vegetation supported liy immdations. But hishor up the river, in the combtry diseovered by Nylfe, he met, on the cuntrary, with a large number of olid men who must have been upwads of eighty, and visited an old chicef, who, he silys, was 11.5 years old.
There is mothing emotradictory in these facts. They merely hhow the that the Negro is suliject to the haw common to all wher men. It is in vain that he has conformed to conditions of exintence, "Wich the White hass someh difficulty in living mader; whu thene comditions ate aggravated and exeed a cortain limit, he suffens, atal his life is shememed. 'The native of the banks of the Nom is placel, as a Nefime, under comditions of existence similar to those to which, in former times, the Whiles of the Dumbe in Fratnce were suljeet, ame in both cares the result was the same.
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But beyond these exceptional localities, when the conditions are equally favourable, the duration of life secms to be the same in the two typical races which are the most widely separated of all in the human species. In any case the same extreme limits have been proved for the Negro and the White.

## CHAPTER XXXII．

## P．ATHOLOGICAL CHARACTEAS．

I．T＇me pathological，as well as the plysiological，comdition in the various human groups presents peculiarities which may be considered as cherecters．These characters are some－ times even more elearly defined，becanse morbid phenomena are often very strongly marked．This question is one of great interest ；but to treat it in the detail which it deserves， would require a greater amonnt of both time and space than can be given it here．I shall，therufore，contine myself to recalling a few gencral facts already known，and to quoting a few examples which will serve to fix the nature and meaning of pathological facts regrarted from an anthropo－ logical point of view．

II．W＂e hate，as yet，in treather of the conditions of life， searcely considered mone than their modijging action，while it is maversally linown that they also exert a disturbing action．Actions of this kind are in most cases the funda－ mental canse of disease．

We are bere，therefore，again led to considerations similar （1）those with which we have so often been brourhit in rontact．We will therefore recall in a few words the general rennles of the precediner insestientions．

1．The jumlamentul muture of all men is the simme．
2．＇Ihn＇formation of distinct races has been the sole canse of monlafieations in this fundamentad nature of all hamatu苭け川男。
 contithte a kime of merymiad muture，hase，in cach of the
groups, been developed under the influence of the conditions of life.

It is clear that when the disturbing action, the cause of disease, works upon the fumbmentul clement, the same causes will prosluce fiumlumentally similure effects; when, on the contrary, this action is exercised upon the acquibed and sperial element of each race, the same causes will produce difierent efficts. In other words, unity of species and multiplicity of ruces involve the liability of all men to common diseases, which will, at most, vary as to accessory phemomena; but also allow the existence of discases more or less peculiar to certain human groups.

Nevertheless, the great majority of diseases will be common to all men, and merely present modifications in the different groups. For example, one race may be cither more liable to or more unsusceptible to certain affections than another.

Let me observe in passing, and without insisting upon facts known to all agriculturists and to all breeders, that similar phenomena are presented by the ouces of vegetable specties which have long heon under cultivation, and of animal species for centuries sulject to domestication.

The propositions which I have just hrought forward are the natural result of the facts to which I have alreally drawn attention, and of the principhes almitted at the commencement of this book. They are in remarkable accorlanee with the results of experiment and ohservation.
III. It becomes more and more wident, from investigations which are daily iucreasing in mmber, that all human races are sulyont to almost every disense.
The Newro and the White have often been contrastend from a pathulogical puint of view, and it has been statend that hecalities in which the latter sucemmb, are not munalthy to the former. It is said that marsh fevers, dysentery, and abscess upun the liver, so feared ly Emmpeans, do not attack the inhalitants of the coasts of Guinea, and the hanks of the Senegal and the Gahoom. These are exacrereated statements which were rednced to their true value by the observations
of Winterbottom, Ollfiehl, and others. More recent works confirm these earlier observations in every respect: "The Negro race," says M. Berchon, "suffers from dysentery and abscess on the liver like the white race. . . . The deadly fevers, which, with the two diseases just mentioned, form the pathornomonical trilogy of Senegalese pathology, will first attack Europeans; lut the Blacks are by no means exempt from them."

The last remark is coufirmed in a very remarkible manner by the numbers given in the accompanying table, which I borrow from M. Bundin. He gives a summary of the English official documents upon the ammal mortality in the thousand at Sierra Leone from 1529 to 1836 .

DISLiAFIS. VIIITES, NEGROLS.


Sicrra Leone is me of the most unhealthy stations for the White race, while for the Negro it is, on the contrary, one of the places where the rate of mortality is lowest. The relation which shows this difference is indeed most alaming ( 483.0 to 301 ). Yet the nosological table is the same for the two races, for althongh in this statoment there are no eruptive forers given for the English soldiers, we know very well that the White races are ly mo moans exempt from them.
()ther tabless datwo up ly M. Bomdin, with the assistance of the same documants, bring into still stronger relicf the fumdam-ntal fact now unders concideration. In one of them We leam the couparative mornaty of the Nergo and the blatk from marsh fevers in seventern localities, takem from nearly all parts of the globe, from Gibuattar to Giniana, and from damaica to C'eglom. 'Iloe number of deathes is always combiderably greater for the limenpans, but they abmont
always rise or fall simmltancously, and in the same place, for the two races, when both are immierrants.

It is almost monecessary to repeat the remark that all the great epidemics are common to all races, and that the yellow fever attacks indifferently the White, Yellow, or the Black race. The yellow fever is so far from being special in character, and is so suhordimate to the action of the comblitions of life, that Mexicans from colder regions are as liable to it as even Europeans; and in the islands of the Gulf of Mexico the creole Whites easily withstand those influences which are so fatal to immigrants.
IV. Eruptive maladies, and particularly small-pox, seem to have been unknown in America till tiney were brought by Europeans to that continent. On the other hand, the latter gave them some of the most serious forms of syphilis, which characterised the terrible epidemic of the fifteenth century. In this fatal exchange, the character of the two diseases was remarkably aggravated in passing from one race to the other, so that populations attacked by them for the first time would suffier much more than those who had communicated the disease. In America, whole populations have disapreared from cruptive fevers, sometimes with terrible rapidity. The celebrated tribe of the Mandans, when hlockaded by the Sioux, and unable to escape this scourge, was cntircly amihilated in a few days, with the exception of a few alsent individuals. Catlin, to whom we are indebted for these details, and who obtained them from W'hites protected by vaccination, adds that those who were attacked by small pox, sucemmed in two or three hours. On the other hand, we know what were the consequences in Europe of that infection, which, even at the present day, too often poisons the very sources of life.
'floss, a hmman race may be unacyuainted with one, or several diseasis, or with certain morbid forms, thongh at the same time lut too apt to contract them. Once attacked, it may even develop this diseas, whin is new to it, in a more violent fom than any hitherto knom.
V. There are diseases which, though common to all human races, attack some in preference to others. The latter then enjoy, compared with the former, a velative immunity. This would necessarily result from what we have already seen. Let us add that these differences in the action of the same pathogenistic cause, are evident in eases of epidemics. When Ginadaloupe was attacked by cholera in 1865 and 1860, the rate of mortality was 2.70 per cent. for Chinese, $3 \cdot 86$ for Hinduos, $4: 31$ for Whites, $6: 32$ for Mulattoes, and 944 for Newrocs. These figures are the more interesting from the fact that all these races were immigrants.

It seems sometimes, as if two canses of death mantained a kind of equilibrimm and reciprocity between two races. I have already, when speaking of acelimatisation, pointed out the contrast which is presented by the N.gro and the White from this print of view. Uf all human races the White is mon semsitive to marsh fevers, and the Black least so. On the other hamb, the Nugro race suffers more than any other from phthisis, while the White race may, in this respeet, he almont classed with other grompe, with the Malays for example.

Bint, again, there are immunities mone complete than that of the Nierro, from marsh affections; and, further, these immonities may he lost, either in the ease of an entire gromp of p"rpulation, or in that of isolated individuals. I will hore burnow two striking ceamples fom M. Bomlines wom.

Elophantiasis, that affection by which cortain puts of the body are sumetimes deformed in so strange a mamer, is fromed in the Jomlees and at Barbaloes. In the lattor islame,
 sarat liot. One White was, in that yarar, affected hy it for the fint time. Bint the disease made way, and in Thifo it had alcmed to the creole popmlation. Whites af Liuroperen wriginh hath, at 31t, esamped.

Ther elphantianis of Jumia is fomed in C'eglon. There, socain, it mily attark matives, crowles and imbividnals of mixed honot. Hindenes and Emomeans, strangers in the

states that only one cuse of this disease had been olservend in a Emropean White. But this individnal had inhabiteml the island for thirty years ; acclimatisation had been carried so fir in liis case as to canse him to lose his ethnological immunity.

On the other land, we have seen, in speaking of acelimatisation, that creoles easily live and prosper in certain localities which are most dingerous to immigrants. They have, therefore, acquired, at the price of sacrifices made ly preceding generations, a relative immmity which is not enjoyed by the majority of Europeans.

In the acquisition of oue of these immunities, a race may lase another. In conncetion with the cholera which I have just mentioned, creole Whites and Negroes were attacked to an appreciably greater extent than Whites and Negroes who had recently immigrated, and were consequently nut yet acclimatised. Thes, the conditions of life in Guadatune, and those of other Mexican islands, seem to exercise a double action. On the one hand, it diminishes in a considerable decree the aptitude to contract yellow fever; in the other, it renders the human organism appreciably more acerssible to the influence of cholera.
VI. Such significant facts as these require no comment. It is clear that we have here those relutive immunitios which several pulygenists winhed to consider as specific charucters. Without pasisessing anything approaching the importance which, from this point of view, is pussessed ly physiological phenomena, they equally render exident the fimblanentally identical nature of all human gronps. Owing their special element essentially to acquiral moture, they demonstrate the difference of races rather more clearly than physiological phenomema. Both, however, are equally furcetimal ; and the functions acting necessarily under the immediate influme of the conditions of life, demonstrate almont in the same degrec the preponderating intluence of the latter.
VII. We cammot touch upon equestims of ethnolugical pathology without saying a few words upon the strange amd
fatal influence which the White race seems to exercise upon certain inferior races whose tertitories it has invaded.

Nowhere is this melancholy phenomena more striking than in Polynesia. Fignres here speak with touching eloquence.

In the Samdwich Islands Cook calcalaten the population at 300,000 . In 1861 there were but $67,0 \mathrm{st}$, about $\because-2$ per cent. of the original population.

In New Zealand Cook found 400,000 Maries. In 18.5 there were only 56,019 remaining, $1+$ per cent. of the former population. Depopulation has continned from that time. From 18.55 to $186 t$ the loss was 2.2 per cent. fir the province of Rotorua, the Lakes and Maketur ; it was 19 per cent. in firo yours, from 18.59 to $18(61$, in the Chathan Islands.

In the Marquessis 1slames, in 1813, Porter calculated there were 19,000 warriors, giving a population of from 70,000 to s0,000. In 18.5 S M. Jouan found 2,500 or 3,000 wariors and about 11,000 inhahitants, a decrease of sfi per cent.

From a comparisom of the estimates of Cook and Fonster, it appears that the popmation of Tahiti most have been at least $2+0,000$. In 1857 the ofliciat census only grave 7,212 , that is to say, a little more than 3 per eent. of the original perpulation.
These ficts would be equally stramere, were they purely lowal. But they are universal, appearing even in the most iwolated islands, in the Bass islamls, which form the extreme limit of Polynesia on the south-east. At the begiming of the century Davies comed 2,000 inhahitants ; in 1575 , Moronlwut mily found 300,1 , per cent. of the former p"pulation.

The prowing calculations have all been taken from eantem Polgnesia, which, as we know, was the first to attract Furopeans. A few gears ako, however, the westem archipelagoes were in thoir turn invalded, and the population is already semsibly decreasing in the islands of Tonga, Vavan, Tongatabou, ete. The cane scems to be the same in the Fiijis.

Not only does the rate of mortality increase in this mon-
furtmate Polynesian race; there is also a decrease in the number of bieths. The fict has long been moticed in at gencral manner. The following figures give it a strange precision. In the Maryuesas Archipelago, at 'Taïo-Hae, M. Jonan saw the population fall in three years from 400 to 200, during which time only three or funr biths were registered. In the Sandwich Islands, from among so women legitimately married, M. Delapelin fomed that only 39 had children. There were mily 19 children in the twenty principal families of chicfs. Finally, in 18.4!, the official statistics quotel liy M. Remy, give 4,500 deaths, and only 1,422 lirths. The case is the same at the other extremity of Pulynesia. In New Zealand, says M. Colenso, marriages are rarely fertile. The seven principal chicfs of Ahmriri are without children, with the exception of Te-Hapuku; but of the four married sons of the latter, three are as yet withont a fanily. Ninc out of eleven marriages were here harren.

Many causes have been proposed in order to explain these melancholy phenomena. Wars, famines, and epidemics have been suggested in turn, but these scourges are only local in their effects. Some have mentioned syphilis, but they forget that the mother of (Bilidere had died of this disease befure even Wallis umdertnok his voyage. The blame has been laid on drunkemess introduced, it is said, Ly Europeans; but before the importation of omr spirits the Polynesians were guite able to inchriate themselves with their kieree, more terrible even than our bramly: As to debanchery, we know to what an extent it was earried by the natives, who had, in that respect, nothing to learn from Europeans.
(:an it he that a higher civilization bears within itself something which is incompatible with the existence of inferiur races? Do the dominion exercised liy the stranger, the invasion of the land, and the violence done to retigion and enstoms inspire these men, once so free and proml, with such despair that they refuse to have any posterity? We may allow some consileration to these moral canses in the phenomena which oceur in 'Tahiti, the Sandwich Islands and

New Zealand. But how can we apply this explanation to those archipelagoes where the local race has remained dominant, and where, with its ancient monle of life, it has preserved all the traditions of its ancestors? Now this was the case in the Marguesas during the time that M. Jouan and P. Mathias were there; European inhabitants are still rare in the Samoan and 'Jongan Islands.
'Two naval surgegns, MM. Bourgarel and Brulfert, have alone been able to throw some light upon this melancholy problem. The former found that tubereles were invariably present in the lungs of bodies submitted to post-mortem examination. The latter tells us that almost all Polynesians suffer from an olstimate cough, and that in cight cases out of ten tuberculosis follows these bronchial catarrlis. Now phethisis does not appear in the list of diseases drawn up hy the old voyagers. Have we, then, importel it into these islands? Developing in a new region, in a race to whom it was furmerly unknown, has this disease assumed a more tembible form, with examples of which we are aequainted? Arealy hereditary in our own ease, has it become endemior epuidemic in Polynesia? If it is so, we may say that it is all over with the Polynesian race. In another half centmry, or at most a century, it will have disappeared, at least as a pure race ; it will have been replaced by a cross, which in the Marquesas Islands has already bergu to inerease the population.

## BOOK X.

## PSYCHOLOGGCAL CHARACTERS OE THE HUMAN SPECLE:

## CHADTER XXXHI.

## INTELALETU゙AL CHALAMTER.

I. Is this book I propose to give under a common title :a concise examiuation of the characters due to intelligener, momelity and erligion. I shall thus, perhaps, te reproached with having connected too elosely phenomena which, elsewhere, I have attributed to different canses, and consequently with having, apparently at least, contradicted myself. But, on the one hand, after what I have said upon this suljeet in the first chapter, there can he ne doult as to the mamer in which I regard this question ; and, on the other hand, intelleetnal phenomena aequire such a development in man, that sometimes they almost rise to the dignity of attributes, and therefire deserve to be phaed liy the side of phenomena "hich are entroly hman.
II. In the precoling chapters we have reviened physical man. But man is not merely a certain portion of organi-end and livine matter like a phant. Besides this there is in man "s arm thim! which fects, judyce, mectsons, and wills. This somuctlin!!, the orisin and nature of which it is not the duty of the maturalist to discover, is manifested by actions and ly fucts. These facts differ in different haman races. They may, thiny omyld to he, looked upno as chumctors, cylually with
the actions of our animal races, such as the pointer, the greyhound, the terrier or the collie.

We shall see that, although approaching ground generally regarded as belonging by right to philosophy, anthropology dues not on that account show any less respect for the domain! of the latter. The philosopher is concerned with the distinction to be established between mind and matter, and with the discovery of the mysterious link which unites the physical with the intellectual being; the anthropologist with the inrestigation of the several manifestations resulting from this comection, and with the recognition of the distinctive characteristic marks of the groups which he is studying. The former goos back to canses; the latter confines himself to (ffects, and therefore does not exeed the limits of natural science.

For this very reasom, in trating of man, we moet with a difficulty at starting, which has been already pointed out. When entering upon the examination of peschological facts, science hats scarcely more than details to stuly, as in the examination of physiological characters. Here, as elsewhere, the comulitions of life play a considerable part. If they exercise an influence upon the manifestations of organie life, they influence to an almust equal extent thuse actions which interpert the acting and reacting element in us. And not muly does our intelligence conform to present comditions, but indefinitely, multiplies their influence ly acemmatang and combining all antorior faets ly means of memory, and intproses upon itself new comditions from which new phemonmen incersanily resilt.

The study of intellectual characters must, therefure, for the most part be earied ont liy the detailed examination of races. Newentheless, we may motice in prassing the most gemeral features of some races, if only in onter to explain more fully the trmth of the statements which have just been mate.

1II. Sunguryce. "Animals hate vince, mant alune has specch." This truth, proclaimeal by Aristothe, is miversally
acerpted at the present day. Livery one ackmowletges that speech is one of the highest attributes of the human species. Lenguages, that is to say; the vations forms assumel by speech anong the different human races and their subdivisions, have, on this accomb, a separate importance as differential characteristic facts.

Without being a lingnist, the anthopologist can well avail himself of the resnlts oltained by philology, and compare them with those obtained by the stuly of physical characters. When hy two such different methods we arrive at the same conclusime, we are evilently very probahly in the right.

While giving the detailed history of the different races in my consse of Iectures at the Paris Musemm, I was often ubliged to extend consideahly the comparison which I have just mentioned. I have almost invariably fond the most striking resemblance between descriptive philology and anthropology. When, as an exception to this rule, we find a want of resemblance, or, better still, a contraist, such as that which exists between the physical characters and the language of the Baspues, when compared with the neighbombing popmation, the problem always, as in thoir case, presents special difliculties, from whaterer point of riew it is approached.

It it more espectially amonest the mised races that the goneral agrement which I have montionel is exhithited. Langrage often letrays at mee the mixture of races, their sncerssion, and the nature of the influence exercised by the different elements which have assisted in the ir formation. 1 will here give a striking example.

All polygenists have regarded the Malays as one of their heman spries: many monorenists have considered them as one of the principal races. I showed long ago that, in reality, they are only a mixed race in which white, hatack and yollow elements are associated, and that they are closely allied to the Polynesiams. These facts berome more striking every day as we know more of these two families which have
spring from a common stuck. Aud further, as we study more thoroughly the history of these conntries, we find that the relations between the insular and the continental regions must have been much closer than it was long thought could ever have been the ease. Such are the results arrised at by anthropology.

On the other hand, phitologists lave only lieen able to form one linguistic fumily from all the Malayan amd Polynesian languages, when considered from a grammeticel proint of view. As to rocubulury, the following are the results given by Ritter.

The Malay language comprises in every 100 worls-
50 Polynesian words, all answering to a very inferior social condition, only designating arts and oljeets for which all langnares have names (hearen, earth, moon, momatam, hand, "ye, etc.).

27 Malayan words, giviurs evidence of a more advanced civilization, am of the existence of arts already in a state of perfection (kriss).

1if Sanserit words expressing religious ideas and abstratet Itrms (time, callse, wistom, etc.).

5 Arabian words relating to mytholongy, pextry, ete.

- Javanese, Dravidian, Persian, Porturucse, Duteh or linglish words, all relating to commeree.

We see, therefore, that the lamguage of the Malays explains, so to speak, under another form, the same facts is their physical charactirs.
IV. Althengh a matumatist, and therefore habitually alis["....] to attribute to the chamators drawn from physical matn a propmolerating importance, I camot allow that this superiority is absolately ronstant. There are some facts which speak toe strongly. Hal it mot been for their special language ne une wonld have hesitated to consider thes Disermen as bedongine to the same fomily as other Somthern limpopeans. Ilad their sperial dulichocphaty been dis-. cosered, as it has luman lyy . Broca, no whe wonld have thought of making them allophylien whites. It is the samo
with the peoples of the Caucasus, who were long considered, entircly on account of their physical characters, as the pure stock of White European populations. We must, therefore, acknowledge that in some cases language has a characteristic importance superior to that of external features and anatomical facts, or, at least, that it furnishes indications more readily understood.

This ulternation of value letween certain characters will cause no surprise to maturalists who are familiar with the results of modern zoology. They know that it is the same with animal species. In the vertebrata the respiratory organs furnish characters of the first order, which are dominant: in annelids, and in secondary types in which this function is less rigoronsly localized, families, perfectly similar in other respects, have the branchia very highly developed or altogether wanting. In their case the characters dratwn from the respiratory org:ans are evidently secondary and subordinate. If this is the case between difierent species and different grouns, we must not be surphisel if, with still greater reason, it should be the same between difficent races.
V. In anthropological applications of the seience of langnage, every one will allow that far more importance must be attributed to grammar than to rocabulary ; it is char that it camot be otherwise. But have we mot in certain cases, despised too much the information which may he derived from the latter? The results to which Voung has arrived from the calculation of probabilities may, it seems to me, be very aptly quoted here. The oljent of the illustrious author wat to dixcover, how many similar words in two differnt lamgunges were necessaly to authorize us in considering there worls as hasing belonged to the same language. From these calculations it appears that the common prasession of one word has mon meaning. But the probalility of mity of origin is already three to she when there are two words common to both and more than ten to one when there are three. When the number of words common to loth is six,
the probability is more than 1,700 , and almost 100,000 when there are eight.

It is, therefore, almost certain that eight words common to two different languages have originally belonged to the same languare, and when isolated in the midst of a language to which they do not belong must be regarded as imported. These conclusions of the learned Englishman are of extreme importance. They tend to make anthropologists regard the relations between various peoples in a different manner from that to which many anthropologists have been accustomed, and force us to admit the existence of commmications which we should otherwise be inclineal to doult.
VI. Whilst fully recognising the muloubtel importance of linguistic characters, we must not trust to them entirely as gruides in the estimation of cthological relations. A langrage may become extinct and be replaced uron the same opnt. The mere linguist would then assume the amilailation of a race or population which was in reality flomishing. This was the case with the C'mary Islamders. The descendants of the Guanches having all adopted the Spanish lamgagr, it was thomght that they no longer existed, till I. Berthelot showed that in reality they formed the basis of the pepmation of the whole archipelase.
VII. Monorenism and polygenism have fonglat, and are still fighting upon linguistic as well ats upon organographical gromeds. Thas it has very often happened that the seientific frestion has been obscured hy considerations entirely foreign to science; and with the less reason as the opposed doctriness have really less comnection with this sulyeet ham has genemally heen sipposed.

From a lingnistic point of view the problem may be stated in the following torms: Was there in times past, a single primitive lamgure, from which all languages, living of dead, have spmog ( Wr bather, have langnages existed, and do lamgares still exiat, which cammet be traceil to a common urivin?

We shall at one understand the reply of the polygenistie
philologist. Arguing from the differences by which certatin fimilies of languages are separated, they declare them to be imeducible, and with Crawfurd, II. Hovelacque, and others, state their belief "in the original plurality of the ruces which have been formed with them." On the other hand, this irreducibility is denied by Max Miiller, who, without as yet affiming the existence of a primitive language, allows us to see that, in his opinion, all philolugical researches are tending to the demonstration of this faet.

Being a complete stranger to studies of this nature, I camot express an opinion upon spectial 'puestions. I shall confine myself to the statement of some general facts, and to pointing out the sense in which they seem to me to claim most attention.

This irreducibility, upon which the prolygenistic phitologists rely, reealls the argument, which is based upon physical characters, and consists in contrasting the Negro with the White. This argment long possessed a certain appearance of strength, which it has lost as more mumerous intervening links were diseovered between these two extremes. It secens to me that the general prodess of phitology is temblerg the same result. All linguists now place side hy side laggages which wonld have been consiblered irrelucible at the bergiming of the century.

A certain momber of langarses may remata isulatod with-
 of man. In all philological sehools it is acknowleatered that labsulares are variable and perishable. Now we do not know all the d.e.e languares, and if some of the links in the chain are wamting it will at onee be evident that relations whels formerly existed have bern lost to us for ever.

Let anyome, morrover, refer to the observations of Lalbock "pun ronts, and he will at onco admit that a certain momber athong them can searecly be common to all langakges. Those who hold that lamstare is mot of livitue origin, but a homan invontion aml creation, camot halp adopeng the conclusions of the learmed Englishman on this pmint. Now.
however fuw these radical differences may be, they mecessarily involve irreducibility, which camot, however, on that account be invokel as an argument against monogenism.

In support of this conclusion, 1 am fortunate enough to be able to appeal to the testimony of a judge, both competent and trustworthy. Whitney, in his work upon "The Life of Language," has examinel the same duestion. With Crawfurd and M. Hovelacque, the American linguist admits that there are lingnistic families which camot be referred to a common origin. He does not, however, stop at the bare fact; he demonstrates and discusses the canses of it. He then gives, in the following terms, the general conclusion of this disenssion: "The incompetency of the seience of philnlogy to decide upon the unity or diversity of human races appears to be completely and irrevocalily demonstrated."

However this may he, the results thus acquired bring to light a fact, the impertane of which ought not, it seems to me, to be overlouked. 'Taking ats gunde the work of a man whose competency is above dispute, arranging the tables of thu linguistic fanilies admitted ly M. Manry, and representing by limes the relations puinted out by this learned writer, we sice that there exists between une language and another an intercrossing of ehnmeters extremely :unalugrons to that which I have so offen pointed out in human gromps. No one has strported the lypmothesis of the multiple origins of lamgares more resolutely than Agassiz. fin the memoir, which I attacked from a geographical puint of view, he "xpmaseel himself very clenly upun this point. Since then he has developed the same ideas. I have alremby said that, in his minion, mankind was ereated ly mutions, that cach recoivel, with its physical features, its particular langlage, develond in every dimetion, and just as chameteristic as the roier of an amimal freeries. If fiol it meressary to insist upon Whis puint hare, and to grent the lext itadf: "Lat :myone
 tribution of the bear, the felidie, the rmmiumts, the gallinaces, or of any other fomily: we can prowe, with just as
much evidence as any philological rescarch can fur human languages, that the growling of the bear of Kamschatka is allied to that of the bear of 'lhibet, of the East Indies, of the Sonda Isliunds, of Nepaul, Syria, Europe, Siberia, the United States, the Rocky Mountains, and the Andes. Yet all these bears are considered to be distinct species, having in no way inherited roice from each other. Nor have the different human races done so. All this is equally true of the crowing of the gallinacea, of the quacking of ducks, as well as of the songe of thrushes, who all pour forth their gay and harmoniots: notes, each in their own dialect, which is neither inherited nor derived from another, although all sing in therush lengunge. Let philologists stuly these facts, and if they are not alsolutely blind to the signification of analogies in mature, they will themselves come to doubt the possibility of placing any confidence in philological arguments employal to prove genetic derivation."

Agassiz is logical, and he exhausts the consequenees of his theory. But he forgets one impurtant fact which may be opposed to all those who, either fully or partially, embrace this order of idens.

No animal species has ever changed its roice for that of a species nearly allied to it. An ass's colt, reared by a mare and isolated in the midst of horses, never forgets its bray or learns to neigh. While, on the contrary, it is well known, that a White, if phaced in earliest infancy in the midst of Chincse or Australians, will only speak their language. The converse is equally true.

The reason of this is that the animul roice is a fundamental character, adhering evidently to the nature of the being, susceptible of slight moditication, but incapable of disappearing, or of transference as a whole; it is a spectific chatucter.

Homan lenguago is entirely different. It is essentially variable, and subject to modification from one generation to another; it is subject to transformation; it lworrows and loses; it may be replaced by another; it is evidently sul-
ordimate to the intelligence and to the conditions of life. We can only, therefore, regand it as a secomdary character; a churucter of rece.

From the linguistic point of view, the specific attribute of man is not the speciel lemgunege which he employs, it is the fuculty of articulution, specech, which has given him the power of creating a primitive language, and to vary it infinitely by means of his intelligence and will, more or less influenced by immunerable circumstances.

Here, again, I am fortumate enough to be ahile to support, opinions, which I have lomg maintained, ly the conclusions of Whitney mon this puint. "Now," says this heamed linguist, "to pretend, in order to explain the varicty of languages, that the power of expression has been virtually different in different races, that one languige has contained, from its origin :nd in its primitive materials, a formative principle which is not in whers ; that the elements employed for a formal nsage were formal by mature, and so ons,-all this is pure mythology."
VIII. Genervel redelions.s between lengyages and lumun ruces. It is generally admitted that homan languages may be traced to three fimblamental groups; the first, monosyilabic, or isolating langnages ; the second, adglutinative, or suthix langlages; the thind, inflectional languges. Thus, there are three linguistie types, as there are also three physieal types. It will mot be withont interest to disenver what relations are displayed ly the chatacters drawn from these two miders of considerations.

The monosylatic langhages mpersent the most rudimentary condition of hman language, which, morewer, has moly arrived at inflection after passing through the periou of acrintimation. Considered from this point of visw, lathonagres hase arrised at perfection by degrees, and it is mily natural (6) inguire if the general degree of elevation of races comesjuinds with that if the derelopment of langnage.

From at comparison of the results of philological and physical stmilies, it is at once evident that this is not the
case. Chinese, the most monosyllabic languagr, is spoken by one of the earlinst civilizal nations, belonging fundamrntally to the yellow type. Tribes holding the lowest place, springing from the Nenro type, speak, on the contrasy, arghotinative langmages, that is to say, have attained the second stage. I have already pointed out this fact, and insinted upon the consequences which arise from it with reference to the relative antiquity of hmman gronps.

Nevertheless, we must remark that the grater momber of Whites speak languares which have attancel the highest degree of perfection-inflectional lamuages. Allophylian Whites, alone, are still in the agrelutinative stage.

If, after having read the information which is given by philolorists upon the distabution of maces, we look at the map, we shall agran mect with some very interesting general facts.

Monosyllabic languages are only fomm in Asia, as it were lucalized, and only occupy a very limited space. They were at one time even restricted to a kind of island, bounded by the sea on the east, and on all other sides by agghtinative langrages. It is entircly due to the Aryan compuest that they have heen placed in contact with inflectional lingrages.

The latter, now misersilly distributed, were for a long time confined to the whe continent, of which, momeor, they were far from vecupying the greatest part. Their expansion dates from the great modern disooveries.

Langnages of intermediate development, the areghtinatise langlages, ocenpied before this epoch, as they still do, the larger purtion of the surface of the ghole. We do not know at what perioul they last groumd in Enrope, lint we can alrealy almost assert as a faet, that they preduminated there in former $r$ times. They probably ocenpied the whole of this part of the. world before the Aryan insasion or infileration. Perhapis they were spuen hy quaternary man. Howeve this may be, before the great and quite recent emigrations of bincopean races. agerlutimative languages reigned throurhout the greater part
of Asia, almost the whole of Airica, amb all America and Oceania.

In pointing out approximately the areas occupied by the three fundamental groups of languages, we find that the agrlutinative languages alone occupied but a short time ago about $\frac{2}{2} \frac{?}{3}$ of the earth's surface, inflectional languages $\frac{3}{15}$ and


Agrgutinative languages, again, have the alvantage ower the others in number. Finally; the number of nations, peoples or tribes, speaking these languages, is also superior to that of the groups which speak monosyllabic or inflectiomal languages.

But it is well known how slight is relation there is between the population of a combtry, aud either its extent or the number of human groups by which it is peopled. In order to gain an ideat of the importance, or of the part flayed upon the surface of the globe, liy one, or by a group of langlagese, we must calculate the mumber of individuals ly whom it is used. Now, in comparing statistical athd linguistic data, for which we are indelited to MM. d'Omatius :and Manry, we find that inflectional languages are spoken by $5: 3(0,900,000$ human beings; monsyllathic languages lay $449,000,000$; and arghlutinative langulages only by $216,50,0,0) 0$.
IX. Writing. Writing is, so to speak, to speech what specech is to thonght. Nevertheless, liy its very nature it furnishes the anthropogist with but very few precise data. Invented in a very limited momber of platece, it has been commmicated from place to plare, and hy initiation. In their prassarge from one nation to another, the graphic reprementations of languages are often semsilly monlified, and, from this puint of veew, they may undoubtedly be of raal assistance to chlomolog. Put there is no real relation beween the sermal forme which they assume, and the homan grumple by which they are employed.

We cau hardly comat with writing the varions armangements of stories which were nsed by the Mexican Nophytes
to recall to memory their prayers, or the purely mnemotechnical process observed loy different travellers, such as the Wiempum of the Red-Skins. But the latter, and enpecially the Chinese, Thibetian and Pernvian Quipos, were something more than this. Here the colour and the mode of juxtaposition of straws, shells, or wood, the knots and the colour of the threads, had a conventional value permitting the expression of ideas, of great and multiple numbers, ete. In Peru it seems that real books were uritten in this manner. Unfortunately, as M. Maury remarks, it is now impossible to decipher these singular productions.

Pictugraphy, even, in a form as rudimentary as that which existed and which still exists among the Red Skins, where Schooleraft has stmbed it very thoroughly, was prohably the universal starting point for writing properly so called. It is well known that pictograply bears a strong resenblanee to our rebus, and that it has its monments, which have lieen discovered by several travellers in Siberia, North America, the basin of the Orinoco, and even as far as Patagonia.

When symbolism was intruduced into pictographyy, it would seem that a step had really been made, although grave errors may result from this manner of representing events, when the sense of the symbol is furgoten. The Virginians represented the Europeans, their ships and arms, ly a white sumen romiting fire. There was here evidently the germ of some legend. This observation alune, enables us to compre leend and interpret some of the traditions, fabulous in form, but having a fimblation of truth, which have been collected with refermee to the past history of eertan Ameriem tribes. Severtheless, symbolism has the adrantage of acenstoming the mind to detach iteelf from the material reproductions of ubjects. It is then an eacy matter to pass to the graphic reduction of the symbol, and afterwards to the idiogroplic sign. At lengeth, spurren on lyy the stimulus of ncecsaity, the phunetie sign is reached.

Eien when the representation of the syllable is attained,
writing has made immense progress. It seems as if certain races, in spite of contact with more advanced nations, and thongh they may have before their eyes examples of alphabetic writing, can never get beyond this. So at least it is at the present time with the Cherokees in Florida and the Veï on the coast of Africa. Sequoyah and Doala Bukara, in their efforts to imitate the Yankees and Arabs, only invented spelling-looks. And yet the papers printed by the former lowre, hy the side of the Cherokee text, the Einglish alphabetic translation.

It is unnecessary to insist upon the immense superiority of alphabetic writing. This means of fixing speech, at once so simple and so complete, has always presented an appearance of the marvellous to those who were unacquainted with it; and the ancients, struck with its utility, and not knowing that man had gained the art ly slow stages, did not hesitate to regard it as a divine invention. Cicero himself scems inclined to share this opinion. We now know that the honome of this great discovery really belongs to the Phemicians.

But the Phenicians did not make this discovery at once or hy their own efforts. MD. Winttke and Lenomand have rightly given the honour of having prepared the way for, and of almust achieving the discovery, to the Exyptians. Egeptian writing, with its figurative, idiugraphic and phonetic signs, displays the whole conrse traversed by the hman mime in rising from simple pictography to the alphabet. Uufintumately the Eeryptians, fettered liy the combined influences of their past, and by the very mass of ideas and ficts represomted in their eomplicated writing, especially perhaps by Heir religions traditions, could not free themselves from tha. cmmbersome element in their system of writing. A strange peenple, free from these restraints, could alone, as M. Maury has remarkerl, take this step.

The Phemixian aphabet once diseovered spread rapidly. At the same time, however, it meerssarily moderwent moditications 10 suit, sometimes veritalde necessitios, sonnetimes simple comvenionce or capice. M. Lamomand almits fire
great families of writing, as representing this filiation. These are the Semitic, Greco-ltalian, Westem or Iterian, and Northern or Indo-homerite. The latter, perhaps, owed its origin to the alphabet of Vemen, which, introduced intu India about the third or fourth century of our cra, has engendered almost all the Oriental alphabets.

Egypt and Phœnicia were not the only centres in which the art of writing took its rise. It also came into existence in the Old World in Mesopotamia and China, and in Mexico in the New World. Hicroglyphic writing, itself arising out of pictograply, has been the miversal starting-point, lut in each case writing has stopped short at different stages.
Cunciform writing has not attained the alphabet, and seems to consist of a mixture of idiographic and syllabic signs. In China writing has remained idiographic. Under the influences, however, of Buddhist missionaries, who made known the Devinagari alphabet in the extreme East, the Japanese and the Coreans, after having servilely imitated the Chinese, were the first to reach syllabism, the second to attain a veritable alplabet.

In Mexico, writing consisted of the mixture, still very confused, of symbolic, idiographic and phonetic signs, the latter representing, in some cases syllables, in others, simple letters. The diseoveries made by l'Abbé Brasseur de Bourbourg seem to indicate that in lucatan greater progress had been made, and that the Palampué inseriptions are really alphabetic. It is much to be regretted that up to the present time the important facts, for which we are indelited to the aged rme: of Rabinal, have not treen utilized. The reading of the inseriptions of Cemtral America would have a very different interest to the deciphering of a few more Egyptian tablets. Howerer this may be, it is evilent that the multiplicity, the variety of alphabets, and even their filiation furmish the anthropologist with characters of great importance, and specially fitted to establish ancient relations between human grouls in some eases widuly separated.
I. Sectiel condition. Man is essentially a social ling.
"Were any one to ascend to heaven clone, and listen alone to the larmony of the spheres, he would not enjoy these marvels," a Greek philusopher has said. Thus we find the human species everywhere collected into more or less humerous societies. In exceptional cases, which may be generally explained by a violent dispersion, these societies always consist of a more or less considerable number of families, and deserve at least the designation of peoples.

However limited or numerous peoples, tribes, or mations may be, the existence of three elementary social conditions has long been accepted as a fact, each of which is comnected with the satisfaction of the first and most imperious of all necessities, that, namely, of nourishment. A certain gradation may, moreover, be observed in these conditions. Man at first only depended upon daily industry for his subsistence: he hunted cither terrestrial or aquatic animals: he became a hunter or a fisherman. He aftewwarls brought the herbivorous species moder his power, and found an unfailing resonree in his flocks: he became a sliepheril. Finally he directed his :ttention to the earth; he multiplied and cultivated certain plants which he learnt to know by experience; lee became an agriculturist. In the latter case his diet womld be findamentally vegetables; in the two former flesh would form the basis of his fond.

It is elear that these several kinds of existence place man und er very different comditions of life, and impose upon him retain mecessitios, by demanding the development of physical and intellectual faculties which sometimes bear but a very slight resmblance to each other. In this mamer certain physical and intellectual peculiarities are engembered, which, dereloped ly exerese and heredity, finally become characters of races.

The humter :und fisherman present some points of resemblane in their mamer of life. Both are obliged to display in turn, and occanionally at the same moment, acenting to the animal they are pussuing, a great, amount of patience and comacer ; they must never be at a lons for a resource. Both,
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even when placed in the most fitourable circumstances, pass alternately from extreme activity to almost complete repose. But the fisherman's field of action is on the whole less extensive than that of the hunter, and he is not like the latter, forced to exereise all his physical faculties. He will probably never possess the same delicacy of hearing, or the same agility. Morenver, neither of them are placed in conditions farourable to intellectual development properly so called.

The shepherd is much more imdependent in certain respects, while at the same time he is suliject to greater regularity. He is always sure of his morrow. The daily duties to lis charge once fulfilled, he is at liberty to abandon himself to reflection and revery, so that his intellectual faculties have every facility for development.

This is still more strongly the case with the agrieulturist. Seed-time and harvest are to him times of inevitable physical activity. Between the two lie can rest at leisure, and apply the faculties with which he is endowed to something entirely different.

These three elementary modes of human society involve immediate consequences.

Game, in the true acceptance of the term, is nowhere so abundant as to afford an imfefinite amomet of momrishment to populations, however small, aceumulated upon one spot. A great extent of comutry is absolutely necessary to the hanter, so that he cen only form very limited commmuties. As soon as they increase in size they are forced to separate. Fishermen may forn larger communities, particularly upon the shore of a productive sea. Even in their case, however, the size of the population is necessarily confined within somewhat narrow limits.

The pastoral condition allows the formation of more numerous sucieties; but it also involves the existence of vast tanets entirely given up to grazing. Like the chase, therefore, thongh in a less dugree, it enfurces sululivisions.

The culture of the soil permits the development of a population at once dense and continuous.

The hunter, as a natural consequence of his warlike habits, is inevitably a warrior; war is, in fact, nothing more than a "man-hunt." Any discussim about a hunting-ground may easily result in war, as the subsistence of the hunter is in question. This war would be conducted without mercy, for every prisoner would not only be useless, but an incumbrance to the conqueror ; another mouth to feed. The hunter would kill him, and however little may be due to passion on the wne hand, and pride on the other, lee will put him to death with torments endured with heroic firmmess.

The shepherd also will often be involved in armel comflict, for he must defend his pastures and his flocks. But, in his case, war will be less bitter ; the prisoner may le useful to him. He can be forced to attend to the flocks, and, in return, be fed without involving any sacrifice: he can be a slise.

Were it not for the necessity of mutual destruction, which seems to be innate in man, and which, as yet, civilization has mot been able to extirpate, agricultural trikes womld have mor canse to make war upon each other; indeed, it would be much more to their interests to avoid it. All that can be said, howerer, is that in their case it hecomes by degrees less ernel. Hore, agran, the prismer can be utilized. He is first reduced to slavery. Then it becomes evilent that a certain amount of liberty might be profitable to the master, so he passes from the condition of a slave to that of a serf.

The three comditions which I have just described still exist upon the globe ; and in each of the three great types of mankind, examples may still he printed out at the present day. The White tribes of the morth-west const of America are fithere ; seme Arab tribes are still in the pastoral state, hirongh which the Aryans, the promeniturs of the present Julians, who are so essemtially acericultural, have passed. Among the Yollows, the 'Pmgnses of Dammia, are perhaps the most profect type of a homting people, as the hordes of Cintral Asia are of a shopheri people, and the Chineso of an suricultural people. Fimally, amburg the Nogroes,
the Tasmanians were exclusively hunters and fishers, the Kaffirs are essentially shepherds, and the natives of Guinca agriculturalists.

Thus the fundamental mature of the social condition is not a character of race. The three physical types present the three social types.

From this fiact alone we might ennclude that between the three human types, regarded from the point of view of civilization, there are none of those ratical ilifferences which have been admitted, i priori, by some authors.

This conclusion can only be distinctly shown by a detailed sturly of the races. I can here merely state it, insisting upon this point that, in spite of the assertions of M. de Gubinean to the contrary; there still exist Whites in a distinctly suruge state. We need only read the details given by Cook, La Pérouse, Meares, Marchand, Dixon, Dr. Scouler, and others, upon some Kolushes, and we shall he foreed to recognise these fishers, whose women hesmear themselves with grease and soot, and wear a girille, as both trun IVhites amd true soturges, who in many respects must rank below the Negro of Arelra or Juida.

On the other hand, the very manes which I have just mentioned, especially those of Ghanata, fumhair and Melle, with which Barth has made us acquainted, suffice to prose that the most strongly characterized Negro, the typical Negro, has the power of rasing himself to a considerably adranced social comdition. It hass been said, that, withont being a selelege, be bas remained a butherion, as was the cave with our German or Gamlish ancestors. This view is not a just one ; the Nearo has risen math higher: The ammals of Amed Baba show that in the Midille Aeres the bisin of the Niger contanad empires very little inferior in many respects to Vuropean kingdoms of the same epoch.

As to the Vellow races, it will be sufficient to remember that the whole of the Aryan race was phanged in harharism at the time when China was acquainted with the colcular, fod detemined the form of the carth, and recorgisad the
flattening of the poles, had woven materials in silk, and possessed a coinage.
XI. Ought we to cunclude from these and from many analogous facts which I camot quote, that there exists a perfect equality between human races, that they all possess the same aptitudes, and can all rise, in every respect, to the same degrec of intellectual development? Not so, for this would be a departure from the truth, and an evident exaggeration. Here, again, we must return to the comparison of man with animals. Does it follow that, because all the races of dogs belong to one and the same species, they all have the same aptitudes? Will a hunter choose indifferently a setter, or a blood-hound to use as a pointer or in the chase? Will he consider the street-cur as of equal value with either of these pure-breeds? Clearly not. Now we must never forget that, while superior to animals and different to them in many respects, man is equally suliject to all the general laws of animal nature. The law of heredity is one of those from which he cannot escape, and it is this law which, under the influence of the conditions of life, fashions races and makes them what they are.

When centuries have passed over a group of men, when from generation to generation, and under the influence of certain physical, intellectual and momal conditions, the whole loing has contracted a certain halit, we cannot form any definite idea as to what length of time and what fresh circumstances would be necessary to eflace this impression ami form the race anm. In any case, it can only rise by underghing modifications, ath this fact alone prowluas a new or a derived race.

The result of all the comlitions lyy wheh races have heen formed has been to establish between them a present inequality which it is impessible to deny. Such, however, is the exuggration into which negrophiles by profession have fallen, when they maintan that the Negro in former ares, and in his pmesent comulition, is the equal of the Whits: A single fact will be a sufficient answer to them.

The discoveries of Barth have placed beyond a shatow of doubt the existence of a political history among the Negroes, which had previonsly been a matter of doubt. But this very fact alone only serves to place in still stronger relief the absence of that intellectual history which is demonstrated by a gencral progressive movement, by literary, architectural and artistic monuments. The Negro race, left to itself, has produced nothing of this kind. An attempt has been made, in order to dissruise this too manifest inferiority, to refer to the Negro race those peoples of black colour, who can only be said to be connected with it by crosses in which the superior blood predominates.
XII. Must we therefore pass to the opposite extreme, and admit that there are races radically incapable of elevating themselves above the social condition in which their ancestors have lived? This question has often been proposed, and las been answered in two different ways.

The attempt has been made, by means of a certain number of facts taken from America and Oceania, as well as from Africa, to show that certain human populations were irrevocably destined to a savage condition. The upholders of this opinion have chiefly quoted as examples the indigenoms inhabitants of North America and Australia. Yet whoever will consider the matter from an unprejodiced point of view, will see at once, sometimes in the very facts brought forward by those who depreciate them, a clear proof that, plucel in fiteoumble conditions, these races would be able to raise themselves far above the comdition in which we have foumd them, and woukd, in some respects at least, very quickly reach our lovel.

As far as the Red-Skins and the allied gromps are coneerned all donbt has heen dissipated by the great work of Scheolcraft, and several reports since published.

There is, at the present day, upon the banks of the Cantarangus, an agricultural and laborions popmlation, formed from the remnants of the Iroynois, which has its sehouls, its printing establishments, and its joumals. It is ureless to
insist upon what the Kreecks, Cherokees and Choctaws have become. We know that these nations of the South had, of their own accord, started on the high road of settled civilization, that they cultivated and exported cotton, and published journals written in their own language, and printed in characters invented by one of their own mation. The government of Washington drove them from their lands, and transported them to the basin of the Alkansis. They there set themselyes to work again, and travellers tell us that some of their farms even rival those of the Yankees.

But in reply to this the objection will be made that the Algonguins and the Dacotahs have resisted every attempt which has been made to assimilate them to Whites, and to civilization. This is an error, of rather it is but half the truth, and for this very reason affords important information to those who are inclined to reccive it. The Algonquins (true Rod-Sliins), and the Dacotahs (Sioure) separated. Some renomeed their ancient mode of life, and imitated that of the Cherokecs, others adhered to it; how vailable, then, is this supposed indelible character ; how completely subordinate to a thousand insignifieant local circumstances !

In fact, nothing has taken plate with regard to the American Aborigines which could not also be olserved among Whites. Side hy side with the Arab of the town, dwells the Arat) of the desert and the tent. In the same mamer the natives of North America, when left to themselves, differed upon certain points. In the basin of the Rio del Norte, and beyoud it, side by side with the urban and agrienltwal inhahitants of the pueblos, dwelt nomad and hunting tribues. Thes latter sometimes pillaged the former, but they did not the less reengnise the kinship existing between them.

What here took phame spontanconsly still takes place muder the pressure of the White. Is there anything strange in this? In every case when the half of a nation transforms its social condition, we camot draw our conclusion from the backwardness of the other half, and say that it would io
incapable of doing so as a whole. We minht, with equal reasin, maintain that a great number of binropeans were incapable of learning to read.

There remain the Australians.
I approallh this suljecet very unwillingly. In no part of the globe has the White shown himself so merciless towards inferior races as in Australia; nowhere has he so andaciously calumniated those whom he has plundered and exterminated. In his opinion, the Austratians are not even men. 'They are beings "in whom are combined all the worst characters which mankind cond present, at many of which, monkeys, their congeners, would blush." (Butler barp.) Nohle minds have doubtess protested agrainst these terrible words, addressed to convicts who were about to seek their fortunes in Australia; but what conld be expeeted of them when every evil passion was called forth and supported lyy similar arguments, which, again, rested unun assertinns given as scientitic? The result of these experiences in Anstralia and 'Tasmania is well known ; and those who wish for funther information have only to consult tratwers of every conntry, Darwin as well as Petit-Thouars.

To mantain at the present day that the Anstralians are what Bory de Sant-V゙incent and the anthropolocists of that school endeavoured to prove then to be, is to deny unquestionable facts established by travellers of every deacription. This race has no more shown itself to be absulutely saviege than any other limman race. It organised the family and divided the tribe and nation intes true clums, the account of which is still extant. The Anstralians, more advanced upon this puint than the 'lahitians, mederstout the division of lamd amongst themselves, and the fixed limits agreed upon wor religiunsly respected, except in time of war. I shall speak about their religious and moral elnacters at another time. We have hare only to consider their intellectual characters, and I shall only aidd that these sabiges posesesed villares of from soo to 1000 inhabitants, that they knew how to hollow out canoes, and made nets for hunting and fishing, which
were sometimes 80 feet long and of sufficient strength to resist the struggles of a kangaroo.

It will, however, be oljected that all this does not constitute a well adranced social condition. Granted; but are the Australians incapable, as it has so often becn said, and as it still is asserted, of raising themselves above this condition?

We have only to consult the writings of Danson, who made a kind of farmers out of these savages, those of Salvade, who found them to be both devoted and useful workmen, those of Blosseville, declaring that he thought himself fortumate to le able to turn to them when the gold ferer robbed him of European hands, and we shall be consinced of the inaccuracy of the assertions made on the subject of the radical incapacity of the Australians. Finally, if we still retain some fecling of doubt, we need only look back upon those tribes which were settled and civilised by William Buckley, the deserter, and we shall be forced to allow that the faculty of raising themselves above their past condition exists among the Australians as among other hmman populations.
XIII. There are two causes which tend to lead us into rerror when we are dealing with the question of the appreciation of the social condition of races.

The first arises from the manner in which we regard, as a whole, the population to which we belong. 'The offispring of instructed and civilized classis, we furget that part of the nation which we left so far behind, which doubtless profits liy the work of the intelligent classes, hut dues not follow them at all, or but sery little, in the path of progress. There is mot a comntry in Europe where mombers of facts, justifying what I have bricfly stated here, may not be met with. If Lubbork had taken more notice of the facts aromm him, he wonld most centainly have modified many conclusions in his brook.

The other cause procseds from our pride of race, from the prejuliecs of our education, which altugether prevent us from going to the ront of the matter, and from recognising extreme
resemblances, almost identities, if they are in the least degree ohscured by the slightest difference of forms or words. It was a long time before the resemblance was observed between the organisation of the Maories and that of the ancient Scotch. And yet if we deduct antliropophagy from the one people and from the other all that it has borrowed from the neighbouring nations, we shall be forced to admit that at the period when Cook visited the New Zealanders, the latter offered strange points of resemblance to the Highlanders of Rob Roy and Mac Ivor. As to the Children of the Mist, akin to the other Scotch clans, were they much above the Australian tribes?

We must conclude, therefore, that civilization, with improvements and learning of every kind, is an exceptional fact, even in the midst of a most privileged people, and that upon their own territory they have had, and still have, their satvage representatives. We must add that this fact is exhibited in different degrees among yellow and black tribes. Lastly, in reflecting upon our past history, we must avoid denying to other races aptitudes, which remained latent for centuries in our ancestors before they were developed, and which are still in the same comdition in too many of our fellow-countrymen, and of our contemporaries.
XIV. In his remarkable work upon Origins of Civilizution, Sir John Lubltock admits that the "primitive condition of man was a state of ubsolute berburism." But he does not say what he means by this expression. Have there indeed ever been men living fur centuries in the state depieted in Chinese traditions, men acknowlelging no law, destitute of industry, ignorant of the use of fire, abandoning their dead withont sepulture, living in trees. . . .? There is every reason to doubt it, for all entablished facts protest against this conclusion.

Whenever it has loen possible to attain even a slight knowledge of the life of savage tribes, they have been found sulject to luts, which, although not written, are still rigorously observed. This fact is proclaimed by Lubbock himself.

True, these laws may often appear to us iniquitors or barbarous, but sometimes there is, even in their severities towards certain classes of the population, a trace of the most just and praiseworthy sentiments. We cannot indeed approve of the Australiun code as regards the enactions which make a miscrable slave of the woman; the privileges which it reserves to the chicfs are perhaps excessive; but how can we help being struck when we see it grant to age the same advantages as to rank. Respect for old age was a feature in the manners of the Spartans which met with the admiration of the Athenians; we may well recognise its value in the Australians.

Mention has sometimes been made of races or populations duelling in trees, such as the Orang-Kubus, certain Blacks of New Guinea, etc. They have heen described as making Hecir homes in trees after the mamer of monkeys. Earle has relluced these exaggerations to their true value. He has shown that upon certain coasts, limed with a helt of mangroses, it is easier to walk upon the crowded, interlaced hranches, than to force a passage along the network of aterial roots phunging into a bel of mud. He saw European sailors several times, with their muskets slung, passing over marshes of th.is hature in single file, in the same way as the Indians. We see, therefore, that it is not at all neeessary to be absulutely savare and nearly allied to monkeys to travel in this manner.

The Tasmanians, as goont an example of a momad people as it wonld be possilule to mention, only crectend tempmary shelters, and yet they bumt dheir dead, and raised to them mansolenms of hranches and bark, which have been deserbed and firmed by Peron. I have just remarked that the Anstralians had their institutions and their indnstries. Undombtedly in Tasmania and Anstalia man is cexhibited with the smallest ammol of homan derelopmemt. Ami we we mowhere wherye that whsolute luatherism which is "hparently admitted by the learmed Englishmam.

However far we go hack into our past history we shall
meet with similar facts. The little that we know of tertiary man shews him to be in possession of fire and the art of cutting flints. He already has his industries, and this fact alone proves that his mode of life was different to that of the lirute.

It could not be otherwise. Whatever the canse may have been which determined the appearance of man upon the surface of the ghlobe, he has, from the first, always been in possession of his speefic nature. He has hat from the outset lis intelligence and his aptitudes which, though at that time in a torpid and shmbering state, were realy to start into life under the spur of necessity: 'To procure nourishment and to lefend himself agrainst the extermal world, he could only have recourse to them, and the smallest manifestations of these superior faculties have of necessity traced from the commencement a line of demareation between him and the brute.
$\mathcal{X V}$. The intelligence and the aptitudes of man have manifested themselves in a thousand ways, wheh may be inchuled mader the general name of industries. Pacifie or warlike, relating to the individual or to the whole population, they very often differ in different races, in different perples, sometimes almost in diffionent tribes. The greater mumher may consequently be considered as so many dueruters by which the different groups of the homan species may be distinguished. It will, however, at once be understood that questions of this nature can ouly be discussed in a detailed history, and I must here confine myself to stating one of those general facts whish, by themselves, are suflicient to sparate man from animals.

The latter have only physioul wants which they sati-fy as completely as pusible. But, this end onee attaimal, they ern no further. The animal, when left to itadf, foes not knew, or has scarcely a suppicion, of the superfluons. Ilis wants are, therofore, always the s.me.

Man, wa the contrary, wh that the mime or the laney is in yucation, is always secking the suproflumis, often at the expeuse of utility, sumetimes to the detriment of the necessary,

The result is that his wants increase from day to day: The luxury of the evening becomes the indispensable of the morrow.

This fact is just as true with regard to the savages as to civilized peoples. We must, then, consider it as one of those characters which belong to the very nature of beings. • Regarded systematically from this point of view, man might be defined as an animal requiring the superfluous, with just as much reason as he has been called a recusoning animal.

Moralists have at all times severely blamed this tendency and condemned those insatiable appetites which are always asking for more and for what they do not possess. I camot share this view. Far from blaming in principle that which essentially is but the clesire for the better, I camot but see in it one of the noblest attributes of man. This fuculty is, in reality, one of the most important causes of his greatness. When men are once fully satisfied and have no mure wants, they will come to a standstill, and progress, that great and sacred law of mankind, will come to a standstill also.

In reality, it is the want of the superfluous which has developed all our industries, which has engendered the arts and sciences without which many races and nations, and, even auong ourselves, whole populations exist perfectly well. We must therefore, with every reservation as to wrong applications, accept it in the first place ass a fact, in the second as a benefit.

## CHAPTER XXXIV.

MORAL, CHARAC"CEISS.

1. Is spite of all that is exceptional and clevated in the inthlectual phenomena displayed by man, they do not, when considered as characters, isolate us from animals. It is different with moral and religions phenomena. The latter, as we have seen, belong essentially to the human kingrlom; they are the attributes of our species. Let us examine them rapilly, and, at the same time, invariahly from this point of view.

Confining ourselves rigoronsly to the region of facts, and carcfully avoiding the territory of philosophy and theology, we may state, without hesitation, that there is mo human society or even association in which the idea of good and eril is not represented by certain acts regarded by the members of that socicty or association ats morally good or morally bed. Fien among robbers and pirates the ft is regarded as a misdeed, sometimes as a crime, and severely pminhed, white treachery is branded with infamy; the facts noticed by Wallace among the Kurubars and Santals shew how the conscionsenes of moral grood and trith is anterior to erperience, and independent of yuestions of utility.

Nevertheless, Sir John Lubbock, in a work with which all my readers are dumbtess acquainted, states that the moral sense is wanting in the sarage. In support of this opinion he quotes some vague and general ascertions bearing more particularly upon the Australians, Tahitians, Red-ikins, etc. The assertions of the eminent naturalist have heen so often
repeated that it will only be necessary for me to examine them in a few words.

In the first place, I might produce numerons quotations of the same nature in opposition to these assertions. I shall only recall the words of Wallace, speaking of the tribes in the midst of which he had lived. "Every individual," he says, "serupulously respects the rights of his neighbour, anil these rights are but rarely infringed." Is it possible to admit that this respect does not rest upon something analogous to that which we call morality. I shall, moreover, presently shew that this is really the case.

Again, Lubbock scems to have contradicted himself when pointing out in his book the small amome of real liberty enjoyed by savages. He represents them, correctly, as being the slaves of a multitude of enstoms, having the importance of laws, which rule all their actions. Now, amongst these customs, there are a great number which are at variance with the most natural passions, such as the instinct of reproduction, the choice of momishment, etc. An infringement of these laws is followed by a pmishment often torrible. Is it not evident that the greater mumber of them can only be based upen the more or less distinct idea of good and evil?

But the idea in question resembles mathematical formula. The result of the solution of at general equation saries with the data: and according to the latter may sumetimes be represented by the sign plus, sometimes by the sign minus. So morality varies in its manifestations by virtue of inmmerahleccircumstances which, agrin, origimate in mmerons canses. The same acts are oftem rewarded ats grod, bad, or indifferent, aceording to the special meranisation, the religion, or the traditions of the suciely in which they have occurrel.

These acts don not, on this accomit; cosse to belong to a facmley cessentially human; and, whether of themselves, or from the idea with which they are comeneded in the ditferent hmman gromps, there fimmith the matmonlist with clumeters as true as thase belonging to the intelligence.

This is atill ghore mitaimly the case when imslitulimens are
produced ly this order of facts and ideas. These sometimes present such a characteristic appearance, that at the first glance they seem to isulate a people or a race, and reflection is necessary to discover the true relations which mite the group by which this peculiarity is presented to other populations and races. The tabou of the Polynesians was long considered by many writers as something absolutely special, whilst in reality we meet with the civil tabou in every European mation, and the Mosaic law throughout is a tubou corle based upon religion.
'To arrive at the truth in this study we must approach it with perfect impartiality, with all the mental freedom which a zoulogist brings to the examination of the physical characters of a mammal or bird. We must avoid judging foreign peoples whether civilized, barbarous, or savage, by our own fixed ideas. If we act differently, we only render ourselves liable to error and injusticc.. A momentary return to our own case, th the history of our race and our most adranced populations, is often useful in making us appreciate justly the moral characters of tribes and peoples which we are far too fond of representing to ourselves as occupying a position far lulow our own.
II. By using this precaution, and adhering to gencral facts, we can scarcely help being struck by the intimate resemblance which moral manifentations establish between all men, both in good and in evil; and, melaneholy thongh the eonclusion is, espectially perhaps in the latter respect. For example, the infamous debauches of the Polynesian areois, the hideons vices of some American populations, have often been insisted upon. But let us not forget the orgies of Cirece and Rome, certain hames in our own great citios, and the terrible revelations which from time to time are made in the police cimerts of our promestest capitals.

Fundimentally, the White, even when civilizel, from the moral puint of riew is searedy better than the Nosro, am two often, by his comelnet in the mide of mitriur ract, has justified the argument upposed by a Malgache to a mis-
sionary, "Your soldiers seduce all our women . . . you come to rob us of our land, pillage the country, and make war against us, and you wish to force your God upon us, saying that He forbids robbery, pillage, and war! Go, you are white upon one side and black upon the other; and if we were to cross the river, it would not be us that the caimans would take."
Such is the criticism of a surage; the following is that of an European, of M. Rose, giving his opinion of his own countrymen: "The people are simple and confiding when we arrive, perfidious when we leave them. Once suber, brave and honest, we make them drunken, lazy, and finally thieves. After having innoculated them with our vices, we employ these very vices as an argument fur their destruction."

However severe these conclusions may appear, they are unfurtunately true, and the history of the relations of Europrans with the propulations which they have encometered in America, at the Cape, and in Oceania, justify them only too fully. As for Africa, it seems to me that the two words, fimele and sturery, are quite sufficient to prevent a European from boasting too loudly of the morality of his race.

It may, however, be objected that these crimes were perpetrated long aro, and will never be repeated, that slavery has been abolished in onr colonies never to re-appear. The answer is but too simple, and will, I am sure, be confirmed by the reminiscences of more than one of my readers. In (very case this allegation only applies to the Aryou White. The Semitic Whites have preservel slavery, and the accomets of all travellers, especially those of Barth, Livingstone, Nachtigrall, and Schweinfurth, show ins lout coo charly that it is still the trade of Cemtral Africa. But is the Arymen White hims.lf free from all hame upon this point? As an answer to this guestion, I shall confine myself to mentioning some facts, which hapreneel, so to speak, only the wher day. Howerer melandoly the marration may be, it will at least serve the purpose of proving that the stcmege dement still exists in the most cirilizal mations. I have borrowed them
from A. H. Markham, commander of the Ruserio, which was sent out ly the Euglish government to cruise among the archipelagoes of Santa Cruz and the New Hebrides, for the purpose of putting a stop, to the practices in question. The truth and accuracy of this testimony, which was given in 1873 , are therefore unfortunately indisputable.

Forty years ago the sandal-wood trade reached a development which is accounted for by the high value attached to this wood by the Chinese. Speculators fitted out ships, and cut down the forests of the Melanesian Islands. The natives naturally resisted this devastation: they were answered by the ritle. ln 1Ste the crews of two English vessels landed at Sandwich Island, one of the most luxuriant in the archipelago of the New Hebrides. The islanders, when resisting the destruction of their woods, were set npon by the Whites, who killed twenty-six, and, driving a great number into a care, suffocated them with smoke till not one remained.

The atrocities committed by the sandal-wood robbers have been surpassed by those of the pirates, who devoted themselves to the lebour trenjici, or lubour trude, which arose and increased with the cotton plantations which the Civil War in the United States multiplied in the English culonies, not only in Australia, but even in the Fïi lslande, aud as far as some of the New Hehrides.

The want of hands being felt, the idea struck Coptain Towns of having recourse to the imdigenons Blacks of the Sonth Sica, offering them the inducement of wages. Success crowned the enterprise, and the Captain som had imitators. The uriginal plan was to engage the islanders for a fixed time, with the understanding that they should then be sont hame. But the comsiderable gains thus ohtaineal excited erpidity, and stuce-doulers began to carry ofl lapuans in wher to transport them to plautations where veritable slavery awaital them. This trude became so extensive that it acyuired a name which was abou thetowed upou chith-stecting. It is called kidnupping, an expression which been anthorised by oftheial doenments.

All means were legitimate to the kidnarpers in order to procure their loman cargo without cosit. I might here borrow many horrible details from Markham, but I will only quote one. A brig had just anchored at some little distance from the coast of Florida, one of the Salomon Islands. A canoe filled with natives coming close alongside was upset by a manœurre, apparently accidental. The boats were immediately lowered as if to render assistance to the shipwrecked natives. But the spectators on the rocks, or in other eanoes saw Europenu sailors seize the wretched men, and with a long knife cut off their heads on the gunwale of the boat. This done, the sailors returned to the hrig which immediately set sail. The heads thus obtained were distined to pay fur the engagement of a certain number of labourers. In many of those Melanesian Islands the victorions warior decapitates and carries off the head of his vanquished enemy, and the respect which he gains increases with the mumber of these trophies in his possession. Now it had been agreed wetween certain chiefs and captains of vessels, that the latter shomld procure heads, amd, in exchange, receive a certain number of living individuats, engaged for one or two gears.

It need hardly be said that at the expiration of their engacement the unfortunate lapmans did not regain their liberty. In 1867 , for example, there is proof that, of $35^{2}$ islanders who onght to have been sent home, only 75 had been allowed to go.

It will easily be understoud that these ships, laden with unfortunate creatures, carriced off by fores or liy stratagem, were necessarily the theatre of terrible scenes. Here again the commander of the liosario, quotes many facts. I shall only herrow the accomit of what happened on board the Cerl, for the history of this slate-ship seems to me to present a smmmary of all the atrocities of lidnupping.

The Corl guitted Mellonme in 1.571 , with the avowed intention of enganing black labourers. With her, under the title of passonger, went a certain Dr. James Patrick Murray, who was interested in the enterprise, and who seems to have
played the part of leader. When they arrived at the New Hebrides, the kidnappers seem to have made ineffectual efforts to obtain labourers by legitimate means. They soon had recourse to others. At Palmer Island one of them dressed himself as a missionary, hoping thus to attract the islanders on board, who fortunately discovered the trap. From this moment the slave dealers had recourse to violence alone. Their method was to approach the canoes manned by Papuans, and to destroy or capsize them by throwing iuto them some of those large bars of iron which are used as ballast. The erews were then easily captured.

Eighty blacks had been collected in this mamer. During the day they were allowed to come on deck, but in the evening they were thrust into the hold. During the night of the 12 th of September, the prisoners made some noise. They were silenced by firing a pistol over their heads. During the fullowing might the noise begran again, and the same means were employed to stop it. But the blacks had set to work to lreak up the camp-beds, ame thus armed they attacked the hatehway. The whole crew, sailors and passenceres, then began to fire into the crowd. The firing lasted eight hours. It stopped perhapis for a few moments, but began again at the leant noise.

Day hroke, and all seemed quict; the hateliways were opened wide, and those who could were invited to come up There were fies: all the rest were either dead or wombled. The corpses were hastily thrown into the sea, and at the same time six living indiciduals who were badly womded.

Could we find among savages many industrics more infanous than kidnapping, many dects more atrocions than those of which Dr. Murray and his accomplices were gnilty?

Let us hasten to do justice to the lucal legindature and the Engti-h Parliament, which promulgated serere laws and rules for the prevention and punishment of the crimes of kidmapping. Unfortmately, the colonists, more or less interested in procuing latmorers at a cheap rate, show themsetves
remarkably indulgent towards those whose business it is to provide them with coolies. Some officers of the English navy have learnt this to their cost. Captain Montgomery, commander of the Blenche, had seized, and sent to Sydney, the schooner Chullenye as a slave ship. It was provel that on two occasions the Chullenge had imprisoned blacks in her hold, who had been frandulently enticed on to the ship; that two of them had been taken, under circumstances of violence, to the Fijis; that the others had only been released, because in their despair they had set to work to make a leak in the side of the vessel with a hatchet; and, finally, that these wretched creatures were obliged to swim back to their island, from which the Chellenge already lay at a distance of about $6 \frac{3}{3}$ miles. In spite of these grave facts, the Chullenge was aequitted. On the other hand, Captain Moutgomery was condemmed to pay $\mathfrak{f g 0 0}$ sterling damages, and interest to the owners of the ship.

1II. If it is only too easy to detect amongst ourselves the evil deeds of savages, it is, lappily, easy to point out among these people, whom we are so ready to accuse and despise, the feelings upon which our own societies are founded, the goon which, as a whole, predominates in them, and tho virtues which we most honour. My readers will, however, understand that I cannot here enter into details incompatible with the nature of this work. We mist confine ourselves to a rapill glance at the opinions held by men in general upon property, respect of humen life, and self-respect, and compare what travellers have told us of some of the most inferior races with what we know of our own and of ourselves.

It las often been said, in spraking of certain races and proples, hat they have no idea of property. Those who lonk a litule closer into the matter will see that this is an error. Among tribes of warriors, hunters, or fishers, however low a position they may hold in the seale of homanity, arms and tools are looked upon as personal property, and the testimony of travellers, who have taken but litte interest in the 'puestion, is very explicit upon this point. In the Paris

Museum there is a boomerang upon which some signs are roughly carved. M. 'Thozet, the donor, was showing it on some occasion to an Australian from the neighbourhood, when the latter at once discovered from the signs to whom it had belongel. But there is another form which property assumes amoner savage or barbarous populations. If it is a question of land, it will often be fomm to be muler the jurisdietion of the clan, tribe, or nation. The hemtingfrounds of the Red-Skins are met with in every place where civilization has stopped at the level which they represented at the eproch of their discovery. This species of property exists in New Holland among peoples, supposed ly sone to be degenerute monkeys, and the right which rules it is so rigorous that the Australian never enters the property of a neighhouring tribe without express permission. To act otherwise is equivalent to a declaration of war. Our common lands, and the ammal conflicts which took place formerly, and which, perhaps, still take place, in spite of official settlements, between French and spanish shepherds, will give some idea of such a state of things. Among certain Australian tribes, territnrial property is still more divided and definite; every family has its hunting-gromeds, which are inherited ly the sons to the exclusion of the daughters.

Among the most savare peoples, when we have been ahke to gain definite information as to thoir manners, we fini that the ft is regarded as something wrong, and pmoished. Among the Australians, poaching is pmished with death.

But theft is only a crime when it is committed under certain circumstaness. When moder others it is, on the contrary, regarded as meritorious. To rob min enemy of his honses or cattle is a praiseworthy act of emming. It is not longer theft, but an act of hostility: Nows, to the sarage the stranger is almost always menemy. The ease is the same with a great many Aryan and Semitic $\boldsymbol{p}^{\text {nemphes }}$ Was it not in among the classic nations from which we derive our civilization?

Nothing is more common than to hear travellers accuse entire races of an incorrigible propensity for theft. The insular populations of the South Sia lave, amongst others, been reproached with it. These people, it is indignantly affirment, stole even the nails of the ships! But these mails were iron, and in these islands, which are devoid of metal, a little irm was, with good cause, regarded as a treasure. Now, I ask any of my readers, supposing a ship with shentling and bolts of gold, and nails of diamonds and rubies, were to sail into any European port, would its sheathing or its nails be safe? And would not numbers of people be found really to reason like the Nugroes, who make no scruple of robbing a White? "You are so rich," they say, when reproached with any misdeed of this kind.

These same Negroes, however, have a great respect for property among themselves. Theft does not appear to be more frequent with them than it is with us hetween Europeans, and the thief is pronished upon the coast of Guinea precisely in the same manner as in Europe.

We ought, perhatps, to refer to the idea of property the mamer in which adultery is regarded by some peoples. In countries where the woman may be bonght, it is evidently a violation of the rights of the proprictor. Nevertheless, even amongst the most savage tribes, a more elevated feeling, and one which is connected with moral or social ideas, ats we mirselves understand them, may be proved, often in the clearest manner. The gravity of the punishment incurred by the culprit searcely permits of a doult that it is so. The Anstralian, mucompted by the viemity of the White and liranly, never forgives one who has destroyed the purity of his wife, and kills him on the first accasion. With the Hottentols, death again is the pmishment for adultery. It is the custom among the Negroes of the Gold Const for the colprit, as a general rule, to make an arrangement with the iinjured party, if it is a question of one of the women of the thicid order, whe are merely conculines. But if it is a question of the great wige or the Fitish wife, then death, or at
least the ruin of the culprit, will alune suffice to avenge the iinury.

Yet Necresses are not Penclopesi. I do not for a moment think of challenging the manimous evidence of travellers on this point, and the husbands, as we have just remarked, do not always invoke the rigour of the local code. What may we legitimately infer from this fact? Merely that the customs and the law of these races are at variance. But is it mot often so amongst ourselves. Is adultery practised with impunity only among Negroes? Do complaisant husbands exist only among Anstralians?
IV. Respect for human life is miversal. 'The murderer is everywhere punished. But, amongst ourselves, murder supposes certain couditions. In spite of the law, he who kills his adversary in a fair ducl is regrated by no one as a murderer; he who kills or canses the death of a great number of enemies in pitched battle is a hero.

With the savage the formula is still more elastic. As I have just remarked, he regrards a stranger in almost every case as an enemy, aid to kill him is no crime; it is often a title of honour. Moreover, among the greater number of savage or barbaroms peoples, blood demamds blood, and for vengeane to be complete, it is not necessary that the true culprit shonk be overtaken. Exery individual of the same family, tribe, or nation, cun, and mu*t pay for his crime if occasion offers. When Takonri treucherousl!/ massacred C'aptain Marion du Fresne and his sixteen sailors, he only wheyed the laws of his comntry. He had avenged his relative Nagui Noni, treucherously carried off three years prewionsly by surville, who wished to punish the theft of a canoes. In this manner many Europeans have fallen vietims tu the misideds of their countrymen, and certain peoples have acenired an ummerited reputation for ferocity.

But let us remomber that the Scoteh and the Corsienns seareely acter differently in their reudetta. With them, as with the Red-Skin, the Maori, and the Fijian, the bleod of wery member of the fanily or clan might atone for the
bood spilt by another. Again, that which we now call uilful murder, was no more considered by the Enropean as an act of cowardice or treason tham it is by the savage. Let us remember, moreover, that in the Middle Ages, chiefs occupying the highest positions in European society, did not hesitate to act in this mamer; let us remember that the commanders of our ships, when punishing savages for some attack, bombard and burn the first villages that they meet without any seruple, although they may be almost sure that many innocent will pay for the guilty; and perhaps we shall be less severe.

As to a want of respect for luman life, the white European race camot reproach the most barbarous. Let us look back upon our own history, and recall some of those wars, those pages written in letters of blood in our own amals. Let us not, above all, forget our conduct towards our inferion brethren; the depopulation which marks every step through the world ; the massacres committed in cold blond, and often for ambsement ; the man-hments organized after the mamer of stag-hunts; the extermination of entire populations to make room fur European colonies, and we shall be forced to acknowledge that if respect for homan life is a moral and miversal law, no race has violated it oftener, or in a more terrible mamer than our own.
V. Modesty and sense of homour are mudoubtedly two of the principal manifestations of self-respect. Neither the one nor the other are wanting among savage peuples. But the former, especially, often shows itself in customs and partices widely opposed to our own, or hearing no resemblance whatever to them. 'This has given sise to many misconceptions, such ats that which, atmong oertain Polynesians, has been omsidered as a mefimement of immodest sensmality, what in their opinion is only an act of elfomentary modesty.

I might multiply examples of this nature, but for what pmprose ? is it not the same in matters of politeness? We rise and uncover the head hefore a stranger or a superior ; in a similar case the Turk remains covered, and the Polynesian
sits down. Though differing so entirely in form, are they not inspired ly the same sentiments? Is not the faculty by which they are called into play everywhere the same?

It is the same also with the sense of homour. Here, however, more than in any other case, we meet with concrptions remarkably in accordance with our awn. The history of savage nations abounds with traits of warlike heroism, and mothing is more common than to see savages prefer torture and death to shame. The Algonquin and the Iroqnois challenge their executioners to invent fresh tortures. The Kaffir chief asks as a farour to be thrown to the crocodiles rather than lose the feather, which to him represents the epaulette, and serve as a common suldier after having been an officer. The duel of the Australian is more logical thatn ours, and always in carnest.

That which we call chivalroms gemernsity in spaaking of Emopeans, is hy no means wanting in savares. In the struggles at 'Tahiti several oftieers owed their lives to this feeling. After peace had heon conchuded, Adairal Bruat asked a Tahitian chief, to whome fire hee had tweon exprosed for an hour while he bathed, why he hand mot fired: "I should have been dishomonred in the eres of my people if I had killed such a chief as you, naked, and ly surprise," replied the savage. Could the most civilized mau have acted or spoken better?

We might ghotu rarions actions of lead-Skins and Australians, arising from sontiments of the same nature.
VI. In conclusion, if it is sad to lo fursed to recognise momen eril in rawes and in nations which have carried social civilization to the highost dagree of perfection, it is consoling to achnowledge the good in the must backward tribes, and th) firm it there in its mont elevated and retined form. Thic fimdamental identity of human nature is nowhere dipplayed in at more striking manner.

Does this assertion lead to the inference that all homan groups are upon the sume moral level? By momeans. From this, as from the intellertual point of view, they may hold a
higher or lower position of the scale, without any of them falling to zero. It is precisely this moral inequality which has for the anthropologist an interest at once scientific and practical. The very development of the faculty, the acts which it inspires, the institutions of which it is the foumdation, present differences sufficiently great to make it possible to discover characters in this order of facts.

## CHAPTER XXXV.

## HELIGIOUS CHARACTERS.

I. If scicntific impartiality and calm judgment are necessary in the study of moral phenomena, they are much more indispensable when we have to account for facts depending upon religious feeling. Unfortunately this condition is too rarely fultilled. Passion, with lamentable facility, beeomes involved in whatever resembles a religinus question. Many other eauses, easy to mention, join passion in leading our judgment astray, and it is not difficult to explain how, under these several influences, it has been possible, honestly tu ignore manifestations of religion in the more or lese important divisions of mankind.

The most frequent canse of error to which 1 feel myself bound to call attention, has its origin in the high opinion which the European lias of himself, in the hahitual contempt which is the most striking feature of his relation with olluer propulations, and especially to those which, with greater or less reason, he treats as barbarians or savages. For example, a traveller who, as a ghomal rule, speaks the language of the conntry rery batly, interogates a fiew individuals upon the delieate questions of the Deity, futhre life, cte., amb his interdocutors, mot melerstambing him, make a fiew signs of dubbt or denial, which have no referenee to the guestions asked. The European in his turn mistakes their meaning. Having, in the first instance, merely regarded them as beings of the lowest type, incapable of any conception howerer trilling, he conchales without hesitation that these peoples have no idea cither of God or of another life; and his
assertion, soon repeated, is at once accepted as true by readers who share his opinions about populations unacquainted with our civilization. The history of travel would furnish us with many examples of this fact. Kifftirs, Hottentots, etc., have often been spoken of as atheists, while we now know that this is by no means the case.

Should the traveller, moreower, speak the langnige of the country with ease, he is still liable to fall iuto error. Religrious belief forms part of the most hidden depths of our nature; the savage does not willingly expose his heart to a stranger whom he fears, whose superiority he feels, and whom he has often seen ready to ignore or ridicule what he has always regarded as most worthy of vencration. The difficulty which a Parisian experiences in France in understanding the superatitions of the Basque sailor, or of the Pas-Breton peasant, should make him able to appreciate those which he would find in giving an explanation of similar subjects in connertion with Kaffirs or Australians. Campbell hat great troulle in obtaining from Makoum the awowal that the Burjesman admitted the existence of a mate god and of a femate grod, of a goul and evil principle. He left many other, and much more impurtant discoveries to be made by MM. Arhousset and Dammas. Wallis, alter a month's intimacy with the Tahitians, declared that they possessed no form of worship, whilst it contereol, so to speak, into their most trivial actions. He had seen mothing beyond a cemetery in the Monaï, these vemerated tomples, of which no woman minht even tom the survel gromul.
The livily faith of a missulanary is, again, often at callse of -arer. Whatever the Christian commmion may be to which her belones, her enemrally arrives in the midst of the people whom he wishes to comsert, with a hatrod of their oljeets of lodief, which are to him works of the devil. Tine often he mither secks to aceont for them, mor even to become seequainted with them ; his sole embleavour is to destroy them. $I$ womblate mention one of thes ton zonlous : anostes, who sens nothing in the Prahminieal religion lut the utmost har-
barism mited with the utmost alsumdity. It is elear that the much more rudimentary belief of a Kattir or of an Australian could not he a religion in the opinion of such a judge as this. He expresses and publishes his ideas, aund another name is added to the list of atheist populations.

Fortunately amongst lay Einopeans there are some who, permanently settled in the midst of these populations, become initiated into their customs and manners, so as to understand them and to fathom mysteries, which would by wthers le passed over on accome of offensive or curions forms. Among missionaries there are some who, more indulgent, because they are more enlightened, can recomise the religious conception, however feeble it may be, or however it may have been transfurmed. Little by little the light has appeared, and the result has been that Anstralians, Melanesians, Bosjesmans, Hottentuts, Kaffirs, and Bechmanas, have, in their turn, heen withrrawn from the list of atheist nations and recognised as religious.
II. Can the justice of this conclusion be denied? C'an amyone refuse to allow a religion, properly so callend, to these peoples, to recognise ats true divinities heings whon menive a tribute of affection or temer, homage and prayers on the part of populations, who cither fear or trust in them? It is pus:sible. Here agatin our Emropran pride seems to me to have often led to false emelusions. Believers or unkelievers, frecthinkers or zealons Christians, savants and philosophers have been too much under the influence of the idea of the Deity as concerived by our most cultivated clames. Often when this idea is even slightly degrated or medified, they no longer acknowledge its existence; when the condusions drawn from it upen the origin, nature, and destiny of man or of the universe, diffice emm slightly from thom which they admit themselves, or have heen arenstomed to hear, they refnes them the name of erligion.

I can only explain in this manner the julgment pased upen a very considerable portion of mankind liy a mumber of savants and eminent thinkers, amongst whom we must
reckon the illustrious Orientalist Burnouf. In his opinion Buddhism is true atheism. In a work which has been deservedly successful, M. Barthélemy Saint-Hilaire has sulpported this view with incontestable talent and learning. He has, moreover, placed on an equality with Buddhist heliefs, and perhaps even below them, those which had preceled them among the Mongols, Chinese, and Japanese. Thus, in the opinion of this eminent writer, nearly all the yellow races, much more than the third of mankind, are atheists.

But, in formulating this conclusion, the learned author of Buddah chicfly consultel his own reason and conceptions. "Buddhists," he says, " may without any injustice be regarded as atheists. I do not mean that they profess atheism, that they glory in their incredulity with that hasting of which more than one example might be quoted amongst onrselves; 1 only mean that these nations have not been able to rise in their moblest thoughts to the conception of (iod."

In these few lines the idea of the book and the canse of the disagreement which separates me from M. Barthélemy Saint-Hilaire is clearly evident. The Buldhists, who everywhere give a place to goods in their legends, who have everywhere raised temples consecrated to these deities, who fuar and worship them, who have made prayer in institution, who admit the dogma of future life and of remmeration, have not formed that idea of God to which we have all more or hess attained; they are therefore athecists. This is evindontly the preprssis:sion under the inthene of which this mork has been written, which, however, should be reme by all who art: desirons of gaining cornet impressions conerming some of the grave uncestions sor loutly disputed at the pressent day.

Thu" satant who comsidered Budhism ats atheism womd with sill greater reason make the same estimate of the anciont heliefs of Japam, Chima, and Mongelia. Neverthelens, there was in this case also a tuedief in monerons divinities, always subordinated to one supreme, mucreated and ereating

Gool. In Japan, we are told by Sicbolt, there were counted no less than seven celestial gods, and eight millinu kamis, or spirits, of which 492 were superior gols. The inferior Kamis, to the number of $26 \neq()$, were duified men. In China, the aim of the reform of Lao-tsen and of Khomg-tsen was, partly, the destruction of idolatry, and idolatry is mot atheism. The pepulations of northern and central Asia have in almust all catses been accused by travellers expecially of superstition, and net of atheism. They also have theor iduls. The ease is similar with all morthern pmplations. In the sacred island of Waygatz, near to the straits of the same name, the missionaries burnt, in $18: 7$, 400 imares collected upon the promontory of Haye-Salye alone. Thronghout this vast area, the inhabitants believed, or still believe, in spirits dwelling in rocks, trees, momutains, or the celestial houlies, and offered to them an interested homage.

Still, however, there was an miversal belief in a supmeme Giorl, who had created these very spirits, and was the Preserver of all living things. The Lapps and Samoyedes had, or still have on this point, the same conceptions as the ancient Chinese. Their Jubmed, and their Nien answer exactly to the C'hang-ti of Khomeng-tsen himself, while popular idioms show that they regary him as the first dispenser of all good. Noun tued (may Num gramt), and Nium arke (thanks be to Nimin), are apparently of frequent accurrence in the langlage of the Sanovedes. This belief in a supreme (iod and in seenulary spicits, of vast mumber, but still presenting a kind of hierarchy, is a very ancient one in Chima, for we find the cmperor (hum 22.5 yars before one cta "othering swofices to the supreme Sowernign of Heaven, and Hher isinal ceremmies to the six great spirits, as those matally offied to momtains, streams, and spirits in general."

Posiessing beliefs of this kind, attested and sanctional ly public acts, can they tre regarded as athecists? If so, we monst at least alluw that this is a very difterent athei-m from that which has heren professal, and is still profersed, by certain European schouls of philorophy.
III. I might make similar observations upon the suljeet of the opinions published by Sir John Lubluek in the two works which have gained for him in anthropology a reputation equal to that which he already enjoyed as a naturalist. "It is diffieult," he says, " to suppose that savages so rude as not to be alle to count their own fingers, should have acruired intellectual conceptions sufficiently advanced to possess a system of belief worthy of the name of religion."

Leaving on one side what the author here says abont numeration, which rests, I think, upon a false assumption, do not these words, "worthy of the name of religion," show us that, like M. B. Saint-Hilaire, Sir John Lubboek take: his wwin conceptions in religions matters as a criterion of those of savages?

In the opinion of Sir John Lallhock, atheeism is not "the nergation of the existence of : (ionl, hut the abseme of dofinite ideats upen this suljaet." Here, like MI. Barthélemy SaintHilatire, the English savant gives to the worl ulleism a very different sense to that which it has hed hitherto. Moreover, he quotes elsewhere without comment seweral passirges, the sense of which clarly implies a megation of all divinity, and sometimes himself makes use of expressions which seem to prove that such is his emuvetion, at least with regarel to certain savages. Thus, the testimony which her makes nses of, and his own worls, are oftem cmployed in the silport of the upinion which denices any religion to mertain human groups.

The choice, moreover, of the gnotations in quention seems (1) Tue liahle to a serious wheation. Whan the writers, agrainst whum I ath now argning, have to chmene hetween two whlenes, the one attenting, the ofthe kenging the existhene of religions belief in a pronlation, it is always the latter which they samm th think should ho arreptom. More often than mol, they do mot eren mention the contary exidenes, howere definite, howerer anthentic they may be.

Now it is arvidently mulh cusier mot to see than to disconer that which may be in so many ways remderel inappreciable
to our cyes. When a traveller states that he has proved the existence of religions sentiments in a pepmlation, which by others had been derdared to be destitute of them, when he gives precise details upon such a delicate question, he has unquestionahly at least probability in his favour. I sice nothing to muthorize this rejection of positime ceredence and unconditional acceptance of neyutive actalence. This, homever, is too often the case.

I might justify this inputation by taking, one hy one, almost all the examples of so-called atheist populations pointed out hy different authors. I shall contine myself to some of the most striking.

With reference to the Americams, Pubrtam is quend, who states that several tribs, have been diseorered in America possessing no conception of a Sufreme being and no religions ceremonies. Nomention is mald of the information, fire which we are indubted to 1) Orhigny, athough it is very precise. The anthor of l'llomeme Amertedin deserves this neglect the less, since he directly comtradiets the opinions held upon this sulyet hy several writers, and ly Robertan himself. "Ahhongh sereral amthors," he sals, "have demied all religion to certain Americans, it is "rident in onr opinion that all the natimes, wem the mont hathatrons, pmasesed one of some kiml." Dortiguy derologk this "pinion beg givitg deraik of the dugmats aceppted hy atl the races of sumth Anerica, and he pmeses all the low inef in amoner life as alterad ly thair fimetal ceremonion Is mot this of mere improtame tham the simple newative asertion Inmomed froml at taveltar?

It may he eljectent hat Worthigny sume ouly of the tribes of simel Ameriea, and that the athei-t popmations must be songht in the morthern portion of this montiment. The Califurnims have, in fact, Inem theted, upen the anthority of P . Baccert, as having mither governmot, relicion, idols, temples, noer form of wor-hip. But mothing is said of the facts ulserved by M. de Me fras, wheld directly contradiat this :asertion. The Caifurnians, this traveller tells us
believe in a superior God. "This God has had neither father nor mother. His origin is entirely unknown ; they believe that He is omnipresent; that He sees everything, even in the middle of the darkest nights; that He is invisible to all eyes; that He is the Friend of the good, and that He punishes the wieked." The Californians build oval temples, or, perhaps, rather chapels, from 10 to 12 ft . in diameter, which are regarded as asylums, even in case of murder. Clearly, the Californians must be erased from the list of atheist populations, the conception which they have formed of their superior God being, on the contrary, a remarkably clevated one. In this respect these poor saluages greatly surpassed the Greeks and Romans.

The Californians rank amongst those human tribes which are least elevated in the social seale; but there are some which are considered to stand far below them, the Mineopies, for example. Some writers, adopting the ideas of Momat, regrard them as atheists. 'Ihny make no mention of the evidence of Major Michacl Symes and Mr. Day. The former rolates the infurmation which he received from Captain Stocker, who lived for several years in the midst of these islanders ; the latter relates what he saw. From their combined evidence, it appears that the Mincopies worshipped the sim as the primal somree of all grood ; the moon ats a secondary power; the genii of the woods, rivers, and mountains as agents of the first divinities. They believe that a malevelent spirit raises tempests, and they nometimes endeavon to pacify it by songs, sometimes menaere it with their arrows. These Mincopies ledieve in :amother life, and keep is lighted fire mader the phatform Which bears the corpse of a chicef to appease his pozecriul криітіt.

The evidence of Le Vaillant is accepted with reference to the alsence of all religion in the Hottontots. No notice is taken of the eontrary opinion hodd by Kolben, Whe acenracy :and (ruth of which, thongh formerly dombted, are mow plaeed above suspicion by the impuiry instituted by Wialkentace.

Kollen, morcover, only confirmed the statements of his predecessors Saar, Tachard, and Bueving. He had also the advantage of having studied the ahorigines before they were subdued and dispersed by the Europeans. Now Killow tells us that the Hottentots believed in a Gorl, the creator of all existing things, doing no harm to anyone, amd living beyond the moon. They called Him Gounje T'irquon, that is to say, God of Gods. They also recongized an evil divinity, called Touquete. The moon was, in their opinion, an inferior gomja. 'They believed, moreover, in another life, for they were afraid of ghosts, and remdered a surt of adoration to their great men, ly dedicating to them a fiehd, a mountain, or a river, to which they mate, in passing, some sign of respect. These details, given by the ohl Prnssian traveller, agree with those which Camplell receced from the lips of a Hottutet chief.

Burchell, it is stated, conld discoser no religion in the Bachapine Kaftirs. Nevertheless, and Lalbtock allows it himself elsewhere, we find in the writings of this traveller that the Bachapines heliewed in a malevolent being ealled Mouliimu, to whom they attribute everything of an mpheasaut nature which happens to them. 'To defeme themsolves against him they coner themsedes with amulets, and they hold many ofter sumerstitions. It is evident that Burchell was not aequainted with everything which the Bachapines beliesed, cither hecane he did not attarty groat impertance to the furestication, or becanse he was presemted by the diffienlty which Kolben has mentioned, and which I have printed ont alowe.

Thus the Pachapincs beliese in a superior, but wil thines, in a kinul of decil. It would be very singular if thes dad not believe in a sprices of (iowl. Shlowedurth belions he has diseovered something similar among the Pongos ; Imt hee himself indists several times upon the difticulte of determining exactly what to believe in gueations of this hind. Tat us admit, however, that this may lee true in the cave of these Negroes as also in that of the lachapines. We can only
regard it as an accidental and lucal phenomenon, and in no way as a character of race. I shall return later to the Negroes; I will now only add a few words with reference to the Bachapines.

This population is only a portion of the Bechuana Kaffir race. Nuw, thanks to Livingstone, M. Cazalis, and others, we have, upon the sulject of the religions beliefs of these tribes in general, details which are very minute and of incomtestable authenticity. The Basutos have their legends, their cosmogrony, and their rudimentary mythology. They admit the existence of a being who destroys by thunder, they give to him the name of Morence, literally, Intelligent Being who is cubore, they have, moreover, Molimos, a kind of honsehold gouls, to whom they offer prayers and satrifices, and in whose honom they purify themselves; they believe in another life, in another world situated in the centre of the earth, which they call the ubysss which is never filted. The Bechnanats believe so strongly in ghosts that the fieree Dingan dare not go out in the evening, for fear of meeting the spectre of Chaka, whom he hal assiassinated.
IV. The result of my inestigations is exactly the opposite of that to which Sir John Ambrock and M. Saint-Hilaire have arrived. Obliged, in my course of instruction, to review all human races, I have sought atheism in the lowest as well as in the highest. 1 have nowhere met with it, except in individuals, or in more or less limitul sedmols, such as those which existed in Enrope in the last century, or which may still be seen at the present day.

Can it be that analoghons facts have oecorred elsewhere, and that some Ameriean trilues, some Polynesian or Mclancsian perpulations, some hordes of Bodonins may have entirely lust the coneption of the divinity and :amenther life? It is certainly pussible that it may be so. But suld by side with these tribes dwell nther trihns, other perpulations, of pereise?! the sume race, which still pusaess a religions faith. Such is indeed the result of the examples ghetel hy Cabhock.

This is the great point. We nowhere meet with atheism
exeept in an cmutic condition. In cvery place, and at all times, the mass of populations have escapen it ; we nowhore find either a great human race, of even a division however unimportant of that race, professing atheism.

Such is the result of an impuiry which I ann justitien in calling conscientions, and which commenced before I assumend the anthropological professor: hip. It is true that in these researches I have proceeded and have formed my conclusions, nut as at thinker, a believer, or ats a philusupher, who are all more or less under the inflnence of an ideal which they accept or oppose, but exelnsively us a nuturatist, whose chief aim is to seek for and state finets.

In the scientific study of religions we must avoid notiner in the mamer of the physiobugist, who, having experimented upon the sertubratar alone, refuend to recomise the chameteristic functions of animal life in the lower animale, becanme they were in those cases simplor aml more obseme. Here, more perhaps than elsewhere, we should imitate mondern naturalists, who have traced the fmmanental functions even in the lowest molluses athl zonplytes, where all apecial onganization is often wamting.

The physindogist does not deny the existemee of a pheme-
 to these to whish her is atermatment. In almon all animats, even to the lowest, chymitiastion takes plare in the interion of the benly. In the Phesalia the same flys-imhogieal act is

 In spite of the strangeness of the preneos, the function h.ts mither disappearel, nor chamgel its matme in the eyes of the scientitic man.

The naturati-t "hon studies the history of man, that is to say; the authrophomi-1, should meither set nor julder mhor wise. Hewever simple or incomplete, however matse and
 its character in his eye, if it has any connection with that element which is common and enemtial to all religions.

Now, whatever the dogmas and doctrines of the latter may be, we may accept as a general formuli, which embraces them all, the two following points: a belief in beings superior to man and capable of exercising a good or evil influence upon lis destiny ; and the conviction that the existence of man is not limited to the present life, but that there remains for him a future beyond the grave.

Every people, every man, believing these two things, is religious, and observation shows more and more clearly every day the universality of this character.

Like intelligence and morality, religions feeling has, moreover, its several degrees and manifestations. To seek for these manifestations, to determine their nature and intensity in the various human groups, must be the task of the anthropologist. In order to be faithful to the modern method, he must neglect nothing. Sometimes the most rulimentary religion will have for him a greater interest than one which is fully developed, becanse it exposes more clearly the primary religions elements. In their progressive development, in the hamony or diseorl existing between this development and that of the intelligence or morality, he will find many characteristic features suitable for distingmishing races, and sometimes their subdivisions.
V. The peint of view taken ly the maturalist differs, then, in certain respeets, from that which has hitherto heen adepted liy the greater mamber of eminent men, who have chnteavomed to estallish the Sefence of religions. Exem M. Emile Burnouf, who has so dearly characterised this new scomere, who has shown so admirably in what resperts it difiers from theolugy, who has so justly insisted mon the neerswity of enlarging the area of studies of this kind, and of no longer confining ourselves to the beliefs of ancient and modem Enroperns, seems to me to have yielded to the prejulices which be "ppu. es.

In fact, this anthor divides religions inten !neat and smell. The former in his opimion are: Christianity, Judaism, Mahnomelaniom, Brahmanism, and Buddhism. He tums his
attention to these only, leaving all others in the backerromel. M. Burnouf may, it is true, argue from the relative number of : wherents.

The fullowing are, in fact, from the latest researches of M. Hubner, the general religious statistics of the gholee.

'The same anthor gives about one thonsitul as the mamber of the religions or seets into which mankind is divided. The majority is unguestionably greatly on the side of the small religions, which present, at least in certain respecte, a variety of concention empal, if not supnerior, to all that has berol wherved in the great. II. Burmonf arts, therefore, like the noturalist, "ho would form his judgment uron the animal
 the rest, that is to say, threw-fourths of the fundamental, athet at wey con-iderable number of the secombary types.

Without even mentinnine Christianity, the gratat religinns of M . Burnouf are doulthess of intereat to the in many respects, on accoment of the retations which mathy of them preadet with the ierliefs of almost all Eoropeans, and also from the historioal, social, aml prlition importano of the nations ly whom they are profesad. But rom-didrations of this kiml are far from heiner everything ins side nee. Mammifers are of much mone the to us than worms or zenphyte: fot the zoolorint takes as muth interat in the litter as in the former ; and it beromas more evilent evor da! how useful, and often how mecerany the stuly of these simplified
organisms is, for the better understunding of the more complex organisms of higher anmals.

The examination of the smull religions will render an amalogous service to the science of the great religions. It will be, perhaps, amongst the former that we shall be forced to seek the origin of those beliefs which now include so many millions of men; under one form or another, we shall, doubtless, often meet with traces of them side by side with, or even in the midst of the most fully developed religions, and those which are apparently most widely separaterl from them. Upen these two points our opinions will nor I think clash with those of M. Bumouf and Sir John Lubluck.
VI. The latter, in lis Origines of C'ivilizution, has, in fact, endeavoured to trace the grablual develoment of religion in the inferior human races. Unfortmately, lie seemes to me to have, as a rule, umbervalued the greater momber of these conceptions, and to have ignored the remarkably clevated chatacter which many of them exhihit. This alone may have led him to comsider religion as propremal to civilization, and developing only with the latter. I camot share this rew; and the disagrement between Labhack and myself is also dhe in a great measure the the importance:
 have reseaped the metiee of the bendish salam. A frew "atmples will justify these ohservations.
of all tha perples, conmerning whase berlifs we prossess all almos sulliciont ammont of information, the Anst ralians ectainly take the first plate. Cpom hois peint I ann emtirely acreal with Sir John Lullwok. But I canme hold with him, that these pupulations do mot beliewe in a gent of any hime; that they mever oftion prayers; that they hate no form of womship at all.
 Byme, Collins, and Ma Gillivay; but he forgeds Gumingham, Dansom, Wilknes, Salsallo, and Stamberidere. In comparing the information ohtainal ly thew travellem in defferent parts of

New Holland, we everywhere observe a similar foumbation in the beliefs, which well deserve to be termed religioms.

The Australians admit a good principle, called, acerorling to the locality, C'oyun, Motogon, P'upperimbul, who is sometimes speken of by the in as a kind of giant, at others ats a kind of spirit. Coyan is heneficent, and menarls the recowry of lost children as almost his special duty. To oltain his fasum, darts are offered to him. If the child is not fimmed, it is supposed that he is angry. In Now-Nursie, Moturnon is the weator. He had only to cry: Earth, appuar: Wiater, appear: and to breathe in order to give hirth to atl thing that exist. Without being so precise. the matives of Tymil Lake ascribe the creation of the sun to Pupperimbul, who belongeel to a class of beings resembling men, but who had been transurted to heasen lefore the appearance of the present race. In sunth-east Ausiralia, Coyan watelus user the ceril principle, called loboyan, Wiandony, ('imsue, whe roams about at night to deronr men ats well as children, and agsainst whom they protect themselves by fire. The monn, again, is, in the opinim of the Australiams, a malewollont being, whose evil intluence is combteracted liy the sum. several good and evil gemi, lielements and Hithints, combphate this rudimentary mythology, which has abor its fabulons monsters, its great serpmins hiden in hepprivers. ete TheAustralians holieve, morener, in a kind of immonality of the soul, which pases's succesnively from one lowly to another: But leftere fimding a new abunte, the spirits of the dead wander for a cortain longth of time in the forest, and the matives very often affirm that they have been som or heard

True, these are not wery noble beliefs. Thlure is, however, here something of a very different mature from what sir Johm Lubloch's view of the mater would load us to

 in seremal tribes: uldations and prayer have lecen provel in othes. In all we oberree the Eermof that belief in duetiom, that antagonism of henerolent and male wolent supmer
human powers, which is found in the grectest religions, and which is the basis even of Christianity. As to the belief in another life, no one has of late, I believe, denied the possession of it to the Australians.

In treating of the religion of the Polynesians, Lubbock quotes chictly Mariner, Willians, and Sir George Grey: These authorities are unexeeptional ats regards their statement upon what they have discovered. But their silence upon certain points does not justify us in concluding that there are real gaps in those eases. Other travellers have grone much further than they went, known what they were ignomant of, and have imparted the knowlodge to ns. Moereuhout was the first, I believe, to publish original documents upon the most ancient Tahitian traditions. Uthers followed; and, thanks to favourable circumstances, I haw been able to profit by these researches. lu the work which 1 published eight years before that of Lubhock, I reviewnd and disenssed the principal docments for which we are indebted to Captain Lavand, General Ribumt, the missionary Orsmond, M. Gamssin, and others. All these docmments, obtained from chicfs belonging to the most ancient families and well rersed in the traditions of their ancesturs, have the appearance of incontestable anthenticity, and throw an entirely fresh light upon the early history of religion, at heast in Tahiti. I believe I have defined with sufficient clearness what these religions beliefs were, and istaldished beyond a donbt that, side by side with notions arising entirely from surerstition, the Tahitians had attained conceptions remarkable for their purity and clevation.

Lat us first prove that in the island where Wallis declared har had mot beelo able to disenser the lasist trace of religions worship, this whiship was, on the eomtrary, mised up with the most trivial acts of life. It was even productive of milancholy consingences. formulism reigned simperme. 'Trmating in his religions observances, in the prayers of his priests, and in the imblulgme: of his gods, the Tahitian thought himself at liberty to do almost anything. He
combined the strongest and most simple faith with manners remarkable for their violence and licentionsness. But dues not all Europe of the Middle Ages, and, even at the present time, do not many provinces, which in other respects are by no means behind the agre, present phenomena of a similar nature.

Fet the Tahitians believed in another life, in rewarls and pmishment after death. Their paradise, of which they gave ath enticing description, was reserved for the chiefs, and for those who had made sutbicient offerings to the grxls, that is to say, to the priests. Wias not, and is not this still, the object of pious donations?

The souls of the remainder, whose life had been regular, went at once into Po, into obseurity, a kind of limbo, where there seems to have been neither pain nor pleasure of a very decided nature. But guilty souls were eondemned to undergin a certain mumber of times, 1 scrutching of the gleath upen ecery bone. 'Their sins expiated, they too were admitted into Po. The Tahitians thus admit a kind of purgatory and no hell. It should also be observed that the punishment inflicted upon the gnily supposes a kind of materiality of the soul. But is not this also the case with thase torments which nearly all our Christian popmlations still believe to be reserved for the sinner cast into the themes of liell.

We find in the pantheon of the Tahitians a hicrarchy equal to, but much exceeding in mumber that of the Greche and Rumans. At the lowest extremity of the seate we fim? innumerable Tiis, whose duty it was to preside in every place. wrer the smallest actions, the smallest movements of the soul, even to the wishes of day and night. Atove the latter come the Oromotouns, who representel the dememtio grols, the Lares and Manes of the ancients. The infierior Atubas, dwelling umen the earth, inhabiting rivire, whats. salless, and mountains, answer sery fairly to the Fams. Sylvans, Dryads, Oreals, fe. Moreover, it is from among the divinitien of this elass that tie varions profestons chome their patrons. The singers, chorographere, and ductors had
four, sailors twelve, and agriculturists thirteen. The grods of the first rank were Atouns properly so called. They also were equally mumernus. But nine of them, created (oriori) directly by Taaroa, before the formation of man, composed, eorrectly speaking, the dicine fumily.

Finally, above all those divinities, stood the Supreme God. There can be no doubt as to the conception which the 'Tahitians formed of the latter. Traditions, collected at different times by different persons, and from equally different sourens, agree perfectly upon this point. The song received by Moerenhout from the lips of a harepo began thas: "He was; Taaroa was his name ; he existed in space ; no earth, no heaven, no men." The mannseript of Ceneral libourt describes him as tö̈ri, having had no parents, and existing from time immemorial. The sacred song translated by M. (ionsin begins with the following declaration. "Taaroa the great orderer, is the origin of the earth. 'Taaroa is tuini; he has no father, un posterity:"

The Tahitians regarded this merrated Ciod, moreover, as almost a pure spirit, and he wais mulomitedly so in the estimation of the more enlightened islanders. Certain traditions represcont him with a body; hut, siys Geueral Ribourt's mamseript, this borly is incisible, and further it is merely, "a sluell whinh is frepuently renewed, and which the God hoses, as : bird its feathers." In Mocrembout's song, it is he who chanres himself into the universe; but "the great and sacred miverse is only the shell of Taaroa." In that of M . Gamsin, Tatroa raises his head out of his cowring, which disappears and beomes the earth. In the magnifient dialoonte, also translated by M. Canssin, and in which Taaroa calla, so to speak, upm atl the different parts of the universe. who in turn :mswor him, it is said: "The smul of Tatroia remained Geul." Unfurtmately, after the creation was
 reprer, and to have left to the inferior deities the government of this world.

We see here, again, that as far as the first conception is
coneerned, we are far above the Zens of the (irecks, or the Jupiter of the Romans. And yet who would dream of comparing the Tahitian civilization with the civilization or the intellectual productions of the Grecks? It is one of the many facts which show the independenee of the phemomena of the intelligence and those of the religious feeling.

It is not in Tahiti alone that this elevated spiritnalism has been observed, though concealed under very different appearances. The rude images, the tous placed in the momi have been regarded by almost all travellers as statues of atomas. They are, in reality, nothing more than tubernackes hollow within, and destined to receive different oljects, oblations, ete. A priest of the Sandwich Islands told Byron that, whon a child, it happened that he eat something which had been deposited in the sacred images. Surprised atd reprimanded by his father, he excused himself ly saying that he had found out by various experiments that these gods of wond meither saw nor heard. The uhl priest then suid to him in a severe tone: "My son, the woml, it is true, meither sees mom hears; but the spirit which is ahove sees and hears all, and punishes wicked actions."

Do many amoner ourselses draw such a clear distinction between the spivit and the rmont?

A remarkable feature of the Tahitaan religion is, that we fime in it nus trace of Mamichinin. They have, in fact, only
 name of the Atom:as, amd that the surcomes, lated and feared in 'Tahiti as elanwhere, addreand thematres sold ly to the 'Tiis, lint the lattur were met in an! woys considemed on antaguniotic to the Atmas. Meremhont whls us that their images might here seen at guandians at the entrance of the morai and sacted cuclonure.

Althongh not so clearly defimet as thow of the 'Tahthens. the religions beliefo of the Algomunn and Mingwe RelSikits are very superior in some respucts. Their Geat spirit. the Michubore of the Alsomplins, the Agrvame of the lronnois, is the Father of all existing things. 'To him atome
true worship is rendered in smoking the sacred calumet towards the four points of the horizon and the zenith. The Creator of all that exists, he is not so disinterested in his work as 'Taaroa. He himself, or his messengers, watch over children, and direct the events of the world. Again, it is to him, before all others, that the Red-Skin addressed his prayers when he asks, and his thanks when he has gained his demands. I might here multiply examples and quotations. I shall confine myself to reproducing in part the song of the Lenapes on the eve of their departure for war, as it has been preserved for us by Heckewelder. It is a national song, and of itself refutes many strange assertions frequently made with regard to the populations who once occupied the territory of the United States.
"Oht, poor me-who am just about to depart to fight the eneny-and know not if I shall return-to enjoy the emhraces of my children and wife."
"Oh, poor creature-who camnot order his own life-who has no power over his own body-but who tries to do his duty-for the happiness of his nation."
"Oh, thou Great Spirit above-take pity upon my children-and upon my wife-keep them from sorrowing on my account-grant that I may succeed in my enterprise -that I may kill my enemy-and bring back trophies of war."
"Give me strength and courage to fight my enemy-grant that I may return and see my children agrin-see my wife and ony relations-have pity upon me and preserve my lifeand I will wfier to thee a sacrifice."

It is true that, after the Cireat Spirit, we fimd the RedSkins believing in an immense number of Manitous, one of whom, inhaliting the centre of the earth, is a kind of demon. But these beingr, whether good or evil, athough possessing an influence over the destiny of man, have nothing of the divine character. They are nothing more than a kimd of genii, fairios, ugres, ctre, more or less resembling those mentioned in Urimatal tales, and all absolntely dependent upon
the Great Spirit. The latter alune is ommipotent, while the evil spirit is weak and his power is limited.

The belief in another life was, moreover, minersal amongrt these populations. Their ideas upon the other world, the transmigration of souls, the multiplicity of existences were vague enough; but in several legends, collected cither by the first travellers, or in the present century by Schoulcraft, we find, given in the most explicit manner, the doctrine of recompense promised to the good, and the torments which await the wicked.

The Algonquins and Mingwes deserve to be regarded as monotheists as much as any other people we can mention, much more so than the Arabs before Mahomet. There is, moreover, no reason to think that these spiritual beliefs were due to the exceptional intelligence of an isolated individual who played the part of prophet after the mamer of Mahomet. They have all the characters of a spontancous manifestation of the instincts of the race itself. Now this fact is the more remarkable, as these Red-Skins, almost exclusively hunters, had seareely advanced beyond the lowest stages of the social scale.

The Negroes of (ininea, much superior to the Algomquins and Mingwes, from a civilized point of view, are far inferior to them in religion. Still, to speak only of their fetisheism, would be doing them a great injustice. This is, in reality, only a form of superstition more or less intinately associated with a basis of far nobler beliefs. Here, again, the greater number of observers have stopped at what was immediately presientel to the eye; others, howerer, have fortumately been fomed who hase lowed beneath these fine :1口巾"amers.

Numerous evidences, too manimons to admit of domben, prove that from Cape Verd to Cape Loper, the inhabitants believe in a Supreme (iod, who has created all existing hingox The natives of Dahomey hold that this (iod is himself smbect to a more elevated heing, who, say thean Negrose, is perthaps the Goil of the Whites. In most coses, it is true, this supreme

Deity is regarded as governing the universe through the agency of his ministers; but often, also, direct intervention is attributed to him. Petitions, thanks, and prayers, are then addressed to him, with the formula of some of which we are acpuainted. In that which D'Avezac received from the lips of Oche Fecone, the Yebous request Obbi-el-Ormm (King of Hearen) to preserve them from illness and death. They add: "Orissa (God) give me prosperity and wisdom."

We find that almost all the natives of Guinea, besides their good Gorl, had their eril spirit, also very powerful. Ollations are offered to appease him. The Negroes often think that they see or hear him in the night. We know too well, however, that the shores of Guinea are not the only place where such visions have been seen in imagination.

Then come the inferior gods, very numerous, and sometimes aranged in a hierarchy. It is they who are sent into Fetishes to watel over and protect inan. The Fetish, aceording to the evidence of devont priests and Negroes, is not the Gend himself, but only the abode of the (iod.

The natives of Guinea all helieve in another life, but have very different ideas upon this subject. In gerieral they regaral it as almost similar to the present. Some have a confused idea of metempsychosis, or think they are horn again in at chill. The Issinois believe in the immortality of the sonl, which, on leaving this carth, is born agsin in another world, situated in the eentre of the globe, amb rice versot. This is almost the wllormenting life, as monecived by Hyppolyte Renamd, a distinguished artillery oflicer, and une of these thinkers who have fell the want of ath explanation of the destiny of mant.

The ideat of retribution is clearly defmed by many (ininem tribers. In the "pinion of many, the wise and the intelligront become the messongers of the gends; the wieked are drowned in passing a certain stream, and die for ever or become demons. Others hold that the sonls of these who lave led evil lives go to the exil spirit, but can bre redeanced liy oblations offereal to the gods. Here, then, we find the

Negro possessing the idea of muryutory and of revemption, togrether with that of hell.
VII. I think I have said emongh thoromelhly to eatablinh a fact independent of all hypothesis, and which seems to me to be of serions importance. It is that we often find ideas of an extremely elevated nature, and resimbling in a singnlar mamer those which distinguish the greal religiunse, existing in the small, though obsemed by other notions of an inferior mature. Again, that we must almust everywhere, probably everywhere, distinguish religion from superstition. But before we can, in this case, recognise the groll in the midnt of the surrounding dross, time is required, serions study, and a mind entirely free from prejudice.

I grant that religion and sumerstition are often, as it were, fused into the ereeds of certain races, so that the priest and the sorecerer are confonmded in one person. But this is not always the case; and, cren where the connection forms an apparent confusion, we should unyuestionalbly embeavour to distinguish the two elements. Now this task has been too often meglected in kealing with inferior races. Hore agatin, I remark at each stop the prejudicial influence of European pride. The most carcless writer would certainly not connect with Christanity, as it is maderstood at the preant day in France, the dismal or ludiorons tales conlected in the comery districts by Villemarymé, Sumbetre, and others. He would place them, with all their areonpmoying practices, in what may be catled the perpulat mytholog!\%. Should mot atho the man of science make a similar distimetion, whatering to form a true estination of the eligion, properly si callad, of harharous or savage wtions?

To those whan an luw lietishim canae to be ingplanted in Guinea side ley side with the conteption of a suphome lbiter. the creator ant gove rum of all that exi-ts? low burthorn pepulations cond reconcile Shamanion with the lelief in that (iond of whon Gheneris khan had formed such a great and elevated ideat I a-k again how the -trang it slym ratifions canse to be seophel in formor time by all chation
sects? how it is that they still exist amongst us? True, in our enlightened chasses, neither Protestant nor Catholic would enter upon a course of sorcery, of which there were so many instances but two or three centuries ago, and which were so often fullowed by comdemnation and capital puishment. In our more remote comntry districts, however, the lelief in soreery is as strong as it was miversal in the Middle Ages. The newspapers inform us from time to time of actions, proving that, if left to themselves, these populations would willingly burn the unfortunate victims suspected of having told jortunes; protect themselves against witcheruft, the evil eyf, etc., these same populations have often had recourse to practices strongly resembling those signalized by travellers as the proef of inferiority in certain races. In reality, the ammets of our peasants are indentical with the grisgris of the Ningroes.

In all these respects and in many oflows, all Aryan Christians have belioned in that which we promelly reproads the Negreves and Mongols with believing. All Christian commmities have salletioned, and sumetimes sanctigied, these ahsurd superstitions.

The anthropolugist, whin hats to do with seicnee and not with thenlogy, who has to seek the pure element in the inferior religions, onght not, on the wher hame to hesitate in printing out that singular admixture of alloy in the superior religions, of which I have just quoted a familar (x:mple.

From this dombla form of insestigation, a general fact, to which I have often cellded attention, will, I think, he estahlisheri in the minds of all, a fact which may be formulated in the following torms; grat or simall, religions are principally eommeted by the most rlesated and the lowest clement promenal liy ach; they are principally separated hy intormediary forms and conceptions.
[III. The fullowing fact has, in several instances, been monakiol, that a religion when mplaced by another, leaves "pnen the latter more or less evident traces. Uften alsin, the
divinities of the furmer, without entioly disappearing, will maderen a simgular procesis of derradation, amd find a place only in the region of popular superstition. Which of our realers will not call to mind the artiches, at once so charming aml so impressive, of M. Heine upon the pone grets of the Greek and Roman Olympus, passed intolegendary characters? These representatives of elassical mythology have, in the heart of popular Indiefs, hecome asorelated with (irmmonic athd Scandinavian divinitios; lut hase not foth had predecessor: ?

From Quaternary aises th the present lime, many races have inhabited Europe. Nome, mombtelly, have datioly passed away. Thay hate bon succosively suljumen, and more or less absablel. ('an the beliefo even of war most remote ancuaturs be cutirols lut 1 think nut. Vhdoubtedly, a portion has been forgotten, but rory probable aloo a large part has survived, mone or lesi malified ly the alditions of each fresh immigration. In this manner would be formed, little by little, that popular mytholuy whela has resisted all official tuetrines, and ebon fomma placo by the ir sile.

What has happumat in our own case camme hat have happened elsewhore. Future reacard will pelhaps show this to ber the cance of the common clement of the religions bediefs of peoples, suparated lye their diftiorent derem of eivilization, as well as hy gomaraphical pration.

IN. M. Burmouf has remarked that the srionce of reliefiem denes not as yet ixist. This is trie, eqpecially from the pmint of view to which I have just called attention. All genmal classification is, then, prematme. Be fore att mptine one. Jot lls wat till we are at last fairly acquantent, wat only with
 physies, which have luen accepted he cisilized mations. but aton with thee simpler, more atte es leclisf whech promelel them, some of which are still in crivence. 'Then otnly thall we be in a pexition to trace the wement form ant the mble divisions of the sural manfertations of the relutions faculty
common to all human lecings. Then, also, we shall be in a position to follow the development of this faculty, and to mark its stages, by a process similar to that of the embryogenist, who studies the different phases mulergone by the same leeing before attaining its state of perfection.

Such as it is however, consisting at present of isolated fiacts only, or of facts merely rolleeted into groups, the science of religions hats already acepuired a marked importance in anthropology. It leaves now doubt as to one of the funditmental characters of the human species; it furnishes fiets of so independent a nature as to serve for the characterization of races ; it reveals relations; it alds its testimony to that of philology in throwing light upon the filiation of certain races, in attesting the existenee of ancient commmications betwere mations long regrarded as entirely separate. In these varions asperts it shombl mot be neglected hy thise who wish to consider the matural history of mam ats a whole.
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